Four Problems with Policy-Based Constraints and How to Fix Them

Dillan Mills
Synopsys, Inc.
Maricopa, AZ
dillan@synopsys.com

Chip Haldane

The Chip Abides, LLC

Gilbert, AZ

chip@thechipabides.com

Abstract

This paper presents solutions to problems encountered in the implementation of policy classes for SystemVerilog constraint layering. Policy classes provide portable and reusable constraints that can be mixed and matched into the object being randomized. There have been many papers and presentations on policy classes since the original presentation by John Dickol at DVCon 2015. The paper addresses three problems shared by all public policy class implementations and presents a solution to a fourth problem. The proposed solutions introduce policy class inheritance, tightly pair policy definitions with the class they constrain, reduce the expense of defining common policies using macros, and demonstrate how to treat policies as disposable and lightweight objects. The paper concludes that the proposed solution improves the usability and efficiency of policy classes for SystemVerilog constraint layering.

I. CONSTRAINTS AND POLICY CLASS REVIEW

When working with randomized objects in SystemVerilog, it is typically desired to control the randomization of the item being randomized. The common method of doing so is by using constrained random value generation. Constraints for the randomization of class properties can be provided within the class definition, which will require randomized properties to always pass the specified constaint check. For example, the following <code>addr_txn</code> class contains a constraint on the <code>addr</code> property to ensure it is always word aligned.

Fig. 1: A basic address transaction class

Constraints can also be provided outside the class definition at the point of randomization by using the with construct. This allows variation to the constraints based on the context of the randomization. For example, the same addr_txn class can have an instance of it randomized with the following constraint on its data property.

```
addr_txn txn = new();
txn.randomize() with {addr == 32'hdeadbeef;};
```

Fig. 2: En example of an inline constraint

Policy classes are a technique for SystemVerilog constraint layering with comparable performance to traditional constraint methods, while additionally providing portable and reusable constraints that can be mixed and matched into the object being randomized, originally presented by John Dickol [1] [2]. This is possible through SystemVerilog "Global Constraints", which randomizes all lower-level objects whenever a top-level object is randomized, and solves all rand variables and constraints across the entire object hierarchy simultaneously. A summary of his approach can be found by examining the following code.

```
class policy_base#(type ITEM=uvm_object);
ITEM item;
```

```
virtual function void set_item(ITEM item);
5
        endfunction
6
    endclass
8
    class policy_list#(type ITEM=uvm_object) extends policy_base#(ITEM);
9
        rand policy_base#(ITEM) policy[$];
10
11
        function void add(policy_base#(ITEM) pcy);
12
            policy.push_back(pcy);
13
        endfunction
14
        function void set_item(ITEM item);
16
             foreach(policy[i]) policy[i].set_item(item);
17
        endfunction
18
    endclass
```

Fig. 3: The policy_base and policy_list classes

These first two classes provide the base class types for the actual policies. This allows different, related policies to be grouped together in **policy_list** and applied to the randomization call concurrently. Both of these classes are parameterized to a specific object type, so separate instances will be required for each class type that needs to be constrained.

```
class addr_policy_base extends policy_base#(addr_txn);
        addr_range ranges[$];
2
        function void add(addr_t min, addr_t max);
             addr_range rng = new(min, max);
             ranges.push_back(rng);
        endfunction
    endclass
    class addr_permit_policy extends addr_policy_base;
10
        rand int selection;
11
12
        constraint c_addr_permit {
13
          selection inside {[0:ranges.size()-1]};
14
15
             foreach(ranges[i]) {
                 if(selection == i) {
17
                     item.addr inside {[ranges[i].min:ranges[i].max - item.size]};
18
19
20
21
    endclass
22
23
    class addr_prohibit_policy extends addr_policy_base;
24
        constraint c_addr_prohibit {
25
             foreach(ranges[i]) {
26
                 !(item.addr inside {[ranges[i].min:ranges[i].max - item.size + 1]});
27
```

```
29 }
30 endclass
```

Fig. 4: Policies for constraining the addr_txn class

The next three classes contain implementations of the **policy_base** class for the **addr_txn** class. A list of valid address ranges can be stored in the **ranges** array. The **addr_permit_policy** will choose one of the ranges at random and constrain the address to be within the range, while the **addr_prohibit_policy** will exclude the address from randomizing inside any of the ranges in its list.

```
class addr_txn;
1
        rand bit [31:0] addr;
2
        rand int
3
        rand policy_base#(addr_txn) policy[$];
        constraint c_size {size inside {1, 2, 4};}
        function void pre_randomize;
             foreach(policy[i]) policy[i].set_item(this);
        endfunction
10
    endclass
11
12
    class addr_constrained_txn extends addr_txn;
13
        function new;
14
            addr_permit_policy
                                    permit = new;
15
             addr_prohibit_policy prohibit = new;
16
             policy_list#(addr_txn) pcy = new;
17
18
            permit.add('h00000000, 'h0000FFFF);
            permit.add('h10000000, 'h1FFFFFFF);
20
             pcy.add(permit);
21
22
            prohibit.add('h13000000, 'h130FFFFF);
23
            pcy.add(prohibit);
24
25
             this.policy = {pcy};
26
        endfunction
27
    endclass
```

Fig. 5: The addr_txn class and a constrained subclass

The final two classes show how these policies are used. The <code>addr_txn</code> class creates a <code>policy</code> queue, and during the <code>pre_randomize</code> function sets the handle to the <code>item</code> object within each policy to itself. The <code>addr_constrained_txn</code> subclass adds two policies to a local <code>pcy</code> list, one that permits the address to be within one of two ranges, and one that prohibits the address from being within a third range. The <code>addr_constrained_txn</code> class can then passes the local <code>pcy</code> list to the parent <code>policy</code> queue.

