Monopoles

Linden Disney-Hogg

November 2020

Contents

1 Introduction			1
		Preamble	
		Preliminaries	
	1.3	The Dirac Monopole	2
	1.4	Pauli Matrices	2
	1.5	SU(2)	2
	1.6	Degree of a Map	3
	1.7	Properties of Curves	3
2	The	e Monopole Equations	6
	2.1	Yang-Mills-Higgs equations	6
	2.2	BPS limit	10
3	3 Constructions		11
4	The	e ADHM construction	11

1 Introduction

1.1 Preamble

I already have notes on Gauge Theory, Algebraic Geometry, Solitons, and Algebraic Topology, but I have yet to actually make any notes on Monopoles. The purpose of these notes is to be a comprehensive cover of the knowledge required to understand [2]. This will include previous works by Atiyah, Donaldson, Hitchin, Nahm, and more.

1.2 Preliminaries

As with all my projects, the preliminaries will undoubtably end up being too long, but I will try keep this minimal this time:

Definition 1.1. The annihilator of $U \leq V$ is

$$U^{0} = \{ f \in V^* \mid \forall u \in U, f(u) = 0 \} \le V^*$$

If V has bilinear $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ we can use the isomorphism of $V^* \cong V$ to understand

$$U^0 = \{ v \in V \mid \forall u \in U, \langle u, v \rangle = 0 \} \le V$$

Lemma 1.2. The annihilator is a subspace, $\dim U^0 = \dim V - \dim U$.

Definition 1.3. A subspace U is called **isotropic** if $U \subset U^0$.

1.3 The Dirac Monopole

The standard maxwell equations prohibit monopoles, by which we mean point magnetic field sources, as $\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{B} = \mathbf{0}$. Dirac showed in [3] that it is possible to escape this conclusion by giving non-trivial topology to the space by allowing $\boldsymbol{B} = \frac{g}{4\pi r^2} \hat{\boldsymbol{x}}$ to have a singularity at $\boldsymbol{x} = \boldsymbol{0}$. We can calculate $\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{B} = g\delta(\boldsymbol{x})$. Removing this circle gives $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus 0$, homotopic to S^2 , and the corresponding magnetic two form on this sphere is

$$f = \frac{g}{4\pi} \sin\theta d\theta \wedge d\phi$$

and so the flux through a 2-sphere enclosing the origin is $\int_{S_R^2} f = g$. For $g \neq 0$, we know $f \neq da$ for a global $a \in \Omega^1(S^2)$ by Stokes' theorem, but if we take a cover of the sphere $U_{N/S}$ (north/south) and define gauge potentials

$$a_N = \frac{g}{4\pi} (1 - \cos \theta) d\phi \in \Omega^1(U_N)$$
$$a_S = \frac{g}{4\pi} (-1 - \cos \theta) d\phi \in \Omega^1(U_S)$$

On the intersect $U_N \cap U_S$ we have $da_N = f = da_S$ and $a_N = a_S + \frac{g}{2\pi}d\phi$.

Now taking A = ia, F = if, we have that $g_{NS}(\theta, \phi) = e^{-i\frac{g\phi}{2\pi}}$. Requiring that this is a well-defined transition function gives $g \in \mathbb{Z}$. This is equivalent to the integrality of the Chern number.

We will not want to consider this as this solution is not solitonic (it has infinite mass), but for further discussion see [5].

1.4 Pauli Matrices

Definition 1.4 (Pauli Matrices). The **Pauli matrices** are

$$\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \qquad \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \qquad \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note they are all Hermitian and traceless.

