

1.

- (a) The following are (not necessarily minimal) representations of the connectives shown using Nand only.

(i)

$$\neg p \Leftrightarrow \neg(p \wedge p) \Leftrightarrow p \uparrow p$$

(ii)

$$p \vee q \Leftrightarrow \neg(\overline{p \vee q}) \Leftrightarrow \neg(\overline{p} \wedge \overline{q}) \Leftrightarrow \overline{p} \uparrow \overline{q} \Leftrightarrow (p \uparrow p) \uparrow (q \uparrow q)$$

(iii)

$$p \wedge q \Leftrightarrow \neg(\overline{p \wedge q}) \Leftrightarrow \neg(p \uparrow q) \Leftrightarrow (p \uparrow q) \uparrow (p \uparrow q)$$

(iv)

$$p \rightarrow q \Leftrightarrow \overline{p} \vee q \Leftrightarrow (\overline{p} \uparrow \overline{p}) \uparrow (q \uparrow q) \Leftrightarrow ((p \uparrow p) \uparrow (p \uparrow p)) \uparrow (q \uparrow q)$$

(v)

$$p \leftrightarrow q \Leftrightarrow (p \rightarrow q) \wedge (q \rightarrow p) \Leftrightarrow ((p \rightarrow q) \uparrow (q \rightarrow p)) \uparrow ((p \rightarrow q) \uparrow (q \rightarrow p)) \Leftrightarrow \dots$$

using part(iv), this leads to a representation involving 23 Nand operators.

- (b) The following are (not necessarily minimal) representations of the connectives shown using Nor only.

(i)

$$\neg p \Leftrightarrow \neg(p \vee p) \Leftrightarrow p \downarrow p$$

(ii)

$$p \vee q \Leftrightarrow \neg(\overline{p \vee q}) \Leftrightarrow \neg(p \downarrow q) \Leftrightarrow (p \downarrow q) \downarrow (p \downarrow q)$$

(iii)

$$p \wedge q \Leftrightarrow \neg(\overline{p \wedge q}) \Leftrightarrow \neg(\overline{p} \vee \overline{q}) \Leftrightarrow \overline{p} \downarrow \overline{q} \Leftrightarrow (p \downarrow p) \downarrow (q \downarrow q)$$

(iv)

$$p \rightarrow q \Leftrightarrow \overline{p} \vee q \Leftrightarrow (\overline{p} \downarrow \overline{p}) \downarrow (q \downarrow q) \Leftrightarrow ((p \downarrow p) \downarrow q) \downarrow ((p \downarrow p) \downarrow q)$$

(v)

$$p \leftrightarrow q \Leftrightarrow (p \rightarrow q) \wedge (q \rightarrow p) \Leftrightarrow ((p \rightarrow q) \downarrow (p \rightarrow q)) \downarrow ((q \rightarrow p) \downarrow (q \rightarrow p)) \Leftrightarrow \dots$$

using part(iv), this leads to a representation involving 23 Nor operators.

2. (a)  $(p \wedge q) \rightarrow r$  and  $p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r)$  are logically equivalent if  $((p \wedge q) \rightarrow r) \leftrightarrow (p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r))$ . Construct the truth table and verify that they are logically equivalent.
- (b) (i) Where they differ, the left hand segment has the structure  $(p \wedge q) \rightarrow r$  while the right hand segment is of the form  $p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r)$ .
- (ii) Both segments compute the same quantity. In terms of the total number of comparisons made, the segment on the right is more efficient.

3.

- (a) The atoms or primitives are:  
 p: Clare win the “All Ireland” this year.  
 q: Des [McInerney] will be happy.  
 r: [Des’s] being home in Clarecastle.  
 The paragraph reads

$$[p \rightarrow q] \wedge [r \rightarrow q] \Rightarrow [p \rightarrow (r \rightarrow q)]$$

The argument is valid since (see Question 2(a))

$$[p \rightarrow q] \wedge [r \rightarrow q] \Leftrightarrow [(p \wedge r) \rightarrow q] \Leftrightarrow [p \rightarrow (r \rightarrow q)]$$

- (b) The atoms are:  
 p: Lisa [is/will] be in College [on/this] Friday.  
 q: [Lisa] will attend her 2 o’clock lecture.  
 r: [Lisa] will catch a later bus [home].  
 The paragraph reads

$$[p \rightarrow (r \rightarrow q)] \wedge [p \wedge \bar{r}] \Rightarrow [\bar{q}]$$

The argument is false. The truth assignment

$$p : \text{True} \quad q : \text{True} \quad r : \text{False}$$

creates a counterexample.

- (c) The atoms are (modulo grammar):  
 p: The Punt is strong.  
 q: Exports fall.  
 r: Unemployment will rise.  
 s: Interest rates drop.  
 The paragraph reads:

$$[p \rightarrow q] \wedge [q \rightarrow r] \wedge [\bar{p} \rightarrow s] \Rightarrow [s \rightarrow \bar{r}]$$

The argument is invalid. The hypotheses can be rewritten as (using the contrapositive form and interchanging the order of the first two sentences)

$$[\bar{r} \rightarrow \bar{q}] \wedge [\bar{q} \rightarrow \bar{p}] \wedge [\bar{p} \rightarrow s]$$

and further, using the Law of the Syllogism (twice), this implies

$$[\bar{r} \rightarrow s]$$

which is the converse of what is to be proven.

4. (a) true; (b) false; (c) true; (d) true; (e) true;  
 (f) false; (g) false; (h) false; (i) false; (j) true (  $x = 0$  or  $-1$  ).

5.

- (a)  $\forall x \exists y \ y < x$   
 (b)  $\exists! x \ x = x^2$ . Define  $\exists!$  by

$$[\exists! x \ p(x)] \Leftrightarrow [\exists x \ p(x)] \wedge [\forall x \forall y \ [(p(x) \wedge p(y)) \rightarrow (x = y)]]$$

- (c)  $\forall x \exists! y \ xy = 1$