Monopoles

Linden Disney-Hogg

November 2020

Contents

1	Inti	roduction 2	2			
	1.1	Preamble)			
2	Preliminaries					
	2.1	The Dirac Monopole)			
	2.2	Pauli Matrices	3			
	2.3	SU(2)	3			
	2.4	Degree of a Map	3			
	2.5	Spinors	Į			
		2.5.1 Spinor Bundles	Į			
		2.5.2 Spinors on Minkowski Space	í			
		2.5.3 Spinor Fields	;			
	2.6	Twistors	7			
		2.6.1 Grassmannians and Flag Manifolds	7			
		2.6.2 Twistor Space	3			
		2.6.3 Actions on Twistor Space)			
		2.6.4 Complexified Minkowski Space)			
3	The Monopole Equations 10					
	3.1	Yang-Mills-Higgs equations)			
	3.2	BPS limit	Į			
	3.3	Self-Dual Reduction	3			
4	Self	f-Dual Gauge Fields)			
5	Constructions 21					
	5.1	Nahm's Construction	_			
	5.2	The ADHM construction	_			
		5.2.1 Connection from Projection				
		5.2.2 Quaternionic Bundles				

1 Introduction

1.1 Preamble

I already have notes on Gauge Theory, Algebraic Geometry, Solitons, and Algebraic Topology, but I have yet to actually make any notes on Monopoles. The purpose of these notes is to be a comprehensive cover of the knowledge required to understand [4]. This will include previous works by Atiyah, Donaldson, Hitchin, Nahm, and more.

2 Preliminaries

As with all my projects, the preliminaries will undoubtedly end up being too long, but I will try keep this minimal this time:

Definition 2.1. The annihilator of $U \leq V$ is

$$U^0 = \{ f \in V^* \mid \forall u \in U, f(u) = 0 \} \le V^*$$

If V has bilinear $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ we can use the isomorphism of $V^* \cong V$ to understand

$$U^0 = \{ v \in V \mid \forall u \in U, \langle u, v \rangle = 0 \} \le V$$

Lemma 2.2. The annihilator is a subspace, $\dim U^0 = \dim V - \dim U$.

Definition 2.3. A subspace U is called **isotropic** if $U \subset U^0$.

2.1 The Dirac Monopole

The standard maxwell equations prohibit monopoles, by which we mean point magnetic field sources, as $\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{B} = \mathbf{0}$. Dirac showed in [5] that it is possible to escape this conclusion by giving non-trivial topology to the space by allowing $\boldsymbol{B} = \frac{g}{4\pi r^2}\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}$ to have a singularity at $\boldsymbol{x} = \mathbf{0}$. We can calculate $\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{B} = g\delta(\boldsymbol{x})$. Removing this circle gives $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus 0$, homotopic to S^2 , and the corresponding magnetic two form on this sphere is

$$f = \frac{g}{4\pi} \sin\theta d\theta \wedge d\phi$$

and so the flux through a 2-sphere enclosing the origin is $\int_{S_R^2} f = g$. For $g \neq 0$, we know $f \neq da$ for a global $a \in \Omega^1(S^2)$ by Stokes' theorem, but if we take a cover of the sphere $U_{N/S}$ (north/south) and define gauge potentials

$$a_N = \frac{g}{4\pi} (1 - \cos \theta) d\phi \in \Omega^1(U_N)$$
$$a_S = \frac{g}{4\pi} (-1 - \cos \theta) d\phi \in \Omega^1(U_S)$$

On the intersect $U_N \cap U_S$ we have $da_N = f = da_S$ and $a_N = a_S + \frac{g}{2\pi}d\phi$.

Now taking A = ia, F = if, we have that $g_{NS}(\theta, \phi) = e^{-i\frac{g\phi}{2\pi}}$. Requiring that this is a well-defined transition function gives $g \in \mathbb{Z}$. This is equivalent to the integrality of the Chern number.

We will not want to consider this as this solution is not solitonic (it has infinite mass), but for further discussion see [9].

2.2 Pauli Matrices

Definition 2.4 (Pauli Matrices). The Pauli matrices are

$$\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \qquad \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \qquad \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note they are all Hermitian and traceless.

Fact 2.5. $\sigma_i \sigma_i = \delta_{ij} I + i \epsilon_{ijk} \sigma_k \Rightarrow \text{Tr}(\sigma_i \sigma_i) = 2 \delta_{ij}$

2.3 SU(2)

We can write

$$SU(2) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & -\overline{\beta} \\ \beta & \overline{\alpha} \end{pmatrix} : \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}, |\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1 \right\}$$

This can be expressed as, for $A \in SU(2)$

$$A = a_0 I + i \boldsymbol{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}$$

where $\mathbf{a}=(a_1,a_2,a_3)$, $\mathbf{\sigma}=(\sigma_1,\sigma_2,\sigma_3)$, and $a_0^2+|\mathbf{a}|^2=1$. Hence $SU(2)\cong S^3$. In addition, by parametrising SU(2) by the a_i , it can be seen that $\{i\sigma_i\}$ forms a basis of $\mathfrak{su}(2)$. It is typical to normalise this basis to $\{T^a=-\frac{1}{2}i\sigma_a\}$.

Lemma 2.6. The structure constants in this basis $\{T^a\}$ are $f_c^{ab} = \epsilon_{abc}$.

Corollary 2.7. The Killing form is given by $\kappa\left(T^a,T^b\right)=\kappa^{ab}=-2\delta^{ab}=4\operatorname{Tr}(T^aT^b)$. Hence $\kappa(X,Y)=4\operatorname{Tr}(XY)$

2.4 Degree of a Map

We will want to consider continuous maps $f: X \to Y$ between connected oriented n-dimensional manifold. We state the following lemma:

Lemma 2.8. X orientable iff $H_n(X) = \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. See [7].
$$\Box$$

Denote [X], [Y] to be the generators of $H_n(X), H_n(Y)$ respectively. Recalling that we get an induced homomorphism $f_*: H_n(X) \to H_n(Y)$ we make the following definition:

Definition 2.9. The **degree** of f is defined s.t.

$$f_*([X]) = \deg(f)[Y]$$

Now recall we have a pairing between k-forms and k-chains on a manifold given by

$$\langle c, \omega \rangle = \int_{\mathcal{C}} \omega$$

and this descends to a pairing between cohomology and homology. With this we have

$$\langle f_*[c], \omega \rangle = \langle [c], f^*[\omega] \rangle$$

Meaning that we can also express the degree via

$$\deg(f) \int_{Y} \omega = \int_{X} f^* \omega$$

for some top form on Y. This reformulation gives a convenient way to interpret the degree:

Proposition 2.10. Take $y \in Y$ s.t. $f^{-1}(y) \subset X$ is a set of isolated points, say $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^m$. Then

$$\deg f = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \operatorname{sgn}\left(J(x_i)\right)$$

Proof. See [9], the idea is to choose a volume form on Y localised around y, which pulls back to a volume form on X localised around the x_i , with the ± 1 coming from the change of orientation. \Box

2.5 Spinors

2.5.1 Spinor Bundles

Definition 2.11. The complexification of a vector space V is the vector space $V_{\mathbb{C}} = V \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$.

Proposition 2.12. $V_{\mathbb{C}}$ comes with a well defined map of conjugation $v \otimes z \to v \otimes \overline{z}$. This is a \mathbb{C} -linear isomorphism onto $\overline{V_{\mathbb{C}}}$.

Lemma 2.13. $(V^*)_{\mathbb{C}} = (V_{\mathbb{C}})^*$.

We will now state a few results about $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ bundles:

Lemma 2.14. A rank-2 complex vector bundle $S \to M$ has a reduction of structure group to a $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ -bundle iff $\exists \varepsilon \in \Gamma(M, \wedge^2 S)$ a non-degenerate form.

Remark. Such an ϵ will be a symplectic form on S.

Corollary 2.15. The cotangent bundle on a 2-dimensional manifold M reduces to a $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ bundle iff the canonical bundle is trivial.

Definition 2.16. Suppose M is a 4-dimensional manifold s.t $\exists S \to M$ a $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ -bundle s.t.

$$TM_{\mathbb{C}} \cong S \otimes \overline{S}$$

Then we call S a spinor bundle on M. A section $s \in \Gamma(S)$ is called a spinor.

Remark. This is a quite specific definition, so to see this done in more generality check out [10].

