Vol. 00 no. 00 2015 Pages 1-2

BRAKER1: Unsupervised RNA-Seq-Based Genome Annotation with GeneMark-ET and AUGUSTUS

Katharina J. Hoff ¹*, Simone Lange ¹, Alexandre Lomsadze ², Mark Borodovsky ^{2,3,4,5} and Mario Stanke ¹

¹Ernst Moritz Arndt Universität Greifswald, Institute for Mathematics and Computer Science, Walther-Rathenau-Straße 47, 17487 Greifswald, Germany

Received on XXXXX; revised on XXXXX; accepted on XXXXX

Associate Editor: XXXXXXX

ABSTRACT

Motivation:

GeneMark-ET is a gene prediction tool that incorporates unassembled RNA-Seq reads into unsupervised training and subsequently generates ab initio gene predictions. AUGUSTUS is a gene finder that usually requires supervised training and uses information form unassembled RNA-Seg reads in the prediction step. Results: We present BRAKER1, a pipeline for unsupervised RNA-Seq-based genome annotation that combines the advantages of GeneMark-ET and AUGUSTUS. BRAKER1 requires an RNA-Seq read alignment file and a genome file as input. First, GeneMark-ET performs iternative training and generates initial gene structures. Second, AUGUSTUS uses predicted genes for training and then integrates RNA-Seg read information into final gene predictions. In our experiments, we observed that BRAKER1 was more accurate than MAKER2 when it is using RNA-Seq as sole source for training and prediction. BRAKER1 does not require pre-trained parameters or a separate training step.

Availability: BRAKER1 is available for download at http://bioinf.uni-greifswald.de/downloads/andhttp://exon.gatech.edu/..

Contact: katharina.hoff@uni-greifswald.de

1 INTRODUCTION

Structural gene prediction is an important step in the analysis of sequenced genomes because downstream analysis depends on accurate prediction. Many genome sequencing projects are accompanied by transcriptome sequencing. Transcriptome sequencing can aid structural genome annotation with tools that rely on statistical models. Such tools usually require a training step to adapt species specific parameters. With few exceptions, a previously

existing set of reliable genes is required for training (e.g. generated from ESTs or protein to genome alignments). Since large scale transcriptome sequencing became available, it has been tried to gene finders on assembled RNA-Seq data [cite MAKER2 here?]. Assembled RNA-Seq has also been used to improve gene prediction after training. However, transcriptome assembly is prone to errors, and using such erroroneus data for training and prediction bears the risk of transferring errors into structural genome annotation [check what GeneMark-ET paper cited for this]. If raw read mappings are used instead of assembled transcripts, this problem is avoided.

GeneMark-ET is a gene prediction tool that incorporates unassembled RNA-Seq reads into unsupervised training and subsequently generates *ab initio* gene predictions.

2 PIPELINE DESCRIPTION

BRAKER1 is implemented in Perl and requires two input files: an RNA-Seq alignment file in bam-format, and a corresponding genome file in fasta-format. Spliced alignment information is extracted from the RNA-Seq file and stored in gff-format. GeneMark-ET uses the genome file and the spliced alignment gff-file for RNA-Seq supported unsupervised training. After training, GeneMark-ET creates an *ab initio* gene set. Those gene structures that have support by RNA-Seq alignments in all introns are selected for automated training of AUGUSTUS. After training, AUGUSTUS predicts genes in the intput genome file using spliced alignment information from RNA-Seq as extrinsic evidence. The pipeline is illustrated in figure 1.

3 TEST DATA

In order to demonstrate prediction accuracy, genomes, reference annotations and RNA-Seq libraries were retrieved for four model organisms from the respective databases: for *Arabidopsis thaliana*, TAIR 10 was downloaded from http://arabidopsis.org/; for *Caenorhabditis elegans*, WS240 was downloaded from http://www.wormbase.org/; for *Drosophila melanogaster*,

© Oxford University Press 2015.

²School of Computational Science and Engineering

³Center for Bioinformatics and Computational Genomics, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA 30332, USA

⁴Department of Biological and Medical Physics, Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology, Dolgoprudny, Moscow Region, Russia

⁵Joint Georgia Tech and Emory University Wallace H Coulter Department of Biomedical Engineering, Atlanta, GA 30332, USA

^{*}to whom correspondence should be addressed

Table 1. Accuracy results of BRAKER1 and MAKER2 in genomes of four model organisms. For BRAKER1, accuracy is shown for the GeneMark-ET *ab initio* predictions as well as for the AUGUSTUS predictions with hints from RNA-Seq.

	Arabidopsis thaliana			Caenorhabditis elegans			Drosophila melanogaster			Schizosaccharomyces pomb		
	BRAKER1- GeneMark	BRAKER1- AUGUSTUS	MAKER2	BRAKER1- GeneMark	BRAKER1- AUGUSTUS	MAKER2	BRAKER1- GeneMark	BRAKER1- AUGUSTUS	MAKER2	BRAKER1- GeneMark	BRAKER1- AUGUSTUS	MAKER2
Gene sensitivity	53.9	63.2	51.3	43.0	55.1	41.0	58.5	70.23	58.0	80.0	77.3	42.7
Gene specificity	46.1	51.3	52.5	41.7	56.1	30.8	49.9	59.0	46.9	84.9	81.2	68.6
Transcript sensitivity	45.4	53.9	43.5	32.9	43.2	31.3	42.3	52.0	42.3	80.0	77.3	42.7
Transcript specificity	46.1	50.0	52.5	41.7	54.0	30.8	49.9	57.8	47.9	84.9	77.4	68.6
Exon sensitivity	81.1	83.0	76.1	79.9	80.9	69.4	68.5	75.1	64.9	85.2	84.2	50.1
Exon specificity	72.4	78.5	76.1	78.2	85.4	62.3	57.9	66.2	55.0	89.0	82.6	71.4




Fig. 1. Schematic view of the BRAKER1 pipeline.

R5 was downloaded from http://flybase.org/; for Schizosaccharomyces pombe, ASM294v2.23 was downloaded from http://www.pombase.org/. The

following RNA-Seq libraries were retrieved from the short read archive at NCBI: SRR934391 (for *A. thaliana*); SRR065719 (for *C. elegans*); SRR023505, SRR023546, SRR023608, SRR026433, SRR027108 (for *D. melanogaster*); SRR097898, SRR097899, SRR097900, SRR097902, SRR097903, SRR097905, SRR097906, SRR097907, SRR097908, SRR097909, SRR097912, SRR097915, SRR097917, SRR097921, SRR097922, SRR097925, SRR402833 (for *S. pombe*).

4 ACCURACY RESULTS

5 CONCLUSION

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We would like to thank Mark Yandell and Carson Holt for valuable advice on running MAKER2.

Funding: This work is supported by the US National Institutes of Health grant HG000783.

REFERENCES

Steijger,T. and Abril,J.F. and Engström,P.G. and Kokocinski,F. and The RGASP Consortium, Hubard,T.J. and Guigo,R. and Harrow, J. and Bertone, P. (2013) Assessment of transcript reconstruction methods for RNA-seq, *Nature Methods*, doi:10.1038/nmeth.271.

Lomsadze, A. and Burns, P.D. and Borodovsky, M. (2014) Integration of mapped RNA-Seq reads into automatic training of eukaryotic gene finding algorithm, *Nucleic Acids Research*, doi:10.1093/nar/gku557.

Stanke, M. and Diekhans, M. and Baertsch, R. and Haussler, D. (2008) Using native and syntenically mapped cDNA alignments to improve de novo gene finding, *Bioinformatics*, 24(5), 637.