

## Minutes of Meeting

<b>Date and Time</b>	03 September 2025 09:00 PST	<b>Meeting type</b>	Zoom
<b>Organiser</b>	Mr. Rupesh	<b>Client</b>	Citywide

### Attendees (Internal)

- Rupesh
- Kuldeep
- Jaspreet
- Sangita
- Vishesh
- Ravinder
- Rahul
- Sai
- Ajay
- Gurpreet
- Amit

### Attendees (Client Side )

- Tom, Teresa, Matt

### Agenda

- **Discussions on the following:**
  - Training Module Issue and Discussion
  - Call History Demonstration
  - Multiple Activity Codes on a Call
  - Call Priority Levels
  - Handling Spam or Unverified Caller Information
  - Priority Level Display in Reports
  - UI & Color Implementation
  - Reports & Forms Integration Scenarios
  - Multiple Activity Codes & Forms
  - Forms Linked to Activity Codes
  - Dispatcher Permissions
  - Call Dropdown in Forms
  - Flexibility in Forms
  - Edge Case Handling
  - Callouts in Scheduling
  - Holiday Hours in Contracted vs Operating Hours
  - Geofencing in Calls

- Primary vs Backup Units in Calls
- Multiple Activity Codes per Call
- Dispatcher Edit Permissions
- Bug – Duplicate Reports (Matthew Queen)
- QuickBooks Integration Keys
- Fleet Management & KPI
- Payroll Report Issue (Urgent)
- Build Release Timing



**The following things are discussed:**

**1. Training Module Issue and Discussion:**

- a. **Problem:** Teresa (while creating a course under regular admin role) could not assign herself as an instructor.
- b. **Cause:** Role permissions conflict – company admin/super admin roles were excluded from the instructor dropdown.
- c. **Fix:**
  - i. Update: Company Admins and Super Admins will now appear in the dropdown after the next release.
  - ii. Default instructor logic clarified:
    - 1. Whoever creates the course should be assigned as the default instructor.
    - 2. They should still be able to change it to someone else later.
  - iii. Agreed that making “Company Admin” the default instructor for all courses is not practical.
- d. **Decision:** Course creator = Default instructor. Admins will appear in the dropdown but not forced as default.
- e. **Use Case:** Delegated Course Creation
  - i. **Scenario Raised by Randy:**
    - 1. Example: Teresa may ask Randy to create a draft course, but she remains the final instructor.
    - 2. Also possible for CEOs or department heads to be the course owners while staff set them up.
  - ii. **Decision:** System must allow delegation where one person creates the course but another is set as instructor.
- f. **Training Test Results (Officer 1972 Case)**
  - i. **Issue:** Officer’s test showed failure despite correct answers.
  - ii. **Findings:**
    - 1. One answer was actually wrong; screenshot verified.
    - 2. Passing criteria set at 200 points = 100% required.
  - iii. **Resolution:** Criteria clarified and updated. No further issue.
- g. **Evaluator Role Visibility Issue**
  - i. **Problem:** Teresa, assigned as an evaluator, could not see courses in Training even though she was marked as evaluator.
  - ii. **System Behavior Explained by Sangita:**
    - 1. Currently, only instructors (or course creators) can view their assigned courses.
    - 2. Evaluators do not automatically get course visibility.
  - iii. **Action:** Sangita to re-verify evaluator role visibility logic. If missing, a trial ticket will be created.
- h. **Training Module Release Status**
  - i. **Question (Tom):** Has the Training module been released to all users?
  - ii. **Update (Jaspreet):** Not yet. Planned for the upcoming push.

**2. Call History Demonstration:**

- a. **Call History Trigger & Logic**
  - i. Call history should be displayed once a phone number is entered.
  - ii. An icon (or indicator) will show if there’s any prior history for that

- number.
- iii. Clicking the indicator should open the call history.
- iv. **History linkage:** Based **only** on phone numbers (not site).
- v. Site filter option will also be included in the final version.
- b. Filtering and Display Requirements**
  - i. Filters:**
    - 1. Users must be able to filter by site, number, and date.
    - 2. Current dev version doesn't support site filtering yet → to be added.
  - ii. Columns Required:**
    - 1. Assigned user
    - 2. Date/time of call
    - 3. Activity codes
    - 4. Reporting party name
    - 5. Call description
  - iii. Customization:**
    - 1. Users should be able to add/remove columns per preference.
    - 2. Supports both HOA-style clients (multiple addresses per site) and single-building clients.
- c. Call Location vs Site**
  - i. **Site:** Name of the property.
  - ii. **Call Location:** Specific address where the incident occurred.
  - iii. Decision: Keep both but allow users to deselect columns to avoid clutter.
- d. Number Updates & History**
  - i. Scenario: If a dispatcher edits a call and changes the phone number → history should now attach only to the updated number.
  - ii. Decision: History is tied to the *current* phone number only. Past associations will not carry forward.
- e. History View & User Experience**
  - i. Instead of just an "i" icon, show a **summary (e.g., "4 entries found – View More")**.
  - ii. Clicking "View More" should open additional details:
    - 1. Must not replace the main working tab.
    - 2. Should open in a secondary tab or window (non-primary) to prevent timeouts.
- f. History in Different Views**
  - i. History should be visible in:
    - 1. **Edit Call screen**
    - 2. **View Call screen** (so dispatchers can identify frequent callers without editing).
- g. Labeling of Call Sites**
  - i. **Issue:** "Patrol Site" wording still appears in call sheet when adding a call.
  - ii. **Feedback:** Tom requested that the system should dynamically reflect the site type:
    - 1. Patrol Site → "Patrol"
    - 2. Standing Site → "Standing"
  - iii. **Decision:** Remove "Patrol Site/Patrol Log" references. Use

simplified terminology (“Site” with context-specific type).

