



DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE

Assisted Content Generation for 3D Hair Geometry

[INCOMPLETE DRAFT, NOT FOR SUBMISSION]

Dillon Keith Diep

A dissertation submitted to the University of Bristol in accordance with the requirements of the degree
of Master of Engineering in the Faculty of Engineering.

Tuesday 4th April, 2017

Declaration

This dissertation is submitted to the University of Bristol in accordance with the requirements of the degree of MEng in the Faculty of Engineering. It has not been submitted for any other degree or diploma of any examining body. Except where specifically acknowledged, it is all the work of the Author.

Dillon Keith Diep, Tuesday 4th April, 2017

Contents

1	Contextual Background	1
1.1	Topic Background	1
1.2	Production of Computer Graphics	1
1.3	Related Research of Machine Learning in Creative Fields	2
1.4	Motivation and Significance	2
1.5	Challenges	3
1.6	Central Objectives	3
2	Technical Background	5
2.1	Principal Component Analysis	5
2.2	Probabilistic Principal Component Analysis	5
2.3	Gaussian Process Latent Variable Model	7
2.4	Bayesian Gaussian Process Latent Variable Model	8
2.5	Kernel Methods	10
2.6	Formal Definition of 3D Polygon Mesh Representation	10
2.7	Graph Theory	10
3	Project Execution	11
3.1	Example	11
3.2	Project Management	11
3.3	Acquiring Training Data	12
3.4	Pre-processing Phase	12
3.5	Generative Model of Hair	12
3.6	Approximating Input Training Data to Generative Model	12
3.7	Learning a Manifold with Bayesian GP-LVM	12
3.8	Reparation	12
4	Critical Evaluation	13
5	Conclusion	15
A	An Example Appendix	19

List of Figures

3.1 This is an example figure.	11
--	----

List of Tables

3.1 This is an example table.	11
---------------------------------------	----

List of Algorithms

3.1 This is an example algorithm.	11
---	----

List of Listings

3.1 This is an example listing.	11
---	----

Executive Summary

A compulsory section, of at most 1 page

The topic of this thesis explores the concept of assisted content generation by machine learning for the production of 3D hair geometry. The research hypothesis of this study is that non-linear probabilistic principal component analysis with the Gaussian Process Latent Variable Model is applicable for improving the creative production workflow of complex 3D hair structure and geometry for humanoids.

Production of 3D virtual worlds is a time-consuming and costly process that also demand expert knowledge. 3D assets encompass a vast range of applications, ranging from simulations and research, to contributing towards the functioning of many businesses. The production of 3D assets impacts many industries including engineering, medicine, and the provisioning of entertainment. One particular task is the creation of 3D hair geometry for humanoid characters. The production of 3D hair is arduous as hair structure is a complex system containing much interdependence between components.

Machine learning applications typically use large data sets for training on problems that often have a concise answer for a given prediction. The application of machine learning to enhance production for creative work is an exciting field that tackles novel challenges - not only could it have a notable impact on the economy, artistic products tend to have small sets of data available and evaluation of quality is subjective. Given the same input, acceptable solutions can vary significantly. The mentioned peculiarities of applying machine learning for 3D mesh data establish a unique field of problems to investigate.

Existing tools for 3D modelling have remained mostly static in the paradigm of approach over the past few decades. Automation through methods such as procedural generation can produce output much faster, but the lack of control over the final result makes it less desirable than traditional methods of 3D modelling. The focus of this project is to formulate a revolutionary approach that improves the workflow of producing 3D hair geometry.

- Formulation of a generative model for 3D humanoid hair structure
- Resolving the alignment problem by approximating raw input data using a generative model
- Learning a low dimension latent-space of high dimensional hair structure data
- Evaluated the performance of various kernels for high dimensional training data
- Formulation of reparation techniques on output generation of 3D geometry to conform with established constraints
- Implemented an add-on package for a 3D production program, Blender
 - The implementation creates guiding splines that are useful for generating hair geometry
 - Appropriate for small training set that is practical for content creators
 - Real-time performance that matches state of the art non-learning tools

Supporting Technologies

A compulsory section, of at most 1 page

This section should present a detailed summary, in bullet point form, of any third-party resources (e.g., hardware and software components) used during the project. Use of such resources is always perfectly acceptable: the goal of this section is simply to be clear about how and where they are used, so that a clear assessment of your work can result. The content can focus on the project topic itself (rather, for example, than including “I used L^AT_EX to prepare my dissertation”); an example is as follows:

- I used the Java `BigInteger` class to support my implementation of RSA.
- I used a parts of the OpenCV computer vision library to capture images from a camera, and for various standard operations (e.g., threshold, edge detection).
- I used an FPGA device supplied by the Department, and altered it to support an open-source UART core obtained from <http://opencores.org/>.
- The web-interface component of my system was implemented by extending the open-source WordPress software available from <http://wordpress.org/>.

