## 1 A brief overview of the effect-system based encoding of the session-typed $\pi+\lambda$ -calculus into Haskell

The basis of the  $\pi + \lambda$  encoding in Haskell is a graded monad which is used to track session information. This is encoded via the data type:

```
data Session (s :: [*]) a = Session \{ getProcess :: IO a \}
```

This wraps the IO monad in a binary type constructor Session with deconstructor getProcess:: Session s  $a \rightarrow IO$  a and with a tag s used for type-level session information. In practise, we only need getProcess internally, so this can be hidden. We define a type-refined version of getProcess which allows us to run a computation only when the session environment is empty, that is, the process is closed with respect to channels.

```
run :: Session `[] a \rightarrow IO a

run = qetProcess
```

We can therefore run any session which will evaluate everything inside of the *IO* monad and actually performing the communication/spawning/etc.

Type-level session information will take the form of a list of mappings from channel names to session types, written like: ' $[c:\to S, d:\to T, ...]$ . This list will get treated as a set when we compose computations together, that is there are no duplicate mappings of some channel variable c, and the ordering will be normalise (this is a minor point and shouldn't affect too much here).

Session types are defined by the following type constructors:

```
-- Session types data a : ! s data a : ? s data End
```

Duality of session type is then defined as a simple type-level function:

```
type family Dual s where

Dual End = End

Dual (t :! s) = t :? (Dual s)

Dual (t :? s) = t :! (Dual s)
```

We define a (finite) set of channel name symbols *ChanNameSymbol* [this can be generalised away, but for some slightly subtle reasons mostly to do with CloudHaskell internals I have avoided the generalisation for the moment].

```
 \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{data} \ \mathit{ChanNameSymbol} = X \mid Y \mid Z \mid C \mid D \\ \mathbf{data} \ \mathit{ChanName} = \mathit{Ch} \ \mathit{ChanNameSymbol} \mid \mathit{Op} \ \mathit{ChanNameSymbol} \\ \end{array}
```

ChanName thus can describe the dual end of a channel with Op. These are just names for channels. Channels themselves comprise an encapsulated Concurrent Haskell channel [todo: convert to a Cloud Haskell channel]

data Channel  $(n :: ChanName) = forall \ a \circ Channel \ (C.Chan \ a)$  deriving Typeable

## 1.1 $\pi$ -calculus part

We can now define the core primitives for send and receive, which have types:

```
send :: Channel \ c \to t \to Session \ `[\ c :\to t :! \ End]\ ()
recv :: Channel \ c \to Session \ `[\ c :\to t :? \ End]\ t
```

These both take a named channel Channel c and return a Session computation indexed by the session environment ' $[c : \to S]$  where S is either a send or receive action (terminated by End). These can then be composed using the **do**-notation, which sequentially composes session information. For example:

```
data Ping = Ping deriving Show data Pong = Pong deriving Show foo (c :: Channel (Ch C)) = do send c Ping
x \leftarrow recv c
return ((x + 1) :: Int)
```

This function is of type:

```
foo :: Channel (Ch \ C) \rightarrow Session `[Ch \ C :\rightarrow (Ping :! (Int :? End))] Int
```

describing the session channel behaviour for C.

I've given an explicit name to the channel c here via a type signature, which names it as Ch C. This isn't strictly necessary here, but it leads to a huge simplification in the inferred type.

The *new* combinator then models  $\nu$ , which takes a function mapping from a pair of two channels names Ch c and Op C to a session with behaviour s, and creates a session where any mention to Ch c or Op c is removed:

```
new :: (Duality \ s \ c) \Rightarrow ((Channel \ (Ch \ c), Channel \ (Op \ c)) \rightarrow Session \ s \ b) \rightarrow Session \ (Del \ (Ch \ c) \ (Del \ (Op \ c) \ s)) \ b
```

That is, the channels Ch c and Op c are only in scope for Session s b.

The Duality predicate asks whether the session environment s contains dual session types for channel Ch C and its dual Op c.

The session type encoding here is for an asynchronous calculus. In which case, the following is allowed:

```
foo2 = new \ (\lambda(c :: (Channel \ (Ch \ C)), c' :: (Channel \ (Op \ C))) \rightarrow 
do Ping \leftarrow recv \ c'
send \ c \ Ping
return \ ())
```

To use channels properly, we need parallel composition. This is given by:

```
par :: (Disjoint \ s \ t) \Rightarrow Session \ s \ () \rightarrow Session \ t \ () \rightarrow Session \ (UnionS \ s \ t) \ ()
```

The binary predicate Disjoint here checks that s and t do not contain any of the same channels. UnionS takes the disjoint union of the two environments.

We can now define a complete example with communication:

```
server \ c = \mathbf{do} \ Ping \leftarrow recv \ c print \ "Server: \ \mathsf{Got} \ \mathsf{a} \ \mathsf{ping}" process = new \ (\lambda(c,c') \rightarrow par \ (send \ c \ Ping) \ (server \ c'))
```

Which we can run with run process getting "Server: Got a ping". Note that the types here are completely inferred, giving process:: Session '[] ().