Fact 1.5.
$$\sigma_i \sigma_j = \delta_{ij} I + i \epsilon_{ijk} \sigma_k \Rightarrow \text{Tr}(\sigma_i \sigma_j) = 2 \delta_{ij}$$

1.5 SU(2)

We can write

$$SU(2) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & -\overline{\beta} \\ \beta & \overline{\alpha} \end{pmatrix} : \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}, |\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1 \right\}$$

This can be expressed as, for $A \in SU(2)$

$$A = a_0 I + i \boldsymbol{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}$$

where $\mathbf{a}=(a_1,a_2,a_3)$, $\mathbf{\sigma}=(\sigma_1,\sigma_2,\sigma_3)$, and $a_0^2+|\mathbf{a}|^2=1$. Hence $SU(2)\cong S^3$. In addition, by parametrising SU(2) by the a_i , it can be seen that $\{i\sigma_i\}$ forms a basis of $\mathfrak{su}(2)$. It is typical to normalise this basis to $\{T^a=-\frac{1}{2}i\sigma_a\}$.

Lemma 1.6. The structure constants in this basis $\{T^a\}$ are $f_c^{ab} = \epsilon_{abc}$.

Corollary 1.7. The Killing form is given by $\kappa\left(T^a,T^b\right)=\kappa^{ab}=-2\delta^{ab}=4\operatorname{Tr}(T^aT^b)$. Hence $\kappa(X,Y)=4\operatorname{Tr}(XY)$

1.6 Degree of a Map

Consider a smooth map $n: S_s^2 \to S_t^2$. The number of preimages of $p \in S_t^2$ computed with sign is calculate via pullback to be

$$\deg(n) = \frac{1}{\int_{S_s^2} \omega} \int_{S_s^2} n^* \omega$$

for some normalisable volume form on S_t . Then

1.7 Properties of Curves

Here we want to take an example curve and consider the kind of constructs on it we will be looking at. To this we will effectively go through Miranda [6] and apply the relevant sections. Define the degree-6 homogeneous polynomial $P: \mathbb{C}^3 \to \mathbb{C}$ by

$$P(x, y, z) = y^6 - x^6 + z^2 x^4$$

Define the corresponding projective plane curve

$$X = \{[x:y:z] \in \mathbb{P}^2 \,|\, P(x,y,z) = 0\}$$

Throughout we will want to compare our by-hand calculations with numerics in Sage, so we start by initialising X.

```
sage: x,y,z = QQ['x,y,z'].gens()
sage: X = Curve(y^6-x^6+z^2*x^4)
```

The corresponding affine plane curve on the intersection with the open set $U_x = \{x \neq 0\}$ is $y^6 = 1 - z^2$. Hence

$$X = \left\{ [1:y:z] \,|\, y^6 = 1 - z^2 \right\} \cup \left\{ [0:0:1] \right\}$$

Proposition 1.8. X is singular at [0:0:1] only.

Proof. We have

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial x} = 2x^3(2z^2 - 3x^2),$$
 $\frac{\partial P}{\partial y} = 6y^5,$ $\frac{\partial P}{\partial z} = 2zx^4$

It can be seen that a common solution to these must have y=0 from $\partial_y P=0$, and then either z=0 or x=0 from $\partial_z P=0$. If z=0, $\partial_z P=0$ enforces x=0 which isn't in \mathbb{P}^2 . If x=0, z is arbitrary and we find the point $[0:0:1] \in \mathbb{P}^2$. This is calculated in Sage as follows:

```
sage: X.singular_points()
[(0 : 0 : 1)]
```

We need to get an understanding of this singularity. If we take the neighbourhood $U_z = \{z \neq 0\}$ the corresponding affine plane curve on the intersection is

$$p(x,y) = y^6 - x^6 + x^4 = 0$$

as

$$X = \left\{ [x:y:1] \,|\, y^6 - x^6 + x^4 = 0 \right\} \cup \left\{ [x:y:0] \,|\, y^6 - x^6 = 0 \right\}$$

Proposition 1.9. The only singular point on the affine plane curve p(x,y) = 0 is (0,0) and it is 2-monomial.