Notation and Acronyms

An optional section, of roughly 1 or 2 pages

Any well written document will introduce notation and acronyms before their use, *even if* they are standard in some way: this ensures any reader can understand the resulting self-contained content.

Said introduction can exist within the dissertation itself, wherever that is appropriate. For an acronym, this is typically achieved at the first point of use via “Advanced Encryption Standard (AES)” or similar, noting the capitalisation of relevant letters. However, it can be useful to include an additional, dedicated list at the start of the dissertation; the advantage of doing so is that you cannot mistakenly use an acronym before defining it. A limited example is as follows:

AES	:	Advanced Encryption Standard
DES	:	Data Encryption Standard
	:	
$\mathcal{H}(x)$:	the Hamming weight of x
\mathbb{F}_q	:	a finite field with q elements
x_i	:	the i -th bit of some binary sequence x , st. $x_i \in \{0, 1\}$

Acknowledgements

An optional section, of at most 1 page

It is common practice (although totally optional) to acknowledge any third-party advice, contribution or influence you have found useful during your work. Examples include support from friends or family, the input of your Supervisor and/or Advisor, external organisations or persons who have supplied resources of some kind (e.g., funding, advice or time), and so on.

Chapter 1

Contextual Background

1.1 Topic Background

The subject of machine learning can be divided into three major paradigms: supervised learning, unsupervised learning, and reinforcement learning. The prediction of output values given a new input is known as regression. The role that learning methods play in both manufacturing and consumption continue to grow, but adoption has been slow for creative fields. Generally, robust models improve in performance as more reliable data is obtained. Creative production values uniqueness and versatility, properties that cause difficulty in machine learning methods. Varying styles in design complicate feature analysis and ambiguity of correctness is problematic when predicting an output.

To overcome the challenges of the scenario introduced, probabilistic latent variable models such as the Gaussian Process Latent Variable Model [10] present an opportunity to learn stylistic properties of design and predict multiple acceptable outputs by analysing the likelihood.

1.2 Production of Computer Graphics

In computer graphics, 3D objects are represented in many forms. 3D scanners capture raw data in various forms such as point clouds, range images, and voxels. A point cloud is a collection of 3D points, often used in computer vision. A range image maps pixels of a depth image to a set of points in the scene. Voxels are units of cubes that define the volume of objects, it has applications in many fields including medicine where voxels are used to visualise the results of MRI scans. [8]

In a production environment it is straightforward to define geometry as opposed to capturing examples. The most common representation used for CG production are polygonal meshes, data that contains information of vertices, edges, and faces. The topology of a mesh is the organisation of components that define the geometry. Two surfaces with the same appearance could have different topology, affecting how well the meshes could deform and reaction to operations. Where precision is concerned, parametric definitions are used for industries such as CAD. Every representation has its advantages depending on the use case. It is possible to convert between representations, but data loss may be incurred. Properties that make polygon meshes desirable include efficient rendering, simple to define, expressive enough to capture geometry required, and works well with established techniques such as UV texture mapping and mesh-based algorithms. The rendering pipeline often converts meshes to tri-faces (faces constructed by three edges) as an optimisation process, but best practice for 3D artists is to maintain a topology of quad-faces which are easier to organise and conforms better with editing tools and algorithms.

1.2.1 3D Hair Geometry

On average, a human is born with about 100,000 scalp hair follicles. [?] It is computationally very expensive to render and animate physically correct hair, but creative liberties have been taken to approximate, or stylize 3D hair such that it is both acceptable aesthetically and feasible in terms of performance. This study considers modelling of hair geometry, the motion of hair is assumed to be its default resting pose.

In recent years, impressive 3D hair solutions for real-time simulation of realistic hair and fur, such as Nvidia HairWorks and AMDs TressFX has emerged. These solutions, however, have limited application in comparison to their traditional counterpart of polygonal hair. It is often the case that texture-mapped polygonal hair is used as a fallback for when the advanced simulation fails. Realism is not necessarily

always desirable, polygon hair can flexibly represent different art styles. In some cases, a blend of multiple representations are used to balance between cost and quality. 3D hair in cinematography with large budget can afford to render hair with much higher fidelity for important characters, but would still consider use efficient variants for scenarios such as crowd simulation. Ultimately, representation of virtual hair generally follows a structure of splines with control points that define the overall organisation of strands or segments.

