

Minutes of Meeting

Date and Time	06 November 2025 09:00 PST	Meeting type	Zoom
Organiser	Mr. Rupesh	Client	Citywide

Attendees (Internal)

- Rupesh
- Kuldeep
- Jaspreet
- Ravinder
- Ajay
- Pankaj
- Akash
- Rahul

Attendees (Client Side)

- Tom, Teresa, Matt, Randy

Agenda

- **Discussions on the following:**
 - Pending Deliverables & Timelines
 - Training Module Access Issue
 - Corporate Level Access Requirement
 - Analytics Updates
 - PTO/PSL Demonstration & Discussion

The following things are discussed:

1. Pending Deliverables & Timelines

- a. Tom expressed concern over deliverables that were initially targeted for completion by the end **of October**, referencing a previous meeting where expectations were set for September.
- b. The team acknowledged delays due to **shifted priorities** and emphasized that some tasks are complete but pending review.
- c. Agreed to review **priority items in detail** during the next day's call before proceeding with new agendas.

2. Training Module Access Issue

- a. Tom reported continued inability to access training courses despite multiple discussions.
- b. Investigation revealed that multiple role assignments (Company Admin, Admin, Patrol, and Field Officer) caused inconsistencies in access behavior.
- c. Default role for Tom's Arizona branch was mistakenly set to Normal Admin, limiting his training view.
- d. Issue resolved by setting Company Admin as the default role.
- e. Clarified that upon switching roles from dropdown, data visibility changes per last-selected role.

3. Corporate Level Access Requirement

- a. Tom emphasized the need for **Corporate Level Access** that allows top-level users to view **all branch data collectively** (e.g., San Diego, Riverside, Arizona, LA).
- b. Discussed need for a **"Company Admin" or "Super Admin"** level with holistic system access.
- c. The team confirmed prior discussions on this requirement and agreed to **create a new ticket** to define and implement the corporate access model.
- d. Tom explained its business importance — without this feature, future **multi-branch clients** could face data limitations, potentially impacting **sales and scalability**.

4. Analytics Updates

- a. Tom appreciated the **improved Analytics UI** and mentioned positive client response during recent demos.
- b. The analytics module received significant feedback; updates are currently in final QA.
- c. **KPI module development** is complete and undergoing **unit testing** before QA handoff.
- d. Target: Deliver both modules for QA verification by **next day**, followed by **staging validation** and **production deployment (Citywide instance)** for real data validation.
- e. **Tom's Input:**
 - i. Suggested pushing analytics to **production (Citywide)** first to validate real data accuracy.
 - ii. Confirmed he won't be concerned about minor data discrepancies, as live validation helps identify calculation issues faster.
- f. **Ravinder's Input:**
 - i. Agreed to push only if changes don't impact other functionalities.

- ii. Requested **2–3 days of QA testing** before production deployment to ensure stability.
- g. Final Decision: Team to **review internally** and **confirm deployment readiness by next call**.

5. Demonstration – Call Module Enhancements

- a. Showcased new functionality for **Primary and Secondary (Backup) Units** within the Call module.
- b. **Behavior Demonstrated:**
 - i. Selecting a primary unit automatically restricts it from being chosen as a secondary.
 - ii. Ability to modify primary/secondary assignments from the **Call Listing > All Actions > Find Units** section.
 - iii. Only **one primary unit** allowed per call; multiple backups can be added.
- c. **Terminology Update:** “Secondary Unit” to be renamed to **“Backup Unit.”**
- d. **Operational Logic Discussion**
 - i. Clarified **Primary/Backup logic:**
 - 1. Arrival order doesn’t change primary status automatically.
 - 2. Dispatchers can **manually reassign primary** if a more qualified unit arrives later.
 - 3. Example: A less experienced officer may arrive first but should not be auto-assigned as primary.
 - ii. **Visual Indicators:**
 - 1. Proposal to **flag or color-code** primary units (e.g., yellow or “P” marker).
 - 2. Future enhancement idea: add **status icons** (“In Route,” “On Site,” “Cleared”) to calls for better operational visibility.