Proof. $\partial_x p(x,y) = 2x^3(2-3x^2)$, $\partial_y p(x,y) = 6y^5$. It can be seen that the only simultaneous solution to these is (0,0). Here we write $p(x,y) = g(x,y)^4 - h(x,y)^6$ where

$$g(x,y) = x \left[1 - \frac{1}{4}x^2 + \dots \right] = x \left[1 - x^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{4}}$$

 $h(x,y) = iy$

We then note gcd(4,6) = 2.

As such by general theory we know that we can resolve this singularity to make X into a compact Riemann surface. This process involves removing the singularity at [0:0:1] and patching the two holes created.

We now check some topology using Riemann-Hurwitz.

Proposition 1.10. g(X) = 2.

Proof. As standard we consider the map $X \to \mathbb{P}^1$ taking the coordinate y. Then z is generically double valued so the degree of the map is 2. This is ramified at the the 6 roots of $1 - y^6 = 0$, so using $q(\mathbb{P}^1)$

$$g(X) = 1 + 2(0 - 1) + \frac{1}{2} \times 6 \times (2 - 1) = 1 - 2 + 3 = 2$$

This is calculated in Sage as follows:

```
sage: X.genus()
2
```

Remark. This is to be expected, as here we are dealing with a hyperelliptic curve, of the form $z^2 = h(y)$ where h is a polynomial of degree 2q + 2.

Corollary 1.11. Through Hurwitz' theorem we know $|Aut(X)| \leq 84$.

This is a very lax bound, we know many groups of order ≤ 84 . We now try to calculate $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ more systematically. Firstly we note that as a hyperelliptic curve it comes with the hyperelliptic involution $(y,z) \mapsto (y,-z)$ generating a C_2 symmetry. We also have a D_6 dihedral group of automorphisms generated by $r:(y,z)\mapsto (\zeta y,z)$ and $s:(y,z)\mapsto (1/y,iz/y^3)$ where $\zeta=e^{\frac{i\pi}{3}}$. We can check that as $\zeta^3=-1$

$$srs: (y,z) \mapsto (1/y,iz/y^3) \mapsto (\zeta/y,iz/y^3) \mapsto (\zeta^{-1}y,i^2\zeta^{-3}z) = (\zeta^{-1}y,z)$$

giving $srs = r^{-1}$ as required from the dihedral group. The hyperelliptic involution commutes with this dihedral action, so in total we have found a $Aut(X) \ge C_2 \times D_6$. As $|C_2 \times D_6| = 24$, by Hurwitz' theorem we know that we could have at most one other automorphism of order either 2 or 3. This turns out not to be the case, and to see a general classification see for example [7]. To do the calculation in Sage, not we can use a result in [4] (translated here):

Lemma 1.12. If X is a hyperelliptic curve then $\operatorname{Aut}(J(X), a) \cong \operatorname{Aut}(X)$ where a is the canonical principal polarisation of the Jacobian.

This allows us to calculate in Sage:

```
sage: A.<u,v> = QQ[]
sage: Mod = sage.schemes.riemann_surfaces.riemann_surface
sage: S = Mod.RiemannSurface(u^2-1+v^6)
sage: G = S.symplectic_automorphism_group()
sage: G.structure_description()
'(C6\u00edx\u00edC2)\u00ed:\u00edC2'
```

Remark. Note that above Sage is acting as a GAP wrapper. The formatting translates to say $G \cong (C_6 \times C_2) \rtimes C_2$

