

# Proofs for Chapter 6 Continuous Functions

Constantin Filip

December 30, 2024

**Definition 1  $\epsilon - \delta$  definition** *The function  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  has a limit  $l \in \mathbb{R}$  at  $x_0 \in [a, b]$  iff*

$$\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0 \forall x \in [a, b] (0 < |x - x_0| < \delta \Rightarrow |f(x) - l| < \epsilon)$$

**Definition 2 Limit as  $x$  approaches  $\infty$**  *The function  $f : [a, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  has a limit  $l \in \mathbb{R}$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$  iff*

$$\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists c > 0 \forall x \in [a, \infty) (x > c \Rightarrow |f(x) - l| < \epsilon)$$

**Definition 3 Limit as  $x$  approaches  $-\infty$**  *The function  $f : (-\infty, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  has a limit  $l \in \mathbb{R}$  as  $x \rightarrow -\infty$  iff*

$$\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists c > 0 \forall x \in (-\infty, b] (x < -c \Rightarrow |f(x) - l| < \epsilon)$$

**Definition 4 Left sided limit** *The function  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  tends to the limit  $l$  as  $x \rightarrow b^-$  iff*

$$\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0 \forall x \in [a, b] (b - \delta < x < b \Rightarrow |f(x) - l| < \epsilon)$$

**Definition 5 Right sided limit** *The function  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  tends to the limit  $l$  as  $x \rightarrow a^+$  iff*

$$\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0 \forall x \in [a, b] (a < x < a + \delta \Rightarrow |f(x) - l| < \epsilon)$$

**Theorem 1** *The limit of a function, if it exists, is unique.*

Assume that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x) = L$  and  $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x) = M$ , where  $L \neq M$ . Then let  $\epsilon = \frac{|L - M|}{2}$ . Then there exists some  $\delta_1 > 0$  such that

$$|f(x) - L| < \frac{|L - M|}{2}$$

where  $0 < |x - x_0| < \delta_1$ . There also exists some  $\delta_2 > 0$  such that

$$|f(x) - M| < \frac{|L - M|}{2}$$

where  $0 < |x - x_0| < \delta_2$

Let  $\delta = \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}$ . Then both inequalities hold. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |L - M| &= |L - f(x) + f(x) - M| \\ &\leq |f(x) - L| + |f(x) - M| \\ &< |L - M| \end{aligned}$$

which is impossible, so the function cannot have two distinct limits.  $\square$

**Theorem 2**  $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x) = l \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty, -\infty\}$  iff for all sequences  $(y_n)_{n \geq 1}$  with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = x_0$ , and  $y_n \neq x_0$  for all  $n \geq 1$ , we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(y_n) = l$

We first prove  $(\Rightarrow)$  for  $l \in \mathbb{R}$

By assumption, for all  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that for all  $x$  in  $[a, b]$

$$0 < |x - x_0| < \delta \Rightarrow |f(x) - l| < \epsilon \quad (*)$$

If  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = x_0$ , then for all  $\epsilon' > 0$ , there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n > N$

$$|y_n - x_0| < \epsilon'$$

Then setting  $\epsilon' = \delta$ , there exists  $N_\delta$  such that

$$|y_n - x_0| < \delta$$

for all  $n > N_\delta$ . Also,  $0 < |y_n - x_0|$  since  $y_n \neq x_0$  so

$$0 < |y_n - x_0| < \delta$$

But then from  $(*)$

$$|f(y_n) - l| < \epsilon$$

for all  $n > N_\epsilon$ .

Since  $N_\epsilon$  exists for any value of  $\epsilon > 0$ , by the definition of sequence convergence,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(y_n) = l$$

If  $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x) = \infty$ , then

$$\forall K > 0 \exists \delta > 0 \forall x \in [a, b] (0 < |x - x_0| < \delta \Rightarrow f(x) \geq K)$$

If  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = x_0$  as above, then given  $K > 0$ , for  $\epsilon = \delta$ , there exists  $N_K$  such that for all  $n > N_K$

$$0 < |y_n - x_0| < \delta$$

. Then  $f(y_n) \geq K$  so

$$\forall K > 0 \exists N \in \mathbb{N} \forall n > N (f(y_n) \geq K)$$

so  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(y_n) = \infty$  by definition. The proof for when  $l = -\infty$  is the same.

Now we prove ( $\Leftarrow$ )

Assume that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(y_n) = l$  for all sequences  $(y_n)_{n \geq 1}$  with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = x_0$ .

