## **COMP9517 19T2 - PROJECT MARKING SCHEME**

Category [Mark]	Criterion [Mark] - individual	Criterion [Mark] - group	Comments
Report [35]		Introduction [3]	Clear introduction of aim of study and report overview
			Good presentation quality and clear method description
	Method [10]		Appropriate level of method complexity
			Correct logic of method design and reasonable design choices
		Experiments [3]	Clear description of dataset and evaluation metrics
			Presentation of results using tables and figures
	Results [10]		Appropriate result evaluation using various evaluation metrics
			Evaluation of various parameters and design choices
		Discussion [7]	Performance comparison among the various methods
			Discussioin of advantages and disadvantages of various methods
			In-depth discussion of findings from the study
		Conclusions [2]	Summary of study
Demo [5]		Content [3]	Problem definition, methods, results and discussion
		Presentation [2]	Quality of presentation
Code [5]	Code [5]		Code well organised and commented
Total 45 marks	Individual component -	Group component -	
	25 marks	20 marks	

	If multiple students work on one method, the marks for "method" and "results" will be weighted by individual contribution.
Note:	In such a setup, the complexity of method and extent of result evaluation are expected to be greater than methods developed by a single
	student. Then the individual "method" and "results" marks can still reach 10 and 10, respectively.