We now want to consider forms on X. General theory says that we have a basis of $\Omega^1(X)$ given by

$$\left\{\omega_j = \frac{y^j dy}{z}\right\}_{j=0}^{g-1}$$

and a generating set of $H_1(X,\mathbb{Z})$ given by a suitable choice of independent closed paths $c = \gamma_1 - \gamma_2$ where γ_i are the two lifts of a path in \mathbb{P}^1 between branch points of the map $X \to \mathbb{P}^1$. In order to find the period matrices explicitly we need to calculate

$$\int_{c} \omega_{j}$$

for these loops c. To be explicit for the paths in the base that we choose we take

$$a_1 = \left\{ e^{\frac{it\pi}{3}} \mid t \in [0, 1] \right\}$$

$$b_1 = \left\{ e^{\frac{i(t+1)\pi}{3}} \mid t \in [0, 1] \right\}$$

$$a_2 = \left\{ e^{\frac{i(t+3)\pi}{3}} \mid t \in [0, 1] \right\}$$

$$b_2 = \left\{ e^{\frac{i(t+5)\pi}{3}} \mid t \in [0, 1] \right\}$$

This can be calculated numerically in Sage as below (output formatted to fit in the document):

```
sage: S.period_matrix()
[ -0.6-1.1*I  0.6+1.1*I  1.2+0.0*I  -1.2+0.0*I]
[ -1.1-0.1*I  -1.1-0.6*I  0.0-1.2*I  0.0-1.2*I]
```

2 The Monopole Equations

2.1 Yang-Mills-Higgs equations

Definition 2.1. Take a principal G-bundle $P \to M$, ω_{vol} an orientation on M, and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ to be an ad-invariant inner product on \mathfrak{g} . Then the **Yang-Mills-Higgs actions** on M is

$$S_{YMH}[A, \phi] = \int_{M} \left[-|F|^{2} + |D\phi|^{2} - V(\phi) \right] \omega_{vol}$$

where $F = dA + A \wedge A$ is the curvature associated to a section $A \in \Gamma(T^*M \otimes \operatorname{ad}(P))$, D = d + A is the associated covariant derivative, and $\phi \in \Gamma(\operatorname{ad}(P))$.

To connect with physical theory we want our Lagrangian to be of the form kinetic-potential. This will manifest itself in our choice of signs by requiring that

$$|D\phi|^2 = (\partial_0 \phi)^2 + \dots$$
$$-|F|^2 = E_i^2 + \dots$$

This is the reason for the somewhat strange looking sign choice at this point.

Remark. A common choice of potential function V is $V(\phi) = \lambda \left(1 - |\phi|^2\right)^2$, the ϕ^4 -potential. By our choice of signs, we want $\lambda > 0$. We can check $V'(\phi) = -4\lambda(1 - |\phi|^2)|\phi|$.

Definition 2.2. A monopoles will be a soliton-like solution to the Yang-Mills-Higgs equations when G = SU(2), $M = \mathbb{R}^4$ with the Minkowski metric, the principal bundle is $P = M \times G$, and the potential is ϕ^4 .

Proposition 2.3. The variational equations corresponding to S_{YMH} in Minkowski \mathbb{R}^4 are the **Yang-Mills-Higgs equations**

$$DF = 0 \quad (Bianchi)$$

$$\star D \star F = [\phi, D\phi]$$

$$\star D \star D\phi = \frac{1}{2|\phi|} V'(\phi)\phi$$

Proof. We first consider the equation that comes from the variation of A. Let $A_t = A + t\beta$, then $F_t = F + t (d\beta + \beta \wedge A + A \wedge \beta) + \mathcal{O}(t^2)$ and $D_t \phi = D\phi + t [\beta, \phi]$. Hence

$$S_t = S + 2t \int_M \left[-\langle F, D\beta \rangle + \langle D\phi, [\beta, \phi] \rangle \right] \omega_{vol} + \mathcal{O}(t^2)$$

Hence to be at a stationary point of the action variation we want

$$\int_{M} \left[-\langle F, D\beta \rangle + \langle D\phi, [\beta, \phi] \rangle \right] \omega_{vol} = 0$$

