

# Assignment #3

## UW-Madison MATH 421

GEOFF YOERGER  
April 22, 2021

**Exercise #1:** Spivak, Chapter 11, Problem 53

**Theorem.** Suppose

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{g(x)}{x} & x \neq 0 \\ 0 & x = 0 \end{cases}$$

and  $g(0) = g'(0) = 0$  and  $g''(0) = 17$ .  
Find  $f'(0)$

*Proof.* Notice that  $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} g'(h) = 0$  and  $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} 2h = 0$ , and  $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{g''(h)}{2} = \frac{17}{2}$  exists.

By L'Hopitals rule,  $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{g'(h)}{2h}$  exists, and is equal to  $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{g''(h)}{2}$ .

Notice that  $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} g(h) = 0$  and  $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} h^2 = 0$ .

By L'Hopitals rule,  $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(h)}{h^2}$  exists, and is equal to  $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{g'(h)}{2h}$ .

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} f'(0) &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(h) - f(0)}{h} \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(h)}{h} \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(h)}{h^2} \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{g'(h)}{2h} \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{g''(h)}{2} \\ &= \frac{17}{2} \end{aligned}$$

□

**Exercise #2:** Spivak, Chapter 11, Problem 65

**Theorem.** If  $n \geq 1$ , then  $(-1 < x < 0 \vee 0 < x) \implies (1+x)^n > 1+nx$ .

*Proof.* Let  $g(x) = (1+x)^n - 1 - nx$ .

Then  $g'(x) = n(1+x)^{n-1} - n = n((1+x)^{n-1} - 1)$ .

Notice that  $n-1 \geq 0$ .

Cases on  $x$ :

If  $-1 < x < 0$ , then  $(1+x) < 1 \implies (1+x)^{n-1} < 1 \implies g'(x) < 0$ .

If  $0 < x$ , then  $(1+x) > 1 \implies (1+x)^{n-1} > 1 \implies g'(x) > 0$ .

By corollary,  $g$  is decreasing on  $(-1, 0)$ , and increasing on  $(0, \infty)$ .

Thus, by definition,  $-1 < x < 0 \implies g(x) > 0$  and  $x > 0 \implies g(x) > 0$ .

$\implies -1 < x < 0 \vee 0 < x \implies (1+x)^n > 1+nx$ .

□

**Exercise #3:** If  $P, Q$  are partitions of  $[a, b]$ ,  $P \subset Q$ ,  $Q$  has one more element than  $P$ , and  $f$  is bounded on  $[a, b]$ , then  $U(f, P) \geq U(f, Q)$ .

*Proof.* By assumption,  $P = \{t_0, \dots, t_n\}$ ,  $Q = \{t_0, \dots, t_{k-1}, u, t_k, \dots, t_n\}$

Let  $M_i = \sup\{f(x) : t_{i-1} \leq x \leq t_i\}$ .

Let  $M' = \sup\{f(x) : t_{k-1} \leq x \leq u\}$ .

Let  $M'' = \sup\{f(x) : u \leq x \leq t_k\}$ .

Then  $U(f, P) = \sum_{i=1}^n M_i(t_i - t_{i-1})$ .

Then  $U(f, Q) = (\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} M_i(t_i - t_{i-1})) + m'(u - t_{k-1}) + m''(t_k - u) + \sum_{j=k+1}^n M_j(t_j - t_{j-1})$ .

So  $U(f, Q) - U(f, P) = M'(u - t_{k-1}) + M''(t_k - u) - M_k(t_k - t_{k-1})$ .

By defn,  $M' \leq M_k$ ,  $M'' \leq M_k$ .

So  $U(f, Q) - U(f, P) \leq M_k(u - t_{k-1}) + M_k(t_k - u) - M_k(t_k - t_{k-1}) = 0$ .

So  $U(f, Q) \leq U(f, P)$ . □

**Exercise #4:** Suppose  $f$  is integrable on  $[a, b]$ . Prove: if  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $cf$  is integrable on  $[a, b]$  and

$$\int_a^b cf = c \int_a^b f.$$

(Hint: how to  $L(f, P)$ ,  $L(cf, P)$ ,  $U(f, P)$ , and  $U(cf, P)$  relate? It is a good idea to treat the cases  $c \leq 0$  and  $c \geq 0$  separately. )

*Proof.* Assuming several properties of sup and inf.

$$1. \ c \geq 0 : \inf\{c * a : a \in A\} = c * \inf\{a : a \in A\}$$

$$2. \ c \geq 0 : \sup\{c * a : a \in A\} = c * \sup\{a : a \in A\}$$

$$3. \ c \leq 0 : \inf\{c * a : a \in A\} = c * \sup\{a : a \in A\}$$

$$4. \ c \leq 0 : \sup\{c * a : a \in A\} = c * \inf\{a : a \in A\}$$

Notice that " $f$  is bounded on  $[a, b]$ "  $\implies$  " $cf$  is bounded on  $[a, b]$ ".

