Name:			

1. What is the key advantage of a log-linear POS tagger over an HMM-based tagger?

Answer: Log-linear models allow for a much richer feature representation

2. Assume we have a trigram log-linear POS tagger:

We have $w_1 \dots w_3 = the \ dog \ barks$

We would like:

- p(D N V | the dog barks)=0.5
- p(D N N | the dog barks)=0.5

What are the values for the following parameters?

- p(D| the dog barks, START)
- p(N| the dog barks, START, D)
- p(V| the dog barks, D, N)
- p(N| the dog barks, D, N)

Answer:

- p(D| the dog barks, START) = 1
- p(N| the dog barks, START, D) = 1
- p(V| the dog barks, D, N) = 0.5
- p(N| the dog barks, D, N) = 0.5
- 3. Suppose you are training a Naïve Bayes classifier for the Word Sense Disambiguation task for the word "bank" with two classes ("financial institution" and "river bank").
 - (a) Name 5 features that you will want to specify.
 - (b) What are the assumptions made by the Naïve Bayes model?

Answer:

Sample features: word preceding "bank", word following "bank", two preceding words, two following words, bag-of-words features, POS of the word before, POS of the word after, etc.

Assumptions: Every feature is conditionally independent of the rest of the features given the class label.