## 1.1.1 Delegation

So far we have dealt with only first-order channels (in the sense that they can pass only values and not other channels). We introduce a "delegate" type to wrap the session types of channels being passed:

```
data DelgS s
```

Channels can then be sent with *chSend* primitive:

```
chSend :: Channel \ c \rightarrow Channel \ d \rightarrow Session \ `[c : \rightarrow (DelgS \ s) :! \ End, d : \rightarrow s] \ ()
```

i.e., we can send a channel d with session type s over c.

The dual of this is a little more subtle. Receiving a delegated channel is given by combinator, which is not a straightforward monadic function, but takes a function as an argument:

```
chRecv :: Channel \ c \rightarrow (Channel \ d \rightarrow Session \ s \ a) \rightarrow \\ Session \ (UnionS \ `[c :\rightarrow (DelgS \ (Lookup \ s \ d)) :? \ (Lookup \ s \ c)] \ (Del \ d \ s)) \ a
```

Given a channel c, and a computation which binds channel d to produces behaviour c, then this is provided by receiving d over c. Thus the resulting computation is the union of c mapping to the session type of d in the session environment s, composed with the s but with d deleted (removed).

Here is an example using delegation. Consider the following process server2 which receives a channel d on c, and then seds a ping on it:

```
server2\ c = chRecv\ c
(\lambda(d::Channel\ (Ch\ D)) \rightarrow send\ d\ Ping)
```

(Note, I have had to include explicit types to give a concrete name to the channel d, this is an unfortunate artefact of the current encoding, but not too bad from a theoretical perspect).

The type of *server2* is inferred as:

```
server2::Channel\ c \rightarrow Session\ `[c:\rightarrow(DelgS\ (Ping:!End):?Lookup\ `['Ch\ 'D:\rightarrow(Ping:!End)]\ c)]\ ()
```

We then define a client to interact with this that binds d (and its dual d'), then sends d over c and waits to receive a ping on d'

```
client2 (c:: Channel (Ch C)) =

new (\lambda(d:: (Channel (Ch D)), d') \rightarrow

do \ chSend \ c \ d

Ping \leftarrow recv \ d'

print "Client: got a ping")
```

This has inferred type:

```
client2::Dual\ s \sim (Ping:?End) \Rightarrow Channel\ ('Ch', C) \rightarrow Session' ['Ch', C: \rightarrow (DelgS\ s:!End)]\ ()
```

The type constraint says that the dual of s is a session that receives a Ping, so s is Ping :! End.

We then compose server2 and client2 in parallel, binding the channels c and its dual c' to give to client and server.

```
process2 = new (\lambda(c, c') \rightarrow par (client2 \ c) (server2 \ c'))
```

This type checks and can be then run (run process2) yielding "Client: got a ping".

## 1.2 $\lambda$ -part

Since we are studying the  $\pi + \lambda$ -calculus, we can abstract over channels with linear functions. So far we have abstracted over channels, but not in an *operational sense*- think of this more as let-binding style substitution (cut). We now introduce linear functions which can abstract over channels (and the session types of those channels, which the previous form of abstraction above **doesn't do**, it just abstracts over names, not the session types associated with their names).

First, we abstract functions via a type constructor Abs

```
data Abs t s = Abs (Proxy s) (forall c \circ (Channel c \rightarrow Session (UnionS s `[c : \rightarrow t]) ()))
```

The Abs data constructor takes a function of type forall  $c \circ (Channel\ c \to Session\ (UnionS\ s\ `[c:\to t])\ ())$ , that is, a function from universally quantified channel name c to a Session environment s where  $c:\to t$  is a member). Since UnionS is a non-injective function we also need a (trivial) type annotation that explains exactly what is the remaining channel- this is  $Proxy\ s\ (I'll\ shown\ an$ 

example in the moment). This returns a result  $Abs\ t\ s$  which describes a function which takes some channel with session type t and has session environment s, cf.

$$\frac{\Delta,c:T\vdash C:\Diamond}{\Delta\vdash \lambda c.C:T\multimap \Diamond}$$

This can then be applied by the following primitive

```
appH::Abs\ t\ s\to Channel\ c\to Session\ (UnionS\ s\ `[\ c:\to t])\ () appH\ (Abs\ \_k)\ c=k\ c
```

Thus, given a linear session function  $Abs\ t\ s$  and some channel c then we get a session with environment s and a mapping  $c:\to t$ . Here's an example: a client abstract over a channel, and then applies it within the same process:

```
client4 (c:: Channel (Ch C)) = do
\mathbf{let} \ f = Abs \ (Proxy :: (Proxy `[])) \ (\lambda c \rightarrow send \ c \ Ping)
appH \ f \ c
```

This simply has type  $client4 :: Channel ('Ch', C) \rightarrow Session' ['Ch', C: \rightarrow (Ping: !End)] ().$  We can then interfact with this in a usual straightforwad way.

$$process4 = new (\lambda(c, c') \rightarrow (client4 \ c) \ `par` (\mathbf{do} \{x \leftarrow recv \ c'; print \ x\}))$$