Using the fact that inner product is ad-invariant and letting D^* be the formal adjoint of D wrt to inner product $\langle \langle \eta, \omega \rangle \rangle = \int_M \langle \eta, \omega \rangle \omega_{vol}$ we can rewrite this as

$$\int_{M} \langle D^*F + [D\phi, \phi], \beta \rangle \, \omega_{vol} = 0$$

Using results on the dual of the covariant derivative we can say that

$$D^*F = (-1)^{4(2-1)+1}(-1) \star D \star F = \star D \star F$$

Hence as β was a generic variation we must have $\star D \star F + [D\phi, \phi] = 0$. We now consider a ϕ variation so $\phi_t = \phi + t\psi$. Note

$$|\phi_t| = \sqrt{\left\langle \phi_t, \phi_t \right\rangle} = \sqrt{\left|\phi\right|^2 + 2t \left\langle \phi, \psi \right\rangle + \mathcal{O}(t^2)} = |\phi| \sqrt{1 + \frac{2t \left\langle \phi, \psi \right\rangle}{\left|\phi\right|^2} + \mathcal{O}(t^2)} = |\phi| + t \frac{\left\langle \phi, \psi \right\rangle}{\left|\phi\right|} + \mathcal{O}(t^2)$$

so if we consider V as $V(\phi) = V(|\phi|)$ (i.e. as a function of a real variable) then

$$V(\phi_t) = V(\phi) + t |\phi|^{-1} V'(\phi) \langle \phi, \psi \rangle + \mathcal{O}(t^2)$$

Then a variational argument as before means that we need to set

$$\int_{M} \left[2 \langle D\phi, D\psi \rangle - |\phi|^{-1} V'(\phi) \langle \phi, \psi \rangle \right] \omega_{vol} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \qquad 2D^{*}D\phi - |\phi|^{-1} V'(\phi)\phi = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \qquad (-1)^{4(1-1)+1}(-1) \star D \star D\phi - \frac{1}{2|\phi|} V'(\phi)\phi = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \qquad \star D \star D\phi - \frac{1}{2|\phi|} V'(\phi)\phi = 0$$

Remark. The Dirac monopole is a solution in the case of G = U(1).

We may make these equations explicit in coordinates. The first approach is to try and substitute in coordinate expressions into the YMH equations. Taking coordinates x^{μ} on \mathbb{R}^4 and writing

$$F = \frac{1}{2} F_{\mu\nu} dx^{\mu} \wedge dx^{\nu}$$

$$\star F = \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} \epsilon^{\mu\nu}{}_{\rho\sigma} dx^{\rho} \wedge dx^{\sigma}$$

$$\Rightarrow D \star F = \frac{1}{4} D_{\tau} F_{\mu\nu} \epsilon^{\mu\nu}{}_{\rho\sigma} dx^{\tau} \wedge dx^{\rho} \wedge dx^{\sigma}$$

$$\Rightarrow \star D \star F = \frac{1}{4} D_{\tau} F_{\mu\nu} \epsilon^{\mu\nu}{}_{\rho\sigma} \epsilon^{\tau\rho\sigma}{}_{\lambda} dx^{\lambda}$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} D_{\tau} F_{\mu\nu} \epsilon_{\rho\sigma}{}^{\mu\nu} \epsilon^{\rho\sigma\tau}{}_{\lambda} dx^{\lambda}$$

$$= -D_{\tau} F^{\mu}{}_{\nu} \delta^{\tau}{}_{[\mu} \delta^{\nu}{}_{\lambda]} dx^{\lambda}$$

$$= -D_{\tau} F^{\tau}{}_{\lambda} dx^{\lambda}$$

Hence the first monopole equation reads

$$D_{\mu}F^{\mu\nu} - [D^{\nu}\phi, \phi] = 0$$

Next we have

$$\star D\phi = \frac{1}{6} (D_{\mu}\phi) \epsilon^{\mu}{}_{\nu\rho\sigma} dx^{\nu} \wedge dx^{\rho} \wedge dx^{\sigma}$$