Notation. Given a spinor bundle $S \to M$ we will use the notation

$$S^{A} = S^{-} = S$$
 $S^{A'} = S^{+} = \overline{S}$ $S_{A} = S_{-} = S^{*}$ $S_{A'} = S_{+} = \overline{S}^{*}$

Each of the above comes with an associated non-degenerate two form $\epsilon^{\pm} \in \Gamma(M; \wedge^2 S^{\pm}), \epsilon_{\pm} \in \Gamma(M; \wedge^2 S_{\pm}),$ and these provide isomorphisms to the dual as follows:

$$\epsilon^{A'B'} = \epsilon^+ : S_+ \to S^+ \quad \epsilon^{AB} = \epsilon^- : S_- \to S^- \quad \epsilon_{A'B'} = \epsilon_+ : S^+ \to S_+ \quad \epsilon_{AB} = \epsilon_- : S_- \to S_-$$

If we take an open $U \subset M$ and trivialise s.t $S|_U \cong \mathbb{C}^2$, we have the standard action of $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ given by $v \mapsto gv$ for $g \in SL_2(\mathbb{C})$. We think of this as saying that a spinor in S transforms in the fundamental representation of $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$. We can then build the following table:

Bundle	Representation	Actions
S^-	Fundamental	$v \mapsto gv$
S_{-}	Dual	$v \mapsto v^T g^T$
S^+	Congujate	$v \mapsto \overline{g}v$
S_{+}	Conjugate Dual	$v \mapsto v^T \overline{g}^T$

Definition 2.17. A spinor frame is a choice of frame of S^- wrt which

$$\epsilon^{-} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

2.5.2 Spinors on Minkowski Space

One important example of Spinors will be those on Minkowski space, which we will now denote as M^4 , so we shall cover it in slightly more detail.

Remark. Here, as in the twistor section, we shall follow Ward & Wells [13]. They remark that we should really consider Minkwoski space as an affine space in order to not distinguish the origin, and cite [12] for a further discussion. The result of this is that if we choose an origin $0 \in M^4$ we have $M^4 \cong T_0M^4$.

Note also, [13] uses the mostly-minus Minkowski metric, so initially this section should be assumed to be using this convention unless stated otherwise.

We first introduce a useful coordinate system via the following map to $H_2 = \{2 \times 2 \text{ Hermitian matrices}\}$:

$$M^4 \stackrel{\cong}{\to} H_2$$

$$x = (x^{\mu}) \mapsto \tilde{x} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} x^0 + x^3 & x^1 - ix^2 \\ x^1 + ix^2 & x^0 - x^3 \end{pmatrix}$$

Note the correspondence can be written as $\tilde{x} = x^{\mu}\sigma_{\mu}$ where $\sigma_{\mu} = (I, \boldsymbol{\sigma})$ corresponds to the Pauli matrices.

Proposition 2.18. det $\tilde{x} = \frac{1}{2} |x|^2$.

Proof. It is simple to calculate

$$\det \tilde{x} = \frac{1}{2} \left[(x^0 + x^3)(x^0 - x^3) - (x^1 - ix^2)(x^1 + ix^2) \right] = \frac{1}{2} \left[(x^0)^2 - (x^3)^2 - (x^1)^2 - (x^2)^2 \right]$$

Note that under the same isomorphism, when we complexify, we get that $M^4_{\mathbb{C}} \cong M_2(\mathbb{C})$. This is as every complex can be decomposed into a Hermitian and anti-Hermitian part.

Now let $S = \mathbb{C}^2$, where we consider an element $s \in S$ as a column vector. Then $S^* = \mathbb{C}^2$ with elements \tilde{s} considered as row vectors.

Proposition 2.19. S is a spinor bundle on M^4 .

Proof.

$$T_0M^4 \otimes \mathbb{C} \cong M^4 \otimes \mathbb{C} \cong M_2(\mathbb{C}) \cong S \otimes S^*$$

We are then done as $\overline{S} = S^*$ here.

Under this correspondence, we get the following result

Lemma 2.20. $v \in T_0M_{\mathbb{C}}^4 \otimes \mathbb{C}^4$ is null iff $\exists s \in S, \tilde{s} \in S^*$ s.t. $v = s\tilde{s}$. Moreover v corresponds to a real vector iff $\tilde{s} = rs$ for $r \in \mathbb{R}$.

Remark. By the above a vector $v \in T_0M_{\mathbb{C}}^4$ is real iff $\exists s \in S \text{ s.t. } v = ss^{\dagger}$. In this sense we can think of spinors as the square root of real null vectors.

2.5.3 Spinor Fields

We want to extend the above work looking at spinors on Minkowski space to write explicitly the isomorphism claimed in Definition 2.16

Definition 2.21. We define the mixed spinor tensor $\sigma_a^{\ AA'}$ by the conditions

- $\bullet \ \overline{\sigma}_a{}^{AA'} = \sigma_a{}^{AA'}$
- $\sigma_a^{AA'}\sigma_{AA'}^b=\delta_a^b$
- $\sigma_a^{AA'}\sigma_{RR'}^a = \epsilon_R^A \epsilon_{R'}^{A'}$.
- $\sigma_{[a}{}^{AA'}\sigma_{b]A}{}^{B'} = -\frac{1}{2}i\epsilon_{abcd}\sigma^{cAA'}\sigma^{d}{}_{A}{}^{B'}$

Given a manifold M with spin bundle S this gives the isomorphism $TM = S \otimes \overline{S}$ as

$$v^{AA'} = \sigma_a{}^{AA'}v^a, \quad v^a = \sigma^a{}_{AA'}v^{AA'}$$

Lemma 2.22. Given a metric η_{ab} on M the spinor equivalent is

$$\eta_{ab}\sigma^a_{AA'}\sigma^b_{BB'} = \epsilon_{AB}\epsilon_{A'B'}$$

Remark. We will often omit the σ to simply write $v^a = v^{AA'}$.

Lemma 2.23. If ξ_{AB} is a skew-spinor then $\xi_{AB} = \epsilon_{AB} \xi_C^C$.

Example 2.24. If F_{ab} is a Maxwell tensor (i.e. will eventually be a curvature tensor in a gauge theory) then

$$F_{AA'BB'} = \phi_{AB}\epsilon_{A'B'} + \phi_{A'B'}\epsilon_{AB}$$

where

$$\phi_{AB} = \frac{1}{2} F_{AC'B}{}^{C'}, \quad \phi_{A'B'} = \frac{1}{2} F_{CB'}{}^{C}_{A'}$$

are symmetric. We also have the following result:

Lemma 2.25. $\phi_{A'B'}\epsilon_{AB}$, $\phi_{AB}\epsilon_{A'B'}$ are the self dual and anti self dual parts of F respectively.

Now recall a vector $v \in TM$ is null if $v_a v^a = 0$. We can translate this result into a comment on spinors:

Proposition 2.26. $v^{AA'}$ is null iff $v^{AA'} = \lambda^A \xi^{A'}$ for spinors λ, ξ .

2.6 Twistors

2.6.1 Grassmannians and Flag Manifolds

Definition 2.27. Let V be an n-dimensional vector space over \mathbb{F} . Define the **Grassmannian** manifold of k-dimensional subspaces as $G_k(V)$.

Lemma 2.28. If
$$\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$$
, $G_k(V) \cong O(n)/[O(k) \times O(n-k)]$

Proof. We can view the Grassmannian as the quotient of GL(V) by the stabiliser of a k-dim subspace.

Remark. Likewise if $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$, $G_k(V) \cong U(n)/[U(k) \times U(n-k)]$,

Corollary 2.29. dim $G_k(V) = k(n - k)$.

Example 2.30. $G_1(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{CP}^1$.

Notation. If we pick a basis of complex vector space V to make $V \cong \mathbb{C}^n$, we identify $G_k(V) \cong G_k(\mathbb{C}^n)$ which we will notation $G_{k,n}$.

If we denote $M_{n\times k}^*(\mathbb{C})$ to be the full rank $m\times k$ matrices we can construct G via the projection

$$M_{n\times k}^*(\mathbb{C}) \to G_{k,n}$$

 $M \mapsto \operatorname{Span} \{ \text{columns of } M \}$

 $M_{n\times k}^*(\mathbb{C})$ carries a transitive left action via multiplication that doesn't affect span, and so we get a bundle structure. We can then consider coordinates on $G_{k,n}$ as $Z\in M_{(n-k)\times k}(\mathbb{C})\cong \mathbb{C}^{k(n-k)}$ via the map

$$\begin{split} \phi: M_{(n-k)\times k}(\mathbb{C}) &\to G_{k,n} \\ Z &\mapsto \left[\begin{pmatrix} Z \\ I_k \end{pmatrix} \right] \end{split}$$

It will now be necessary to generalise this concept slightly:

Definition 2.31. Given a fixed complex n-dimensional vector space V and $\{d_i\}_{i=1}^m$ integers satisfying $1 \le d_1 < d_2 < \cdots < d_m < n$ we define a **flag manifold of type** (d_1, \ldots, d_m) as

$$F_{d_1...d_m}(V) = \{(S_1, ..., S_m) | S_i \text{ a } d_i\text{-dimensional subspace of } V, S_1 \subset S_2 \subset \cdots \subset S_m\}$$

Lemma 2.32. The dimension of a flaf manifold of type (d_1, \ldots, d_m) is

$$d_1(n-d_1) + (d_2-d_1)(n-d_2) + \cdots + (d_m = d_{m-1})(n-d_m)$$

Definition 2.33. If V is a 4-dimensional vector space we define the **Plücker embedding** to be the map

$$pl: G_2(V) \to \mathbb{P}(\wedge^2 V)$$

Span $(Z, W) \mapsto [\operatorname{Span}(Z \wedge W)]$

Theorem 2.34. pl is an embedding, with image $Q_4 = pl(G_{2,4})$ given by

$$Q_4 = \left\{ z^{ij} \, | \, z^{12}z^{34} - z^{13}z^{24} + z^{14}z^{23} = 0 \right\} = \left\{ [z] \, | \, z \wedge z = 0 \right\} \subset \mathbb{P}^5$$

where $[z^{ij}]$ are homogeneous coordinates on $\mathbb{P}(\wedge^2 V)$. Q_4 is called the **Klein quadric**.