[Image of Hair Geometry]

1.2.2 Procedural Generation and Automated Production

Procedural generation techniques has been successfully used for terrains and city modelling. Methods such as the Lindenmayer system are used for foliage generation. [?] Fractals with simulated noise can create structure that resemble patterns observed in nature. Procedural techniques, however, are seldom used for modelling objects with specific features. It is difficult to control the output of procedurally generated content without heavily restricting its capabilities. [5] [4]

MPC simulate undead horde with crowd simulation system ALICE. PAPI (rigid body dynamic solver). In-house cloth solver with ALICE (using nCloth when detail needed)

1.3 Related Research of Machine Learning in Creative Fields

1.3.1 Learning a Manifold of Fonts

[1]

1.3.2 Stylised Inverse Kinematics

1.3.3 Procedural Trees

1.3.4 Latent Space Doodling

1.3.5 2D Drawing Assistant

[3]

1.3.6 AutoHair

[2] Neural network

Helicoid hair model

Traversable space of learned hairstyle

1.4 Motivation and Significance

State of the art 3D production software such as AutoDesk Maya, 3DS Max, and Blender are advanced programs with sophisticated list of features. That said, such programs have extremely convoluted user interfaces, even the most experienced professionals do not recognise each and every tool available. The most versatile tools are generally the most basic that perform atomic changes as they are applicable in every scenario. Examples include selection of primitives such as vertices, edges, or faces and performing translation, rotation, and scaling. Sculpting tools move many data points simultaneously, they are often used for defining organic surfaces now that modern machines are sufficiently powerful. Experienced artists might search for an existing base mesh that is similar to start on, but it is not always the case that such a base mesh exists - there are also concerns for quality, such as poor topology. As the geometry becomes more detailed and well-defined, each alteration makes less impact and the space of sensible changes becomes smaller. The design and production of 3D geometry remains a slow and delicate process.

Virtual hair creation is a necessity for characters of CG movies and video games that are embedded within culture both economically and as entertainment. Specialised artists learn to be proficient with the design of hair, variety of styles, and techniques for creating them. Hair geometry is much more concentrated than other types, containing many data points that is exhausting to edit. Soft selection and sculpting tools are good enough for defining the structure but maintaining topology and issues such as overlapping surfaces are still problematic. Learning the relation of hair structure allows the potential

of discovering new hairstyles. It can also be used as a mean of rapidly generating initial base geometry that fits the target output better than existing geometry available. Generative methods could ensure a level of quality, clean topology that fits established specifications. Assisted content generation using machine learning provides a convenient, non-intrusive and intuitive method for rapidly generating new hair geometry from existing data.

The application of machine-learning based tools could enhance the workflow of professional users and improve the experience for non-expert consumers. Such tools integrate into the production environment to improve the efficiency of acquiring initial base geometry and visually compare designs during pre-production. Non-expert users receive the ability to produce 3D geometry without requiring to learn the intrinsics of traditional 3D modelling software. The rise of augmented reality and 3D printing inspires the development of generative tools that are intuitive and simplistic to use. Applications that allow users to create personal content could also integrate machine-learning based tools to prevent inappropriate or undesirable creation from being produced while providing options that surpass existing alternatives. An example would be avatar creation for many applications and video games. A space of reasonable options generated from predefined outputs by the developers will allow users to interpolate between sensible configurations, providing an excellent level of customisation while adhering to defined constraints.

1.5 Challenges

This study faces a number of challenges. Firstly, 3D meshes are difficult to compare. The training data in its raw form will have varying dimensions. Meshes can be viewed as samples of the true geometry, thus meshes that represent the same object could differ drastically in number of data points depending on its level of detail. Typical feature extraction methods do not work well on meshes as artistic products are sensitive to data loss - any change could affect the perception of final result drastically.

Another problem encountered is the lack of training data. Typical machine learning solutions use huge data sets in the order of hundreds of thousands for training, but for 3D meshes the expected size of readily available training data is much smaller. Public repositories of 3D polygonal hair are generally around a few thousand in size. Studios that store and organise past production could likely match the size of public repositories, depending on the size of the company. Independent artists that keep their production will rarely go beyond the range of hundreds. [7]

The application of machine learning methods must also account for subjectivity of evaluating artistic assets. The range of acceptable solutions is ambiguous, likened to how hair styles of characters can change drastically during the design phase, determining the threshold of acceptable solutions will be in itself a challenge to resolve.

As mentioned previously, 3D meshes are delicate and can easily be invalidated from small changes. Thus, reparations to ensure that the output of trained models are acceptable is a topic to explore.

In a production environment, the time required for a technique to return observable result directly affects throughput. For practical efficacy of assisted content generation, the technique should be reasonably fast in presenting observable output.

1.6 Central Objectives

The aim of this study is

- Resolving the alignment problem of 3D data by standardisation.
- Explore the application of GP-LVM for 3D hair geometry in a production pipeline.
- Investigate the use of latent variables for identifying stylistic properties of 3D hair geometry.
- Demonstrate the use of non-linear manifold to generate new hairstyles from training data.
- Enable an intuitive method for non-experts to create 3D hair geometry.
- Observable output demand performance close to real-time for practical use.

Chapter 2

Technical Background

2.1 Principal Component Analysis

In multivariate analysis, principal component analysis (PCA) is a statistical technique used to perform dimensionality reduction. [9] It was originally introduced by Pearson [6], and independently developed by Hotelling [?], where the standard algebraic derivation of PCA was presented in terms of a standardized linear projection.

