Homework #7

Winter 2020, STATS 509 Dino Bektesevic

Problem 1: Sampling Distributions

A researcher plans to carry out an opinion survey regarding a yes/no question. Suppose that (unknown to the researcher) 89% of people in the target population answer 'yes'. We will use 1 to denote 'yes' and 0 to denote 'no'.

a. Let X_1 be the response from the first person the researcher asks. Before this person is asked, what is the distribution of X_1 . What is $E[X_1]$ and $V(X_1)$?

The researcher plans to obtain a random sample of size 25: X_1, \ldots, X_{25} .

(Suppose either that the researcher is sampling with replacement or that the population is so large that we may regard the samples as being taken with replacement.)

- b. Write down the distribution of X_i for $i=1,\ldots,25$; also write down $E[X_i],\ V(X_i)$ and $Cov(X_i,X_j)$ for $i\neq j$.
- c. What is the distribution of \bar{X} ? Hint: see lecture notes.


What is the mean and variance of this distribution?

d. Use R or Python, replicate the experiment 10,000 times.

Hint: Recall that a Bernoulli(p) random variable is just a Binomial(1,p) random variable; thus to obtain a sample of size 25 from a Bernoulli(0.89) random variable, one might use:

```
p <- 0.89
n <- 25
x <- rbinom(n,1,p)</pre>
```

The vector \mathbf{x} will contain the 25 Bernoulli(p) observations, from which you can compute the sample mean. This should then be put in a loop which repeats this process, 10K times, storing the result. Construct a histogram with the distribution of \bar{X} for each sample. Does the distribution of sample means appear to be normal? Explain your answer.



The distribution does not look normal.

e. Find the mean and variance of \bar{X} , based on your 10K simulations. Does this agree with your calculation in c.?

```
Mean of means: 0.889148000000002

Variance of means: 0.003957434095999998
```


f Compute the mean squared error of \bar{X} as an estimate of the unknown proportion $\theta = 0.89$ (i.e. find the squared error of the estimate, which is $(\bar{X} - \theta)^2$, in each repetition and then average over all repetitions). What do you notice? Give a simple explanation by referring to a result from the course.

```
Mean squared error: 0.0039581600000000005
```

It is very close to the variance of means calculated above.

Given a sample X_1, \ldots, X_n of independent Poisson(λ) random variables, a researcher intends to use \bar{X} , the sample mean, as an estimate of λ . Suppose that n = 20, and $\lambda = 4$.

- a. Write down the mean and variance of this distribution.
- b. Using R or Python, replicate the experiment 10,000 times. Construct a histogram with the distribution of \bar{X} for each sample. Does the distribution of sample means appear to be normal? Explain your answer.



The distribution looks normal.

c. Find the mean and variance of \bar{X} , based on your 10K simulations. Does this agree with your calculation in a.?

```
Mean of means: 3.995295999999997
Variance of means: 0.16090299238400002
```

d. Compute the mean squared error of \bar{X} as an estimate of λ . Again what do you notice?

```
Mean squared error: 0.16092512
```

It is very close to the variance of means calculated above.

Problem 3: Hypothesis Tests

Suppose that Y_1, \ldots, Y_n are i.i.d samples from a Poisson distribution with parameter λ .

a. Find the log of the likelihood: $\ln f(y_1, \ldots, y_n | \lambda)$; Poisson distribution is given as

$$f(y_i|\lambda) = \frac{e^{-\lambda}\lambda^{y_i}}{y_i!}$$

The likelihood can be written as:

$$L(\lambda|y_1...y_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(y_i|\lambda) = \frac{\lambda^{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i} e^{-n\lambda}}{\prod_{i=1}^n y_i!}$$

Log likelihood is then:

$$l(\lambda|y_1...y_n) = \ln(\lambda) \sum_{i=1}^n y_i - n\lambda - \ln \prod_{i=1}^n y_i!$$

b. By differentiating the log likelihood, find the value $\hat{\lambda}$ of λ that maximizes the likelihood; confirm that $\hat{\lambda}$ is a maximum. The estimate $\hat{\lambda}$ is called the maximum likelihood estimator (MLE).

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda}l(\lambda|y_1...y_n) = \frac{d}{d\lambda}\ln(\lambda)\sum_{i=1}^n y_i - \frac{d}{d\lambda}n\lambda - \frac{d}{d\lambda}\ln\prod_{i=1}^n y_i! = 0$$

$$0 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i}{\hat{\lambda}} - n$$

$$n\hat{\lambda} = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i$$

$$\hat{\lambda} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i}{\lambda}$$

$$\hat{\lambda} = \bar{y}$$

To confirm it's a maximum we have to take a look at the second derivative:

$$\frac{d^2}{d\lambda^2}l(\lambda|y_1\dots y_n) = \frac{d}{d\lambda} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i}{\lambda}$$
$$= -\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i}{\lambda^2}$$

Since it's always negative this is a maximum.