6. PTO/PSL Demonstration & Discussion

- a. **PTO/PSL Cap and Earnings Configuration**
 - i. Discussion started with the **cap earning logic**, focusing on allowing users to **set cap values for the year** without requiring manual input for hours worked or PTO earned.
 - ii. It was agreed that the **global setting** would not be necessary if users can configure these values independently.
- b. **UI Refinements for PTO/PSL Settings**
 - i. The current UI for PTO/PSL configuration needs improvement in layout and clarity.
 - ii. **Proposal:**
 - 1. If the “Yearly” value field is blank but only “Use Cap” is defined, the interface should still display cleanly.
 - 2. The UI will include structured input areas for:
 - a. **Cap Value**
 - b. **Use Cap Value**
 - 3. These will be placed under the same settings section where users currently select **Daily, Weekly, or Monthly** earning durations.
 - iii. The **bottom section (Remaining Settings)** will remain unchanged.
- c. **Updated Field Labels**
 - i. At the top of the PTO/PSL configuration, the label **“Select PTO/PSL**

1. **“Select PTO/PSL Earning Durations”.**

- ii. A new field will be added next to it:
 1. **“Select PTO/PSL Cap Durations”**, allowing users to select **Daily, Weekly, Monthly, or Yearly** options for cap values.

d. Separate Configurations for PTO and PSL

- i. Teresa raised a clarification point on whether companies might **use PTO and PSL separately**.
- ii. Tom confirmed that:
 1. **Patrol officers** currently have PTO, which can be used flexibly as **sick days, vacation, or cash-out** hours.
 2. **Standing guards**, however, only have **PSL (Paid Sick Leave)**, which applies **only when they are scheduled and sick**.
- iii. Discussion concluded that since some companies **may require both PTO and PSL** separately, the system should support **independent configurations** for each.
- iv. Therefore, the following fields will exist **separately for PTO and PSL**:

1. **Cap Value (Earned)**
2. **Cap Value (Used)**
3. **Maximum Cap Value**

e. System Handling When Both PTO and PSL Are Selected

- i. If both PTO and PSL are selected:
 1. The system will **not duplicate shared settings unnecessarily**, but will provide **separate fields** where applicable.
 2. For instance, PTO will have its own **Use Cap Value** and **Max Cap Value**, and PSL will have its own set of these fields.

f. PTO/PSL Rollover Functionality

- i. Discussion referenced a prior email request to **allow rollover of unused PTO/PSL** to the next year.
- ii. **Implementation plan shared:**
 1. A **checkbox labeled “Enable Rollover”** will be added in the PTO/PSL configuration.
 2. When selected, a **confirmation pop-up** will appear stating:
 - a. “Enabling rollover will allow unused PTO and PSL to carry over to the next year. Do you want to enable this option?”
 3. On selecting **Yes**, the system will automatically carry over unused balances.
- iii. This logic applies to both PTO and PSL independently.

gg. Understanding “Use Cap” vs “Earn Cap”

- i. **Clarification:**
 - 1. *Earn Cap* determines how much an employee can **accumulate/earn** as PTO or PSL.
 - 2. *Use Cap* defines how much of the earned balance can be **utilized within a year**.
- ii. Even if an employee reaches the **use cap**, they will **continue earning** PTO/PSL hours; however, they cannot use hours beyond their use cap in that year.

- iii. Example discussed:
 - 1. If the **use cap** is 48 hours, and an employee earns 60 hours during the year — they can use only 48, while the remaining 12 can **roll over** to the next year.

- iv. **Rollover Behavior:**

- 1. If rollover is enabled, the remaining hours (e.g., 20 from previous year) automatically appear as available on January 1.
 - 2. For “*use-it-or-lose-it*” companies, unused hours are forfeited, and the balance resets to zero at the start of a new period.

- h. PTO vs PSL Cap Handling**

- i. Discussion about whether **PTO and PSL** should have **separate rollover and cap configurations**.
- ii. Teresa confirmed PTO typically allows rollover; PSL often rolls over completely, but legal restrictions may apply.
- iii. Tom noted an **option should be provided** for admins to configure these independently.
- iv. **Decision:**
 - 1. PTO and PSL to be **handled as separate entities**, each having its own:
 - a. Earn Cap Value
 - b. Use Cap Value
 - c. Rollover Option

- i. Employee Profile View – Leave Balance Display**

- i. Objective:**

- 1. Employees should clearly view:
 - a. Total hours **earned**
 - b. Hours **used**
 - c. Hours **remaining**
 - ii. Discussion about calculation logic:
 - 1. Based on configured earning rate (e.g., 1 hour of PTO per 8 hours worked).
 - 2. Example: 40 hours worked = 5 hours of PTO earned.
 - iii. Display should dynamically reflect based on system calculations configured for PTO/PSL duration.