Consider the properties that define hair structure. Observable variables that can be measured could be its location, orientation, length, and colour. It is likely that there is some correlation between the variables, measurable as covariance between the data. PCA retrieves an ordered list of orthonormal axes that retain the most variance, namely, the principal components.

Given a set of n observed d -dimensional data vectors $\mathbf{X} = \{\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n\}$, $\mathbf{x}_i = [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d]$, the q principal axes \mathbf{w}_j , $j \in 1, \dots, q$, are the orthonormal axes which retain maximal variance. The vectors \mathbf{w}_j are given by the q dominant eigenvectors by corresponding eigenvalues λ_j of the sample covariance matrix $S = \sum_n (\mathbf{t}_n - \bar{\mathbf{t}})(\mathbf{t}_n - \bar{\mathbf{t}})^T / n$, where $\bar{\mathbf{t}}$ is the data sample mean, such that $\mathbf{S}\mathbf{w}_j = \lambda_j \mathbf{w}_j$. The q principal components of the observed data \mathbf{t}_n are given by the vector $\mathbf{x}_n = \mathbf{W}^T(\mathbf{t}_n - \bar{\mathbf{t}})$, where $\mathbf{W} = (\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2, \dots, \mathbf{w}_q)$. The variables x_j are independent such that the covariance matrix $\sum_n \mathbf{x}_n \mathbf{x}_n^T / d$ is diagonal with elements λ_j .

2.1.1 Single Value Decomposition of \mathbf{X}

Principal component analysis is equivalent to the singular value decomposition (SVD) of \mathbf{X} , $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Sigma}\mathbf{V}^T$.

2.2 Probabilistic Principal Component Analysis

A limitation of standard PCA is the lack of a probabilistic solution. Tipping and Bishop introduced a probabilistic principal component analysis by constraining a latent variable model to be effectively equivalent when its marginal likelihood is maximised. [12]

2.2.1 Latent Variable Models and Factor Analysis

A latent variable model transforms a set of n d -dimensional observed variables, $\mathbf{Y} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$, to a set of q -dimensional latent (unobserved) variables, $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times q}$. Latent variables are parsimonious, it is generally the case that $q \ll d$, explaining the original data with fewer variables. A notable latent variable model is that of factor analysis, one that assumes linearity in relation of the observed data set.

$$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{W}\mathbf{X} + \mu + \epsilon \quad (2.1)$$

\mathbf{W} represents a matrix that specifies the linear relation between the observed data-space with the latent-space. The parameter μ allows for non-zero mean, and the ϵ parameter represents noise within the model.

2.2.2 Relation of Factor Analysis and PCA

The standard PCA does not differentiate between covariance and variance of the data. Factor analysis latent variables explain the correlations between the variables observed, ϵ_i represents variability of \mathbf{y}_i . The maximum-likelihood estimates of \mathbf{W} will thus generally not correspond to the principal subspace.

In probabilistic PCA, the parameter ϵ of equation 2.1 is modelled as an isotropic, spherical Gaussian distribution $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I})$. We obtain the conditional probability distribution:

$$p(\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}) \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{W}\mathbf{X} + \mu, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}). \quad (2.2)$$

The marginal distribution over the latent variables are standard Gaussian, defined as $\mathbf{X} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})$. The marginal distribution for the observed data \mathbf{Y} is obtained by integrating out the latent variables,

$$\mathbf{Y} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \mathbf{C}).$$

The observation covariance model is $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{W}\mathbf{W}^T + \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}$. The corresponding log-likelihood is

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{n}{2} (d \ln(2\pi) + \ln|\mathbf{C}| + \text{tr}(\mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{S})), \quad (2.3)$$

$$\mathbf{S} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\mathbf{y}_i - \mu)(\mathbf{y}_i - \mu)^T$$

2.2.3 Probabilistic PCA

Consider a set of n centred d -dimensional data $\mathbf{Y} = \{\mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{y}_2, \dots, \mathbf{y}_n\}$. For each observed data point, $1 \leq i \leq n$, there is an associated q -dimensional latent variable \mathbf{x}_i .

The original data can be represented in terms of the latent variable with noise value,

$$\mathbf{y}_i = \mathbf{W}\mathbf{x}_i + \epsilon_i.$$

The matrix $\mathbf{W} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times q}$ represents the linear relationship between the latent-space with the data-space. The noise values, $\epsilon_n \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times 1}$, are sampled as independent spherical Gaussian distributions defined by $p(\epsilon_i) \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \beta^{-1} \mathbf{I})$.

