## Title

## Discount

## 1 Lemma

For convenience of expression, we set the setup cost as  $S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_n$  at interval point while the number of machine changes. And  $S_i$  denotes the setup cost when the machine number changes from i to i-1, especially,  $S_1$  denotes the least setup cost when machine number is 1 and the corresponding subsidy is 0. We have the equation  $S_1 = S_2 + \cdots + S_n = \sum_{i=2}^n S_i$ .

At first by given job processing times and calculating the given program, we can get n-1 setup cost values at interval points, that is  $S_2, S_3, \ldots, S_n$ .

Now that  $S_2, S_3, \ldots, S_n$  can be obtained by calculating, we can have a further result.  $S_1 = (n-1, n-2, \ldots, 0) \cdot (t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_n)^T$ , and  $t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_n$ .

Consider all the permutation and combination of processing jobs on two machines, we know that the following inequality must hold

$$S_0 + (n, n - 1, \dots, 1) \cdot (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n)^T \le 2S_0 + (n, t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n)^T$$
.

Notice that the inequality holds under any circumstances, which means we should find the minimum of  $\bigcirc$ . Meanwhile, we know that  $\bigcirc$  must contain jobs' processing time from  $t_1$  to  $t_n$  once at least. That is  $\bigcirc \ge (1, 1, ..., 1)$ .

So when  $\bigcirc = (1, 1, ..., 1)$ , we get the equality

$$S_0 + (n, n - 1, \dots, 1) \cdot (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n)^T = 2S_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n t_i$$
 (1)

Use  $S_1$  to replace  $S_0$ , we can get

$$S_1 = (n - 1, n - 2, \dots, 0) \cdot (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n)^T$$
(2)

	\AM@currentdocname .pdf
	(Missair on vaconame . par
.pdf	

	\AM@currentdocname .pdf
	(Missair on vaconame . par
.pdf	