Now assume towards a contradiction that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x) \neq l$ . Then we have

$$\exists \epsilon > 0 \forall \delta > 0 \exists x \in [a, b] ((0 < |x - x_0| < \delta) \wedge (|f(x) - l| \geq \epsilon)) \quad (*)$$

Let  $\epsilon_0$  be the  $\epsilon$  for which  $(*)$  holds. Then for each  $n \geq 1$ , let  $\delta = \frac{1}{n}$ . Then by  $(*)$ , we construct a sequence  $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$  for which  $0 < |a_n - x_0| < \frac{1}{n}$  and  $|f(a_n) - l| \geq \epsilon_0$ .

We now show that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = x_0$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. By the Archimedean principle, there exists some smallest natural number  $N$  for which  $\frac{1}{N} < \epsilon$ . Then by construction,  $a_N$  satisfies

$$|a_N - x_0| < \frac{1}{N} < \epsilon$$

Then for all  $n > N$

$$|a_n - x_0| < \frac{1}{n} < \frac{1}{N} < \epsilon$$

so  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = x_0$  by definition.

But then by assumption  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(a_n) = l$ , so setting  $\epsilon = \epsilon_0$ , there exists  $N' \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|f(a_n) - l| < \epsilon_0$  for all  $n > N'$ , which is a contradiction. Hence  $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x) = l$  as required.  $\square$

**Theorem 3** Suppose the two functions  $f, g : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  have limits  $k \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $l \in \mathbb{R}$  respectively at  $x_0 \in [a, b]$ . Then  $f \pm g$  has limit  $k \pm l$ ,  $f \cdot g$  has limit  $kl$  and if  $l \neq 0$ ,  $f/g$  has limit  $k/l$  at  $x_0$ .

If  $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x) = k$ , then for all sequences  $(y_n)_{n \geq 1}$  with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = x_0$ , we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(y_n) = k$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g(y_n) = l$ .

Now let  $h(x) = f(x) + g(x)$ . Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h(y_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(y_n) + g(y_n) = k + l$$

by the limit properties of sequences. Therefore,  $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} h(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x) + g(x) = k + l$  as required.

The other properties can be proven in an identical way by considering the functions  $h(x) = f(x)g(x)$  and  $h(x) = \frac{f(x)}{g(x)}$

$\square$

**Definition 6** Let  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a function. We say  $f$  is continuous at  $x_0 \in [a, b]$  iff  $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x) = f(x_0)$ . We say that  $f$  is continuous in  $[a, b]$  iff  $\forall x_0 \in [a, b] (\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x) = f(x_0))$ .

**Theorem 4** If the two functions  $f, g : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are continuous at  $x_0 \in [a, b]$ , then we have

- $f \pm g$  is continuous at  $x_0$

- The product  $f \cdot g$  is continuous at  $x_0$
- If  $g(x_0) \neq 0$  then  $f/g$  is continuous at  $x_0$

These results are immediate from the limit properties of functions.

**Theorem 5** Any polynomial  $f(x)$  of degree  $n$  is continuous for all real  $x$

We first show that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow a} x = a$$

where  $a$  is some arbitrary real number.

Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given, and let  $\delta = \epsilon$ . Then for all  $x$  such that  $0 < |x - a| < \delta$ , we have

$$|f(x) - a| = |x - a| < \delta = \epsilon \Rightarrow |f(x) - a| < \epsilon$$

so by the definition of the limit,  $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} x = a$ . Since this is true for an arbitrary  $a$ , we have  $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} x = x_0$  for all  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Now we show that for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $x^n$  is continuous for all real  $x$ .

For  $n = 0$ ,  $x^0 = 1$ , for which it is trivial to show that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} 1 = 1$  for all real  $x$ .

Assume that  $x^k$  is continuous over the real numbers for some  $k > 1$ . Then  $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} x^k = a^k$  for all real  $a$ . Then for some arbitrary  $c \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow c} x^{k+1} = (\lim_{x \rightarrow c} x^k)(\lim_{x \rightarrow c} x) = c^{k+1}$$

Since this is true for any real  $c$ ,  $x^{k+1}$  is continuous over the real numbers by definition. Since  $x^{k+1}$  is continuous under the assumption that  $x^k$  is continuous, and given the base case  $n = 0$ , we have  $x^n$  is continuous over the real numbers for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Then also for any  $\lambda > 0$ , we have

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \lambda x^n = \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \lambda \cdot \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} x^n = \lambda x_0^n$$

for any real  $x_0$ , so  $\lambda x_0^n$  is continuous over the real numbers.

Lastly, we show that any polynomial of degree  $n$  is continuous over the real numbers by induction.

From above, a polynomial of degree 0 is a constant which is continuous.