At this point, an instance of **addr_constrained_txn** can be created and randomized like normal, and the address will be constrained based on the policies provided.

```
addr_constrained_txn txn = new();
txn.randomize();
```

Fig. 6: Randomizing an instance of addr_constrained_txn

Further work on policy-based constraints has been presented since the original DVCon presentation in 2015. Kevin Vasconcellos and Jeff McNeal applied the concept to test configuration and added many nice utilities to the base policy class [3]. Chuck McClish extended the concept to manage real number values for User Defined Nettypes (UDN) and Unified Power Format (UPF) pins in an analog model [4]. Additionally, he defined a policy builder class that was used to generically build multiple types of policies while reducing repeated code that was shared between each policy class in the original implementation.

Although there has been extensive research on policies, this paper aims to address and provide solutions for three issues that have not been adequately resolved in previous implementations. Furthermore, a fourth problem that arose during testing of the upgraded policy package implementation will also be discussed and resolved.

II. PROBLEM #1: PARAMETERIZED POLICIES

The first problem with the above policy implementation is that because policies are specialized using parameterization to the class they constrain, different specializations of parameterized classes cannot be grouped and indexed. This is most apparent when creating a class hierarchy. If you wanted to extend a class and add a new field to constrain then you need a new policy type. The new policy type requires an extra policy list to modify any new attributes in the child class and requires the user to know which layer of the class hierarchy defines each attribute they want to constrain. Imagine a complex class hierarchy with many layers of inheritance and extension, and new constrainable attributes on each layer (one common example is a multi-layered sequence API library, such as the example presented by Jeff Vance [5]). Using policies becomes cumbersome in this case because you need to manage a separate policy list for each level of the hierarchy and know what each list can and cannot contain. For example, extending the addr_txn class to create a version with parity checking results in a class hierarchy that looks like this:

```
class addr_txn;
      rand bit [31:0] addr;
      rand int
      rand policy_base#(addr_txn) addr_policy[$];
      constraint c_size {size inside {1, 2, 4};}
      function void pre_randomize;
        foreach(addr_policy[i]) addr_policy[i].set_item(this);
      endfunction
10
    endclass
11
12
    class addr_p_txn extends addr_txn;
13
      rand bit parity;
14
      rand policy_base#(addr_p_txn) addr_p_policy[$];
15
16
      constraint c_parity {parity == $countones(addr) % 2;}
17
18
      function void pre_randomize;
19
        super.pre_randomize();
20
        foreach(addr_p_policy[i]) addr_p_policy[i].set_item(this);
21
      endfunction
22
    endclass
23
24
    class addr_constrained_txn extends addr_p_txn;
25
      function new;
26
        addr_permit_policy
                                    permit = new;
27
        addr_prohibit_policy
                                    prohibit = new;
28
        addr_parity_policy
                                    parity = new;
29
        policy_list#(addr_txn)
                                    addr_pcy = new;
        policy_list#(addr_p_txn)
                                    addr_p_pcy = new;
31
32
        permit.add('h00000000, 'h0000FFFF);
33
```

```
permit.add('h10000000, 'h1FFFFFFF);
34
        addr_pcy.add(permit);
35
36
        prohibit.add('h13000000, 'h130FFFFF);
37
        addr_pcy.add(prohibit);
38
39
        parity.set(1'b1);
40
        addr_p_pcy.add(parity);
41
42
        this.addr_policy = {addr_pcy};
43
         this.addr_p_policy = {addr_p_pcy};
      endfunction
45
    endclass
```

Fig. 7: Modified address transaction classes with a parity checking subclass and policies included

This class ends up with a lot of boilerplate code and is not very intuitive to use. The user needs to keep track of what the difference is between each policy list and apply constraints properly. Each additional subclass in a hierarchy will cause the constrained class to grow further. The solution to this problem is to replace the parameterized policy base classes with a combination of a policy interface class and a parameterized policy implementation class.

```
interface class policy;
        pure virtual function void set_item(uvm_object item);
2
    endclass
3
    virtual class policy_imp#(type ITEM=uvm_object) implements policy;
        protected rand ITEM m_item;
        virtual function void set_item(uvm_object item);
            if (!$cast(m_item, item)) begin
                 `uvm_warning("policy::set_item()", "Item/policy type mismatch")
10
                 this.m_item = null;
11
                 this.m_item.rand_mode(0);
12
            end
13
        endfunction: set_item
14
    endclass: policy_imp
15
16
    typedef policy_policy_queue[$];
```

