International Relations Class 04

11th January, 2024 at 1:00 PM

BRIEF OVERVIEW OF PREVIOUS CLASS (1:09 PM) INDO-PAK RELATIONS (1:17 PM)

- China angle:
- The Sino-Pak axis in geopolitics is often described by the metaphor 'higher than the mountains' and 'deeper than the oceans'.
- The Chinese angle had created a potential challenge for India in the South Asian Region (SAR).
- · China's interest in Pakistan:
- The involvement of any power with India and Pakistan has been a situation of a **zero-sum** game.
- China has taken these zero-sum game dynamics to achieve its strategic goals
- The US however have now avoided its previous zero-sum character of **US** policy towards India and Pakistan by adopting a **dehyphenated** approach.
- Pakistan is crucial for China, being a zipper state and a doorway to Afghanistan and the Middle East.
 -> Through Pakistan China gets direct entry to Indian ocean through Gwadar port.
- Pakistan is crucial in terms of preventing radical Islamic forces from entering the Xinjiang province.
- Both China and Pakistan have a mutual interest in undercutting India.
- Why China is important for Pakistan?
- 1. China fits in Pakistan's concepts of borrowed power.
- 2. For constraining India (both regionally and globally) for example, by blocking India in NSG.
- 3. China in this regard, has also been providing **Pakistan with conventional and non-conventional nuclear weapons**.
- Pakistan-India-China triangle:
- Because of the above reason a very strong **nexus** is **created between Pakistan** and **China** to counter India's attempt at regional assertions.
- This axis can be manifested in terms of CPEC and other conventional and non-conventional weapon sales. from China to Pakistan.
- This has created ground for India's rapprochement with global powers like the US, UK, Russia and the EU.
- CPEC:
- CPEC is a 3000 km. infrastructural project connecting China's Xinjiang province with Gwadar Port in the Baluchistan area of Pakistan.
- It is a network of railways, highways and pipelines involving energy and infrastructural developmental projects under China's ambitious BRI project
- Implication of CPEC for India:
- 1. It is an attack on India's **territorial sovereignty** since the corridor passes through Indian claimed passes through Gilgit Baltistan region.
- 2. If CPEC turns out to be a success, it will consolidate Pakistan's claims on the disputed Gilgit Baltistan region.
- 3. Once CPEC becomes functional it will offer economic trade routes for Pakistan to the Middle East through Gwadar port. This would impact India's economic presence in the Middle East.
- 4. CPEC will enable Pakistan to stand in competition with India especially in the **textile** and **construction** sector in areas of the **Middle East**.
- 5. CPEC could be considered as a manifestation of China's string of pearl diplomacy since Gwadar port in this project is right now under a 40-year lease to China due to a debt trap. The Gwadar port also provides China access to Indian Ocean Regions (IOR) and helps China overcome the great Malacca dilemma.

Way forward: could be able

 India should continue following its Neighbourhood First policy so that it can establish its own strategic clout in the region.

- India should make efforts to increase its presence in its neighbourhood to challenge Chinese outreach in these regions.
- For example: In South East Asia through the Act East Policy, in Central Asia through the Connect Central Asia Policy and in West Asia through the look-west policy.
- At the same time, we need to increase our collaboration with great powers like the US, UK, EU,
 Japan, Russia and other like-minded countries through forums like G7, G20 BRICS, QUAD,
 ASEAN, etc. (i.e. we adopted the policy of Deterrence by Denial.)
- Major achievements: (Military CBMs)
- 1965 A hotline between DGMOs of both countries. (between India and Pakistan)
- The agreement on the Prohibition of Attack against Nuclear Installations and Facilities was signed in 1988 and ratified in 1990.
- 1991 The Agreement on Advance Notification on Military Exercises, Manoeuvres and Troop Movements was brought into effect in 1991.
- The communication link between the Pakistan Maritime Security Agency and the Indian Coast Guard was established in 2005 to facilitate the early exchange of information.
- Non-Military CBM:
- 1. Delhi-Lahore Bus Service:
- It was initiated in 1999. It was suspended in the aftermath of the 2001 Indian Parliament Attack.
- The bus service was later resumed in 2003 when bilateral relations had improved.
- This service was recently suspended in 2019 in the aftermath of the abrogation of Articles 370 and 35A of the Indian Constitution and continues to be suspended.
- 2. Samjhauta Express: (passenger train service between India and Pakistan launched in 1976 under 1972 Shiml agreement)
- It was launched following the signing of the Shimla Agreement connecting the Pakistani city of Lahore and the Indian town of Attari.
- In 2019, it was suspended after the revocation of the special status of Kashmir.
- 3. Efforts of repatriation of Indians from Pakistan's custody and vice versa.
- 4. Kartarpur Sahib Gurudwara corridor:
- The corridor linking Dera Baba Sahib in India's Gurdaspur with the gurdwara in Pakistan's Kartarpur was thrown open in November 2019 in a historic people-to-people initiative.
- It was shut down due to the Coronavirus pandemic.
- The corridor often dubbed the "Road to Peace" will connect Gurdwara Darbar Sahib in Pakistan's Kartarpur with Dera Baba Nanak shrine in India's Gurdaspur district.