- iv. **Action:** Jaspreet’s team to update UI and ensure previous references are cleaned up.

### 3. Multiple Activity Codes on a Call

- a. **Request:** Ability to add a second or third activity code per call.
- b. **Status:** Ticket already raised by Teresa.
- c. **Follow-up:** Needs prioritization in development.

### 4. Call Priority Levels

- a. **Proposed Priorities:** Low, Medium, High, Hot.
- b. **Issues Identified:**
  - i. Display order was incorrect (alphabetical instead of logical).
  - ii. Placement needed to be **next to Activity Code** at the top of call form (not lower down).
- c. **Decisions:**
  - i. Final order: **Low → Medium → High → Hot**.
  - ii. Priority field to appear immediately under Activity Code.
  - iii. Color coding to be applied (consistent with demeanor-style UI).
  - iv. Calls logged from client side will retain **pink highlight**.
- d. **Clarifications:**
  - i. High Priority = escalated/manager request.
  - ii. Hot Call = in-progress emergency (burglary, domestic violence, etc.).
  - iii. These labels replace numeric priority codes (1/2/3) for clarity.

### 5. Handling Spam or Unverified Caller Information

- a. **Concern:** How to manage cases when random/spam numbers report incidents.
- b. **Resolution:** Spam calls will not be logged; system only tracks legitimate, logged calls.

### 6. Priority Level Display in Reports

- a. **Discussion:**
  - i. Dispatch view: Open/Active calls show priority with colors.
  - ii. Reports: Priority not displayed; activity code priorities remain.
- b. **Decision:**
  - i. Priority colors apply **only in Dispatch (Open/Passed Calls)**.
  - ii. Reports will continue to reflect activity code highlights (yellow for property, etc.), not dynamic priority colors.

### 7. UI & Color Implementation

- a. **Current:** Test code pushed to staging shows incorrect order & placements.
- b. **Decision:**
  - i. Finalize static color scheme for priorities.
  - ii. No need for customizable settings (like scheduling).
  - iii. Officer view: Open calls will display colors; Reports remain unaffected.

### 8. Reports & Forms Integration Scenarios

- a. **Scenario 1:**
  - i. Provide a dropdown in Reports → option to create either a **General Report** (direct to form) or select specific forms.
- b. **Scenario 2:**
  - i. When a call is created and officers are assigned, the **primary officer** should be able to create reports/forms linked to that call.

**c. Scenario 3:**

- i. Clarification requested on **who is primary**.
- ii. **Decision:** Arrival time determines primary officer (not selection order). First officer on scene = primary.
- iii. Other officers can file **supplemental/additional reports**.

**9. Multiple Activity Codes & Forms**

- a. Calls may escalate or downgrade (e.g., trespassing → robbery → murder, or robbery → disturbance of peace).
- b. The system must support **multiple activity codes** per call to capture evolution.
- c. Highest charge (e.g., murder) becomes the **primary code**; other codes provide context.

**10. Forms Linked to Activity Codes**

- a. **Proposal:** Each activity code can have forms mapped (e.g., “Murder” requires Incident Report).
- b. **Clarification:**
  - i. When an officer selects an activity code, a **pop-up note/link** should appear: *“This requires an Incident Report – click to open form.”*
  - ii. **Forms are NOT mandatory.** The officer may bypass and continue with report entry.
  - iii. Works similar to adding photos (optional, not blocking).

**11. Dispatcher Permissions:**

- a. **Discussion:** Should dispatchers be able to complete incident reports on behalf of officers?
- b. **Decision:**
  - i. Dispatchers may log reports but **should not complete incident reports** on behalf of officers due to complexity and accuracy requirements.
  - ii. If an incident report is required, the dispatcher must notify the officer to complete it.
  - iii. Dispatcher log entry may include note: *“Incident report pending from officer.”*

**12. Call Dropdown in Forms:**

- a. Officers need a dropdown of assigned calls when filling forms.
- b. **Concerns:** Dropdown may get cluttered with too many calls (100+).
- c. **Decision:**
  - i. Dropdown will only show **open calls assigned to that officer**.
  - ii. Once a report is completed for a call, that call number **disappears** from the dropdown for that officer.
  - iii. If multiple officers are assigned, each officer’s dropdown updates independently after they complete their report.

**13. Flexibility in Forms**

- a. Officers should be able to:
  - i. Use suggested form (from activity code mapping).
  - ii. OR select additional forms manually from dropdown.
- b. Ensures adaptability for varying incident complexities.