Fig. 8: The policy interface class and policy_imp class

By abstracting the policy functionality into an interface class, all policy implementations under a common base class are given compatibility with each other and can be stored in a shared policy queue. The **uvm_object** class is a good base class when using policies within UVM, as it will likely cover every class you will be randomizing. If working with another framework, a reasonable base class will need to be chosen. Because the **set_item** function no longer receives a parameterized type input, the input needs to be cast to the implementation type. If this cast fails, the item is set to null and randomization is disabled.

```
class addr_policy extends policy_imp#(addr_txn);
addr_range ranges[$];

function void add(addr_t min, addr_t max);
addr_range rng = new(min, max);
```

```
ranges.push_back(rng);
       endfunction
    endclass
    class addr_parity_policy extends policy_imp#(addr_p_txn);
10
       protected bit parity;
11
12
       constraint c_fixed_value {m_item != null -> m_item.parity == parity;}
13
14
       function new(int parity);
15
       endfunction
17
    endclass
18
19
    class addr_permit_policy extends addr_policy;
       rand int selection;
21
22
       constraint c_addr_permit {
23
          m_item != null -> (
24
              selection inside {[0:ranges.size()-1]};
25
26
              foreach(ranges[i]) {
27
28
                    m_item.addr inside {[ranges[i].min:ranges[i].max - m_item.size]};
29
30
31
           )
32
33
    endclass
34
    class addr_prohibit_policy extends addr_policy;
36
37
       constraint c_addr_prohibit {
           m_item != null -> (
38
              foreach(ranges[i]) {
                !(m_item.addr inside {[ranges[i].min:ranges[i].max - m_item.size + 1]});
40
41
42
43
44
```

Fig. 9: Address policies updated to use the new policy implementation

The address policies are updated to inherit from **policy_imp** parameterized to the relevant transaction class. Additionally, the constraints are updated to verify the internal item handle is not null.

```
class addr_txn extends uvm_object;
rand bit [31:0] addr;
rand int size;
rand policy_queue policy;

constraint c_size {size inside {1, 2, 4};}

function void pre_randomize;
foreach(policy[i]) policy[i].set_item(this);
```

```
endfunction
10
    endclass
11
12
    class addr_p_txn extends addr_txn;
13
      rand bit parity;
14
15
      constraint c_parity {parity == $countones(addr) % 2;}
16
17
18
    class addr_constrained_txn extends addr_p_txn;
19
20
             policy_queue pcy;
21
22
             addr_permit_policy
                                    permit
                                              = new();
23
             addr_prohibit_policy prohibit = new();
             addr_parity_policy
                                    fixed_p;
25
26
             permit.add('h00000000, 'h0000FFFF);
27
             permit.add('h10000000, 'h1FFFFFFF);
28
             pcy.push_back(permit);
29
30
             prohibit.add('h13000000, 'h130FFFFF);
31
             pcy.push_back(prohibit);
32
33
             fixed_p = new(1'b1);
34
35
             pcy.push_back(fixed_p);
36
             this.policy = {pcy};
37
         endfunction
38
    endclass
```

Fig. 10: Address transaction classes updated to use the new policy implementation

The new address transaction classes are simplified considerably. Only a single policy queue is required in the class hierarchy, and the constraint block does not need to keep track of any specialized lists. The **addr_txn** class now subclasses **uvm_object** to provide compatibility with the policy interface.

III. PROBLEM #2: DEFINITION LOCATION

The second problem is "where do I define my policy classes?" This is not a complicated problem to solve, and most users likely place their policy classes in a file close to the class they are constraining. However, by explicitly pairing policy definitions with the class they constrain, users never need to hunt for the definitions or guess where they are located, and the definitions are given an appropriate and consistent home in the environment. This is done by embedding a **POLICIES** class inside the class being constrained. This class acts as a container for all relevant policies and allows policies to be created by simply referencing the embedded class through the :: operator.

```
class addr_txn extends uvm_object;
  rand bit [31:0] addr;
  rand int size;
  rand policy_queue policy;

constraint c_size {size inside {1, 2, 4};}

function void pre_randomize;
  foreach(policy[i]) policy[i].set_item(this);
```

```
endfunction
10
11
        class POLICIES;
12
            class addr_policy extends policy_imp#(addr_txn);
13
                 // ... unchanged from previous example
14
            endclass
15
            class addr_permit_policy extends addr_policy;
17
                 // ... unchanged from previous example
            endclass
19
20
            class addr_prohibit_policy extends addr_policy;
21
                 // ... unchanged from previous example
22
            endclass
23
        endclass: POLICIES
    endclass
25
26
    class addr_p_txn extends addr_txn;
27
        rand bit parity;
28
29
        constraint c_parity {parity == $countones(addr) % 2;}
30
31
        class POLICIES extends addr_txn::POLICIES;
32
            class addr_parity_policy extends policy_imp#(addr_p_txn);
33
                 // ... unchanged from previous example
34
            endclass
35
36
            static function addr_parity_policy FIXED_PARITY(bit value);
37
                 FIXED_PARITY = new(value);
38
            endfunction
        endclass: POLICIES
40
41
    endclass
42
    class addr_constrained_txn extends addr_p_txn;
43
        function new;
44
            policy_queue pcy;
45
46
            addr_constrained_txn::POLICIES::addr_permit_policy
47
                                                                    permit = new();
            addr_constrained_txn::POLICIES::addr_prohibit_policy prohibit = new();
48
49
            permit.add('h00000000, 'h0000FFFF);
50
            permit.add('h10000000, 'h1FFFFFFF);
51
            pcy.push_back(permit);
52
53
            prohibit.add('h13000000, 'h130FFFFF);
            pcy.push_back(prohibit);
55
56
            pcy.push_back(addr_constrained_txn::POLICIES::FIXED_PARITY(1'b1));
57
             this.policy = {pcy};
59
        endfunction
    endclass
```

