
Autonomous driving (case study)

LATEST SUBMISSION GRADE

100%

1. To help you practice strategies for machine learning, in this week we'll present another scenario and ask how you would 1/1 point act. We think this "simulator" of working in a machine learning project will give a task of what leading a machine learning

You are employed by a startup building self-driving cars. You are in charge of detecting road signs (stop sign, pedestrian crossing sign, construction ahead sign) and traffic signals (red and green lights) in images. The goal is to recognize which of these objects appear in each image. As an example, the above image contains a pedestrian crossing sign and red traffic



Your 100,000 labeled images are taken using the front-facing camera of your car. This is also the distribution of data you care most about doing well on. You think you might be able to get a much larger dataset off the internet, that could be helpful for training even if the distribution of internet data is not the same.

You are just getting started on this project. What is the first thing you do? Assume each of the steps below would take about an equal amount of time (a few days)

- O Spend a few days getting the internet data, so that you understand better what data is available.
- Spend a few days training a basic model and see what mistakes it makes.
- O Spend a few days checking what is human-level performance for these tasks so that you can get an accurate
- O Spend a few days collecting more data using the front-facing camera of your car, to better understand how much data per unit time you can collect.

✓ Correct

As discussed in lecture, applied ML is a highly iterative process. If you train a basic model and carry out error analysis (see what mistakes it makes) it will help point you in more promising directions.

Your goal is to detect road signs (stop sign, pedestrian crossing sign, construction ahead sign) and traffic signals (red and
green lights) in images. The goal is to recognize which of these objects appear in each image. You plan to use a deep
neural network with ReLU units in the hidden layers.

For the output layer, a softmax activation would be a good choice for the output layer because this is a multi-task learning

○ True

False

✓ Correct

Softmax would be a good choice if one and only one of the possibilities (stop sign, speed bump, pedestrian crossing, green light and red light) was present in each image.

3. You are carrying out error analysis and counting up what errors the algorithm makes. Which of these datasets do you think you should manually go through and carefully examine, one image at a time?

- 10,000 images on which the algorithm made a mistake
- (a) 500 images on which the algorithm made a mistake
- 500 randomly chosen images
- 10,000 randomly chosen images

✓ Correct

Focus on images that the algorithm got wrong. Also, 500 is enough to give you a good initial sense of the error statistics. There's probably no need to look at 10,000, which will take a long time

4. After working on the data for several weeks, your team ends up with the following data:

1/1 point

- · 100,000 labeled images taken using the front-facing camera of your car.
- 900,000 labeled images of roads downloaded from the internet.
- $\bullet \ \ \, \text{Each image's labels precisely } \underline{\text{indicate the presence of any specific road signs and traffic signals or combinations of}}$

means the image contains a stop sign and a red traffic light.

Because this is a multi-task learning problem, you need to have all your $y^{(i)}$ vectors fully labeled. If one example is equal

then the learning algorithm will not be able to use that example. True/False?

○ True

You decide to focus on the deviset and check by hand what are the errors due to. Here is a table summarizing your discoveries: 1 / 1 point

Overall dev set error	15.3%
Errors due to incorrectly labeled data	4.1%
Errors due to foggy pictures	8.0%
Errors due to rain drops stuck on your car's front-facing camera	2.2%
Errors due to other causes	1.0%

In this table, 4.1%, 8.0%, etc. are a fraction of the total dev set (not just examples your algorithm mislabeled). For example, about 8.0/15.3 = 52% of your errors are due to foggy pictures.

The results from this analysis implies that the team's highest priority should be to bring more foggy pictures into the training set so as to address the 8.0% of errors in that category. True/False?

Additional Note: there are subtle concepts to consider with this question, and you may find arguments for why some answers are also correct or incorrect. We recommend that you spend time reading the feedback for this quiz, to understand what issues that you will want to consider when you are building your own machine learning project.