- j. Use Cap Visibility and Representation**

- i. The **use cap displayed** in the employee profile should represent the **annual limit**, not the daily cap.
- ii. Daily cap restrictions (e.g., max 8 hours/day) will continue to apply separately.
- iii. Recommendation:
 - 1. Display only essential metrics for clarity:
 - a. **Total Earned**
 - b. **Used Hours**
 - c. **Remaining Hours**
 - d. **Yearly Use Cap**
- iv. Purpose: reduce employee confusion and limit unnecessary PTO requests once the cap is reached.

- k. UI/UX Discussion**

- i. Teresa noted that while all values are important, the **layout on mobile**

- needs improvement to maintain readability.
- ii. Current data representation appears **too dense** on smaller screens.
- iii. Suggestion:
 - 1. Adopt a **tabular or segmented display** for better visibility.
 - 2. Ensure UI responsiveness for mobile app viewing.
- iv. **Action:** Team to rework design for better **mobile-friendly presentation** while retaining all key data points.

l. Current Time-Off Request Flow Explanation

- i. Employees will see their **remaining PTO/PSL hours** in the profile.
- ii. They can request time off and choose whether the hours should come from PTO or PSL.
- iii. Duration is currently auto-calculated based on selected date range and hours.

m. Issue Raised – Auto-Calculating Hours

- i. Teresa highlighted concerns:
 - 1. Auto-generation of total hours is not ideal.
 - 2. Example problem:
 - a. If someone requests **3 days off**, the system should not automatically assign **24 hours**.
 - b. Employees may want:
 - i. Only **1 day paid (8 hours)**
 - ii. And remaining days unpaid
 - 3. **Preferred Behavior:**
 - a. The field “**Hours Requested**” **should be manual**, allowing employees to enter the exact number of hours they want paid.

n. Recommendation (Tom): Split Mixed Requests

- i. Instead of mixing PTO + unpaid in a single request with notes:
 - 1. Employees should submit **separate requests**:
 - a. Request 1 → 2 days PTO
 - b. Request 2 → 2 days unpaid
- ii. This avoids confusion and errors in Leave Management.

o. Need to Change UI Labels

- i. Teresa’s feedback:
 - 1. “Leave Type” label is incorrect for this screen.
 - 2. Replace with:
 - a. “Request to Use (PTO / PSL)”
 - 3. Reason:
 - a. Employees will not request unpaid time off from this screen.
 - b. This screen is specifically for **PTO/PSL usage**.

p. Workflow Clarification – How It Should Actually Work

- i. The current screen should *not* create a full Day-Off Request
 - 1. Instead, this screen should **only handle PTO/PSL usage (cash-out or paid hours)**.
- ii. Requesting time off and requesting PTO/PSL hours should be two different actions
 - 1. Time-Off Request (Leave Request Portal):
 - a. Asking for days off

- b. Approved/Rejected by manager
 - c. Could be unpaid
 - 2. PTO/PSL Usage Request (This Screen):
 - a. Cash-out PTO/PSL
 - b. Apply paid hours for already approved days off
 - c. Employees may use PTO while still working (allowed in many companies)
- iii. Final Consensus
 - 1. **Completely separate the two workflows:**
 - a. **Time-Off Request** (Days Off)
 - b. **PTO/PSL Cash-Out / Paid Hours Request**
- q. **Technical Clarification Requested by Dev**
 - i. Scenario:
 - 1. Employee selects **Dec 12 → Dec 13** (2 days)
 - 2. But enters **8 hours** only
 - ii. Question:
 - 1. Should leave management treat this as **1 day paid**, 2 days off, or something else?
 - iii. Outcome of discussion:
 - 1. This confusion occurs because both workflows are mixed.
 - 2. Once separated:
 - a. **Paid hours requested (PTO/PSL)** will be handled independently
 - b. Leave management will process time off separately
 - iv. This eliminates ambiguity in how the system should calculate full or partial paid days.
- r. **Final Decisions**
 - i. **Fully Separate the Two Features**(These will no longer overlap.)
 - 1. Time-Off Requests (leave portal)
 - 2. Request to Use PTO/PSL (cash-out/paid hours)
 - ii. **Rename the Button and Field**
 - 1. New button title on profile screen:
 - a. **“Request to Use PTO/PSL”**
 - 2. No “Request Time Off” button on this screen.
 - 3. No “Leave Type” dropdown.
 - iii. **Hours Entered Should Be Manual**
 - 1. The system must **not auto-calculate hours** based on date/time.
 - 2. Employees enter hours manually.
 - iv. **PTO and PSL Requests Stay in the Same Section**
 - 1. One screen for requesting:
 - a. PTO hours
 - b. PSL hours
 - 2. But must **not** imply cash-out for PSL
 - 3. UI must avoid confusion — label must be neutral (e.g., **Request to Use PTO/PSL**)
 - v. **Leave Management Integration**
 - 1. PTO/PSL usage reflected in leave management only after backend implementation.
 - 2. PTO/PSL usage request should appear in:

- a. Timesheet
 - b. Payroll reports
- s. **Cash-Out Model – Date Requirement Debate**
 - i. **Team’s Attempted Flow:**
 - 1. The system currently requires **selecting a date range** for cash-out.
 - 2. Duration auto-calculates based on selected days/hours.
 - ii. **Client Clarification:**
 - 1. **Cash-out requests should NOT involve dates by default.**
 - 2. Employees are simply requesting **hours**, not days off.
 - iii. **Two Different Concepts:**
 - 1. **Requesting Time Off (Days Off)**
 - a. Should remain under the **Leave Request** module
 - b. Used for requesting 1 or more days off
 - c. Impacts schedule
 - 2. **Requesting PTO/PSL Cash-Out (Hours Only)**
 - a. Should only involve requesting **paid hours**
 - b. Does NOT indicate employee is taking time off
 - c. Should not remove them from the schedule
 - iv. **However... for payroll automation:**
 - 1. Teresa explained that **dates help align PTO/PSL cash-out to timesheet date ranges**, making payroll processing easier.
 - 2. Example:
 - a. Adding PTO on the timesheet for a specific date (e.g., Dec 12 → 10 hours PTO)
 - b. Allows payroll to automatically identify and pay out those hours
 - v. **Remaining Conflict:**
 - 1. What if an employee already worked the day but wants to cash out PTO on a different date?
 - 2. No natural date exists.
 - vi. **Final Temporary Understanding:**
 - 1. If dates are required for timesheet mapping, they may be allowed.
 - 2. But they **must not** behave like time-off requests.
 - 3. They must be used **only for timesheet alignment**, not for scheduling.
- t. **Timesheet Alignment Concerns**
 - i. Client asked:
 - 1. How will the system map PTO/PSL hours to timesheets automatically?
 - 2. How do we avoid manual checks for who used PTO?
 - ii. **Current thinking:**
 - 1. PTO/PSL cash-out becomes a **line item** on the timesheet for the selected date.
 - 2. A note can be added for employee reference if needed.
- u. **Removing “Time-Off Request” Access from the Cash-Out Section**
 - i. It was noted that the current screen allowed:
 - 1. Time-off request

- 2. PTO/PSL cash-out
- ii. **Decision:**
 - 1. These must be **fully separated**.
 - 2. Cash-out should **not** trigger time-off logic.
- v. **Admin Employee Profile – PTO/PSL Visibility**
 - i. **Current Demo Suggested:**
 - 1. Adding an extra column for PTO/PSL in admin profile.
 - ii. **Final Agreement:**
 - 1. **Do NOT** display an additional column.
 - 2. Admin should access PTO/PSL bank from the existing profile view.
 - iii. **Admin abilities:**
 - 1. Admin should be able to **apply PTO/PSL** on behalf of the employee from this screen.
 - 2. Remaining bank should auto-update.
- w. **Permission Requirements**
 - i. **Current:**
 - 1. Dispatchers have permission to view profiles.
 - ii. **Issue:**
 - 1. Dispatchers should **not** be able to apply PTO/PSL for employees.
 - iii. **Final Decision:**
 - 1. **Separate permission** required:
 - a. *View Employee Profile*
 - b. *Apply PTO/PSL for Employee*
- x. **Payroll Allowance Calculation – Clarification**
 - i. **Client Explanation:**
 - 1. Employees have:
 - a. Base rate (e.g., \$10/hr)
 - b. Phone allowance (\$1/hr)
 - c. Uniform allowance (\$1/hr)
 - 2. Thus total hourly rate = \$12/hr.
 - 3. Client expected PTO to payout at the **full rate**, including allowances.
 - ii. **Compliance Clarification:**
 - 1. **Paying allowances for PTO/PSL is not allowed under IRS rules.**
 - 2. Allowances are considered reimbursements for work performed.
 - 3. If an employee doesn't work, reimbursement cannot be issued.
 - iii. **Final Understanding:**
 - 1. PTO/PSL cash-out should be paid at base rate only.
 - 2. Allowances must not be included.
 - iv. The client acknowledged this and agreed to update their internal process.
- y. **Decisions & Agreements**
 - i. **Cash-Out Request Flow**
 - 1. PTO/PSL cash-out = request **hours**, not days.
 - 2. Dates may be captured only for **timesheet alignment**.
 - 3. Cash-out will **not** affect schedule or trigger a leave request.