Fig. 11: Address transaction classes with embedded policies

In the above code, there were few changes from the previous iteration. All the policy classes were migrated into an embedded

POLICIES class, and a static constructor was created for the parity policy to simplify its creation. The parity **POLICIES** class was set as a child of the initial **POLICIES** class, which further simplified the usage of the classes in the constraint block. Finally, policies in the constraint block are accessed through the **addr_constrained_txn::POLICIES::** accessor.

IV. PROBLEM #3: BOILERPLATE OVERLOAD

The third problem is that policies are relatively expensive to define since you need at a minimum, a class definition, a constructor, and a constraint. This will be relatively unavoidable for complex policies, such as those defining a relationship between multiple specific class attributes. For generic policies, such as equality constraints, range constraints, or set membership (keyword <code>inside</code>) constraints, macros can be used to drastically reduces the expense and risk of defining common policies. The macros are responsible for creating the specialized policy class for the required constraint within the target class, as well as a static constructor function that is used to create new policy instances of the class. Additional macros are utilized for setting up the embedded **POLICIES** class within the target class. These macros can be used hand in hand with the non-macro policy classes needed for complex constraints, if necessary.

```
// Create a base embedded POLICIES class
    `define start_policies(cls)
    class POLICIES;
    `LOCAL_POLICY_IMP(cls)
    // Create a child embedded POLICIES class
    `define start_extended_policies(cls, parent)
    class POLICIES extends parent::POLICIES;
    `LOCAL_POLICY_IMP(cls)
10
    // End the embedded POLICIES class
11
     `define end_policies
12
    endclass: POLICIES
14
    // Create the base policy type
15
    `define LOCAL_POLICY_IMP(cls)
16
        typedef policy_imp#(cls) base_policy;
18
19
20
    `define fixed_policy(POLICY, TYPE, field)
21
    `FIXED_POLICY_CLASS(POLICY, TYPE, field)
22
    `FIXED_POLICY_CONSTRUCTOR(POLICY, TYPE, field)
23
24
    `define FIXED_POLICY_CLASS(POLICY, TYPE, field)
25
        class POLICY``_policy extends base_policy;
26
            protected TYPE m_fixed_value;
27
            constraint c_fixed_value {
29
                 m_item != null -> m_item.field == m_fixed_value;
31
             function new(TYPE value);
33
                 this.m_fixed_value = value;
34
             endfunction
35
        endclass: POLICY``_policy
36
37
     `define FIXED_POLICY_CONSTRUCTOR(POLICY, TYPE, field)
38
        static function POLICY``_policy POLICY(TYPE value);
39
            POLICY = new(value);
```

endfunction: POLICY \

Fig. 12: Macros for setting up the embedded POLICIES class and a fixed value policy

The start_policies, start_extended_policies, and end_policies macros replace the embedded class POLICIES and endclass statements within the constrained class. They set up class inheritance as needed and create a local typedef for the policy_imp parameterized type. The <code>fixed_policy</code> macro wraps two additional macros responsible for creating the policy class and a static constructor for the class. In this example, a policy class that lets you constrain a property to a fixed value is defined.

```
class addr_txn extends uvm_object;
        rand bit [31:0]
                            addr;
2
        rand int
3
4
        rand policy_queue policy;
        constraint c_size {size inside {1, 2, 4};}
        function void pre_randomize;
8
             foreach(policy[i]) policy[i].set_item(this);
        endfunction
10
11
         `start_policies(addr_txn)
12
             `include "addr_policies.svh"
13
         `end_policies
14
    endclass
15
16
17
    class addr_p_txn extends addr_txn;
        rand bit parity;
18
19
        constraint c_parity {parity == $countones(addr) % 2;}
20
21
         `start_extended_policies(addr_p_txn, addr_txn)
22
23
              fixed_policy(FIXED_PARITY, bit, parity)
         `end_policies
24
    endclass
25
    class addr_constrained_txn extends addr_p_txn;
27
        // ... unchanged from previous example
    endclass
```

Fig. 13: Simplified address transaction classes using the policy macros

The base <code>addr_txn</code> class has complex policies with a relationship between the <code>addr</code> and <code>size</code> fields, so rather than creating a policy macro that will only be used once, they can either be left as-is within the embedded policies class, or moved to a separate file and included with <code>include</code> as was done here to keep the transaction class simple. The child parity transaction class is able to use the <code>include</code> macro to constrain the <code>parity</code> field. The constraint block remains the same as the previous example.