Assume that a polynomial  $f_k(x)$  of degree  $k$  where  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  is continuous over the real numbers. Then for  $n = k + 1$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f_{k+1}(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} (ax^{k+1} + f_k(x)) = \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} ax^{k+1} + \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f_k(x) = ax_0^{k+1} + f_k(x_0) = f_{k+1}(x_0)$$

Hence  $f_{k+1}(x)$  is continuous over all real  $x$  under the assumption that  $f_k(x)$  is continuous over the real numbers. Since the base case also holds, by induction,  $f_n(x)$  is continuous over the real numbers for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 6** Suppose  $f : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a function with  $x_0 \in (a, b)$  and  $g : (c, d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a function with  $\text{Im}(f) \subset (c, d)$ . If  $f$  is continuous at  $x_0$  and  $g$  is continuous at  $f(x_0)$ , then the composition  $g \circ f : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with  $(g \circ f)(x_0) = g(f(x_0))$  is continuous at  $x_0$ .

If  $f$  is continuous at  $x_0$ , then  $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x) = f(x_0)$  by definition, so

$$\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0 (0 < |x - x_0| < \delta \implies |f(x) - f(x_0)| < \epsilon) \quad (1)$$

Likewise, if  $g$  is continuous at  $f(x_0)$ , then  $\lim_{x \rightarrow f(x_0)} g(x) = (g \circ f)(x_0)$ , so

$$\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0 (0 < |x - f(x_0)| < \delta \implies |g(x) - (g \circ f)(x_0)| < \epsilon) \quad (2)$$

Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. Then let  $\delta_2 > 0$  be the value for which the limit inequality in (2) holds.

Now set  $\epsilon$  in (1) to  $\delta_2$ . Then there exists  $\delta_1 > 0$  such that (1) holds. Therefore, we have

$$0 < |x - x_0| < \delta_1 \implies |f(x) - f(x_0)| < \delta_2$$

If  $|f(x) - f(x_0)| > 0$ , then by (2)

$$0 < |f(x) - f(x_0)| < \delta_2 \implies |(g \circ f)(x) - (g \circ f)(x_0)| < \epsilon$$

Since  $\delta_1$  is a function of  $\delta_2$  which is itself a function of  $\epsilon$ , where  $\epsilon$  can be any positive real number, we have

$$\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0 (0 < |x - x_0| < \delta \implies |(g \circ f)(x) - (g \circ f)(x_0)| < \epsilon)$$

If  $0 < |x - x_0| < \delta$  but  $|f(x) - f(x_0)| = 0$ , then  $f(x) = f(x_0)$  so also  $|(g \circ f)(x) - (g \circ f)(x_0)| = |(g \circ f)(x_0) - (g \circ f)(x_0)| = 0 < \epsilon$ .

Hence  $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} (g \circ f)(x) = (g \circ f)(x_0)$  so  $g \circ f$  is continuous at  $x_0$  by definition.  $\square$

**Lemma 1** If  $f$  is continuous in  $[a, b]$  then  $f$  is bounded.

Suppose that  $f$  is continuous but not bounded. Then

$$\forall k \exists x_k \in [a, b] (|f(x_k)| \geq k)$$

We construct a sequence  $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$  where  $|f(a_n)| \geq n$  and  $a_n \in [a, b]$  for all  $n \geq 1$ , where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then given any  $K > 0$ , let  $N$  be the smallest integer greater than or equal to  $K$ . Then for all  $n > N$

$$|f(a_n)| \geq n > N \geq K$$

so  $|f(a_n)| \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  by definition of sequence convergence to  $\infty$ .

Since  $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$  is bounded by  $|b|$ , it must have a monotonically increasing subsequence  $(a_{n_i})_{i \geq 1}$  which converges to a limit  $l$  in  $[a, b]$ .

Now since  $f$  is continuous at  $l$ , we have  $\lim_{x \rightarrow l} f(x) = f(l)$ . Since  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} a_{n_i} = l$ ,  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} f(a_{n_i}) = f(l)$ , so also  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} |f(a_{n_i})| = |f(l)|$ .

But since  $|f(a_n)| \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we must also have  $|f(a_{n_i})| \rightarrow \infty$  since any convergent subsequence of a sequence converges to the limit of the sequence. This is a contradiction, so  $f$  must be bounded.  $\square$

**Theorem 7** If  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous function with  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  then there exists  $r, s \in [a, b]$  such that  $f(r) = \sup_{x \in [a, b]} f(x)$  and  $f(s) = \inf_{x \in [a, b]} f(x)$  (i.e.  $f$  has a maximum and minimum in  $[a, b]$ ).

By the lemma above,  $f$  is bounded. So by the axiom of Dedekind-completeness,  $f$  has a supremum and infimum. Let  $M = \sup_{x \in [a, b]} f(x)$  and  $m = \inf_{x \in [a, b]} f(x)$ .

