**Part 1 analysis**

1 has got more branches than 2.  
yet 2 has more contributers at first sight.  
network 2 has a serie of commits on the master branch while network 1 has a couple of brnaches than split and fuse.

Relevant attributes:

You can see how many changes there have been in the course of time. When you hover you can also see how comitted it. Yet its not clear how much was changed. It could be that only somethin small was deleted.

For a educational homework assesment collector i could be usefull to show howmuch tekst was added/edited/or deleted. Another usefull could be just a checklist for each commit so that users can give feedback on eachothers commits. Work parralel on each subject of a assignment and fuse the best useable options together.

A teamleader may use the visualisation to determine how much work is done between teammates, what work is done.

**Part 2 Sketching**

Attributes:

* How much work comitted – size of dot shows how much characters are added
* Work acceptance rate – small interactive bargraph that shows how has comiited the most acceptable work
* When work comitted – simple time popup
* Peer review – small comment section in which teammates can review a commit
* No if the commits are placed in a small timewindow (like a day) they could be fused together. When clicked on it youd be able to see the seperate commits.
* No a color should be efficient enough. In this way different contributors can work on the same branch.
* Yes because the focus lies on the aspect of work that is done. So a simple dot is efficient enough.

**Part 3 Group reflection**