FALLOUT OF LIMITED ENGAGEMENTS (2:39 PM) (i.e. what would happen if we do not engage.)

- Unresolved border disputes
- Limited economic engagements
- Reduced people-people contact leads to increased trust deficits
- Unresolved social issues such as drug trafficking, illegal migrations, fishing men issues etc.
- It leads to an **arms race**, increasing the defence expenditure which is leading to low socioeconomic development.
- Limited engagement provides China with an opportunity to create access to Pakistan thereby challenging India.
- It has led to limited regional integrations, dysfunctionality of SAARC and non-working of SAFTA.

INDIA'S POSITION W.R.T. PAKISTAN (3:06 PM) or present Indian policy towards Pakistan.

- After the 2014 regime change, India adopted the neighbourhood-first policy in the swearingin ceremony of our PM in 2014, the Pakistani counterpart was also invited.
- The Pakistani counterpart was also invited in 2015, Indian PM made a reciprocal visit to Pakistan
 and these two occasions brought the Shawl-Sari diplomacy between India and Pakistan into
 the limelight.
- Traditionally, India and Pakistan have witnessed a slew of diplomacy such as mango diplomacy, cricket diplomacy, soft diplomacy initiatives like student exchange programmes cross-border visits of artist CBM etc.
- However, after the Uri attack (2016) and the Pulwama attack (2019), India's policy towards Pakistan witnessed a strategic shift.
- The position from the engagement was changed to that of isolation.
- Post Uri attack, surgical strikes were conducted from the Indian side which helped India emerge as a hard power, at the same time created a dent in Indo-Pak engagements.
- With regards to Pakistan, we are currently following the Doval doctrine:
- It emphasises a **proactive stance** against **state-sponsored terrorism** and other security threats emanating from Pakistan and other sources.
- The doctrine aims to protect India's national security by adopting a defensive-offensive strategy which means defending ourselves by attacking the place from where the offence is coming.
- For example, surgical strike post Uri attack.
- India had tried a strategy to work on the vulnerabilities of Pakistan.
- For example, Baluchistan, IWT, and Gilgit Baltistan. All these pressure tac-tic that India is using signifies the stand -"respond in the language what your adversary understands"
- As far as Pakistan is concerned it cannot be ignored altogether.
- Since it is an SAR and our immediate neighbour, therefore, India needs to adopt **strategic** patience and **measured response** especially keeping in mind that coercive diplomacy will not always work due to Pakistan's tremendous enduring capacity.
- Also, options regarding soft diplomacy cannot be completely ignored in future.
- **For example**, hydro-diplomacy within IWT could be used in India-creating an off-water grid to address the issue of water scarcity.
- Cultural diplomacy could be encouraged through greater people-people interaction.
- The use of **track II & III diplomacy** such as the opening of the Kartarpur corridor and **even track IV diplomacy for resolving complex issues** needs to be adopted.

Note:

Track Diplomacy:

Track I Diplomacy:

or formal diplomacy it includes

Track 1 diplomacy:

Track 1 diplomacy refers to official government-to-government negotiations, involving high-level officials.

It is the formal and official channel of diplomacy

Track II Diplomacy:

It is also called backchannel diplomacy.

It includes engagement between non-official members or non-government members.

For example- NGOs, Religious groups and Business houses etc

Track 1.5 Diplomacy:

Engagement involves official and non-official members.

Track III Diplomacy:

This includes people-to-people contact.

For example - Cricket diplomacy, exchange between artists, cultural exchange, student exchange etc.

Track 4 Diplomacy:

It is a multi-track diplomacy.

which includes all the above

It is useful for resolving complex issues.

Way Forward:

- At the same time, India should also maintain deterrence by denial which means enhancing our military capabilities to deter the adversary from achieving their aims.
- India should strengthen itself in existing regional organisations like ASEAN, and BIMSTEC to isolate Pakistan diplomatically.
- open rule based
 India is advocating the creation of an open-based order in the Indo-Pacific and is part of the democratic axis within QUAD (US, Japan, Australia and India).
- India should not consider Pakistan as the only important country in South Asia, only when India becomes less Pakistan-centric can reemerge as an Asian leader.
- With reference to Pakistan, there are two options:
- 1. War- which is not a rational option.
- 2. **Peacebuilding-** which appears to be a rational option.
- Therefore, the ultimate solution should be peacebuilding through dialogue and diplomacy since the former option of war is extremely disastrous.
- Faculty discussed how to extract Prelims and Mains-related facts from Newspapers.
- Faculty gave a background to Indo-Bangladesh relations.

THE TOPICS FOR THE NEXT CLASS: INDO-BANGLADESH RELATIONS