Generically a line L in \mathbb{P}^5 will intersect Q_4 in isolated points, but when this doesn't happen we can give such lines a special name:

Definition 2.35. A line $L \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ is **null** if $L \subset Q_4$. A plane $N \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ is null if every line $L \subset N$ is null.

2.6.2 Twistor Space

Now given \mathbb{T} a 4d \mathbb{C} vector space we can get a **double fibration**

$$F_1(\mathbb{T}) \stackrel{\pi_1}{\twoheadleftarrow} F_{12}(\mathbb{T}) \stackrel{\pi_2}{\twoheadrightarrow} F_2(\mathbb{T})$$

from the projections of (S_1, S_2)

Proposition 2.36. The π_i are holomorphic.

Definition 2.37. Given seta A, B a correspondence is a map $f : A \to \mathcal{P}(B)$ sending each $a \in A$ to $f(a) \subset B$.

The double fibration induces natural correspondences $c = \pi_2 \circ \pi_1^{-1}$ and $c^{-1} = \pi_1 \circ \pi_2^{-1}$.

Notation. We shall call \mathbb{T} the **twistor space**, and denote

- $\mathbb{PT} = F_1(\mathbb{T}) \cong \mathbb{CP}^3$ projective twistor space
- $M_{\mathbb{C}} = F_2(\mathbb{T}) \cong G_{2,4}(\mathbb{C})$ compactified complexified Minkowski space
- $F = F_{12}(\mathbb{T})$ the **correspondence** between \mathbb{PT} and $M_{\mathbb{C}}$.

For $A \subset \mathbb{PT}$ we shall let $c(A) = \tilde{A}$, and for $B \subset M_{\mathbb{C}}$ let $\hat{B} = c^{-1}(A)$.

Idea. The point of twistor geometry is understanding how to use the correspondence

$$\mathbb{PT} \leftarrow F \rightarrow M_{\mathbb{C}}$$

to transfer information about (subsets of) \mathbb{PT} to (subsets of) $M_{\mathbb{C}}$.

Remark. F is sometimes denoted as \mathbb{PS} and called the **projective spinor bundle**. We will hopefully return to this later.

Proposition 2.38. For $p \in \mathbb{PT}$, $q \in M_{\mathbb{C}}$, $\tilde{p} \cong \mathbb{P}^2$ and $\hat{q} \cong \mathbb{P}^1$

We now wish to understand the correspondence in coordinates. We consider the map ϕ as described before, though now we send

$$z \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} iz \\ I_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

for convenience, let $M^I_{\mathbb C}=\phi(M_2({\mathbb C})),\, \mathbb{PT}^I=c^{-1}(M^I_{\mathbb C})$ and $F^I=\pi_2^{-1}(M^I).$

Proposition 2.39. $F^I \cong M^I \times \mathbb{P}^1$.

Proof. The isomorphism is given as

$$\begin{split} M_{\mathbb{C}}^{I} \times \mathbb{P}^{1} &\to F^{I} \\ (z, [v]) &\mapsto \left(\left[\begin{pmatrix} izv \\ v \end{pmatrix} \right], \left[\begin{pmatrix} iz \\ I_{2} \end{pmatrix} \right] \right) \end{split}$$

Corollary 2.40. In coordinates we can see the double fibration as

$$\mathbb{PT}^I \leftarrow F^I \rightarrow M^I_{\mathbb{C}}$$
$$(izv, v)^T \leftarrow (z, [v]) \rightarrow z$$

We can further construct the following double fibrations of flag manifolds over \mathbb{T}

$$F_3 \leftarrow F_{23} \twoheadrightarrow F_2$$
 $F_{13} \leftarrow F_{123} \twoheadrightarrow F_2$

As dim $\mathbb{T} = 4$ we have that canonically $F_3 \cong \mathbb{PT}^*$, $F_{23} \cong F^*$.

Definition 2.41. We call F_3 the dual projective twistor space, $F_{13} = \mathbb{A}$ the ambitwistor space. We will denote $F_{123} = \mathbb{G}$.

With this notation the fibrations look as

$$\mathbb{PT}^* \twoheadleftarrow F^* \to M_{\mathbb{C}} \qquad \qquad \mathbb{A} \twoheadleftarrow \mathbb{G} \to M_{\mathbb{C}}$$

We now can extend the result of prop 2.38

Proposition 2.42. If $p \in \mathbb{PT}$, $q \in \mathbb{PT}^*$, $r \in \mathbb{A}$ then

$$\tilde{p}\cong\mathbb{P}^2 \hspace{1cm} \tilde{q}\cong\mathbb{P}^2 \hspace{1cm} \tilde{r}\cong\mathbb{P}^1$$

and for $s \in M_{\mathbb{C}}$

$$\hat{s}_{\mathbb{P}\mathbb{T}} \cong \mathbb{P}^1$$
 $\hat{s}_{\mathbb{P}\mathbb{T}^*} \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ $\hat{s}_{\mathbb{A}} \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$

Definition 2.43. Given $p \in \mathbb{PT}$, $\tilde{p} \subset M_{\mathbb{C}}$ is called an α -plane, and given $q \in \mathbb{PT}^*$, $\tilde{q} \subset M_{\mathbb{C}}$ is called an β -plane.

By the Plücker embedding, we have that $M_{\mathbb{C}} \cong Q_4 \subset \mathbb{P}^5$, and so we can describe α, β -planes in terms of null planes via the following result:

Proposition 2.44. We have that

- All α, β -planes in $M_{\mathbb{C}}$ are null in \mathbb{P}^5
- Any null planes in \mathbb{P}^5 is either an α -plane or β -plane.
- Null lines in $M_{\mathbb{C}}$ are the 5-dimensional family of lines of the form \tilde{r} for $r \in \mathbb{A}$.
- Any null line in $M_{\mathbb{C}}$ is the intersection of an α -plane and β -plane.

2.6.3 Actions on Twistor Space

We will often want to equip \mathbb{T} with a Hermitian form Φ . We state a quick lemma:

Lemma 2.45. Φ determines a volume form $\Omega \in \wedge^4 \mathbb{T}^*$ on \mathbb{T} by

$$\Omega = \operatorname{Im} \Phi \wedge \operatorname{Im} \Phi$$

Definition 2.46. We define $SU(\mathbb{T}, \Phi) \subset GL(\mathbb{T})$ to be the subset preserving Φ and Ω .

Example 2.47. If Φ has signature (2,2) then $SU(\mathbb{T},\Phi) \cong SU(2,2)$.

Given a (2,2)-signature Hermitian form on $\mathbb{T} \exists (Z^{\alpha})$ coordinates wrt which we have

$$\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_2 \\ I_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Leftrightarrow \Phi(Z, Z) = Z^0 \overline{Z^2} + Z^1 \overline{Z^3} + Z^2 \overline{Z^0} + Z^3 \overline{Z^1}$$

Notation. We denote the vector space \mathbb{T} with the above coordinates adapted to Φ as \mathbb{T}^{α} and its dual as \mathbb{T}_{α} . We call the corresponding dual coordinates W_{α} .

 $SL_2(\mathbb{C}) \leq SU(2,2)$ will act reducibly on \mathbb{T}^{α} meaning we have $\mathbb{T}^{\alpha} = S_1 \oplus S_2$ where the S_i are spinor bundles in the fundamental and conjugate dual representations respectively. This means we can write our coordinates as $Z^{\alpha} = (\omega^A, \pi_{A'})$.

Remark. Recalling the double fibration, we can see that in order for a point $Z = (\omega, \pi) \in \mathbb{PT}$ to correspond to a $z \in M_{\mathbb{C}}$ we must have

$$iz\pi = \omega$$

This is often called the **incidence relation**. From this point of view, we see that z must get the indices $z^{AA'}$ s.t. in coordinates the incidence relation is

$$\omega^A = iz^{AA'}\pi_{A'}$$

2.6.4 Complexified Minkowski Space

It is at this point useful to discuss slightly more concretely what is meant by complexified Minkowski space. The resource to use here is [1].

