## CDAP Assessment Instruments (Viva) - 2016

	Excellent (15-12)	Good (11-9)	Average (8-6)	Below Average (5-0)
LO1 15%	<ul> <li>e1. A clear gap has been identified by doing a thorough literature survey</li> <li>e2. Literature survey comprised with literature from various sources such as books, journal papers, conference proceedings, magazines, and websites</li> <li>e3. Student has a very clear idea about the problem, methodology and the solution</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>g1. A gap has been identified by a literature survey</li> <li>g2. Literature survey comprised with limited resources such as conference papers, magazines, and websites</li> <li>g3. Student has a clear idea about the problem, methodology and the solution</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>a1. Well aware of the features of current systems</li> <li>a2. Literature survey is based on few conference papers and websites</li> </ul>	b1. Awareness of the features of current systems are poor.
	Excellent (25-20)	Good (19-15)	Average (14-10)	Below Average (9-0)
LO2 25%	<ul> <li>e1. Student has a very good idea about the domain of the problem that they have tried to find out the solution. (Ex: NLP, Mobile, Knowledge representation, augmented reality etc.)</li> <li>e2. Students already have the background knowledge and familiarity about specified area.</li> <li>e3. Student has evaluated different tools and techniques that can be used in the solution</li> <li>e4. There is a clear system overview with justified tools and techniques that are used.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>g1. Students have a good idea about the area they have used. (Ex: NLP, Mobile, Knowledge representation, augmented reality etc.)</li> <li>g2. Students have the knowledge and familiarity about the area.</li> <li>g3. Student knows few different tools and techniques that can be used in the solution</li> <li>g4. There is a system overview with tools and techniques.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>a1. Student has good knowledge about the area.</li> <li>a2. Student has knowledge about the tools and techniques that are going to use in the solution.</li> <li>a3. There is a system overview.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>b1. Student just know the area, but unable to comprehend how it was used</li> <li>b2. Student was unable to comprehend the tools and techniques used in the system</li> <li>b3. System overview has gaps that student was unable to described</li> </ul>
	Excellent (25-20)	Good (19-15)	Average (14-10)	Below Average (9-0)
LO3	• <b>e1.</b> Justifiable framework with proper understanding.	• <b>g1.</b> Framework with good understanding.	• <b>a1.</b> Acceptable framework with understanding.	<ul><li>b1. No framework</li><li>b2. Can't satisfy with the</li></ul>

25%	e2. Excellent understanding about individual functionality and clear work breakdown structure.	• g2. Good understanding about individual functionality.	a2. Some idea about the individual functions but need to revise.	individual functionality.
	Excellent (25-20)	Good (19-15)	Average (14-10)	Below Average (9-0)
LO4 25%	<ul> <li>e1. Proposed system was explained in the context, with logical discussion of the topic and connection between individual presentations were clearly shown.</li> <li>e2. The student did not speak too long, managed his time effectively.</li> <li>e3. The student used expressive, appropriate body language and maintained eye contact with the audience.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>g1. Clear well-structured and accurate explanation of system.</li> <li>g2. Students managed time effectively, however audience engagement was minimal.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>a1. System was explained in brief.</li> <li>a2. Lack of confidence or read from script.</li> <li>a3. Some professional practice shown, sources are cited and referenced.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>b1. Explanation of the system was unclear, audience confused.</li> <li>b2. Explanation unorganized and not relevant to project.</li> <li>b3. Difficult to understand the main features of the system.</li> </ul>
	Excellent (10-8)	Good (7-6)	Average (5-4)	Below Average (3-0)
LO5 10%	<ul> <li>e1. Has sound evidence to prove business proposition of the system with the appropriate areas.</li> <li>e2. Clearly shown the achievable user benefits of the systems.</li> <li>e3. Could evaluate the system against alternative /similar systems.</li> <li>e4. Has proper marketing plan</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>g1. Good evidence to prove business proposition of the system with the appropriate areas.</li> <li>g2. Some evidence to evaluate the system against alternative systems.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>a1. Acceptable evidence to prove business proposition of the system with the appropriate areas.</li> <li>a2. Little awareness of alternatives leads to poor evaluation against those.</li> </ul>	b1. No understanding of business proposition.