V. PROBLEM #4: UNEXPECTED POLICY REUSE BEHAVIOR

A fourth problem we noticed in our initial usage of policy classes was occasional unexpected behavior when attempting to reuse a policy. It is hard to quantify and we never spent time to create a reproducible test case, but some of the policies behaved like they "remembered" being randomized when used repeatedly and wouldn't reapply their constraints during subsequent **randomize** calls. Rather than spending time to find an exact diagnosis of the issue, we transitioned our policy approach to a "use once and discard" approach, where we would treat a policy as disposable and model them as extremely lightweight classes. Choosing **uvm_object** as a default base class stemmed from this decision.

```
static function addr_parity_policy FIXED_PARITY(bit value);
    FIXED_PARITY = new(value);
endfunction
...
pcy.push_back(addr_constrained_txn::POLICIES::FIXED_PARITY(1'b1));
```

Fig. 14: Example static constructor function from the FIXED_PARITY policy class

Using static functions to create new instances of the policies provides an easy way to create and use a policy without needing to store the policy instance in a variable. The policy is created and immediately pushed onto the policy queue. The policy is then discarded and will be recreated the next time it is needed.

```
interface class policy;
...
pure virtual function policy copy();
endclass: policy
```

Fig. 15: Example static constructor function from the FIXED_PARITY policy class

Adding a **copy** method to the policy classes provides a way for a policy to return a fresh copy of itself. This is useful when a policy needs to be reused multiple times. The policy can be copied and pushed onto the policy queue multiple times, or onto other policy queues, and each copy will constrain the field separately. The **copy** method is **pure virtual**, so it must be implemented by each policy class.

After migrating to this pattern of reuse, the issues we were seeing with unapplied constraints disappeared.

VI. MORE IMPROVEMENTS TO THE POLICY PACKAGE

The examples presented so far are functional, but are lacking many features that would be useful in a real-world implementation. The following examples will present additional improvements to the policy package that will make it more practical efficient to use.

A. Expanding the policy interface class

The following policy interface class adds additional methods for managing a policy.

```
interface class policy;

pure virtual function string name();

pure virtual function string type_name();

pure virtual function string description();

pure virtual function bit item_is_compatible(uvm_object item);

pure virtual function void set_item(uvm_object item);

pure virtual function policy copy();

endclass: policy
```

Fig. 16: Expanded policy interface class

The name, description, and copy methods are implemented by the policy (or policy macro) directly and provide reporting information useful when printing messages about the policy to the log for the former two, or specific behavior for making a copy for the latter. The remaining three methods are implemented by policy_imp and are shared by all policies.

B. Better type safety checking and reporting in policy_imp methods

Some of the benefits of above methods can be seen by examining the new set_item method used by policy_imp.

```
virtual function void set_item(uvm_object item);
2
        if (item == null) begin
             'uvm_error("policy::set_item()", "NULL item passed")
3
4
        end else if ((this.item_is_compatible(item)) && $cast(this.m_item, item)) begin
             `uvm_info(
6
                 "policy::set_item()",
                 $sformatf(
                     "policy <%s> applied to item <%s>: %s",
                     this.name(), item.get_name(), this.description()
10
11
                 UVM_FULL
12
13
             this.m_item.rand_mode( 1 );
14
15
        end else begin
             `uvm_warning(
17
                 "policy::set_item()",
                 $sformatf(
19
                     "Item <%s> type <%s> is not compatible with policy <%s> type <%s>",
20
                    item.get_name(), item.get_type_name(), this.name(), this.type_name()
21
22
23
             this.m_item = null;
             this.m_item.rand_mode( 0 );
25
        end
26
    endfunction: set_item
```

Fig. 17: set_item method from policy_imp

The **set_item** method makes use of all the reporting methods to provide detailed log messages when **set_item** succeeds or fails. Additionally, the **item_is_compatible** is used before the **\\$cast** method is called and the **rand_mode** state is kept consistent with the result of the cast.

C. Replacing policy_list with policy_queue and policy_container

Eagle-eyed readers might have noticed the lack of presence of a **policy_list** class in any of the examples above after migrating to the improved policy interface. Rather, a single typedef is all that is necessary to manage policies in a class.

```
typedef policy_queue[$];
```

Fig. 18

The **policy_queue** type is capable of storing any policy that implements the **policy** interface. The default queue methods are sufficient for basic usage, but by introducing another interface class, the direct management of the queue can be abstracted away from the user.

```
interface class policy_container;
// Queries
```

```
pure virtual function bit has_policies();
5
        pure virtual function void set_policies(policy_queue policies);
7
        pure virtual function void add_policies(policy_queue policies);
9
10
        pure virtual function void clear_policies();
11
12
13
        pure virtual function policy_queue get_policies();
14
15
        pure virtual function policy_queue copy_policies();
17
    endclass: policy_container
19
```

Fig. 19: The policy_container interface class

This interface class should be implemented by any class that needs to manage a policy queue. The method implementations can then be used to manipulate a policy queue as needed, including adding to, replacing, or clearing the queue, and returning a handle to the queue or a copy of the queue to use elsewhere.