Using the properties of Gaussian distribution, the likelihood of a data point is thus

$$p(\mathbf{y}_i|\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{W}, \beta) \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{y}_i|\mathbf{W}\mathbf{x}_i, \beta^{-1} \mathbf{I}). \quad (2.4)$$

Integrating over the latent variables gives the marginal likelihood,

$$p(\mathbf{y}_i|\mathbf{W}, \beta) = \int p(\mathbf{y}_i|\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{W}, \beta) p(\mathbf{x}_i) d\mathbf{x}_i.$$

As the prior of probabilistic PCA is modelled as a standard Gaussian distribution, $p(\mathbf{x}_i) \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_i|\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})$, marginalisation of the integral obtains the marginal likelihood of each data point as

$$p(\mathbf{y}_i|\mathbf{W}, \beta) \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{y}_i|\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{W}\mathbf{W}^T + \beta^{-1} \mathbf{I}).$$

Assuming that the data points are independent, the likelihood of the full data set is the product of each marginal likelihood,

$$p(\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{W}, \beta) = \prod_{i=1}^N p(\mathbf{y}_i|\mathbf{W}, \beta).$$

2.2.4 The Principal Subspace of PPCA

Tipping and Bishop[12] showed that all potential solutions for \mathbf{W} , the likelihood (2.3), is of the form

$$\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{U}_q (\mathbf{K}_q - \sigma^2 \mathbf{I})^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{R}.$$

One particular case of interest is when the likelihood is maximised,

$$\mathbf{W}_{ML} = \mathbf{U}_q (\Lambda_q - \sigma^2 \mathbf{I})^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{R}. \quad (2.5)$$

The \mathbf{U}_q matrix contains the column vectors that are the principal eigenvectors, $\Lambda_q = [\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_q]$ represents the diagonal matrix of the corresponding eigenvalues, and \mathbf{R} represent an arbitrary orthogonal rotation matrix.

Maximising the likelihood of \mathbf{W} by equation 2.5 on the latent variable model defined by equation 2.1 maps the latent-space to the principal subspace of the observed data. Selecting \mathbf{W}_{ML} , the latent variable model is effectively equivalent to standard principal component analysis.

2.2.5 Maximum-Likelihood PCA

$\Lambda_q = [\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_q]$.

The matrix \mathbf{U}_q may contain any of the eigenvectors of \mathbf{S} , so to identify those which maximise the likelihood, the expression for \mathbf{W} in (15) is substituted into the log-likelihood function (4) to give

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{n}{2}(d \ln(2\pi) + \sum_{j=1}^{q'} \ln(\lambda_j) + \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{j=q'+1}^d \lambda_j + (d - q') \ln \sigma^2 + q')$$

Where q' is the number of non-zero l_j , $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{q'}$ are eigenvalues corresponding to the eigenvectors 'retained' in \mathbf{W} , and $\lambda_{q'+1}, \dots, \lambda_d$ are those 'discarded'. Maximising (17, L) with respect to σ^2 gives

$$\sigma^2 = \frac{1}{d - q'} \sum_{j=q'+1}^d \lambda_j$$

so

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{n}{2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{q'} \ln(\lambda_j) + (d - q') \ln \left(\frac{1}{d - q'} \sum_{j=q'+1}^d \lambda_j \right) + d \ln(2\pi) + d \right)$$

To find the maximum of (19, L), with respect to the choice of eigenvectors and eigenvalues to retain in \mathbf{W} , and those to discard. By exploiting the constancy of the sum of all eigenvalues, the condition for maximisation of the likelihood can be expressed equivalently as minimisation of the quantity

$$E = \ln \left(\frac{1}{d - q'} \sum_{j=q'+1}^d \lambda_j \right) - \frac{1}{d - q'} \sum_{j=q'+1}^d \ln(\lambda_j)$$

which only depends on the discarded values and is non-negative (Jensen's equality). Interestingly, the minimisation of E leads only to the requirement that discarded λ_j be adjacent within the spectrum of ordered eigenvalues of \mathbf{S} . However, equation (14) requires that $\lambda_j > \sigma^2, \forall j \in 1, \dots, q'$, so from equation (18), we can deduce that the smallest eigenvalue must be discarded. This is now sufficient to show that E must be minimised when $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{q'}$ are the smallest $d - q'$ eigenvalues, and so the likelihood of L maximised is when $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{q'}$ are the largest eigenvalues of \mathbf{S} .

L is maximised with respect to q' when there are fewest terms in the sums in (20, E) which occurs when $q' = q$ and therefore no l_j is zero. Furthermore, L is minimised when $\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{0}$, which is equivalent to the case where $q' = 0$.

****Show equivalent to SVD of \mathbf{X}**

2.3 Gaussian Process Latent Variable Model

The Gaussian Process Latent Variable Model (GP-LVM) is a non-linear latent variable model derived from a dual of the probabilistic PCA by replacing the inner product kernel with Gaussian processes (Lawrence 2005) [10]. The use of an inner product kernel that allows for non-linear functions enable a non-linear embedding of the data-space to obtain a lower dimension latent-space.

2.3.1 Dual Probabilistic PCA

The dual probabilistic PCA marginalises the parameters, \mathbf{W} , and optimises with respect to latent variables, \mathbf{X} . This is the dual approach of the standard probabilistic PCA where the parameters are optimised and the latent variables are marginalised.