$$\Rightarrow D \star D\phi = \frac{1}{6} (D_{\tau}D_{\mu}\phi) \epsilon^{\mu}{}_{\nu\rho\sigma} dx^{\tau} \wedge dx^{\nu} \wedge dx^{\rho} \wedge dx^{\sigma}$$

$$\Rightarrow \star D \star D\phi = \frac{1}{6} (D_{\tau}D_{\mu}\phi) \epsilon^{\mu}{}_{\nu\rho\sigma} \epsilon^{\tau\nu\rho\sigma}$$

$$= -(D_{\tau}D^{\mu}\phi) \delta^{\tau}{}_{\mu} = -D_{\mu}D^{\mu}\phi$$

which yields (taking the ϕ^4 potential)

$$D_{\mu}D^{\mu}\phi - 2\lambda(1 - |\phi|^2)\phi = 0$$

Collecting this with the Bianchi identity (taking it as $\star DF = 0$) we have

$$\epsilon^{\rho\mu\nu\tau}D_{\rho}F_{\mu\nu} = 0$$

$$D_{\mu}F^{\mu\nu} - [D^{\nu}\phi, \phi] = 0$$

$$D_{\mu}D^{\mu}\phi - 2\lambda(1 - |\phi|^2)\phi = 0$$

An alternative approach to deriving these equations is to first write the Lagrangian in coordinate form and then derive the variational equations. We take the inner product on $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{su}(2)$ to be $\langle X,Y \rangle = -\frac{1}{2}\kappa(X,Y) = -2\operatorname{Tr}(XY)$ for concreteness, which gives $\langle t^a,t^b \rangle = \delta^{ab}$, and as such we

need the mostly-minus Minkowski metric. We have

$$\langle F, F \rangle = \frac{1}{4} \langle F_{\mu\nu}, F_{\rho\sigma} \rangle \langle dx^{\mu} \wedge dx^{\nu}, dx^{\rho} \wedge dx^{\sigma} \rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} \langle F_{\mu\nu}, F_{\rho\sigma} \rangle (\eta^{\mu\nu} \eta^{\rho\sigma} - \eta^{\mu\sigma} \eta^{\nu\rho})$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \langle F_{\mu\nu}, F^{\mu\nu} \rangle$$

$$= -\operatorname{Tr}(F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu})$$

$$\langle D\phi, D\phi \rangle = \langle D_{\mu}\phi, D_{\nu}\phi \rangle \langle dx^{\mu}, dx^{\nu} \rangle$$

$$= \langle D_{\mu}\phi, D_{\nu}\phi \rangle \eta^{\mu\nu}$$

$$= -2\operatorname{Tr}(D_{\mu}\phi D^{\mu}\phi)$$

Hence the corresponding Lagrangian density is

$$\mathcal{L} = +\operatorname{Tr}(F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}) - 2\operatorname{Tr}(D_{\mu}\phi D^{\mu}\phi) - V(\phi) = \sum_{a} \left[-\frac{1}{2}F_{\mu\nu}^{(a)}F^{(a)\mu\nu} + (D_{\mu}\phi)^{(a)}(D^{\mu}\phi)^{(a)} \right] - \lambda \left[1 - \sum_{a} (\phi^{(a)})^{2} \right]^{2}$$

To check that we have the correct signs in this Lagrangian we verify that it takes the form kinetic-potenial with

kinetic =
$$-2 \operatorname{Tr}(E_i E_i) - 2 \operatorname{Tr}(D_0 \phi D_0 \phi)$$

potential = $-\operatorname{Tr}(F_{ij} F_{ij}) - 2 \operatorname{Tr}(D_i \phi D_i \phi) + V(\phi)$

where $E_i = F_{0i}$.

Remark. Something we can immediately recognise is that in order to get finite energy solutions when $\lambda \neq 0$, we need $|\phi| \rightarrow 1$.