D. Creating a policy_container base class implementation

The **policy_container** interface class allows for a base class implementation that can be used to manage constraints across the entire class hierarchy.

```
class policy_object #(type BASE=uvm_object) extends BASE implements
        policy_container;
2
        protected policy_queue m_policies;
3
4
5
        // Queries
        virtual function bit has_policies();
            return( this.m_policies.size() > 0 );
7
        endfunction: has_policies
8
        virtual function void set_policies(policy_queue policies);
11
            if( this.has_policies()) begin
12
                 `uvm_warning( "policy", "set_policies() replacing existing policies" )
13
            end
14
            this.m_policies = {};
15
            foreach( policies(i) ) try_add_policy( policies[i] );
16
        endfunction: set_policies
17
18
        virtual function void add_policies(policy_queue policies);
19
            foreach( policies(i) ) try_add_policy( policies[i] );
20
        endfunction: add_policies
21
22
        virtual function void clear_policies();
23
            if ( this.has_policies() ) begin
24
                 uvm_info( "policy", $sformatf("clearing [%0d] policies from %s",
        this.m_policies.size(), this.get_name()), UVM_FULL)
```

```
end
26
             this.m_policies = {};
27
        endfunction: clear_policies
28
29
30
        virtual function policy_queue get_policies();
31
             return( this.m_policies );
32
        endfunction: get_policies
33
34
35
        virtual function policy_queue copy_policies();
             copy_policies = {};
37
             foreach( this.m_policies[i] ) begin
                 copy_policies.push_back( this.m_policies[i].copy );
39
            end
        endfunction: copy_policies
41
42
        protected function void try_add_policy( policy new_policy );
43
             if (new_policy.item_is_compatible(this)) begin
                 `uvm_info( "policy", $sformatf("adding policy %s to %s",
45
        new_policy.name, this.get_name()), UVM_FULL)
                 new_policy.set_item( this );
46
                 this.m_policies.push_back( new_policy );
47
             end else begin
48
                 `uvm_warning( "policy", $sformatf("policy %s not compatible with target
49
        %s", new_policy.name, this.get_name()))
50
        endfunction: try_add_policy
    endclass: policy_object
```

Fig. 20: A policy_object base class implementation

This base class implements all the **policy_container** functionality. Treating it as a mixin allows you to apply it to different categories of transactions.

Fig. 21: Example classes using the policy_object base class

Each of these classes will contain a separate policy queue, but each will share an API and can be used in the same way. The **policy_object** base class can be used as a mixin to any class that needs to manage a policy queue.

VII. CONCLUSION

The complete policy package is available in Appendix A, with additional macro examples available in Appendix B. A functional package is also available [6] which can be included directly in a project to start using policies immediately.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Dickol, "SystemVerilog Constraint Layering via Reusable Randomization Policy Classes," DVCon, 2015.
- [2] J. Dickol, "Complex Constraints: Unleashing the Power of the VCS Constraint Solver," SNUG Austin, September 29, 2016.
- [3] K. Vasconcellos, J. McNeal, "Configuration Conundrum: Managing Test Configuration with a Bite-Sized Solution," DVCon, 2021.
- [4] C. McClish, "Bi-Directional UVM Agents and Complex Stimulus Generation for UDN and UPF Pins," DVCon, 2021.

- [5] J. Vance, J. Montesano, M. Litterick, J. Sprott, "Be a Sequence Pro to Avoid Bad Con Sequences," DVCon, 2019.
 [6] D. Mills, C. Haldane, "policy_pkg Source Code," https://github.com/DillanCMills/policy_pkg.

APPENDIX A POLICY PACKAGE

A. policy_pkg.sv

```
`ifndef __POLICY_PKG__
     define __POLICY_PKG__
2
    `include "uvm_macros.svh"
    package policy_pkg;
        import uvm_pkg::*;
        `include "policy.svh"
10
11
        typedef policy POLICY_QUEUE[$];
12
13
        `include "policy_container.svh"
14
15
         `include "policy_imp.svh"
16
         `include "policy_list.svh"
17
18
    endpackage: policy_pkg
19
20
21
```

B. policy.svh

```
`ifndef __POLICY__
    `define __POLICY__
2
    interface class policy;
        pure virtual function string name();
        pure virtual function string type_name();
        pure virtual function string description();
10
11
        pure virtual function bit item_is_compatible(uvm_object item);
12
13
        pure virtual function void set_item(uvm_object item);
14
15
        pure virtual function policy copy();
16
17
    endclass: policy
18
19
```

```
`ifndef __POLICY_CONTAINER__
    `define __POLICY_CONTAINER__
2
    interface class policy_container;
        // Queries
        pure virtual function bit has_policies();
        pure virtual function void set_policies(policy_queue policies);
10
11
        pure virtual function void add_policies(policy_queue policies);
12
13
        pure virtual function void clear_policies();
14
16
        pure virtual function policy_queue get_policies();
17
18
        pure virtual function policy_queue copy_policies();
20
    endclass: policy_container
22
23
24
```