Definition 2.48. Let (M,g) be a smooth real manifold, and take a coordinate system x^a s.t. $g = g_{ab}dx^adx^b$. The **complexification** is defined by allowing the x^a to take complex values and extending $g_{ab}(x)$ holomorphically.

3 The Monopole Equations

3.1 Yang-Mills-Higgs equations

Definition 3.1. Take a principal G-bundle $P \to M$, ω_{vol} an orientation on M, and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ to be an ad-invariant inner product on \mathfrak{g} . Then the **Yang-Mills-Higgs actions** on M is

$$S_{YMH}[A, \phi] = \int_{M} \left[-|F|^{2} - |D\phi|^{2} - V(\phi) \right] \omega_{vol}$$

where $F = dA + A \wedge A$ is the curvature associated to a section $A \in \Gamma(T^*M \otimes \operatorname{ad}(P))$, D = d + A is the associated covariant derivative, and $\phi \in \Gamma(\operatorname{ad}(P))$.

To connect with physical theory we want our Lagrangian to be of the form kinetic-potential. This will manifest itself in our choice of signs by requiring that

$$-|D\phi|^2 = (\partial_0 \phi)^2 + \dots$$
$$-|F|^2 = E_i^2 + \dots$$

This is the reason for the somewhat strange looking sign choice at this point. Obviously in the end it will be equivalent to take

$$S_{YMH} = \int |F|^2 + |D\phi|^2 + V$$

when it comes to the variational equations, but this will not be true when we consider the energy functional.

Remark. A common choice of potential function V is $V(\phi) = \lambda \left(1 - |\phi|^2\right)^2$, the ϕ^4 -potential. By our choice of signs, we want $\lambda > 0$. We can check $V'(\phi) = -4\lambda(1 - |\phi|^2)|\phi|$.

Definition 3.2. A monopoles will be a soliton-like solution to the Yang-Mills-Higgs equations when G = SU(2), $M = \mathbb{R}^4$ with the Minkowski metric, the principal bundle is $P = M \times G$, and the potential is ϕ^4 .

Proposition 3.3. The variational equations corresponding to S_{YMH} in Minkowski \mathbb{R}^4 are the **Yang-Mills-Higgs equations**

$$\begin{split} DF &= 0 \quad (Bianchi) \\ \star D \star F &= -\left[\phi, D\phi\right] \\ \star D \star D\phi &= -\frac{1}{2\left|\phi\right|} V'(\phi)\phi \end{split}$$

Proof. We first consider the equation that comes from the variation of A. Let $A_t = A + t\beta$, then $F_t = F + t(d\beta + \beta \wedge A + A \wedge \beta) + \mathcal{O}(t^2)$ and $D_t \phi = D\phi + t[\beta, \phi]$. Hence

$$S_t = S + 2t \int_M \left[-\langle F, D\beta \rangle - \langle D\phi, [\beta, \phi] \rangle \right] \omega_{vol} + \mathcal{O}(t^2)$$

Hence to be at a stationary point of the action variation we want

$$\int_{M} \left[-\langle F, D\beta \rangle - \langle D\phi, [\beta, \phi] \rangle \right] \omega_{vol} = 0$$

Using the fact that inner product is ad-invariant and letting D^* be the formal adjoint of D wrt to inner product $\langle \langle \eta, \omega \rangle \rangle = \int_M \langle \eta, \omega \rangle \omega_{vol}$ we can rewrite this as

$$\int_{M} \left\langle -D^{*}F + \left[D\phi, \phi \right], \beta \right\rangle \omega_{vol} = 0$$

Using results on the dual of the covariant derivative we can say that

$$D^*F = (-1)^{4(2-1)+1}(-1) \star D \star F = \star D \star F$$

Hence as β was a generic variation we must have $\star D \star F - [D\phi, \phi] = 0$. We now consider a ϕ variation so $\phi_t = \phi + t\psi$. Note

$$|\phi_t| = \sqrt{\langle \phi_t, \phi_t \rangle} = \sqrt{|\phi|^2 + 2t \langle \phi, \psi \rangle + \mathcal{O}(t^2)} = |\phi| \sqrt{1 + \frac{2t \langle \phi, \psi \rangle}{|\phi|^2} + \mathcal{O}(t^2)} = |\phi| + t \frac{\langle \phi, \psi \rangle}{|\phi|} + \mathcal{O}(t^2)$$

so if we consider V as $V(\phi) = V(|\phi|)$ (i.e. as a function of a real variable) then

$$V(\phi_t) = V(\phi) + t |\phi|^{-1} V'(\phi) \langle \phi, \psi \rangle + \mathcal{O}(t^2)$$

Then a variational argument as before means that we need to set

$$\int_{M} \left[-2 \langle D\phi, D\psi \rangle - |\phi|^{-1} V'(\phi) \langle \phi, \psi \rangle \right] \omega_{vol} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \qquad \qquad -2D^{*}D\phi - |\phi|^{-1} V'(\phi)\phi = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \qquad (-1)^{4(1-1)+1} (-1)(-1) \star D \star D\phi - \frac{1}{2|\phi|} V'(\phi)\phi = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \qquad \star D \star D\phi + \frac{1}{2|\phi|} V'(\phi)\phi = 0$$

Remark. The Dirac monopole is a solution in the case of G = U(1).

We may make these equations explicit in coordinates. The first approach is to try and substitute in coordinate expressions into the YMH equations. Taking coordinates x^{μ} on \mathbb{R}^4 and writing $F = \frac{1}{2}F_{\mu\nu}dx^{\mu} \wedge dx^{\nu}$

$$\star F = \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} \epsilon^{\mu\nu}{}_{\rho\sigma} dx^{\rho} \wedge dx^{\sigma}$$

$$\Rightarrow D \star F = \frac{1}{4} D_{\tau} F_{\mu\nu} \epsilon^{\mu\nu}{}_{\rho\sigma} dx^{\tau} \wedge dx^{\rho} \wedge dx^{\sigma}$$

$$\Rightarrow \star D \star F = \frac{1}{4} D_{\tau} F_{\mu\nu} \epsilon^{\mu\nu}{}_{\rho\sigma} \epsilon^{\tau\rho\sigma}{}_{\lambda} dx^{\lambda}$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} D_{\tau} F_{\mu\nu} \epsilon_{\rho\sigma}{}^{\mu\nu} \epsilon^{\rho\sigma\tau}{}_{\lambda} dx^{\lambda}$$

$$= -D_{\tau} F^{\mu}{}_{\nu} \delta^{\tau}_{[\mu} \delta^{\nu}_{\lambda]} dx^{\lambda}$$

$$= -D_{\tau} F^{\tau}{}_{\lambda} dx^{\lambda}$$

Hence the first monopole equation reads

$$D_{\mu}F^{\mu\nu} + [D^{\nu}\phi, \phi] = 0$$

Next we have

$$\star D\phi = \frac{1}{6} (D_{\mu}\phi) \epsilon^{\mu}{}_{\nu\rho\sigma} dx^{\nu} \wedge dx^{\rho} \wedge dx^{\sigma}$$

$$\Rightarrow D \star D\phi = \frac{1}{6} (D_{\tau}D_{\mu}\phi) \epsilon^{\mu}{}_{\nu\rho\sigma} dx^{\tau} \wedge dx^{\nu} \wedge dx^{\rho} \wedge dx^{\sigma}$$

$$\Rightarrow \star D \star D\phi = \frac{1}{6} (D_{\tau}D_{\mu}\phi) \epsilon^{\mu}{}_{\nu\rho\sigma} \epsilon^{\tau\nu\rho\sigma}$$

$$= -(D_{\tau}D^{\mu}\phi) \delta^{\tau}_{\mu} = -D_{\mu}D^{\mu}\phi$$

which yields (taking the ϕ^4 potential)

$$D_{\mu}D^{\mu}\phi + 2\lambda(1 - |\phi|^2)\phi = 0$$

Collecting this with the Bianchi identity (taking it as $\star DF = 0$) we have

$$\epsilon^{\rho\mu\nu\tau}D_{\rho}F_{\mu\nu} = 0$$

$$D_{\mu}F^{\mu\nu} + [D^{\nu}\phi, \phi] = 0$$

$$D_{\mu}D^{\mu}\phi + 2\lambda(1 - |\phi|^2)\phi = 0$$

An alternative approach to deriving these equations is to first write the Lagrangian in coordinate form and then derive the variational equations. We take the inner product on $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{su}(2)$ to be $\langle X,Y \rangle = -\frac{1}{2}\kappa(X,Y) = -2\operatorname{Tr}(XY)$ for concreteness, which gives $\langle t^a,t^b \rangle = \delta^{ab}$, and as such we need the mostly-positive Minkowski metric.