A conjugate prior to the likelihood of probabilistic PCA (2.4) is a spherical Gaussian distribution

$$p(\mathbf{W}) = \prod_{i=1}^d \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w}_i | \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}),$$

Marginalisation of both \mathbf{W} and \mathbf{X} is intractable. The parameters, \mathbf{W} , is selected for marginalisation as the conjugate prior is a Gaussian distribution that can be analytically integrated. The marginalised likelihood of \mathbf{W} is

$$p(\mathbf{Y} | \mathbf{X}, \beta) = \prod_{i=1}^d p(\mathbf{y}_{:,i} | \mathbf{x}_i, \beta),$$

where $\mathbf{y}_{:,i}$ represents the i^{th} column of \mathbf{Y} and

$$p(\mathbf{y}_{:,i}|\mathbf{X}, \beta) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{y}_{:,i}|\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}^T + \beta^{-1}\mathbf{I}).$$

The objective function is the log-likelihood

$$L = -\frac{dn}{2}\ln 2\pi - \frac{d}{2}\ln|\mathbf{K}| - \frac{1}{2}\text{tr}(\mathbf{K}^{-1}\mathbf{Y}\mathbf{Y}^T) \quad (2.6)$$

where

$$\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}^T + \beta^{-1}\mathbf{I}.$$

The gradients of the log-likelihood (2.6) with respect to \mathbf{X} may be found (Magnus and Neudecker, 1999) as

$$\frac{\sigma L}{\sigma \mathbf{X}} = \mathbf{K}^{-1}\mathbf{Y}\mathbf{Y}^T\mathbf{K}^{-1}\mathbf{X} - d\mathbf{K}^{-1}\mathbf{X},$$

a fixed point where the gradients are zero is then given by

$$\frac{1}{d}\mathbf{Y}\mathbf{Y}^T\mathbf{K}^{-1}\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{X}.$$

The values for \mathbf{X} which maximise the likelihood are given by

$$\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{L}\mathbf{V}^T$$

\mathbf{U} is an $n \times q$ matrix whose columns are the first eigenvectors of $\mathbf{Y}\mathbf{Y}^T$. \mathbf{L} is a $q \times q$ diagonal matrix whose j^{th} element is $l_j = (\lambda_j - \frac{1}{\beta})^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ where λ_j is the eigenvalue associated with the j^{th} eigenvector $d^{-1}\mathbf{Y}\mathbf{Y}^T$ and \mathbf{V} is an arbitrary $q \times q$ rotation matrix. The eigenvalue problem developed here is equivalent to the eigenvalue problem solved in standard PCA when the eigenvalues are ordered by magnitude, with the largest eigenvalues first.

Dual probabilistic PCA assumes that the output dimensions are linear, independent, and identically distributed. Infringing upon these assumptions derive new probabilistic models.

2.3.2 Gaussian Processes

Gaussian processes (O'Hagan, 1992; Williams, 1998) are a class of probabilistic models which specify distribution over function spaces. Gaussian processes work over infinite dimensions, however, they are processes that approximate within a finite range as opposed to functions.

A Gaussian process first requires specifying a prior, parametrised by a mean and covariance. A simple prior over the space of functions that are linear but corrupted by Gaussian noise of variance $\beta^{-1}\mathbf{I}$ is

$$k(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j) = \mathbf{x}_i^T \mathbf{x}_j + \beta^{-1}\delta_{ij}. \quad (2.7)$$

\mathbf{x}_i and \mathbf{x}_j are vectors from the space of inputs to the function and δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta. If these inputs were taken from our embedding matrix, \mathbf{X} , and the covariance function was evaluated at each of the N points, we would recover the covariance matrix of the form

$$\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}^T + \beta^{-1}\mathbf{I}$$

where the element at i^{th} row and j^{th} column of \mathbf{K} is given by the prior (2.7). This is recognised as the covariance associated with each factor of the marginal likelihood for dual probabilistic PCA. The marginal likelihood for dual probabilistic PCA is therefore a product of d independent Gaussian processes. In PCA we are optimising parameters and input positions of a Gaussian process prior distribution where the (linear) covariance function for each dimension is given by \mathbf{K} .

2.4 Bayesian Gaussian Process Latent Variable Model

The Bayesian Gaussian Process Latent Variable Model (Bayesian GP-LVM) [13] extends the GP-LVM by variationally integrating out the input variables of the Gaussian process to approximate the marginal likelihood of a fully marginalised model. The approximated marginal likelihood can be used to compute a lower bound that is robust to overfitting. A fully marginalised model establishes a Bayesian perspective that copes well with uncertainty caused by missing data and can automatically determine latent dimensions within the observed data set.

