## Passive Phase (1922-27)

RACKGROUND: 1922 - NCM WITHDRAWN. 1922-24: M4 in JAIL 1823 Elections Scheduled to LLA All INC leaders Aureed to CDM BUT Differences on ALTERNATIVE Lourse : WITHIN INC, 2 GROUPS EMERGED - SWARAJISTS A No CHANGERS (Ders) PRO Ders / SWARAJISTS: AT GAYA 1922 - PROPOSED PROL OF ENDING CR DAS, ML NEHRU
LAJPAT RAJ, MM MALVIYA or menoing the Councils JUSTIFICATION -: Do PROPAGANDA in Councils + Fill empty NewsPapers Which will Fill Political Void We wont use councies For Demanding GRADUAL REFORMS BUT FOR POLITICAL STRUGGE # Even WITHOUT INC, 1923 Elections will happen t non INC forces will enter Councils which will weaken INC PPL vote in GOOD numbers then LOSS of FACE

for INC.

(+) Br will chaim success of 1919 Reforms

No Ders: My Supporters like PATEL, RAJENDRA PRASAD & By Himanshu Khatri
C RAJA GOPALA CHAR; i.e. those who still supported
Council Boycott & Constructive WORK OF NCM
# Council Entry Will , Neglect of constructive Work
(important to Prevent Another CHAURI
CHAURA)
· LOSS OF REVOLUTIONARY ZEAL.
· POLITICAL CORRUPTION
Continue Non Cooperation & Boycott & Constructive work
LWAIT FOR RELAUNCH OF LDM
This YLEP TO GRail coll
FAILURE OF "END OR MEND COUNCILS" Resolution at GAYA Session 1922
AFTER & WHICH WHICH
Resignation by Swarazists From Official Posts of INC (not INC)
Tresidination or annuality of the fore from the
SWARAJIE FORMED CONG KHILAFAT SWARAJ PARTY & PARTICIPATED
in 1923 elections

SOLN: MG: OPPOSED GUNGIL CHTRY BUT COMPROMISE DBy Himanshu Khatri
Avoided Surat Split: How? What was different From 1907
(G) au accepted mu Leadership: Learnt
FROM SURAT SPLIT THAT UNITY IMPORTANT.
(b) MG STYLE: TRUST & RESPECT TO SWARATISTS
even when anti Swarajiji
(C) Ma Preventeo Br Divide & Rule Success
by supporting SWARAJISTS post Gout Repression
<> BENGAL SWARAJISTS in name of fighting TERROR.
(d) Br 1324 when my Released, Council entry already
Done & WITHDRAWING now of no use.
(F) 153 <sup>®</sup> (S)
MG PRAISED SWARAJISTS for their GOOD WORK
in Councils.
O Councies: SWAR Asists in CLA:
# M NEHRU Demanded New Constitution in 1st Session itself
(401 ACT 1919 HAD Provision OF Constitutional Review after logn
1·e- 1929)
# Demanded Civil Liberty via Repeal of Repressive Laws
# Demanded Concessions for Indian Industry
: DID GREAT WORK in Councils & Filled Political Void

2WARAJISTS	-ves: (G) No support to work in council with By Himanshu Kh
	WORK in The Streets & Relied only on
	Newspapers.
	(b) CR DAS Died in 1925. This was a Blow to
	SWAR AJISTS.
	(C) LOST SUPPORT OF ML DIDNY SUPPORT
	Musulm Tenants cause in Bengal
	(a) Riots in 1924 - to Division on Communal
	Lines in Council
Post Rio	B, Responsivists within SWARAJ PARTY WANTED
# 10	SUPPORT GOUT & WERE <> END OR MEND ATTITUDE
	42 OBSTRUCTING COUNCILS
# :- \	NANTED BY SUPPORT FOR PROTECTION OF HINDU INTERESTS
	i: KAJPAT RAI + MALVIYA
# wer	Le seat kunhry re. Hold Posts wherever possible
- · · · · -	E.C. NC KELKAR, JAYAKAR - joined Executive Guncil
	in Central Provinces
SWARA;	vists Response to Responsiviets a other -ves of
Counci	l Entry:
Ħĺ	Reiterated Support To MASS CDM
( <del>†</del> )	INC Decided to Withdraw From Councils in 1926
	" ALL WITHOREW in 1930 AFTER LAHORE CONGRESS RESOLUTION
	OF 1929 FOR PURNA SWARAS)

tres of	Constructive	WORK
• /		

- 1) WORK TO PARTY (MORE during Politically inactive phase OF 1922-27
- O BUOSTED Unity among community as Upper castes of URBAN AREAS BECAME AWARE OF PROBLEMS OF LOWER OF RURAL URBAN DIVIDE
- 3 TED INC HOLD IN RUNAL AREAS (1) CONSTRUCTIVE WORK
  BECAME MAJOR RECRUITMENT TOOL FOR INC
- (4) CONFIDENCE OF MASSES TED AS FOUND HOPE IN INC,
  FOR THEIR GRIEVANCE REDRESSAL
- S Non Colonial Coucation to masses via Swadeshi education Ted Nationalism.

1922-27: PASSIVE PHASE

Announcement OF Simon Commission (1927) Rekindled INM.