Remark. It is possible to change around the signs here in order to assure that we use the mostly-minus metric, as Manton & Sutcliffe do. We will want to avoid this as the mostly positive makes more sense when reducing from Minkowski \mathbb{R}^{n+1} to Euclidean \mathbb{R}^n .

We have

$$\langle F, F \rangle = \frac{1}{4} \langle F_{\mu\nu}, F_{\rho\sigma} \rangle \langle dx^{\mu} \wedge dx^{\nu}, dx^{\rho} \wedge dx^{\sigma} \rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} \langle F_{\mu\nu}, F_{\rho\sigma} \rangle (\eta^{\mu\rho} \eta^{\nu\sigma} - \eta^{\mu\sigma} \eta^{\nu\rho})$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \langle F_{\mu\nu}, F^{\mu\nu} \rangle$$

$$= -\operatorname{Tr}(F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu})$$

$$\langle D\phi, D\phi \rangle = \langle D_{\mu}\phi, D_{\nu}\phi \rangle \langle dx^{\mu}, dx^{\nu} \rangle$$

$$= \langle D_{\mu}\phi, D_{\nu}\phi \rangle \eta^{\mu\nu}$$

$$= -2\operatorname{Tr}(D_{\mu}\phi D^{\mu}\phi)$$

Hence the corresponding Lagrangian density is

$$\mathcal{L} = \text{Tr}(F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}) + 2\,\text{Tr}(D_{\mu}\phi D^{\mu}\phi) - V(\phi) = \sum_{a} \left[-\frac{1}{2}F_{\mu\nu}^{(a)}F^{(a)\mu\nu} - (D_{\mu}\phi)^{(a)}(D^{\mu}\phi)^{(a)} \right] - \lambda \left[1 - \sum_{a} (\phi^{(a)})^{2} \right]^{2}$$

To check that we have the correct signs in this Lagrangian we verify that it takes the form kinetic-potenial with

kinetic =
$$-2 \operatorname{Tr}(E_i E_i) - 2 \operatorname{Tr}(D_0 \phi D_0 \phi)$$

potential = $-\operatorname{Tr}(F_{ij} F_{ij}) - 2 \operatorname{Tr}(D_i \phi D_i \phi) + V(\phi)$

where $E_i = F_{0i}$.

Remark. Something we can immediately recognise is that in order to get finite energy solutions when $\lambda \neq 0$, we need $|\phi| \rightarrow 1$.

We now recall the Euler-Lagrange equations for Lagrangian with field ψ

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \psi} - \partial_{\mu} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_{\mu} \psi)} = 0$$

The fields here are really the coefficients in $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ of A_{μ}, ϕ , that is they are $A_{\mu}^{(a)}, \phi^{(a)}$, so we expand

$$\begin{split} F_{\mu\nu}^{(a)} &= \partial_{\mu}A_{\nu}^{(a)} - \partial_{\nu}A_{\mu}^{(a)} + A_{\mu}^{(b)}A_{\nu}^{(c)}\epsilon_{abc} \\ (D_{\mu}\phi)^{(a)} &= \partial_{\mu}\phi^{(a)} + A_{\mu}^{(b)}\phi^{(c)}\epsilon_{abc} \end{split}$$

giving

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_{\mu}A_{\nu}^{(a)})} &= -2F^{(a)\mu\nu} \\ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial A_{\mu}^{(a)}} &= -2\epsilon_{bac}A_{\nu}^{(c)}F^{(b)\mu\nu} - 2\phi^{(c)}\epsilon_{bac}(D^{\mu}\phi)^{(b)} \\ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_{\mu}\phi^{(a)})} &= -2(D^{\mu}\phi)^{(a)} \\ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi^{(a)}} &= -2\epsilon_{cba}A_{\mu}^{(b)}(D^{\mu}\phi)^{(c)} + 4\lambda\phi^{(a)} \left[1 - \sum_{b}(\phi^{(b)})^{2}\right] \end{split}$$

We can now write the Euler-Lagrange equations

$$\begin{split} 0 &= -\partial_{\nu} F^{(a)\nu\mu} - \left[-\epsilon_{bac} A^{(c)}_{\nu} F^{(b)\mu\nu} - \phi^{(c)} \epsilon_{bac} (D^{\mu} \phi)^{(b)} \right] \\ &= - \left[\partial_{\nu} F^{(a)\nu\mu} + A^{(c)}_{\nu} F^{(b)\nu\mu} \epsilon_{cba} \right] - (D^{\mu} \phi)^{(b)} \phi^{(c)} \epsilon_{bca} \\ \Rightarrow 0 &= D_{\nu} F^{\nu\mu} + [D^{\mu} \phi, \phi] \end{split}$$

and

$$0 = -\partial_{\mu} (D^{\mu} \phi)^{(a)} - \left[-\epsilon_{cba} A_{\mu}^{(b)} (D^{\mu} \phi)^{(c)} + 2\lambda \phi^{(a)} \left[1 - \sum_{b} (\phi^{(b)})^{2} \right] \right]$$

$$= - \left[\partial_{\mu} (D^{\mu} \phi)^{(a)} + A_{\mu}^{(b)} (D^{\mu} \phi)^{(c)} \epsilon_{bca} \right] - \lambda \phi^{(a)} \left[1 - \sum_{b} (\phi^{(b)})^{2} \right]$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 = D_{\mu} D^{\mu} \phi + 2\lambda (1 - |\phi|^{2}) \phi$$

We happily see that these two approaches agree, and we should see that these are indeed the sort of equations we want (e.g the ϕ equation looks like Klein-Gordon if we linearise around $|\phi| = 1$.)

3.2 BPS limit

The monopole equations we have found so far are second order, but we want to apply the classic strategy when working with topological solitons: write the energy functional of a static configuration

as the integral of a square term plus a topological term, and then we locally must have a minimising solution by setting the squared term to 0. This will be possible if we set $\lambda = 0$ but retain that $|\phi| = 1$ at infinity. More specifically we take the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi| &= 1 - \frac{m}{2r} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{r^2}\right) \\ \frac{\partial |\phi|}{\partial \Omega} &= \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{r^2}\right) \\ |D\phi| &= \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{r^2}\right) \end{aligned}$$

With $\lambda = 0$ we can rewrite the energy functional of static configurations at any time as

$$E[A, \phi] = \int_{M} \left[-\operatorname{Tr}(F_{ij}F_{ij}) - 2\operatorname{Tr}(D_{i}\phi D_{i}\phi) \right] d^{3}x$$

If we keep the same inner product on $\mathfrak{su}(2)$, but now take Euclidean \mathbb{R}^3 we can express

$$E[A, \phi] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left[|F|^2 + |D\phi|^2 \right] d^3x$$

As we are in Euclidean \mathbb{R}^3 we can write

$$|\star D\phi|^2 \omega_{vol} = \langle \star D\phi \wedge \star^2 D\phi \rangle = (-1)^{1(3-1)} \langle \star D\phi \wedge D\phi \rangle = (-1)^{2\times 1} \langle D\phi \wedge \star D\phi \rangle = |D\phi|^2 \omega_{vol}$$
 and, using the Bianchi identity,

$$\langle F, \star D\phi \rangle \,\omega_{vol} = \langle F \wedge \star^2 D\phi \rangle = (-1)^{1(3-1)} \,\langle F \wedge D\phi \rangle = (-1)^2 \,[d \,\langle F \wedge \phi \rangle - \langle DF \wedge \phi \rangle] = d \,\langle F \wedge \phi \rangle$$

so

$$\begin{split} E[A,\phi] &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left[\langle F \mp \star D\phi, F \mp \star D\phi \rangle \pm 2 \, \langle F, \star D\phi \rangle \right] d^3x \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left| F \mp \star D\phi \right|^2 d^3x \pm 2 \lim_{R \to \infty} \int_{S_R^2} \langle F \wedge \phi \rangle \end{split}$$

Remark. In the above discussion, make sure to check that as this the 3d Hodge star, our inner products are well defined in the sense that the are the inner product of a k-form with a k-form.

This boundary term turns out to be a topological contribution, and we can see this in two ways. We first need to prove the following lemma

Lemma 3.4. In the \mathbb{R}^3 bulk

$$\langle \phi \wedge (D\phi \wedge D\phi) \rangle = \langle \phi \wedge (d\phi \wedge d\phi) \rangle + |\phi|^2 \left[\langle F \wedge \phi \rangle - d \langle A \wedge \phi \rangle \right] - \frac{1}{2} \langle A \wedge \phi \rangle \wedge d |\phi|^2$$

Proof. Exercise.