We now recall the Euler-Lagrange equations for Lagrangian with field ψ

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \psi} - \partial_{\mu} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_{\mu} \psi)} = 0$$

The fields here are really the coefficients in $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ of A_{μ}, ϕ , that is they are $A_{\mu}^{(a)}, \phi^{(a)}$, so we expand

$$\begin{split} F_{\mu\nu}^{(a)} &= \partial_{\mu}A_{\nu}^{(a)} - \partial_{\nu}A_{\mu}^{(a)} + A_{\mu}^{(b)}A_{\nu}^{(c)}\epsilon_{abc} \\ (D_{\mu}\phi)^{(a)} &= \partial_{\mu}\phi^{(a)} + A_{\mu}^{(b)}\phi^{(c)}\epsilon_{abc} \end{split}$$

giving

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_{\mu}A_{\nu}^{(a)})} &= -2F^{(a)\mu\nu} \\ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial A_{\mu}^{(a)}} &= -2\epsilon_{bac}A_{\nu}^{(c)}F^{(b)\mu\nu} + 2\phi^{(c)}\epsilon_{bac}(D^{\mu}\phi)^{(b)} \\ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_{\mu}\phi^{(a)})} &= 2(D^{\mu}\phi)^{(a)} \\ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi^{(a)}} &= 2\epsilon_{cba}A_{\mu}^{(b)}(D^{\mu}\phi)^{(c)} + 4\lambda\phi^{(a)} \left[1 - \sum_{b}(\phi^{(b)})^{2}\right] \end{split}$$

We can now write the Euler-Lagrange equations

$$0 = -\partial_{\nu} F^{(a)\nu\mu} - \left[-\epsilon_{bac} A^{(c)}_{\nu} F^{(b)\mu\nu} + \phi^{(c)} \epsilon_{bac} (D^{\mu} \phi)^{(b)} \right]$$

$$= - \left[\partial_{\nu} F^{(a)\nu\mu} + A^{(c)}_{\nu} F^{(b)\nu\mu} \epsilon_{cba} \right] + (D^{\mu} \phi)^{(b)} \phi^{(c)} \epsilon_{bca}$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 = D_{\nu} F^{\nu\mu} - [D^{\mu} \phi, \phi]$$

and

$$0 = \partial_{\mu} (D^{\mu} \phi)^{(a)} - \left[\epsilon_{cba} A_{\mu}^{(b)} (D^{\mu} \phi)^{(c)} + 2\lambda \phi^{(a)} \left[1 - \sum_{b} (\phi^{(b)})^{2} \right] \right]$$
$$= \left[\partial_{\mu} (D^{\mu} \phi)^{(a)} + A_{\mu}^{(b)} (D^{\mu} \phi)^{(c)} \epsilon_{bca} \right] - \lambda \phi^{(a)} \left[1 - \sum_{b} (\phi^{(b)})^{2} \right]$$
$$\Rightarrow 0 = D_{\mu} D^{\mu} \phi - 2\lambda (1 - |\phi|^{2}) \phi$$

We happily see that these two approaches agree, and we should see that these are indeed the sort of equations we want (e.g the ϕ equation looks like Klein-Gordon if we linearise around $|\phi| = 1$.)

2.2 BPS limit

The monopole equations we have found so far are second order, but we want to apply the classic strategy when working with topological solitons: write the energy functional as the integral of a square term plus a topological term, and then we locally must have a minimising solution by setting the squared term to 0. This will be possible if we set $\lambda = 0$ but retain that $|\phi| = 1$ at infinity. More specifically we take the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi| &= 1 - \frac{m}{2r} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{r^2}\right) \\ \frac{\partial |\phi|}{\partial \Omega} &= \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{r^2}\right) \\ |D\phi| &= \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{r^2}\right) \end{aligned}$$