The marginalised likelihood of the GPLVM can be represented in the form

$$p(\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}) = \prod_{i=1}^d p(\mathbf{y}_{:,i}|\mathbf{X})$$

where $\mathbf{y}_{:,i}$ represents the i^{th} column of \mathbf{Y} and

$$p(\mathbf{y}_{:,i}|\mathbf{X}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{y}_{:,i}|\mathbf{0}, K_{nn} + \beta^{-1}\mathbf{I}_n).$$

K_{nn} is the $n \times n$ covariance matrix defined by the kernel function $k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}')$. The latent variable \mathbf{X} is assigned a prior density given by the standard Gaussian distribution,

$$p(\mathbf{X}) = \prod_{i=1}^n \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_i|\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}_Q).$$

Each \mathbf{x}_i is the i^{th} row of \mathbf{X} . The joint probability model for the GP-LVM is

$$p(\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{X}) = p(\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X})p(\mathbf{X})$$

The standard GP-LVM method trains by finding the MAP estimate of \mathbf{X} whilst jointly maximizing with respect to the hyperparameters. Bayesian GP-LVM performs variational inference to marginalise the latent variables. This method enables optimisation of the resulting lower bound on the marginal likelihood with respect to the hyperparameters.

2.4.1 Variational Inference

In order to apply variational Bayesian methods to GP-LVM, the latent/input variables that appear non-linearly must first be approximately integrated out.

The marginal likelihood of the observed data is obtained by integrating out the latent variables:

$$p(\mathbf{Y}) = \int p(\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X})p(\mathbf{X})d\mathbf{X}.$$

Computationally, this integration is intractable in practice. Variational Bayesian methods can instead be used by using variational distribution $q(\mathbf{X})$ to approximate the posterior distribution over the latent variables, $p(\mathbf{X}|\mathbf{Y})$.

$$q(\mathbf{X}) = \prod_{i=1}^n \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_i|\mu_{\mathbf{n}}, \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{n}}).$$

The variational parameters are $\{\mu_{\mathbf{n}}, \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{n}}\}_{\mathbf{i}=1}^n$ and $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{n}}$ is a diagonal covariance matrix.

The variational distribution can then be used to obtain a Jensen's lower bound on $\log p(\mathbf{Y})$:

$$\begin{aligned} F(q) &= \int q(\mathbf{X}) \log \frac{p(\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X})p(\mathbf{X})}{q(\mathbf{X})} d\mathbf{X} \\ &= \int q(\mathbf{X}) \log p(\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X})p(\mathbf{X}) d\mathbf{X} - \int q(x) \log \frac{q(X)}{p(X)} dX \\ &= \tilde{F}(q) - KL(q||p). \end{aligned}$$

The $KL(q||p)$ term is the negative KL divergence between the variational posterior distribution $q(X)$ and the prior distribution $p(X)$ over the latent variables. Since the distributions are Gaussian, the negative KL divergence is tractable. The problematic term is $\tilde{F}(q)$, where variational sparse Gaussian process regression is applied for approximation.

2.5 Kernel Methods

2.5.1 Kernel Basics

2.5.2 RBF

2.5.3 Exponential

2.5.4 Combining Kernels

2.6 Formal Definition of 3D Polygon Mesh Representation

Polygon mesh representation of 3D surfaces are composed of vertices, edges, and faces. Let polygon mesh $P = (\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{F})$, where $\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{F}$ represents the set of vertices, edges, and faces respectively. In practice, polygonal meshes contain more components that affect surface appearance such as texture coordinates and vertex normals, however, the components described are sufficient for geometric processing.

2.6.1 Mesh Vertices

A mesh vertex v is a 3D point of the form $\forall (x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}, v = (x, y, z)$. The set of vertices is a point cloud representation of the geometry.

2.6.2 Edges

An edge e is an unordered pair that connects two vertices. Formally, it is described in the form $\forall (v_1, v_2) \in \mathbf{V}, e = \{v_1, v_2\}$. Vertices connected by edges form a wireframe of the geometry.

2.6.3 Polygon Faces

A polygon face can be formed from an arbitrary number of vertices $\forall (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n) \in \mathbf{V}, f_n = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)$, however, in this context we are only concerned with tri-faces $\forall (v_1, v_2, v_3) \in \mathbf{V}, f_3 = (v_1, v_2, v_3)$ and quad-faces $\forall (v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4) \in \mathbf{V}, f_4 = (v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4)$. Faces describe the geometric surface of an object.

2.6.4 Edge Loops

3D programs often allow edge loop selection which are useful properties of the geometry. An edge loop is defined (on blender) as a set of connected edges that either forms a loop or the end vertices are poles (vertices that do not have edges). Edge loops are useful for extracting more information on the structure of the mesh. [11]

2.6.5 Topology

Tris, quads, poles, etc

2.7 Graph Theory

Chapter 3

Project Execution

3.1 Example

foo

Figure 3.1: This is an example figure.

foo	bar	baz
0	0	0

Table 3.1: This is an example table.

```
for  $i = 0$  upto  $n$  do
|  $t_i \leftarrow 0$ 
end
```

Algorithm 3.1: This is an example algorithm.

```
for( i = 0; i < n; i++ ) {
t[ i ] = 0;
}
```

Listing 3.1: This is an example listing.