D. policy_imp.svh

```
`ifndef __POLICY_IMP__
     `define __POLICY_IMP__
2
    virtual class policy_imp #(type ITEM=uvm_object) implements policy;
        protected rand ITEM m_item;
6
        pure virtual function string name();
8
        pure virtual function string description();
        pure virtual function policy copy();
10
11
        virtual function string type_name();
12
            return( ITEM::type_name );
13
        endfunction: type_name
14
15
        virtual function bit item_is_compatible(uvm_object item);
16
            ITEM local_item;
17
            if (item == null) return( 0 );
19
            else return( $cast(local_item, item) );
20
        endfunction: item_is_compatible
21
22
        virtual function void set_item(uvm_object item);
23
            if (item == null) begin
24
                 uvm_error("policy::set_item()", "NULL item passed")
25
```

```
26
             end else if ((this.item_is_compatible(item)) && $cast(this.m_item, item))
27
         begin
                 `uvm_info(
28
                      "policy::set_item()",
29
30
                          "policy <%s> applied to item <%s>: %s",
31
                          this.name(), item.get_name(), this.description()
32
                     ),
UVM_FULL
33
34
35
                 this.m_item.rand_mode( 1 );
36
37
             end else begin
38
                 `uvm_warning(
                     "policy::set_item()",
40
                     $sformatf(
41
                          "Item <%s> type <%s> is not compatible with policy <%s> type
42
                          item.get_name(), item.get_type_name(), this.name(),
43
         this.type_name()
44
45
                 this.m_item = null;
46
                 this.m_item.rand_mode( 0 );
47
48
49
         endfunction: set_item
50
    endclass: policy_imp
51
52
53
```

APPENDIX B POLICY MACROS

A. policy_macros.svh

```
`ifndef __POLICY_MACROS__
    `define __POLICY_MACROS__
2
3
    // Embedded POLICIES class macros
    `define start_policies(cls)
    class POLICIES;
    `m_base_policy(cls)
11
    `define start_extended_policies(cls, parent)
12
    class POLICIES extends parent::POLICIES;
13
    `m_base_policy(cls)
14
15
    `define end_policies
16
    endclass: POLICIES
17
    `define m_base_policy(cls)
19
        typedef policy_imp#(cls) base_policy;
20
21
22
23
24
26
    `include "constant_policy.svh"
     `include "fixed_policy.svh"
28
    `include "ranged_policy.svh"
    `include "set_policy.svh"
30
31
32
```

B. constant_policy.svh

```
return (`"POLICY`");
15
             endfunction: name
16
17
            virtual function string description();
18
                 return (`"(field==constant)`");
             endfunction: description
20
21
            virtual function policy copy();
22
                 copy = new();
23
            endfunction: copy
24
25
            constraint c_const_value {
26
                 (item != null) -> (item.field == l_field_t'(constant));
28
             function new();
30
             endfunction: new
31
        endclass: POLICY``_policy
32
33
34
35
    `define CONST_POLICY_CONSTRUCTOR(POLICY)
        static function POLICY``_policy POLICY();
36
            POLICY = new();
37
        endfunction: POLICY
38
39
40
```

C. fixed_policy.svh

```
`ifndef __FIXED_POLICY__
    `define __FIXED_POLICY__
2
3
4
    // Full policy definition
    `define fixed_policy(POLICY, TYPE, field, RADIX="%0p")
    `FIXED_POLICY_CLASS(POLICY, TYPE, field, RADIX)
    `FIXED_POLICY_CONSTRUCTOR(POLICY, TYPE, RADIX)
7
    // Policy class definition
    `define FIXED_POLICY_CLASS(POLICY, TYPE, field, RADIX="%0p")
10
        class POLICY``_policy extends base_policy
11
            typedef TYPE
                                 l_field_t;
12
13
                                 m_fixed_value;
14
            protected string
                                 m_radix=RADIX;
15
            constraint c_fixed_value {
17
                 (item != null) -> (item.field == l_field_t'(m_fixed_value));
19
20
            function new(TYPE value, string radix=RADIX);
21
                 this.set_value(value);
22
                 this.set_radix(radix);
23
            endfunction: new
24
25
```

```
virtual function string name();
26
27
                     "POLICY(field==",
28
                     $sformatf(m_radix, m_fixed_value),
29
                 });
31
            endfunction: name
32
33
            virtual function void set_value(TYPE value);
34
                 this.m_fixed_value = value;
35
            endfunction: set_value
37
            virtual function TYPE get_value();
                 return (this.m_fixed_value);
39
            endfunction: get_value
41
            virtual function void set_radix(string radix);
42
                 this.m_radix = radix;
43
            endfunction: set_radix
44
45
            virtual function string get_radix();
46
                 return (this.m_radix);
47
            endfunction: get_radix
48
        endclass: POLICY``_policy
49
50
51
    `define FIXED_POLICY_CONSTRUCTOR(POLICY, TYPE, RADIX="%0p")
52
        static function POLICY``_policy POLICY(TYPE value, string radix=RADIX);
53
             POLICY = new(value, radix);
54
        endfunction: POLICY
56
```