If  $c \geq 0$ , because  $f$  is integrable on  $[a, b]$ , and  $\int_a^b f = \sup\{L(f, P)\} = \inf\{U(f, P)\}$ ,

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \implies c * \int_a^b f & \\ \equiv c * \sup\{L(f, P)\} & = c * \inf\{U(f, P)\} \\ \equiv \sup\{c * L(f, P)\} & = \inf\{c * U(f, P)\} \\ \equiv \sup\{c * \sum_{i=1}^n \inf\{f(x) : t_{i-1} \leq x \leq t_i\}(t_i - t_{i-1})\} & = \inf\{c * \sum_{i=1}^n \sup\{f(x) : t_{i-1} \leq x \leq t_i\}(t_i - t_{i-1})\} \\ \equiv \sup\{\sum_{i=1}^n \inf\{c * f(x) : t_{i-1} \leq x \leq t_i\}(t_i - t_{i-1})\} & = \inf\{\sum_{i=1}^n \sup\{c * f(x) : t_{i-1} \leq x \leq t_i\}(t_i - t_{i-1})\} \\ \equiv \sup\{L(cf, P)\} & = \inf\{U(cf, P)\} \\ \equiv \int_a^b cf & \\ \implies cf \text{ is integrable on } [a, b] & \end{aligned}$$

If  $c \leq 0$ , because  $f$  is integrable on  $[a, b]$ , and  $\int_a^b f = \sup\{L(f, P)\} = \inf\{U(f, P)\}$ ,

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\implies c * \int_a^b f \\
&\equiv c * \sup\{L(f, P)\} &= c * \inf\{U(f, P)\} \\
&\equiv \inf\{c * L(f, P)\} &= \sup\{c * U(f, P)\} \\
&\equiv \inf\{c * \sum_{i=1}^n \inf\{f(x) : t_{i-1} \leq x \leq t_i\}(t_i - t_{i-1})\} &= \sup\{c * \sum_{i=1}^n \sup\{f(x) : t_{i-1} \leq x \leq t_i\}(t_i - t_{i-1})\} \\
&\equiv \inf\{\sum_{i=1}^n \sup\{c * f(x) : t_{i-1} \leq x \leq t_i\}(t_i - t_{i-1})\} &= \sup\{\sum_{i=1}^n \inf\{c * f(x) : t_{i-1} \leq x \leq t_i\}(t_i - t_{i-1})\} \\
&\equiv \inf\{U(cf, P)\} &= \sup\{L(cf, P)\} \\
&\equiv \int_a^b cf \\
&\implies cf \text{ is integrable on } [a, b]
\end{aligned}$$

□

**Exercise #5:** Spivak, Chapter 13, Problem 20 (a), (b), and (c)

**Theorem.** Suppose that  $f$  is nondecreasing on  $[a, b]$ .

If  $P = t_0, \dots, t_n$  is a partition of  $[a, b]$ , what is  $L(f, P)$  and  $U(f, P)$ ?

*Proof of (a).* By definition,  $m_i = \inf\{f(x) : t_{i-1} \leq x \leq t_i\}$ .

By definition,  $M_i = \sup\{f(x) : t_{i-1} \leq x \leq t_i\}$ .

Since  $f$  is nondecreasing on  $[a, b]$ ,  $t_{i-1} \leq x \implies f(t_{i-1}) \leq f(x)$ .

Thus,  $m_i = f(t_{i-1})$ , and  $L(f, P) = \sum_{i=1}^n f(t_{i-1})(t_i - t_{i-1})$ .

Thus,  $M_i = f(t_i)$ , and  $U(f, P) = \sum_{i=1}^n f(t_i)(t_i - t_{i-1})$ . □

**Theorem.** Suppose  $\forall i : t_i - t_{i-1} = \delta$ .

Prove  $U(f, P) - L(f, P) = \delta * (f(b) - f(a))$ .

*Proof of (b).* Then  $L(f, P) = \sum_{i=1}^n f(t_{i-1}) * \delta$ .

Then  $U(f, P) = \sum_{i=1}^n f(t_i) * \delta$ .

Then  $U(f, P) - L(f, P) = \delta * (f(t_1) + \dots + f(t_n) - f(t_0) - \dots - f(t_{n-1})) = \delta * (f(t_n) - f(t_0)) = \delta * (f(b) - f(a))$ . □

**Theorem.** Prove  $f$  is integrable.

*Proof of (c).* Fix  $\epsilon > 0$ .