We want to find  $v_M \in [a, b]$  such that  $f(v_M) = M$ . For every  $n > 0$ , by the definition of the supremum, there exists  $x_n \in [a, b]$  with  $M \geq f(x_n) > M - \frac{1}{n}$ . Then we can construct the sequence  $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$  with the property that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) = M$ . Since  $x_n$  is bounded, it has a convergent subsequence  $(x_{n_i})_{i \geq 1}$ ; let  $v_M$  be  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} x_{n_i}$ . It follows that  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} f(x_{n_i}) = M$  so  $\lim_{x \rightarrow v_M} f(x) = M$ . By the continuity of  $f$  at  $v_M$  we have  $f(v_M) = M$ . By the same reasoning, it can be shown that there exists  $v_m \in [a, b]$  such that  $f(v_m) = m$ .

**Theorem 8 Intermediate Value theorem** If  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous and  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  is such that  $f(a) < s < f(b)$ , then there exists  $c \in (a, b)$  such that  $f(c) = s$ .

If  $c = a$  is such that  $f(c) = s$ , then  $f(a) = s$  which is a contradiction. Likewise, if  $c = b$  then  $f(b) = s$  which is also a contradiction, so  $c$  cannot equal  $a$  or  $b$ .

Consider the set  $A = \{x \in [a, b] : f(x) \leq s\}$ . Since  $A$  is a bounded subset of the real numbers by definition, by the axiom of Dedekind-completeness,  $A$  has a supremum  $c$ . We then have three possibilities:

(1)  $f(c) = s$ ; then we are done

(2)  $f(c) < s$ . Let  $f(c) = k$ . Since it is given that  $f$  is continuous over  $[a, b]$ ,  $\lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = k$ , so for all  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $|x - c| < \delta$ , then  $|f(x) - k| < \epsilon$ .

Let  $x = c + \frac{\delta}{2}$  and let  $\epsilon = s - k$ . Then there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|c + \frac{\delta}{2} - c| = \frac{\delta}{2} < \delta$ , so  $|f(c + \delta/2) - k| < s - k$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} f\left(c + \frac{\delta}{2}\right) &= f\left(c + \frac{\delta}{2}\right) - k + k \\ &\leq \left|f\left(c + \frac{\delta}{2}\right) - k\right| + k \\ &< s - k + k \\ &= s \end{aligned}$$

Then also  $c + \frac{\delta}{2} \in A$  by definition which is a contradiction since  $c$  then is not the supremum of  $A$ .

(3)  $f(c) > s$

Let  $f(c) = k$ . Since  $f$  is continuous at  $c$ , then for  $\epsilon = k - s$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that for all  $x$  such that  $0 < |x - c| < \delta$ , we have  $|f(x) - k| < k - s$ . Then  $s - k < f(x) - k < k - s$  so  $f(x) > s$ .

Now since  $c$  is the supremum of  $A$ ,  $c - \delta$  is not an upper bound of  $A$ . Then there exists  $c' \in A$  such that

$$c - \delta < c' < c$$

. Since  $c' \in A$ , we have  $f(c') \leq s$  by definition. But since  $|c - c'| < \delta$ ,  $f(c') > s$ , which is a contradiction, so it cannot be the case that  $f(c) > s$ .

Therefore, it is impossible for  $f(c) > s$  or  $f(c) < s$ , so the only possibility is that  $f(c) = s$ .  $\square$

**Definition 7** A function  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is uniformly continuous on  $A$  iff

$$\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0 \forall x, x_0 \in A (|x - x_0| < \delta \Rightarrow |f(x) - f(x_0)| < \epsilon)$$

**Theorem 9** If  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  for  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  is continuous, then it is uniformly continuous on  $[a, b]$ .

Suppose that  $f$  is not uniformly continuous on  $[a, b]$ . Then there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that for all  $n \geq 1$ , there exists  $x_n, y_n \in [a, b]$  with  $|x_n - y_n| < \frac{1}{n}$  but  $|f(x_n) - f(y_n)| \geq \epsilon$ .

As  $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$  is a bounded sequence, it has a convergent subsequence  $(x_{n_i})_{i \geq 1}$  with limit  $l$  in  $[a, b]$ . But then

$$\begin{aligned} |l - y_{n_i}| &\leq |l - x_{n_i}| + |x_{n_i} - y_{n_i}| \\ &< |l - x_{n_i}| + \frac{1}{n_i} \end{aligned}$$

So  $y_{n_i}$  also converges to  $l$ . Since  $f$  is continuous at  $l$ , we have  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} f(x_{n_i}) = f(l) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} f(y_{n_i})$ . However, this contradicts  $|f(x_{n_i}) - f(y_{n_i})| \geq \epsilon$  for all  $i \geq 1$ .  $\square$