	True because it is the largest category of errors. We should always prioriti:	ze the largest category of error as this will		
	make the best use of the team's time. True because it is greater than the other error categories added together (8.0 > 4.1+2.2+1.0).			
(False because it depends on how easy it is to add foggy data. If foggy data is very hard and costly to collect, it might			
	not be worth the team's effort.			
	First start with the sources of error that are least costly to fix.			
	Correct correct: feedback: This is the correct answer. You should consider the and potential improvement of your model trained on this additional de- and potential improvement.			
В	You can buy a specially designed windshield wiper that help wipe off some of the Based on the table from the previous question, which of the following statemer 2.2% would be a reasonable estimate of the maximum amount this windsh	nts do you agree with?	1/1 point	
	2.2% would be a reasonable estimate of the minimum amount this windsh	ield wiper could improve performance.		
	2.2% would be a reasonable estimate of how much this windshield wiper v			
	2.2% would be a reasonable estimate of how much this windshield wiper could worsen performance in the worst case.			
	Correct Yes. You will probably not improve performance by more than 2.2% by dataset was infinitely big. 2.2% would be a perfect estimate of the impr a specially designed windshield wiper that removes the raindrops.			
	You decide to use data augmentation to address foggy images. You find 1,000 pictures of fog off the internet, and "add" (them to clean images to synthesize foggy days, like this:			
	image from foggy image from front-facing camera the internet	synthesized foggy image		
	THE INCOME.	loggy mage		
		125		
	+	=		
W	Which of the following statements do you agree with? Adding synthesized images that look like real foggy pictures taken from the	e front-facing camera of your car to		
	training dataset won't help the model improve because it will introduce avi			
	There is little risk of overfitting to the 1,000 pictures of fog so long as you are combing it with a much larger (>>1,000) of clean/non-foggy images. So long as the synthesized fog looks realistic to the human eye, you can be confident that the synthesized data is accurately capturing the distribution of real foggy images (or a subset of it), since human vision is very accurate for the problem you're solving.			
(
	Correct Yes. If the synthesized images look realistic, then the model will just se to identify road signs and traffic signals in a foggy weather. I will very li			
	After working further on the problem, you've decided to correct the incorrectly statements do you agree with? (Check all that apply).	labeled data on the dev set. Which of these	1/1 point	
~	You should also correct the incorrectly labeled data in the test set, so that if from the same distribution	the dev and test sets continue to come		
	Correct Yes because you want to make sure that your dev and test data come is algorithm to make your team's iterative development process is efficient.			
	You should correct incorrectly labeled data in the training set as well so as more different from your dev set.			
	You should not correct the incorrectly labeled data in the test set, so that to from the same distribution	he dev and test sets continue to come		
~	You do not necessarily need to fix the incorrectly labeled data in the training set distribution to differ from the deviand test sets. Note that it is importal same distribution.			
	✓ Correct True, deep learning algorithms are quite robust to having slightly differ	rent train and dev distributions.		
00	So far your algorithm only recognizes red and green traffic lights. One of your on recognizing a yellow traffic light. (Some countries call it an orange light rath convention of calling it yellow.) Images containing yellow lights are quite rare, a good model. She hopes you can help her out using transfer learning.	er than a yellow light; we'll use the US		
	What do you tell your colleague?			
	She should try using weights pre-trained on your dataset, and fine-tuning f			
	 If she has (say) 10,000 images of yellow lights, randomly sample 10,000 im- her data together. This prevents your dataset from "swamping" the yellow 			
	You cannot help her because the distribution of data you have is different label.			
	Recommend that she try multi-task learning instead of transfer learning us	sing all the data.		

	Correct Yes. You have trained your model on a huge dataset, and she has a small dataset. Although your labels are different, the parameters of your model have been trained to recognize many characteristics of road and traffic images which will be useful for her problem. This is a perfect case for transfer learning, she can start with a model with the same architecture as yours, change what is after the last hidden layer and initialize it with your trained parameters.	
	Another colleague wants to use microphones placed outside the car to better hear if there're other vehicles around you. For example, if there is a police vehicle behind you, you would be able to hear their siren. However, they don't have much to train this audio system. How can you help?	1/1 point
	 Transfer learning from your vision dataset could help your colleague get going faster. Multi-task learning seems significantly less promising. 	
	 Multi-task learning from your vision dataset could help your colleague get going faster. Transfer learning seems significantly less promising. 	
	Either transfer learning or multi-task learning could help our colleague get going faster.	
	Neither transfer learning nor multi-task learning seems promising.	
	Correct Yes. The problem he is trying to solve is quite different from yours. The different dataset structures make it probably impossible to use transfer learning or multi-task learning.	
14.	To recognize red and green lights, you have been using this approach:	1/1 point
	 (A) Input an image (x) to a neural network and have it directly learn a mapping to make a prediction as to whether there's a red light and/or green light (y). 	
	A teammate proposes a different, two-step approach:	
	(B) In this two-step approach, you would first (i) detect the traffic light in the image (if any), then (ii) determine the color of the illuminated lamp in the traffic light.	
	Between these two, Approach B is more of an end-to-end approach because it has distinct steps for the input end and the output end. True/False?	
	○ True	
	False	
	Correct Yes. (A) is an end-to-end approach as it maps directly the input (x) to the output (y).	
15.	Approach A (in the question above) tends to be more promising than approach B if you have a(fill in the blank).	1/1 point
	Large training set	
	Multi-task learning problem.	
	Large bias problem.	
	Problem with a high Bayes error.	
	✓ Correct	
	Yes. In many fields, it has been observed that end-to-end learning works better in practice, but requires a large amount of data.	