By archimedean property,  $\exists n \in \mathbb{N} : 0 < \frac{b-a}{n} < \frac{\epsilon}{f(b)-f(a)}$ .

Let  $\delta = \frac{b-a}{n}$ .

Let  $P = \{a, a + \delta, a + 2\delta, \dots, a + n\delta = a + b - a = b\}$ . Notice that  $\forall i : t_i - t_{i-1} = \delta$ .

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
U(f, P) - L(f, P) &= \delta(f(b) - f(a)) \\
&= \frac{b-a}{n}(f(b) - f(a)) \\
&< \frac{\epsilon}{f(b) - f(a)}(f(b) - f(a)) \\
&= \epsilon
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\epsilon > 0$  was arbitrary, by Theorem 13-2,  $f$  is integrable on  $[a, b]$ . □

**Exercise #6:** Prove: if  $f$  is integrable on  $[a, b]$ , then  $|f|$  is integrable on  $[a, b]$ .

(Hint: show that  $U(|f|, P) - L(|f|, P) \leq U(f, P) - L(f, P)$  )

*Proof.* Fix  $P = \{t_0, \dots, t_n\}$  as some partition of  $[a, b]$ .

Consider each interval: Fix  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

Cases on  $m_i(f), M_i(f)$ :

If  $m_i(f) < 0, M_i(f) < 0$ , then  $m_i(f) = -M_i(|f|)$ , and  $M_i(f) = -m_i(|f|)$ . Then  $M_i(|f|) - m_i(|f|) = M_i(f) - m_i(f)$ .

If  $m_i(f) > 0, M_i(f) > 0$ , then  $m_i(f) = m_i(|f|)$ , and  $M_i(f) = M_i(|f|)$ . Then  $M_i(|f|) - m_i(|f|) = M_i(f) - m_i(f)$ .

If  $m_i(f) < 0, M_i(f) > 0, |M_i(f)| \geq |m_i(f)|$ , then  $m_i(|f|) = 0$  and  $M_i(|f|) = M_i(f)$ . Then  $M_i(|f|) - m_i(|f|) = M_i(f) \leq M_i(f) - m_i(f)$ .

If  $m_i(f) < 0, M_i(f) > 0, |M_i(f)| \leq |m_i(f)|$ , then  $m_i(|f|) = 0$  and  $M_i(|f|) = -m_i(f)$ . Then  $M_i(|f|) - m_i(|f|) = -m_i(f) \leq M_i(f) - m_i(f)$ .

Since  $i$  was arbitrary, and from cases,  $\forall i : M_i(|f|) - m_i(|f|) \leq M_i(f) - m_i(f)$ .

Then,  $U(f, P) - L(f, P) = \sum_{i=1}^n (M_i(f) - m_i(f))(t_i - t_{i-1}) \geq \sum_{i=1}^n (M_i(|f|) - m_i(|f|))(t_i - t_{i-1}) = U(|f|, P) - L(|f|, P)$ .

Since  $P$  was arbitrary,  $\forall P : U(|f|, P) - L(|f|, P) \leq U(f, P) - L(f, P)$ .

Because  $f$  is integrable on  $[a, b]$ , by theorem 13-2,  $\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists P : \epsilon > U(f, P) - L(f, P) \geq U(|f|, P) - L(|f|, P)$ .

Thus,  $\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists P : U(|f|, P) - L(|f|, P) < \epsilon$ .

Thus, by theorem 13-2,  $|f|$  is integrable on  $[a, b]$ . □

**Exercise #7:** Prove: if  $f$  and  $g$  are integrable on  $[a, b]$ , then  $\max\{f, g\}$  and  $\min\{f, g\}$  are integrable on  $[a, b]$ .

(Hint: use a past HW problem for expressing the minimum and maximum in terms of absolute values).

*Proof.* By definition,  $\max\{f, g\} = \frac{f+g+|f-g|}{2}$ , and  $\min\{f, g\} = \frac{f+g-|f-g|}{2}$ .

By Thm 6,  $-g$  is integrable on  $[a, b]$ .

By Thm 5,  $f - g$  is integrable on  $[a, b]$ .

By result of exercise 6,  $|f - g|$  is integrable on  $[a, b]$ .

By Thm 6,  $-|f - g|$  is integrable on  $[a, b]$ .

By Thm 5,  $f + g$  is integrable on  $[a, b]$ .

By Thm 5,  $f + g + |f - g|$  and  $f + g - |f - g|$  are both integrable on  $[a, b]$ .

By Thm 6,  $\frac{f+g+|f-g|}{2}$  and  $\frac{f+g-|f-g|}{2}$  are both integrable on  $[a, b]$ .

Equivalently,  $\max\{f, g\}$  and  $\min\{f, g\}$  are both integrable on  $[a, b]$ . □