Corollary 3.5. Given the decay conditions, on S^2_{∞} we have

$$\langle F \wedge \phi \rangle - d \langle A \wedge \phi \rangle = - \langle \phi \wedge (d\phi \wedge d\phi) \rangle$$

and hence the topological term is $\mp 2 \int_{S^2} \langle \phi \wedge (d\phi \wedge d\phi) \rangle$.

The two interpretations are then as follows:

1. Degree of a map of spheres - We will want to recall some standard vector calculus results stated in the language of forms. Firstly recall that if we have a manifold M with volume form ω_M and orientable submanifold Σ with normal N we get a volume form on Σ given by

$$\omega_{\Sigma} = i_N \omega_M$$

If we parametrise Σ on an open patch U, that is find $\psi : \mathbb{R}^d \to U \subset \Sigma$, and do an area integral over $U \subset \Sigma$ in these coordinates, that is equivalent to pulling back ω_{Σ} by ψ .

By noting that, on S^2_{∞} , $\phi: S^2_{\infty} \to S^2_1 \subset \mathfrak{su}(2)$, where on this S^2 ϕ is also the normal, and that we have chosen the metric on $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ to be Euclidean, we have that

$$\int_{S_{\infty}^2} \langle \phi \wedge (d\phi \wedge d\phi) \rangle = \int_{S_{\infty}^2} \phi \cdot (\partial_u \phi \times \partial_v \phi) \, du dv = \int_{S_{\infty}^2} \phi^* \omega_{S^2} = 4\pi \deg \phi$$

where we have the used the notation $\phi = \phi \cdot t$, and the degree here refers to of ϕ as a map of spheres. This degree is a topological invariant.

2. Chern class of a line bundle - Consider the matrix $\phi \cdot \sigma$. We give the following lemma

Lemma 3.6. Let $P = \frac{1}{2}(I + \phi \cdot \sigma)$. Then P is a projection operator, i.e. $P^2 = P$.

Proof. Do the multiplication
$$\Box$$

Corollary 3.7. $\phi \cdot \sigma$ has eigenvalues ± 1 .

Proof. Projection operators have eigenvalues 0,1. Hence we get the result, and as we can never have $\phi \cdot \sigma = \pm I$, both eigenvalues must occur.

Now we can consider the eigenvector bundle

$$L = \left\{ (\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{\psi}) \in S_{\infty}^{2} \times \mathbb{C}^{2} \,|\, \left[\boldsymbol{\phi}(\boldsymbol{x}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} \right] \boldsymbol{\psi} = \boldsymbol{\psi} \right\}$$

Remark. This will be a complex line bundle, so the only possible Chern class we can relate to it will be c_1 .

We can get a connection on the bundle by viewing it as a subbundle of $S^2_{\infty} \times \mathbb{C}^2$, and getting covariant derivative on vector fields by projection, that is DX = PdX.

Remark. Note that here the variations in ψ that preserve the eigenvector condition are exactly those that scale ψ by some element of \mathbb{C}^{\times} . This really makes the bundle look like the tangent bundle to the sphere S^2_{∞} in the sense that there is a plane attached at every point of S^2 .

Now at every point $\boldsymbol{x} \in S^2_{\infty}$ if $\hat{\psi}(\boldsymbol{x})$ is a normalised eigenvector we have that a local section $\sigma: U \subset S^2_{\infty} \to E$ is given by $\sigma = h\hat{\psi}$ where $h: U \to \mathbb{C}$ is some scale. Then as we are dealing with 2×2 non-trivial projection matrices we can write $P = \hat{\psi}\hat{\psi}^{\dagger}$ giving

$$D(h\hat{\psi}) = \hat{\psi}\hat{\psi}^{\dagger} \left[(dh)\hat{\psi} + h(d\hat{\psi}) \right]$$
$$= (dh)\hat{\psi} + h\hat{\psi}\hat{\psi}^{\dagger}(d\hat{\psi})$$

What we have done by choosing a local section $\hat{\psi}$ is given a local trivialisation of the bundle. To find the connection locally we need to see how the covariant derivative acts locally on \mathbb{C} , that is how it acts on h. By writing

$$D(h\hat{\psi}) = \hat{\psi} \left[dh + h\hat{\psi}^{\dagger} d\hat{\psi} \right]$$

We can read off that $A = \hat{\psi}^{\dagger} d\hat{\psi}$ locally, giving $F_L = d\hat{\psi}^{\dagger} \wedge d\hat{\psi}$.

We now want to relate this to our map ϕ . As we are dealing with 2×2 non-trivial projection matrices we can write

$$P = \hat{\psi} \hat{\psi}^\dagger \Rightarrow \phi = -i \hat{\psi} \hat{\psi}^\dagger + \frac{i}{2} I \Rightarrow d\phi = -i \left[(d\hat{\psi}) \hat{\psi}^\dagger + \hat{\psi} (d\hat{\psi}^\dagger) \right]$$

We can see that, as the inner product corresponds to the trace, $\langle d\phi \wedge d\phi \rangle = \langle (\partial_{\mu}\phi)(\partial_{\nu}\phi) \rangle dx^{\mu} \wedge dx^{\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \langle [\partial_{\mu}\phi, \partial_{\nu}\phi] \rangle dx^{\mu} \wedge dx^{\nu} = 0$ which means

$$\begin{split} \langle \phi \wedge (d\phi \wedge d\phi) \rangle &= -i \left\langle \left(\hat{\psi} \hat{\psi}^\dagger - \frac{1}{2} I \right) (d\phi \wedge d\phi) \right\rangle \\ &= -i \left\langle \hat{\psi} \hat{\psi}^\dagger \left[(d\hat{\psi}) \hat{\psi}^\dagger + \hat{\psi} (d\hat{\psi}^\dagger) \right]^{\wedge 2} \right\rangle \end{split}$$

We expand out the latter, using the cyclicity of the trace and Leibniz' rule with $\hat{\psi}^{\dagger}\hat{\psi}=1$, for example

$$\begin{split} \left\langle \hat{\psi} \hat{\psi}^{\dagger} (d \hat{\psi}) \hat{\psi}^{\dagger} \wedge (d \hat{\psi}) \hat{\psi}^{\dagger} \right\rangle &= \left\langle \hat{\psi}^{\dagger} \hat{\psi} \hat{\psi}^{\dagger} (d \hat{\psi}) \hat{\psi}^{\dagger} \wedge (d \hat{\psi}) \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \hat{\psi}^{\dagger} (d \hat{\psi}) \hat{\psi}^{\dagger} \wedge (d \hat{\psi}) \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \left[d (\hat{\psi}^{\dagger} \hat{\psi}) - (d \hat{\psi}^{\dagger}) \hat{\psi} \right] \hat{\psi}^{\dagger} \wedge (d \hat{\psi}) \right\rangle \\ &= - \left\langle (d \hat{\psi}^{\dagger}) \hat{\psi} \wedge \hat{\psi}^{\dagger} (d \hat{\psi}) \right\rangle \\ &= - \left\langle \hat{\psi} \hat{\psi}^{\dagger} \hat{\psi} (d \hat{\psi}^{\dagger}) \wedge \hat{\psi} (d \hat{\psi}^{\dagger}) \right\rangle \\ &\left\langle \hat{\psi} \hat{\psi}^{\dagger} (d \hat{\psi}) \wedge (d \hat{\psi}^{\dagger}) \right\rangle &= - \left\langle \hat{\psi} (d \hat{\psi}^{\dagger}) \hat{\psi} \wedge (d \hat{\psi}^{\dagger}) \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \hat{\psi} (d \hat{\psi}^{\dagger}) \wedge (d \hat{\psi}) \hat{\psi}^{\dagger} \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle d \hat{\psi}^{\dagger} \wedge d \hat{\psi} \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \hat{\psi} \hat{\psi}^{\dagger} \hat{\psi} (d \hat{\psi}^{\dagger}) \wedge (d \hat{\psi}) \hat{\psi}^{\dagger} \right\rangle \end{split}$$

and as a result we get

$$\langle \phi \wedge (d\phi \wedge d\phi) \rangle = -2i \left\langle d\hat{\psi}^{\dagger} \wedge d\hat{\psi} \right\rangle = -4\pi \left(\frac{i}{2\pi} \left\langle F_L \right\rangle \right)$$

We can then see that

$$\int_{S_{ex}^2} \langle \phi \wedge (d\phi \wedge d\phi) \rangle = -4\pi c_1(L)$$

Remark. A point to be made about the above is that, a priori, the connection is not related to the Higgs field on S^2_{∞} . It is the decay condition on $D\phi$ which enforces that on S^2_{∞} we have $\partial_{\mu}\phi = [\phi, A_{\mu}]$.

Through either discussion we have $E \ge \pm 8\pi k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ with equality iff $F = \mp \star D\phi$ where we choose the sign to make the bound positive. This is the **BPS equation**.