3.2 Project Management

Note that it is common to include evidence of “best practice” project management (e.g., use of version control, choice of programming language and so on). Rather than simply a rote list, make sure any such content is useful and/or informative in some way: for example, if there was a decision to be made then explain the trade-offs and implications involved.

3.2.1 Source Control

Git with atomic change descriptions. Branches, conflict resolution by merges, roll-backs. Cloud storage.

3.2.2 Time-line

Calender. Internal Deadlines. Trello for notes

3.3 Acquiring Training Data

The Sims Resource

3.4 Pre-processing Phase

Tris to Quad

3.5 Generative Model of Hair

Structure of splines from points

3.6 Approximating Input Training Data to Generative Model

Algorithms of approximation

3.7 Learning a Manifold with Bayesian GP-LVM

Training Kernels

3.8 Generation of Output

Blender

3.9 Reparation

Normals, clusters, intersections

Chapter 4

Critical Evaluation

A topic-specific chapter, of roughly 15 pages

This chapter is intended to evaluate what you did. The content is highly topic-specific, but for many projects will have flavours of the following:

1. functional testing, including analysis and explanation of failure cases,
2. behavioural testing, often including analysis of any results that draw some form of conclusion wrt. the aims and objectives, and
3. evaluation of options and decisions within the project, and/or a comparison with alternatives.

This chapter often acts to differentiate project quality: even if the work completed is of a high technical quality, critical yet objective evaluation and comparison of the outcomes is crucial. In essence, the reader wants to learn something, so the worst examples amount to simple statements of fact (e.g., “graph X shows the result is Y”); the best examples are analytical and exploratory (e.g., “graph X shows the result is Y, which means Z; this contradicts [1], which may be because I use a different assumption”). As such, both positive *and* negative outcomes are valid *if* presented in a suitable manner.

Chapter 5

Conclusion

A compulsory chapter, of roughly 5 pages

The concluding chapter of a dissertation is often underutilised because it is too often left too close to the deadline: it is important to allocation enough attention. Ideally, the chapter will consist of three parts:

1. (Re)summarise the main contributions and achievements, in essence summing up the content.
2. Clearly state the current project status (e.g., “X is working, Y is not”) and evaluate what has been achieved with respect to the initial aims and objectives (e.g., “I completed aim X outlined previously, the evidence for this is within Chapter Y”). There is no problem including aims which were not completed, but it is important to evaluate and/or justify why this is the case.
3. Outline any open problems or future plans. Rather than treat this only as an exercise in what you *could* have done given more time, try to focus on any unexplored options or interesting outcomes (e.g., “my experiment for X gave counter-intuitive results, this could be because Y and would form an interesting area for further study” or “users found feature Z of my software difficult to use, which is obvious in hindsight but not during at design stage; to resolve this, I could clearly apply the technique of Smith [7]”).

Bibliography

- [1] N. D. Campbell and J. Kautz. *Learning a manifold of fonts*. ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG) 33, 4, 91., 2014.
- [2] Shaq T.-Wu H. Weng Y. Chai, M. and K Zhou. *AutoHair: Fully automatic hair modeling from a single image*. ACM Trans. Graph. 35, 4 (July), 116:1116:12, 2016.
- [3] [ML] from <http://opus.bath.ac.uk/41075/>.
- [4] [Procedural2] <http://hpcg.purdue.edu/papers/Smelik14CGF.pdf>.
- [5] [Procedural1] <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/stamp/stamp.jsp?arnumber=6363215>.
- [6] [PCA 1901] <http://stat.smmu.edu.cn/history/pearson1901.pdf>.
- [7] [TSR] <https://www.thesimsresource.com/>.
- [8] [MRI] <http://www.stat.columbia.edu/martin/Papers/STS282.pdf>.
- [9] I. T. Jolliffe. *Principal Component Analysis*. Springer Series in Statistics, Springer-Verlag, 2nd edition, 2002.
- [10] N. D. Lawrence. *Probabilistic non-linear principal component analysis with Gaussian process latent variable models*. The Journal of Machine Learning Research 6, 17831816, 2005.
- [11] [Edge loops] [https://sites.ualberta.ca/~cwant/blender/derived surfaces.pdf](https://sites.ualberta.ca/~cwant/blender/derived%20surfaces.pdf).
- [12] M. E. Tipping and C. M. Bishop. *Probabilistic Principal Component Analysis*. Microsoft Research, Cambridge, UK, 1998.
- [13] M. K. Titsias and N. D. Lawrence. *Bayesian Gaussian Process Latent Variable Model*. AISTATS. Vol. 9, 2010.

Appendix A

An Example Appendix

Content which is not central to, but may enhance the dissertation can be included in one or more appendices; examples include, but are not limited to

- lengthy mathematical proofs, numerical or graphical results which are summarised in the main body,
- sample or example calculations, and
- results of user studies or questionnaires.

Note that in line with most research conferences, the marking panel is not obliged to read such appendices.