

# S2 - Quiz

**Due** 13 May at 9:00**Points** 100**Questions** 9**Available** 6 May at 13:00 - 13 May at 9:00**Time limit** 30 Minutes

## Instructions

Instructions:

1. You have 30 minutes to attempt the quiz
2. Once you start the quiz, you cannot go back and re-attempt it
3. You will not find answers online, so please make sure you are ready for the quiz
4. For Multiple Answer Questions, ALL the answers must be correct to score any point

Sometimes you might see multiple empty options. Please do not consider those empty options, that's some rendering issue, the options you see are the only options available for that question.

## Attempt history

	Attempt	Time	Score
LATEST	<a href="#">Attempt 1</a>	5 minutes	97.5 out of 100

Score for this quiz: **97.5** out of 100

Submitted 12 May at 10:39

This attempt took 5 minutes.

### Question 1

**10 / 10 pts**

If we perform convolution with a kernel of size 3x3 on 47x49, the output size would be?

- ☐ Convolution cannot be performed on a rectangular channel
- ☐ 45x45

**Correct!**☒ 45x47☐ 47x47**Question 2****7.5 / 10 pts**

Which of these are true, w.r.t. what we discussed in Session

**Correct!**☒ We add as many layers as required to reach full image/object size**Incorrect answer**☐

We normally add padding to keep the output channel size same as the input channel

**Correct!**☒

We nearly always use kernels with stride of 1, unless we need to pool the layers

**Correct!**☒ We always use a kernel with size 3x3**Question 3****10 / 10 pts**

How many 3x3 layers do we need to add to reach a receptive field of 21x21?

☐ 11☐ 9

Correct!

☐ 12☒ 10**Question 4****10 / 10 pts**

Let us assume we have an image of size 100x100. What is the minimum number of **convolution layers** do we need to add such that

1. you cannot use max-pooling without convolving twice or more
2. the output is at least 2-3 convolution layers away from max-pooling
3. You can stop either at 2x2 or 1x1 based on how you have used your layers
4. we will always "not consider" the last rows and columns in an odd-resolution channel while performing max-pooling)
5. "do not" count the max-pooling layer

Correct!

☒ 10☐ 9☐ 11☐ 13**Question 5****10 / 10 pts**

If the input layer has 128 channels, how many kernels do we need to add?

☐ Exactly 128☒ Number of Kernels do not depend on input channels☐ 32

Correct!

☐ 64**Question 6****10 / 10 pts**

Consider the following layers

...

49x49x256 | Convolved with 512 kernels of size 3x3 |

...

What is the total number of kernel parameters we just added?

**Correct!**☒ 1179648☐ 2304☐ 4608☐ 314703872**Question 7****10 / 10 pts**

Consider this network

400x400x3	32x(3x3x3)	
398x398x32	64x(3x3x32)	
396x396x64	128x(3x3x64)	
394x394x128	256x(3x3x128)	
392x392x256	512x(3x3x256)	
390x390x512	1024x(3x3x512)	
MaxPooling(2x2)		

...

Assume this network is trained and we are doing inference on an image. Before we hit the max-pooling layer, how many channels of size more than 350x350 are there in the GPU RAM?

**Correct!**

☐ None of them are correct 

☐ 2016

☒ 2019

☐ 992

☐ 995

**Question 8****15 / 15 pts**

What are the few advantages of using MaxPooling?

**Correct!**

☒ Reduction in Channel Size

**Correct!**

☒ Slight Rotational Invariance

**Correct!**

☒ Slight Translational Invariance

☐ Reduction in Number of Channels

**Question 9****15 / 15 pts**

If we start with an image of 400x400 color, and during a model we use MaxPooling 4 times, reducing the image size to 400>200>100>50 (we used convs with padding, so convs did not reduce the image size), have we lost 4 times the information we started with? At 50x50 we have 1000 channels.

☐ Yes, that's correct, that is what information theory would predict

**Correct!**

No, convs and poolings operation are losing some information, but more importantly, they are "filtering" the information. We do not need full information at the last layer, just the most important one. We are also scaling in Z axis (from 3 to 1000), and it is the increase in z axis where we store this "proposed" lost information.



No, that is incorrect. Since images are 2D we actually had 400x400 units of information, and we ended with 50x50. So total loss is  $400 \times 400 / 50 / 50 = 64$  times



No, that is incorrect. Since image is actually 400x400x3, and we ended at 50x50x1000, we have lost  $400 \times 400 \times 3 / 50 / 50 / 1000 = 0.192$ . So we have actually gained around 5 times more information

Quiz score: **97.5** out of 100