D. ranged_policy.svh

```
`ifndef __RANGED_POLICY__
    `define __RANGED_POLICY__
2
    `define ranged_policy(POLICY, TYPE, field, RADIX="%0p")
5
    `RANGED_POLICY_CLASS(POLICY, TYPE, field, RADIX)
    `RANGED_POLICY_CONSTRUCTOR(POLICY, TYPE, RADIX)
    // Policy class definition
9
    `define RANGED_POLICY_CLASS(POLICY, TYPE, field, RADIX="%0p")
10
        class POLICY``_policy extends base_policy
11
            typedef TYPE
                                 l_field_t;
12
13
            protected TYPE
                                m_low;
            protected TYPE
                                m_high;
15
            protected bit
                                 m_inside;
16
            protected string
                                m_radix=RADIX;
17
18
            constrant c_ranged_value {
19
```

```
(item != null) ->
20
                      ((!m_inside) ^ (item >= m_low && item <= m_high));
21
22
23
             function new(
24
                 TYPE
25
                        high=low,
                 TYPE
26
                 bit
                         inside=1'b1,
27
                 string radix=RADIX
28
29
                 this.set_range(low, high);
                 this.set_inside(inside);
31
             endfunction: new
33
             virtual function string name();
35
36
                      `"POLICY(field ",
37
                     m_inside ? "inside [" : "outside [",
38
                     $sformatf(m_radix, m_low),
39
40
                     $sformatf(m_radix, m_high),
41
42
                 });
43
             endfunction: name
44
45
             virtual function void set_range(TYPE low, TYPE high);
46
                 if (low <= high) begin</pre>
47
                     this.m_low = low;
48
                     this.m_high = high;
                 end else begin
50
                     this.m_low = high;
51
                      this.m_high = low;
52
                 end
53
             endfunction: set_range
54
55
             virtual function TYPE get_low();
56
                 return (this.m_low);
57
             endfunction: get_low
58
59
             virtual function TYPE get_high();
60
                 return (this.m_high);
61
             endfunction: get_high
62
63
             virtual function void set_inside(bit inside);
                 this.m_inside = inside;
65
             endfunction: set_inside
67
             virtual function bit get_inside();
                 return (this.m_inside);
69
             endfunction: get_inside
70
71
             virtual function void set_radix(string radix);
72
73
```

```
endfunction: set_radix
74
75
            virtual function string get_radix();
76
                 return (this.m_radix);
77
            endfunction: get_radix
78
        endclass: POLICY``_policy
79
80
    // Policy constructor definition
81
    `define RANGED_POLICY_CONSTRUCTOR(POLICY, TYPE, RADIX="%0p")
82
        static function POLICY``_policy POLICY(
83
             TYPE
            TYPE
                    high=low,
85
                    inside=1'b1,
            bit
            string radix=RADIX
87
            POLICY = new(low, high, inside, radix);
89
        endfunction: POLICY
90
91
```

E. set_policy.svh

```
`ifndef __SET_POLICY__
    `define __SET_POLICY__
2
3
    // Full policy definition
4
    `define set_policy(POLICY, TYPE, field, RADIX="%0p")
5
    `SET_POLICY_CLASS(POLICY, TYPE, field, RADIX)
    `SET_POLICY_CONSTRUCTOR(POLICY, TYPE, RADIX)
7
    // Policy class definition
9
    `define SET_POLICY_CLASS(POLICY, TYPE, field, RADIX="%0p")
10
        class POLICY``_policy extends base_policy
11
             typedef TYPE
                                 l_field_array_t[];
13
            protected l_field_array_t
                                          m_values;
14
            protected bit
                                          m_inside;
15
            protected string
                                          m_radix=RADIX;
17
            constrant c_set_value {
18
                 (item != null) ->
19
                     ((m_inside) ^ (item.field inside {m_values}));
20
21
22
             function new(
23
                 l_field_array_t values,
24
                bit
25
                 string
                                 radix=RADIX
26
27
                 this.set_values(values);
28
                 this.set_inside(inside);
29
                 this.set_radix(radix);
30
            endfunction: new
31
32
```

```
virtual function string name();
33
                 string values_str = "";
34
35
                 foreach(m_values[i])
36
                     values_str = {
37
                         values_str,
38
                         $sformatf(m_radix, m_values[i]),
39
                         i == m_values.size()-1 ? "" : ", "};
40
41
42
                     "POLICY(field",
44
                     values_str,
46
                 });
47
            endfunction: name
48
            virtual function void set_values(l_field_array_t values);
50
                 this.m_values = values;
51
            endfunction: set_values
52
53
            virtual function l_field_array_t get_values();
54
                 l_field_array_t l_array;
55
                 foreach (this.m_values[i])
56
                     l_array[i] = this.m_values[i];
57
                 return (l_array);
            endfunction: get_values
59
            virtual function void set_inside(bit inside);
61
                 this.m_inside = inside;
            endfunction: set_inside
63
            virtual function bit get_inside();
65
                 return (this.m_inside);
            endfunction: get_inside
67
68
            virtual function void set_radix(string radix);
69
                 this.m_radix = radix;
70
            endfunction: set_radix
71
72
            virtual function string get_radix();
73
                 return (this.m_radix);
74
            endfunction: get_radix
75
        endclass: POLICY``_policy
76
77
78
    `define SET_POLICY_CONSTRUCTOR(POLICY, TYPE, RADIX="%0p")
79
        typedef TYPE POLICY``_array_t[];
80
        static function POLICY``_policy POLICY(
81
            POLICY``_array_t values,
82
            bit
                               inside=1'b1,
83
                              radix=RADIX
            string
84
85
            POLICY = new(values, inside, radix);
```

endfunction: POLICY

endit `endit

88