CHAPTER 3. LOGIC

are two general methods for tackling this type of problem; they are illustrated in the following two examples.

43

Example 3.15 We use truth tables to simplify $w = (p \land \neg q) \lor (p \land q)$.

i	р	q	$\neg q$	$p \land \neg q$	$p \wedge q$	$(p \land \neg q) \lor (p \land q)$
	0	0	1	0	0	Ō
	0	1	0	0	0	0
	1	0	1	1	0	1
Ì	1	1	0	0	1	1

From the table, we see that the column for the output $w = (p \land \neg q) \lor (p \land q)$ is identical to the column for p. Hence this network can be replaced by the input p, and no input q or gates are necessary. \square

Example 3.16 We use the laws of logic to simplify the expression for the final output $w = (p \land \neg q) \lor (p \land q)$.

First note that we have " $p \land (something)$ " in both brackets. By the distributive law, we can take " $p \land$ " out of these brackets as "a common factor" and write:

$$(p \land \neg q) \lor (p \land q) = p \land (\neg q \lor q).$$

Now $(\neg q \lor q) = (q \lor \neg q) = T$, by the commutative law and the complement law. Thus

$$w = p \wedge T$$
.

However, $p \wedge T = p$, by the identity law. Hence we obtain w = p, as in the previous example. \square

3.5 Exercises 3

1. The following propositions relate to a 3-bit binary string s.

p: Only one bit of s is 0.

q: The first two bits of s are the same.

Find the truth set for each of the following statements:

$$p; q; p \wedge q; p \vee q.$$

2. Let p, q denote the following propositions concerning an integer n.

$$p: n \le 50; q: n \ge 10.$$

Express in words, as simply as you can, the following statements as conditions on n.

$$\neg p; p \land q; \neg (\neg q); \neg p \lor \neg q.$$

3. Let p, q be the following propositions.

p: This book is on Databases.

q: This book is on Programming.

Express each of the following compound statements symbolically in TWO different ways:

- (a) This book is not on Databases or Programming.
- (b) This book is not on Databases and Programming.
- 4. Use truth tables to prove that $(p \wedge q) \vee (\neg p \wedge q) = q$. (Hint: you will need to construct columns for p, q and $p \wedge q, \neg p, \neg p \wedge q, (p \wedge q) \vee (\neg p \wedge q)$. Remember to make a comment at the end to say why the table proves that the two statements are logically equivalent.)