Centurion UNIVERSITY Shaping Lines Deprevering Communities	School:	Campus:		
	Academic Year: Subject Name:	Subject C	ode:	
	Semester: Program:	Branch: Specializa	tion:	
	Date:			
	Applied and Action Learning (Learning by Doing and Discovery)			

Name of the Experiement: Web2 vs Web3- Debate and Redesign

Objective/Aim:

To understand the key differences between Web2 and Web3, discuss their pros and cons, and explore how existing Web2 applications can be redesigned for Web3.

Apparatus/Software Used:

- Laptop
- WPS Office
- Google for research

Theory/Concept:

The internet has evolved over time:

Web1 (**Read-Only**) – Static websites with basic information, little to no interaction.

Web2 (**Read & Write**) – Interactive websites, social media, e-commerce, cloud services. Data is controlled by centralized companies.

Web3 (Read, Write & Own) – Decentralized internet using blockchain. Users own their data, interact directly via smart contracts, and use cryptocurrencies for payments.

Key Differences:

- Ownership: Web2 centralized; Web3 decentralized.
- Complexity: Web3 has a steep learning curve compared to Web2.
- Data Privacy: Higher in Web3.
- Security: Web3 uses blockchain for enhanced security.
- Censorship Resistance: Web3 is resistant to censorship.

Procedure:

- First, I went through the basic concepts of Web2 and Web3 to clearly understand how they work.
- Then, I prepared a simple PowerPoint comparing their features, pros, and cons in an easy-to-read format.
- I looked into how decentralization changes the way data is owned and secured.
- I put my findings into a side-by-side comparison table for better clarity.
- Finally, I discussed real-life situations where Web3 could solve the problems we face in Web2 today.

Observation Table:

Feature Web2 Web3 Definition Current version of the internet (Read + Write) Next-gen internet (Read + Write + Own) Control Centralized, controlled by companies Decentralized, controlled by users Data Ownership Companies own and control user data Users own and control their data Facebook, YouTube, Instagram, Google Ethereum, IPFS, Filecoin, decentralized apps Examples **Privacy** Lower privacy; data sold for ads Higher privacy; data secured by blockchain Accessibility Easy to use, user-friendly Requires understanding of blockchain concepts Enhanced security using cryptography and blockchain Security Prone to data breaches and hacking Censorship Can be censored by companies or governments Censorship-resistant due to decentralization Scalability Highly scalable with centralized servers Faces scalability challenges currently Transparency Limited transparency; hidden algorithms Transparent and open through blockchain Ad-based revenue; user data monetized User can earn directly (crypto, tokens) Monetization **Environmental Impact** Low (in usage phase) Higher in PoW systems (due to energy consumption)

Rubrics	Full Mark	Marks Obtained	Remarks
Concept	10		
Planning and Execution/	10		
Practical Simulation/ Programming			
Result and Interpretation	10		
Record of Applied and Action Learning	10		
Viva	10		
Total	50		