Remark. We might wonder what is the connection between these two viewpoints, where we have that deg $\phi = -c_1(L)$. To make this connection we recall a result stated in [3]:

Proposition 3.8. If L is a complex line bundle on a Riemann surface and f is a meromorphic section then

$$\deg(D(f)) = c_1(L)$$

Proof. See the Riemann Surfaces notes by Joel Robbin, University of Wisconsin, which in turn reference [6].

We can think of L as a complex line bundle over \mathbb{P}^1 viewed as the Riemann sphere. If we take a section $\sigma = h\hat{\psi}$ where we choose $h = \hat{\psi}_2$, this section has poles exactly where the eigenvector is $(1,0) \Rightarrow \phi = (1,0,0)$, and has no zeros. Hence the Chern class is counting the number of preimages of (1,0,0), that is

$$-c_1(L) = |\{preimages \ of (1,0,0)\}|$$

This gives $c_1(L) = \deg \phi$, provided we have an argument to tell us that these all should be counted with sign 1.

3.3 Self-Dual Reduction

Suppose now we consider pure Yang-Mills on \mathbb{R}^{n+1} with contant diagonal metric g (i.e we are considering it to be either Minkowski or Euclidean). Take coordinates x^{μ} and ask that the connection $A = A_{\mu}dx^{\mu}$ is x^0 -independent. Then writing $A = \phi dx^0 + A_i dx^i$ we have

$$F = \frac{1}{2}F_{ij}dx^{i} \wedge dx^{j} + (D_{i}\phi)dx^{i} \wedge dx^{0}$$
$$= {}^{3}F + D\phi \wedge dx^{0}$$

as $F_{i0} = \partial_i \phi + [A_i, \phi] = D_i \phi$ and denoting ${}^3F = \frac{1}{2} F_{ij} dx^i \wedge dx^j$. We calculate

$$\langle {}^{3}F, D\phi \wedge dx^{0} \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \langle F_{ij}, D_{k}\phi \rangle \underbrace{\langle dx^{i} \wedge dx^{j}, dx^{k} \wedge dx^{0} \rangle}_{=0}$$
$$\langle D\phi \wedge dx^{0}, D\phi \wedge dx^{0} \rangle = \langle D_{i}\phi, D_{j}\phi \rangle \underbrace{\langle dx^{i} \wedge dx^{0}, dx^{j} \wedge dx^{0} \rangle}_{=q^{ij}q^{00}}$$

and so on Euclidean \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , $|F|^2 = |{}^3F|^2 + |D\phi|^2$. This means we recover the action for Yang-Mills-Higgs with 0 potential from this reduction.

Remark. It is not absurd to consider Euclidean \mathbb{R}^4 , as we should view this as performing a Wick rotation from Minkowski space, which is natural when quantising the theory as it means that the path integral is now well defined (see [13] for more of a discussion on this).

The Yang-Mills equation for F reads

$$D \star F = 0 \Rightarrow g^{\mu\nu} D_{\mu} F_{\nu\rho} = 0$$

This splits to give

$$g^{\mu\nu}D_{\mu}F_{\nu 0} = 0 \Rightarrow g^{ij}D_{i}D_{j}\phi = D_{i}D^{i}\phi = 0$$

$$g^{\mu\nu}D_{\mu}F_{\nu k} = 0 \Rightarrow -g^{00}D_{0}D_{k}\phi + g^{ij}D_{i}F_{jk} = 0$$

$$-g^{00}[\phi, D_{k}\phi] + D_{i}F_{k}^{i} = 0$$

Moreover we have the stronger result:

Proposition 3.9. F is (anti-)self-dual iff $({}^{3}F, \phi)$ satisfy the Bogomolny equations.

Proof. The (anti-)self-duality equations for F say $\star_4 F = (-)F$, where we are now making explicit the dimension wrt which \star is acting. We can calculate

$$\star_4 F = \frac{1}{4} F_{ij} \epsilon^{ij}{}_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu + \frac{1}{2} (D_i \phi) \epsilon^{i0}{}_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu$$
$$= -\frac{1}{2} (D_k \phi) \epsilon^k{}_{ij} dx^i \wedge dx^j - \frac{1}{2} F_{ij} \epsilon^{ij}{}_k dx^k \wedge dx^0$$
$$= -\star_3 D\phi - \star_3 F \wedge dx^0$$

which we see to mean, using $\star_3^2 = 1$.

$$\star_4 F = \pm F \Leftrightarrow {}^3F \pm \star_3 D\phi = 0$$

4 Self-Dual Gauge Fields

We now want to study self-dual gauge fields more, using Ward & Wells section 8 as our reference. For this section we will denote the gauge potential as Φ as A will be a spinor index eventually, so this should not be confused with a Higgs field. The gauge fields we will be studying we now extend to be those over $M_{\mathbb{C}}$. As such we will be using the machinery of the twistor correspondence.

Definition 4.1. An open set $U \subset M_{\mathbb{C}}$ is **elementary** if $\forall \tilde{Z}$ a self-dual plane (i.e. an α -plane) s.t. $\tilde{Z} \cap U \neq \emptyset$, $\tilde{Z} \cap U$ is connected and simply connected.

This lets us give a novel relation to self-dual curvature:

Proposition 4.2. The curvature F on a given elementary U is anti-self-dual iff \forall self dual \tilde{Z} that intersects U, the restriction of the covariant derivative D to $\tilde{Z} \cap U$ is integrable.

Proof. Because $\tilde{Z} \cap U$ is connected and simply connected, the covariant derivative being integrable is equivalent to the curvature being flat, that is

$$\forall v, w \in T\tilde{Z}, v^a w^b F_{ab} = 0$$

Suppose \tilde{Z} corresponds to a projective twistor $Z = (\omega^A, \pi_{A'})$. Then as \tilde{Z} is null, a tangent vector to \tilde{Z} will be null hence of the form $v^a = \lambda^A \pi^{A'}$ for some spinor λ . This means we get the condition

$$(\phi_{A'B'}\epsilon_{AB} + \phi_{AB}\epsilon_{A'B'})\lambda_1^A\lambda_2^B\pi^{A'}\pi^{B'} = 0$$

The term $\epsilon_{A'B'}\pi^{A'}\pi^{B'}$ vanishes, and then for this to vanish $\forall \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \tilde{Z}$, we have that $\phi_{A'B'} = 0$, i.e. the self dual part of F is 0.

This in turn leads to a useful equivalence coming from the twistor geometry.

Theorem 4.3. Let $\hat{U} \subset \mathbb{PT}$ be the open subset s.t $\forall Z \in \hat{U}, \tilde{Z} \cap U \neq \emptyset$, then there is a bijection (up to equivalence) between

- 1. anti-self-dual $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ -bundles on U
- 2. holomorphic rank-n vector bundles $E \to \hat{U}$ s.t. E is trivial over \hat{x} for each $x \in U$.

Proof. Ward and Wells [13] gives a very thorough proof of this, we will only sketch it. We define the map $1 \mapsto 2$ by making the fibres of E to be

$$E_Z = \left\{ \psi \,|\, D\psi = 0 \text{ on } \tilde{Z} \right\}$$

As the bundle is ASD, the covariant derivative is integrable and so a value of ψ is defined by its value at a point $x \in U$. Hence $E_z \cong \mathbb{C}^n$. Moreover, any Z' s.t \tilde{Z}' intersects x will also have its value of ψ fixed by the value of ψ on x. Hence $E|_{\hat{x}}$ is trivial.

To map $2\mapsto 1$ note as the vector bundle E is trivial over \hat{x} we can get the rank n vector bundle $\tilde{E}\to U$ by taking the copy of \mathbb{C}^n over \hat{x} in $E|_{\hat{x}}$. To define a connection we need a way to parallel transport. Given $x,y\in \tilde{Z}$ we know they have corresponding lines $\hat{x},\hat{y}\in U$ s.t. $\hat{x}\cap\hat{y}=Z$. As E is trivial over \hat{x},\hat{y} , we may transport along these lines under the connection induced from the base M (sometimes called the **spacetime connection**, denoted ∇) via Z to transport from x to y. This connection will turn out to satisfy the conditions we need.