- ii. **UI Separation**
 - 1. Remove time-off request from the cash-out workflow.
 - 2. Cash-out remains a standalone action.
- iii. **Admin View**
 - 1. No extra PTO/PSL column.
 - 2. PTO/PSL bank and actions accessible from existing employee profile view.
- iv. **Permissions**
 - 1. New permission: **Apply PTO/PSL**
 - 2. Viewing profile will not allow applying PTO/PSL.
- v. **Payroll**
 - 1. PTO/PSL is paid at base rate only.
 - 2. Allowances must not be included.
 - 3. The timesheet will show PTO/PSL as separate line items.
- z. **PTO / PSL Allowance & Pay Rate Discussion**
 - i. **Clarification on Allowances**
 - 1. It was discussed that PTO/PSL payments should **not include any allowances** such as:
 - a. Phone allowance
 - b. Uniform allowance
 - c. Technical allowance
 - 2. These allowances are *not* part of the base pay and should not be combined into a single “hourly rate” for PTO calculation.
 - ii. **Reasoning from Client Side**
 - 1. Historically, PTO/PSL had **always been paid on the base rate only**, and the client confirmed they have been following this same approach.
 - 2. Allowances are given only when the employee works; hence including them in PTO would cause an issue during audits.
 - iii. **Audit & Compliance Concern**
 - 1. If PTO is paid with allowances included:
 - a. Auditors may assume the employee’s *actual pay rate* is higher.
 - b. This may cause:
 - i. Violations
 - ii. Additional taxes
 - iii. Penalties
 - 2. Therefore, the approach of excluding allowances is legally compliant.
 - iv. **Final Agreement**
 - 1. PTO/PSL will always be paid at base hourly rate only.
 - 2. Allowances must not be added or merged into an hourly rate for PTO purposes.
- aa. **Payroll Report Requirement**
 - i. **Request**
 - 1. Teresa requested an enhancement for the upcoming payroll report:
 - a. PTO/PSL usage must appear as a **separate line item**.
 - b. The report must clearly show:

- i. Employee name
- ii. PTO/PSL type
- iii. Hours being paid
- iv. Pay rate (base)

ii. Purpose

- 1. This will ensure:
 - a. Accurate payout
 - b. Easy verification
 - c. Clear payroll processing
 - d. Audit alignment

iii. Action Item

- 1. Add a dedicated PTO/PSL column in the payroll report.

bb. Production Deployment Update

i. Deployment Timing

- 1. Scheduled production build update:
 - a. **Date:** Tomorrow
 - b. **Updated Time: 6 AM PST** (adjusted due to daylight saving)

ii. Changes in Build

- 1. Fixes related to:
 - a. Scheduling issues
 - b. Site-level bugs
- 2. Additional enhancements and minor updates

iii. Communication Plan

- 1. Team will notify on Slack:
 - a. Before starting the build
 - b. After the successful deployment

iv. Impact

- 1. The deployment will affect **all client instances**.

v. Action Item

- 1. Dev team to proceed with the 6 AM PST deployment
- 2. Notify Teresa and team before & after deployment

cc. Mobile App (TestFlight) Discussion

i. Feedback

- 1. Teresa downloaded the TestFlight build.
- 2. However:
 - a. Some tickets were unclear
 - b. Some functionalities (vehicle inspection, ending shifts, etc.) are not testable from her end

ii. Requirement

- 1. She requested a clearer list of TestFlight items.

iii. Action Item

- 1. The development team will **resend the release notes** with clear ticket details.
- 2. Items difficult for Teresa to test will be validated internally.