With $\lambda = 0$ we can rewrite the energy functional as

$$E[A, \phi] = \int_{M} \left[-2 \operatorname{Tr}(E_{i}E_{i}) - 2 \operatorname{Tr}(D_{0}\phi D_{0}\phi) - \operatorname{Tr}(F_{ij}F_{ij}) - 2 \operatorname{Tr}(D_{i}\phi D_{i}\phi) \right] d^{4}x$$

of the configuration as

$$E[A, \phi] = \int_{M} \langle F \mp \star D\phi, F \mp \star D\phi \rangle \pm 2 \langle F, D\phi \rangle$$
$$= \int_{M} |F - \star D\phi|^{2} + \int_{\partial M} \langle F, \phi \rangle$$

using that $\langle F, D\phi \rangle = d \langle F, \phi \rangle$. Then as

$$\int_{\partial M} \langle F, \phi \rangle = - \int_{\partial M} \langle \phi, d\phi \wedge d\phi \rangle$$

by our discussion of degree we have $E \ge \pm 4\pi k$ for some $K \in \mathbb{Z}$ with equality iff $F = \mp \star D\phi$ where we choose the sign to make the bound positive. This is the **BPS equation**.

3 Constructions

4 The ADHM construction

This section follows the work first laid out in [1]. Suppose we have the following information:

- \bullet W a k-dimensional vector space
- V a 2k+2-dimensional vector space with skew, non-degenerate bilinear form $(\cdot,\cdot): \wedge^2 V \to \mathbb{C}$.
- $z=(z_i)\in\mathbb{C}^4$
- $A(z) = \sum_{i} A_i z_i \in \text{End}(W, V) \text{ s.t.}$

$$\forall z \neq 0, \ U_z \equiv A(z)W \subset V$$
 is isotropic and k-dimensional

We now state some important properties:

Lemma 4.1. Let $E_z = \frac{U_z^0}{U_z}$, then

- $\dim E_z = 2$
- E_z inherits a non-degenerate skew bilinear
- $\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}, E_z = E_{\lambda z}$.

Proof. We go point by point:

- $\dim E_z = \dim U_z^0 \dim U_z = (\dim V \dim U_z) \dim U_z = 2k + 2 2k = 2.$
- The bilinear on W is only degenerate in U_z^0 on U_z , so by quotienting by this it descends directly to E_z .
- $A(\lambda z) = \lambda A(z)$, so $A(\lambda z)(\lambda^{-1} w) = A(z)(w)$. Hence we can see $U_{\lambda z} = U_z$ and so result.

Corollary 4.2. We get a vector bundle $E \to \mathbb{CP}^3$ with group $SL(2,\mathbb{C})$.

References

- M. F. Atiyah, N. J. Hitchin, V. G. Drinfeld, Yu. I. Manin. Construction of instantons. *Physics Letters A*, 65(3):pp. 185–187, 1978. ISSN 0375-9601. doi:10.1016/0375-9601(78)90141-X.
- [2] H. W. Braden, V. Z. Enolski. The construction of monopoles. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 362(2):p. 547–570, 2018. ISSN 1432-0916. doi:10.1007/s00220-018-3199-4.
- [3] P. A. M. Dirac. Quantised Singularities in the Electromagnetic Field. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Series A*, 133(821):pp. 60–72, 1931. doi:10.1098/rspa.1931.0130.
- [4] Kristin E. Lauter, Jean-Pierre Serre. Geometric methods for improving the upper bounds on the number of rational points on algebraic curves over finite fields. arXiv: Algebraic Geometry, 2001.
- [5] Nicholas Manton, Paul Sutcliffe. Topological Solitons. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004. ISBN 9780511617034. doi:10.1017/CBO9780511617034.
- [6] Rick Miranda. Algebraic curves and Riemann surfaces, vol. 5 of Graduate Studies in Mathematics. American Mathematical Society, 1995. ISBN 9780821802687. doi:10.1090/gsm/005.
- [7] Nicolas Müller, Richard Pink. Hyperelliptic curves with many automorphisms, 2017.