Suppose that we wish to test H_0 : $\lambda = \lambda_0$ vs. H_1 : $\lambda \neq \lambda_0$.

c. Using your answer to b. write down the generalized likelihood ratio test statistic (LRT).

Hint: Your answer should be a function of n, λ_0 and λ .

$$\lambda_{lrt}(y_1 \dots y_n) = \frac{f(y_1 \dots y_n | \lambda_0)}{\sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} f(y_1 \dots y_n | \lambda)}$$

$$= \frac{\lambda^{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i} e_0^{-n\lambda}}{\hat{\lambda}^{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i} e_0^{-n\lambda}}$$

$$= \frac{\lambda^{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i} e_0^{-n\lambda}}{\bar{y}^{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i} e^{-n\bar{y}}}$$

$$= e^{-n(\lambda_0 - \bar{y})} \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{\bar{y}}\right)^{n\bar{y}}$$

d. Consider Bortkiewicz's Prussian Cavalry horse-kick fatality data:

No. of fatalities	0	1	2	3	4	The original table from Bortkiewicz's 1898 book Das Gesetz der kleiner
No. of years	109	65	22	3	1	The original table from Bortkiewicz's 1898 book Das Gesetz der kiernen

Zahlen is: https://archive.org/stream/dasgesetzderklei00bortrich#page/n66/mode/1up

Find the value of $\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i$, and use your answer to b. to find the value of the MLE $\hat{\lambda}$.

Hint: The table contains data on n = 200 observations, y_1, \ldots, y_{200} , of which 109 were 0; there were 65 which were 1 and so on.

$$\sum_{i=1}^{200} y_i = 0 \cdot 109 + 65 + 2 \cdot 22 + 9 + 4 = 122$$

So the $\bar{y} = \frac{122}{200} \approx 0.6$

e. Use your answer to d. to find the LRT statistic for the hypothesis test with $\lambda_0 = 1$.

$$\lambda_{lrt}(y_1 \dots y_n) = e^{-n(\lambda_0 - \bar{y})} \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{\bar{y}}\right)^{n\bar{y}} \tag{1}$$

$$= e^{-200(1-0.6)} \left(\frac{200}{122}\right)^{200\frac{122}{200}}$$

$$= e^{-80} \left(\frac{200}{122}\right)^{122}$$
(2)

$$=e^{-80} \left(\frac{200}{122}\right)^{122} \tag{3}$$

$$=2.79 \cdot 10^{-9} \tag{4}$$

f Report the approximate p-value.

Hint: calculate $-2\log(LRT)$, and compare to the appropriate χ^2 distribution. See Lecture 10, slide 43. Recall that small values of the LRT correspond to evidence against H_0 .

Suppose that we are planning an experiment to test hypotheses about the mean of a population that is known to be normal with standard deviation $\sigma = 4$. We wish to test the null hypothesis $H_0: \mu = 0$ vs. the alternative $H_A: \mu > 0$. We intend to use a likelihood ratio test with significance level $\alpha = 0.05$.

a. For which values of \bar{X} , the sample mean, will we reject the null hypothesis. Express your answer as a function of sample size, n.

We are given that $\bar{X} \sim N(\mu, \sigma)$. Rewriting this to standard normal yields:

$$Y = \frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \mu)}{\sigma} \sim N(0, 1)$$

Under the null hypothesis $\mu = 0$ so we relate α to c by:

$$\begin{split} \alpha &= P(\bar{X} > c | \mu = 0) \\ &= P\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \mu)}{\sigma} > \frac{\sqrt{n}(c - \mu)}{\sigma} \middle| \mu = 0\right) \\ &= P\left(Y > \frac{\sqrt{n}(c - \mu)}{\sigma} \middle| \mu = 0\right) \\ &= 1 - P\left(Y < \frac{\sqrt{n}(c - \mu)}{\sigma} \middle| \mu = 0\right) \\ 1 - \alpha &= \Phi\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}(c - \mu)}{\sigma} \middle| \mu = 0\right) \\ 0.95 &= \Phi\left(\sqrt{n}\frac{c - \mu}{\sigma} \middle| \mu = 0\right) \end{split}$$

The CDF of a standard normal distribution equals 0.95 at the standard score of 1.644854 so we can determine c to be:

$$\sqrt{n} \frac{c}{4} = 1.6644854$$

$$c = \frac{6.579416}{\sqrt{n}}$$

b. Suppose that we plan to obtain a sample of size 36. The researcher thinks that if the alternative is true then perhaps $\mu = 0.3$. Calculate the power of the test to reject the null hypothesis under this particular alternative hypothesis.

