

## Minutes of Meeting

<b>Date and Time</b>	20 May 2025 09:00 PST	<b>Meeting type</b>	Zoom
<b>Organiser</b>	Mr. Rupesh	<b>Client</b>	Citywide

### Attendees (Internal)

- Rupesh
- Kuldeep
- Jaspreet
- Gurpreet
- Sangita
- Vishesh
- Akash
- Ajay
- Avinash

### Attendees (Client Side )

- Tom, Teresa, Matt

### Agenda

- **Discussions on the following:**
  - Trello Tickets Discussion
  - Invoice Workflow
  - Payroll Demonstration
  - Issues discussion

### The following things are discussed:

#### 1. Trello Tickets Discussion:

##### a. Meter Reading Implementation:

- i. Meter reading is currently asset-specific. There is uncertainty about future requirements for instance-specific readings.
- ii. The dev team proposed a configurable setting to enable meter reading for instances if needed.
- iii. Teresa suggested keeping flexibility with labels to accommodate different data types (e.g., gas reading).

##### b. Form Charges Discussion:

- i. Teresa clarified that in the **trespass form**, charges are manually written in by security guards based on police input—no dropdown required.
  - ii. In the **field interview form**, dropdown options refer to potential crimes ("crime potentials"), not formal charges.
  - iii. Suggestion to include **Penal Codes** in dropdown for standardization.
  - iv. Teresa requested the ability to customize labels like “meter reading” or “violation” to match the organization's terminology or use case (e.g., “gas reading”, “crime potentials”).
  - v. **Parking Citations** will continue using the existing **violations section** and not be transitioned to activity codes.
- c. **Activity Codes Integration:**
  - i. Agreement to use **Activity Codes** in place of free-text charges and categories for:
    - 1. **Field Interviews**
    - 2. **Trespass Advisals**
    - 3. **Incident Reports**
  - ii. Activity Codes will replace sections currently labeled as "charges", "crime potentials", or incident categorizations.
  - iii. Drop-down fields for activity codes should allow **multiple selections (at least two)**.
  - iv. Activity codes should be utilized in the backend for **reporting and analytics**, allowing categorization and trend analysis (e.g., frequency of specific incident types like "death", "property damage").

## 2. Invoice Workflow

- a. Walkthrough of invoice approval, payment (partial/full), and method updates via CommandHub.
- b. Demonstrated:
  - i. Payment update with methods (e.g., Cash → Check)
  - ii. Payment breakdown visibility
  - iii. Deletion of partial payments and full invoices
  - iv. Syncing and status updates between CommandHub and QuickBooks
- c. **Enhancements Required**
  - i. **Payment Method Field:** Should be selectable and recorded directly in CommandHub.
  - ii. **Reference Number:** Should be included in CommandHub for reconciliation with QuickBooks.
  - iii. **Default Payment Method:** Should be blank until selected by the user to avoid incorrect assumptions.
  - iv. **Manual Handling of Credit:** Overpayments in QuickBooks should reflect as credits.
  - v. Credit should not auto-apply to other invoices.
  - vi. Users must manually choose to apply credit during payment for another invoice.
- d. **Overpayment Scenario & Credit Tracking**
  - i. The dev team highlighted system validation limits to prevent excess payment, but clients might still overpay.
  - ii. Tom emphasized that overpayments are common and should be

- stored as **client credit**, visible and reusable.
- iii. Teresa added the credit should be linkable to future invoices, and **reconciliation should be accurate**.
- e. **Client Financial Overview and Invoice Visibility**
  - i. Teresa asked for a centralized view to see:
    - 1. All invoices by client
    - 2. Payment history
    - 3. Past due amounts
    - 4. Available credit
  - ii. The dev team confirms
    - 1. A new **"Invoice" tab under client/site details** can be added to show all financial data.
    - 2. Existing invoice filters (by date, client, etc.) will remain.
    - 3. Authorized users only will be able to view or download invoices.