**14. Edge Case Handling**

- a. Example: Multiple officers arrest different suspects in the same robbery.
  - i. The lead/primary officer writes the main incident report.

- ii. Supporting officers write supplemental reports & arrest-specific documentation.
- b. The system should not block officers from writing incident reports even if they're not primary, to allow flexibility for rare/complex cases.

## 15. Callouts in Scheduling

### a. Discussion:

- i. Query raised on whether **callout stats** (counts) should be included in scheduling summary.
- ii. Hours are not reliable (can be manually filled), but **counts** provide better visibility.

### b. Decision:

- i. Show **callout count**, not hours.

## 16. Holiday Hours in Contracted vs Operating Hours

### a. Clarification:

- i. Contracted hours = baseline requirement.
- ii. Operating hours = scheduled hours.
- iii. Holidays reduce operating hours but are tracked separately.

### b. Formula Confirmed:

- i. Contracted Hours  $\approx$  Operating Hours
- ii. Where Operating Hours = Staffed + Open + Unscheduled + Overtime + Double Time + Extra Coverage + Holiday.

### c. UI Suggestion:

- i. Show contracted and operating hours on **top line (highlighted)**.
- ii. Subcategories (staffed, open, overtime, holiday, etc.) listed below.
- iii. Add **hover-over tooltips** or descriptions to clarify formulas.

### d. Decision:

- i. Proceed with these changes now; add tooltips/descriptions in the upcoming build.

## 17. Geofencing in Calls

### a. Status:

- i. Feature to be implemented **later** after the geofencing system is updated (drawing geofence per site).
- ii. Current ticket pushed to **bottom of backlog**.

## 18. Primary vs Backup Units in Calls

### a. Requirement:

- i. Ability to mark officers as **Primary (P)** or **Backup (B)** when assigning to a call.
- ii. Selection needed for **seniority/qualification reasons**, not just arrival order.

### b. UI Suggestion:

- i. Two radio buttons or checkboxes (P and B).
- ii. Default all to Backup; manually select Primary.

### c. Decision:

- i. Feature approved, but internal discussion needed before finalizing.

## 19. Multiple Activity Codes per Call

### a. Requirement:

- i. Calls may involve **multiple activity codes** (e.g., disturbance + intoxicated + weapon).
- ii. Primary = main code (used for report template population).

- iii. Secondary codes = visible internally in call details but **not used for report templates**.

**b. Decision:**

- i. Reports → only primary code.
- ii. Calls view → show all codes (primary + secondary).
- iii. PDFs for managers → only primary code.

**20. Dispatcher Edit Permissions**

**a. Issue:**

- i. Once a call is marked as cleared, there's no way to update if entry was wrong.

**b. Decision:**

- i. Add an option for **dispatchers only** (not officers) to edit call time entries.

**21. Bug – Duplicate Reports (Matthew Queen)**

**a. Issue:**

- i. User **Matthew Queen** is receiving duplicate reports.
- ii. Attendance logs not visible under his account.

**b. Action:**

- i. Jaspreet to check **database records** for this officer specifically to trace the cause.

**22. QuickBooks Integration Keys**

**a. Issue:**

- i. Keys generated were linking to a **new/random QuickBooks account** instead of a sandbox or production instance.

**b. Action:**

- i. Jaspreet to check with **Kuldeep** (who has access) and share correct procedure/video.

**23. Fleet Management & KPI**

**a. Discussion:**

- i. KPI items were already clarified in earlier sessions.
- ii. Fleet Management discussion is pending, but today's call was interrupted by urgent payroll issues.
- iii. Fleet management scope is limited to **vehicle health monitoring** and possible **future integration with devices/dashcams** via API.

**b. Status:** Postponed until payroll issue is resolved.

**24. Payroll Report Issue (Urgent)**

**a. Problem Identified:**

- i. Client unable to run payroll because employee rates are missing.
- ii. Requirement: Payroll report should allow **zero rates but still display hours worked**.
- iii. Current issue: Payroll report is showing **404 not found** error.
- iv. Confusion noted between **QuickBooks API for invoicing vs payroll** – client expected one integration but discovered two separate APIs are required.

**b. Actions & Responses:**

- i. **Akash (Ditstek):** Fix requires development and testing → will take time.
- ii. **Jaspreet (Ditstek):**
  - 1. Committed to implementing a solution by **tomorrow's call**.



2. Will immediately check the **404 error** after the call.
3. Will prioritize a fix so payroll can be run.

**c. Client Concern:**

- i. Payroll is **due today**, client extremely upset as they have been unable to process payroll for two weeks.
- ii. Requested immediate resolution or temporary workaround.

**25. Build Release Timing**

**a. Discussion:**

- i. Tomorrow's build update needs to be scheduled within a limited testing window.
- ii. Client suggested **6:00 AM (client's local time)** as the best release time due to low system usage.

**b. Decision:**

- i. Build update to be scheduled at **6:00 AM PST time**.
- ii. The Ditstek team will attempt to complete testing within **1–2 hours**.