Code of Conduct

Commits

A commit shouldn't be too big so as to follow the changes it makes and revert them if needed. (Common sense applies)

A commit must be passing the pipeline (checkstyle included). Mistakes are allowed but expected to be corrected as soon as possible.

Merge Requests

The merge request has to be passing the pipeline, checkstyle included. Exceptions may be made depending on circumstances.

The merge request needs at least two approvals from people who haven't worked on it. They can assign themselves as reviewers but that isn't absolutely necessary.

While not absolutely necessary, comments are encouraged to improve the quality of the code and to make everyone aware of how it works.

Each issue that's being worked on should have its merge request opened, even if it is empty. That is to encourage comments and people tracking progress.

Incomplete merge requests must be marked as drafts and as work in progress.

The issues that a merge request solves should be mentioned in its description.

Issues

Time tracking should be done through the /estimate and /spend commands in either the description or comments of an issue.

Issues that do not contribute anything to the code should be either labeled as "miscellaneous" or "documentation".

Issues that are currently being worked on should have the label titled "in progress" attached.

Issues that are part of the current week's work must have the label titled "This week" attached.

Time-related labels such as the previous two mentioned must be removed once the issue / merge request is resolved.

Issues should only be opened by the person working on them unless they're a collaborative effort. One may assign himself to an issue.

Issues must have attached their proper component and priority labels.

Issues must have proper descriptions detailing exactly what they're supposed to solve.