### 3. Payroll Demonstration

- a. **Allowance Calculation**
  - i. **Clarification:**
    - 1. The dev team raised a question on how to handle allowance calculations for monthly salaried employees.
  - ii. **Teresa clarified:**
    - 1. If the employee is salaried monthly, allowances are also monthly.
    - 2. Monthly allowances are automatically applied like hourly ones.
- b. **Sick Pay & PTO Rules**
  - i. **Discussion**
    - 1. Sick hours don't apply to salaried employees.
    - 2. Hourly sick pay depends on state or company setting.
    - 3. Tom emphasized company-level rule definitions (e.g., "1 hour of sick pay for every 30 hours worked").
    - 4. Cap limits are also needed (e.g., 45 hours/year).
    - 5. PTO and PSL follow the same logic.
  - ii. **Action**
    - 1. Add settings to define:
      - a. Earning rate for sick/PTO per hours worked.
      - b. Annual caps.
    - 2. Implement logic to stop accrual after cap is reached.
- c. **Holiday Hours & E-Discrepancy**
  - i. **Clarification**
    - 1. Holiday hours are added if employees work during holidays.
    - 2. E-Discrepancy is a manual adjustment field.
  - ii. **Action**
    - 1. Continue treating E-Discrepancy as manual input.
    - 2. Add clarification in UI to distinguish it from calculated fields.
- d. **Allowance Display in Reports**
  - i. **Discussion**
    - 1. The dev team asked whether to show all allowance types (with zero if not applicable) or only those assigned.

2. Tom confirmed: **only show allowances linked to the employee.**
- ii. **Action**
  1. Ensure payroll CSV shows only relevant allowances per employee.
- e. **Base Rate Calculation Across Pay Cycles**
  - i. **Clarification**
    1. If employee is salaried
      - a. Show weekly pay =  $\text{monthly salary} \div 4.285$
      - b. Bi-weekly =  $\text{monthly} \div 4.285 \times 2$
      - c. Semi-monthly =  $\text{monthly} \div 2$
    2. Employees must be flagged as either **hourly** or **salary**.
    3. Teresa suggested documenting the actual weekly/bi-weekly rate instead of calculating from monthly, to reduce complexity.
  - ii. **Action**
    1. Add logic to compute correct base rates per pay period based on salary and pay type.
    2. Update UI to require either salary/hourly flag and base amount.
- f. **Payroll Settings Visibility**
  - i. **Discussion**
    1. The dev team noted the current UI only shows selected settings; wants visibility for all options.
    2. Tom confirmed: All settings are available, but only one is applied per company.
    3. No Action required.
- g. **Commission Setup and Calculation**
  - i. **Discussion**
    1. Two types:
      - a. Flat commission in employee profile (e.g., monthly \$)
      - b. Site-based commission linked to service type & account manager.
  - ii. **Clarification**
    1. Flat commissions should behave like salary (e.g., break down using pay period logic).
    2. Site-based commissions are dynamic: calculated on paid invoices and added to the next payroll.
  - iii. **Action Items**
    1. Remove "percentage" as a commission type in flat commission UI.
    2. Implement automated site-based commission logic:
      - a. Triggered on invoice payment.
      - b. Calculated based on % per service type.
      - c. Added to upcoming payroll.
    3. Tie site-based commission to account manager and service type.
- h. **Next Steps & Build Prioritization**
  - i. Current payroll release can proceed without full commission

automation.

- ii. Site-based commission logic to be prioritized in the **next build**.

#### **4. Issues discussion**

##### **a. Slow Load Time**

- i. Tom complained about a 19-second load time – flagged as unacceptable.
- ii. Needs to be optimized immediately.
- iii. The dev team mentioned that the conversion for site is done. Once tested they'll update.

##### **b. Confusing Terminology: "Agency Beat"**

- i. Tom questioned the term “agency beat.”
- ii. Teresa confirmed it was a V1 label; it will be updated to “route” or “beat.”

##### **c. "No Data" Messaging**

- i. During data fetch, it says “No data found,” which is misleading during demos.
- ii. Should instead show "Fetching data..." or similar.
- iii. The dev team confirmed the issue is known and a fix is in this build.

##### **d. Navigation Issue After Error**

- i. Clicking “Go back” after a tracking error takes users to the main page instead of the previous (status) page.
- ii. Tom emphasized that this needs to return to the status page, not dashboard.
- iii. The dev team acknowledged and will reconfirm the behavior.

##### **e. User Tracking Permissions**

- i. Super admins like Tom are getting permission errors when using user tracking.
- ii. The dev team said permission logic was updated, but the issue persists for some.
- iii. Needs to be verified and fixed for super admins.

##### **f. Incorrect Tracking Display**

- i. Tracking sometimes displays all users instead of the selected one.
- ii. The dev team confirmed it's fixed on staging.