Equivalently to the previous problem we can start by writing:

$$1 - \beta = 1 - P\left(Y < \frac{\sqrt{n(c - \mu)}}{\sigma} \middle| \mu = 0.3\right)$$

$$= 1 - \Phi\left(\frac{\sqrt{n(c - \mu)}}{\sigma}\right)$$

$$= 1 - \Phi\left(\frac{\sqrt{n(c - \mu)}}{\sigma}\middle| \mu = 0.3\right)$$

$$= 1 - \Phi\left(\frac{\sqrt{n(\frac{6.579416}{\sqrt{n}} - \mu)}}{\sigma}\middle| \mu = 0.3\right)$$

$$= 1 - \Phi\left(\frac{6(\frac{6.579416}{6} - 0.3)}{4}\right)$$

$$= 1 - \Phi(1.194854)$$

$$= 0.116$$

c. Continuing from b., the scientist who is planning the experiment wishes to have power at least 90%. (Thus your calculation in b. shows that more than 36 observations are required.) Find approximately the smallest sample size at which this power can be achieved, against the specific alternative $\mu = 0.3$.

Hint: repeat the calculation performed in b. at different sample sizes using trial and error: you may wish to use R or a spreadsheet to speed up this calculation.

```
n = 1528, Power = 0.9000476355273681
import numpy as np
from scipy import stats
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
def problem4c():
    mu, sigma = 0.3, 4
    n = 36
    xi = lambda ni: sigma * 1.6499/np.sqrt(ni)
    power = lambda n_i: 1 - stats.norm.cdf(xi(n_i), loc=mu, scale=sigma/np.sqrt(n_i))
    while power(n) < 0.9:
        n += 1
    print(f*n = {n}, Power = {power(n)}*")*, end='\r')</pre>
```

d. Suppose that the researcher obtains 200 samples, and observes $\bar{x}=0.65$. Compute the p-value for this hypothesis test.

$$p_v = P(\bar{X} > \bar{x}|H_0)$$

$$= 1 - \Phi(\frac{\sqrt{200}(0.65 - 0)}{4})$$

$$= 0.0108$$

A researcher performs a sequence of independent experiments, up to and including the first 'success', after which the researcher stops. Each experiment has the same probability p of success. Let T be the number of experiments performed (including the first observed success). The researcher wishes to test the null hypothesis

- a. H_0 : p = 0.25,
- b. against the alternative hypothesis
- c. H_1 : p > 0.25.

The researcher proposes to reject the null hypothesis if T < 4.

a. What is the significance level α of the test proposed by the researcher?

$$\alpha = P(T < 4|H_0)$$

$$= P(T \le 3|H_0)$$

$$= \sum_{t=1}^{3} p_0 (1 - p_0)^{t-1}$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{3}{16} + \frac{9}{64}\right)$$

$$= \frac{37}{64}$$

b. What is the power of the researcher's test against the specific alternative hypothesis that p = 0.5.

$$1 - \beta = P(T < 4|H_1)$$

$$= P(T \le 3|H_1)$$

$$= \sum_{t=1}^{3} p_1 (1 - p_1)^{t-1}$$

$$= \sum_{t=1}^{3} 0.5^t$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{8}\right)$$

$$= \frac{7}{8}$$

c. Re-express the researcher's rule for rejecting the null hypothesis in terms of the likelihood ratio:

LRT =
$$p(t \mid p = 0.25)/p(t \mid p = 0.5)$$
.

Specifically, find the value ℓ such that the researcher will reject H_0 p=0.25 in favor of p=0.5 if LRT< ℓ . (Note: There will be a range of values for ℓ that will give the same test.)

$$\Lambda(t) = \frac{P(T = t | H_0)}{P(T = t | H_1)}$$
$$= \frac{p_0 (1 - p_0)^{t-1}}{p_1 (1 - p_1)^{t-1}}$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{t-1}$$

Hint: (For all parts) Geometric distribution!

Problem 6: Confidence Intervals

Consider a 95% confidence interval for the mean height μ in a population. Which of the following are true or false:

- a. Before taking our sample, the probability of the resulting 95% confidence interval containing μ is 0.95. True.
- b. If we take a sample and compute a 95% confidence interval for μ to be [1.2, 3.7] then $P(\mu \in [1.2, 3.7]) = 0.95$. False. We can only think of μ as being random before taking a sample. Afterwards, it's just a number.
- c. Before taking our sample, the center of a 95% confidence interval for the population mean is a random variable.
 - True, before taking a sample the center of our interval is a random variable because it's based on the sampling a random variable.
- d. 95% of individuals in the population have heights that lie in the 95% confidence interval for μ . False. CI tells us the confidence that after drawing a random sample it's mean will lie in that range, not the other way around.
- e. Over hypothetical replications out of one hundred 95% confidence intervals for μ , on average 95 will contain μ . True.
- f. After obtaining our sample, the resulting confidence interval either does or does not contain μ . True, we don't know if our sampled CI actually contains or doesn't contain the mean.

Goldberger Qu. 11.6 (Assume that the observations are drawn from a Normal Distribution and see Lecture 10, slide 46.)