Remark. There are many versions of this theorem that consider either bundles over S^4 or bundles with different gauge groups. To see all of these check out Ward & Wells §8.1

The theorem offers a potential way to construct solutions to the ASD Yang Mills equations via holomorphic vector bundles. These are vector bundles on $\hat{U} \subset \mathbb{PT}$ which can be covered with two charts W, \underline{W} (analogous to the north/south charts on the Riemann sphere, s.t. on any line \hat{x} they are are these chats so their intersection contains the line $W \cap \underline{W} \cap \hat{x}$), and so they are defined by a single holomorphic $n \times n$ matrix transition function $F(Z) = F(\omega^A, \pi_{A'})$ on $W \cap \underline{W}$. This acts as

$$\xi = F(Z)\xi$$

where $\xi, \underline{\xi}$ are coordinate vectors on W, \underline{W} respectively. To do the transport along \hat{x} we need to restrict to the line, that is take

$$G(x,\pi) = F(ix^{AA'}\pi_{A'},\pi_{A'})$$

and then find H, \underline{H} non-singular $n \times n$ matrices holomorphic on $W \cap \hat{x}$, $\underline{W} \cap \hat{x}$ respectively s.t. on $W \cap W \cap \hat{x}$

$$G = HH^{-1} (4.0.1)$$

This is called the **spliting formula**. Such H, \underline{H} must exists as the triviality of $E|_{\hat{x}}$ means that we know

$$\xi = H\psi, \quad \xi = \underline{H}\psi$$

for some constant vector ψ .

Proposition 4.4. With the above notation we find the connection on the bundle to be given by

$$\pi^{A'}\Phi_{AA'} = H^{-1}\pi^{A'}\nabla_{AA'}H$$

5 Constructions

5.1 Nahm's Construction

Relevant reading for this section is Manton & Sutcliffe [9] and Hitchin [8]. The original paper is [11].

5.2 The ADHM construction

This section follows the work first laid out in [2]. Suppose we have the following information:

- \bullet W a k-dimensional vector space
- V a 2k+2-dimensional vector space with skew, non-degenerate bilinear form $(\cdot,\cdot): \wedge^2 V \to \mathbb{C}$.
- $z=(z_i)\in\mathbb{C}^4$
- $A(z) = \sum_i A_i z_i \in \text{End}(W, V)$ s.t.

$$\forall z \neq 0, \ U_z \equiv A(z)W \subset V$$
 is isotropic and k-dimensional

We now state some important properties:

Lemma 5.1. Let $E_z = \frac{U_z^0}{U_z}$, then

- $\dim E_z = 2$
- \bullet E_z inherits a non-degenerate skew bilinear
- $\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}, E_z = E_{\lambda z}$.

Proof. We go point by point:

- $\dim E_z = \dim U_z^0 \dim U_z = (\dim V \dim U_z) \dim U_z = 2k + 2 2k = 2$.
- The bilinear on W is only degenerate in U_z^0 on U_z , so by quotienting by this it descends directly to E_z .
- $A(\lambda z) = \lambda A(z)$, so $A(\lambda z)(\lambda^{-1} w) = A(z)(w)$. Hence we can see $U_{\lambda z} = U_z$ and so result.

Corollary 5.2. We get a vector bundle $E \to \mathbb{CP}^3$ with group $SL(2,\mathbb{C})$.

A break to introduce Bernd's notes

5.2.1 Connection from Projection

Given an rank-n vector bundle $E \to M$ a subbundle of $\mathbb{R}^{n+k} \times M$. We can decompose $E_x + E_x^{\perp} = \mathbb{R}^{n+k}$ and define projectors P, Q onto E, E^{\perp} respectively. Then

$$E = \left\{ (x, v) \in M \times \mathbb{R}^{n+k} \,|\, P_x(v) = v \right\}$$

and sections are maps $x \mapsto (x, f(x))$ s,t $P_x f(x) = f(x)$. We define a connection on E via

$$Df = Pdf$$

Now we want to pick a gauge via $u_x: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^{n+k}$, i.e $u^{\dagger}u = I$, and so we can write $P = uu^{\dagger}$. Then if we write our section as f = ug for $g: U \subset M \to \mathbb{R}^n$. Then

$$Df = uu^{\dagger} \left[(du)g + u(dg) \right] = u \left[dg + u^{\dagger}(du)g \right]$$

and we can read off that the connection must be $A = u^{\dagger} du$. and so

$$D = du^{\dagger} \wedge du + u^{\dagger} du \wedge u^{\dagger} du$$

Now in terms of the other projection, we have the following results:

Lemma 5.3.
$$dQ = Q(dQ) + (dQ)Q$$
 and $(dQ)f = -Q(df)$.

If we now define B = QdQ we get for $f: M \to \mathbb{R}^{n+k}$

$$D_B f = df + Bf = df + (dQ)f - (dQ)Qf$$

On sections of E we have Qf = 0 and so $D_B f = Df$. As we can calculate $F_b = dQ \wedge dQ$ we must get

$$F = P(dQ) \wedge (dQ)P$$

Choose a gauge fo $E^{\perp} v : \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}^{n+k}$ with $u^{\dagger}v = 0$. Letting $\rho = v^{\dagger}v$ we get

$$Q = v \rho^{-2} v^{\dagger}$$

giving

$$F = \cdots = P(dv)\rho^{-2} \wedge (dv^{\dagger})P$$

5.2.2 Quaternionic Bundles

We now take $M = S^4 = \mathbb{HP}^1$ with matrix multiplication form the left but scalar \mathbb{H} action on the right. Our plan will be to try find good v s.t. we can get F (anti)-self dual, and $\overline{F} = -F$ to ensure F is $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ -valued.

The ADHM idea is

- 1. take v(x,y) = Cx + Dy for $x,y \in \mathbb{H}$, $C,D \in \mathbb{H}^{(k+n)k}$.
- 2. Assume maximal rank for $(x,y) \neq 0$. Then image of v is a k-dimensional subspace of \mathbb{H}^{n+k} depending on xy^{-1} .
- 3. Project to $\mathbb{R}^4 \subset S^4$ where $y \neq 0$ and take affine coordinate (x,1)
- 4. assume $\rho^2 = (\overline{x}C^{\dagger} + D^{\dagger})(Cx + d)$ is real for $x \in \mathbb{H}$.

We now write L for the tautological quaternionic line bundle over \mathbb{HP}^1 with $c_2 = -1$. Take

$$E^{\perp} = \underbrace{L \oplus \cdots \oplus L}_{\times k}$$

Then since $E \oplus E^{\perp}$ is trivial and Chern number adds we have $c_2(E) = k$. Thus taking n = 1,

$$F = PC(dx)\rho^{-2} \wedge (d\overline{x})C^{\dagger}P$$

is an SU(2)-instanton with charge k.

Remark. We needed n = 1 as we actually get an Sp(n) bundle

Now we can pick a gauge where

$$v(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda \\ B - xI_k \end{pmatrix}$$

with $n \times k$ quaternionic matrix Λ and $k \times k$ constant quaternionic matrix B. We have to solve the non-linear condition

$$\overline{\Lambda^{\dagger}\Lambda + B^{\dagger}B} = \Lambda^{\dagger}\Lambda + B^{\dagger}B$$

for symmetric B. We then solve the linear, x-dependent matrix equation $v^{\dagger}u = 0$ for u to find an explicit formula for A, F.

References

- [1] Tim Adamo. Lectures on twistor theory, 2018.
- [2] M. F. Atiyah, N. J. Hitchin, V. G. Drinfeld, Yu. I. Manin. Construction of instantons. *Physics Letters A*, 65(3):pp. 185–187, 1978. ISSN 0375-9601. doi:10.1016/0375-9601(78)90141-X.
- [3] Olivier Babelon, Denis Bernard, Michel Talon. *Introduction to Classical Integrable Systems*. Cambridge University Press, 2003. doi:10.1017/cbo9780511535024.
- [4] H. W. Braden, V. Z. Enolski. The construction of monopoles. Communications in Mathematical Physics, 362(2):p. 547–570, 2018. ISSN 1432-0916. doi:10.1007/s00220-018-3199-4.
- [5] P. A. M. Dirac. Quantised Singularities in the Electromagnetic Field. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Series A*, 133(821):pp. 60–72, 1931. doi:10.1098/rspa.1931.0130.
- [6] P. Griffiths, J. Harris. Principles of Algebraic Geometry. Wiley Classics Library. Wiley, 2014. ISBN 9781118626320.
- [7] A. Hatcher, Cambridge University Press, Cornell University. Department of Mathematics. Algebraic Topology. Algebraic Topology. Cambridge University Press, 2002. ISBN 9780521795401.
- [8] N. J. Hitchin. On the construction of monopoles. Comm. Math. Phys., 89(2):pp. 145–190, 1983.
- [9] Nicholas Manton, Paul Sutcliffe. Topological Solitons. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004. ISBN 9780511617034. doi:10.1017/CBO9780511617034.
- [10] Marie-Louise Michelsohn, H. Blaine Lawson. Spin geometry. Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1989.
- [11] W. Nahm. All self-dual multimonopoles for arbitrary gauge groups. 1983.
- [12] M. I. Shirokov. On the newton wigner coordinate for a scalar particle. Ann. Phys., 465(1-2):pp. 60-63, 1962. ISSN 0003-3804. doi:10.1002/andp.19624650105.
- [13] R.S. Ward, R.O. Wells. Twistor Geometry and Field Theory. Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics. Cambridge University Press, 1991. ISBN 9780521422680.