

## Minutes of Meeting

|                      |                              |                     |          |
|----------------------|------------------------------|---------------------|----------|
| <b>Date and Time</b> | 15 October 2025<br>09:00 PST | <b>Meeting type</b> | Zoom     |
| <b>Organiser</b>     | Mr. Rupesh                   | <b>Client</b>       | Citywide |

### Attendees (Internal)

- Rupesh
- Jaspreet
- Ravinder
- Rahul
- Ajay
- Gurpreet
- Kapil
- Pankaj
- Akash
- Gagan
- Neha

### Attendees (Client Side )

- Tom, Teresa, Randy

### Agenda

- **Discussions on the following:**
  - Context and Concern
  - Technical Clarification on Branch Setup
  - Root Cause of Delay
  - Current Action Plan Discussion
  - Live Testing and Issue Resolution
  - Additional Notes
  - Branch Setup & Hierarchy Clarification
  - Trello Ticket Review & Cleanup
  - Email Delivery & Mass Messaging Issue (PSSP)
  - Breaks & Lunch Schedule Issue
  - Payroll and Scheduling Issues
  - Field App Issues
  - Call Closure and Report Linking
  - Document and Contract Upload Logic
  - Lunch Break and Overtime Calculation Issues
  - Testing and Release Plan
  - QA Environment Setup & Stabilization

- Next Steps and Priorities



The following things are discussed:

**1. Context and Concern**

- a. **Primary concern:** Arizona branch instance not functioning as expected, despite assurances that it would be fully operational by Monday.
- b. **Impact:**
  - i. Dispatchers unable to log in or add calls.
  - ii. System inaccessible to staff.
  - iii. Urgent onboarding and training impacted as the **contract for Arizona branch begins tonight at midnight.**

**2. Technical Clarification on Branch Setup**

- a. **Rupesh, Kuldeep and Jaspreet** explained the backend process:
  - i. Each **branch** functions as a **separate instance** with its own configurations, roles, settings, and activity codes.
  - ii. Currently, due to the absence of a **Super Admin Panel**, branch setup is manual — data must be pushed through scripts to multiple database tables.
  - iii. Once the **CommandHub Admin Panel** is developed, creating a new branch will be a “click-based” operation.
- b. **Teresa** acknowledged the explanation but highlighted a **communication gap**:
  - i. The client assumed “add branch” would be a simple function.
  - ii. Misalignment occurred because the team was unaware of the need for **fully ready instances** for new client onboarding.

**3. Root Cause of Delay**

- a. **Jaspreet** explained that testing for the Arizona branch was partially completed on Friday:
  - i. **Field and Patrol workflow** testing was done.
  - ii. **Permission-related issues** caused delays — super admin credentials were not available, blocking full verification.
  - iii. **ATS module** testing was pending due to unclear setup purpose.
- b. **Rupesh** added:
  - i. The team was not informed that Arizona branch readiness was a **top priority**.
  - ii. If they had known earlier (Friday), resources could have been shifted or weekend work planned to meet the deadline.
- c. **Teresa** countered that **priority had been clearly stated in Slack**:
  - i. Communication from her side clearly mentioned that the Arizona system must be live before Wednesday as the new contract starts.
  - ii. Despite the commitment of a Friday delivery and a Monday fully-tested instance, that expectation was not met.
- d. **Kuldeep & Rupesh** accepted responsibility for the communication lapse and **acknowledged the missed expectation**.

**4. Current Action Plan Discussion**

- a. **Teresa:** Focus on solutions instead of past issues; requested immediate corrective steps to enable dispatchers and onboarding.
- b. **Rupesh:** Agreed, emphasized focusing on **fixing blockers** today and ensuring a stable workflow for the contract start.
- c. **Jaspreet:** Requested Teresa to share her screen and walk through the current issues live.

**5. Live Testing and Issue Resolution**

- a. **Issue 1: Dispatcher Access**
  - i. Teresa added a branch and saved it but dispatchers couldn't access

- it.
- ii. **Resolution:** Jaspreet clarified the **role assignment step** — user roles must be selected for each branch separately.
- iii. Once the role was selected and saved, access updated correctly for the Arizona branch.

**b. Issue 2: Employee Creation**

- i. Teresa confirmed **adding a new employee works**.
- ii. This was the **primary blocker**, now resolved.

**c. Issue 3: Client Upload**

- i. Previous day's client upload failed; tested again during call and worked.
- ii. **Teresa** expressed concern about inconsistencies — functionality failed yesterday but worked today.
- iii. **Rupesh** clarified no new code was deployed — possibly a **flow or user selection difference** caused the prior issue.
- iv. Agreed to perform **additional QA verification** to confirm consistency.

**6. Additional Notes**

**a. Reports & Analytics:**

- i. Arizona branch reports should only display **Arizona-specific data**.
- ii. Filters and analytics need branch segregation.

**b. ATS & Job Posting Links:**

- i. Current ATS posting URLs (for San Diego and others) use a shared link.
- ii. Required:
  - 1. Each branch must either have a **standalone link** or a **default selection behavior** (e.g., Navajo → defaults to Navajo data).
- iii. **Action:** Modify system to recognize the branch context from the accessed URL.

**c. Branch Management Updates:**

- i. The Riverside branch can be **deleted**.
- ii. Orange County and LA should be **retained as separate entities**.
- iii. Arizona is **top priority** due to the active contract.
- iv. Other branches (San Diego, LA, Orange County) are functional but non-critical for now.

**7. Branch Setup & Hierarchy Clarification**

- a. **Arizona** is confirmed to be a **part of Citywide**.
- b. **LA County** and **Orange County** will now be treated as **separate entities**.
- c. Each branch (Arizona, LA County, Orange County) will have its **own dashboards and independent data**.
- d. This separation will help in **client-wise data tracking and analytics segmentation** for future scalability.
  - i. Branch hierarchy confirmed and finalized.
  - ii. Dashboards to be updated for **branch-specific analytics and reporting**.

**8. Trello Ticket Review & Cleanup**

- a. Several tickets under the “Analytics Priority – July” board are either **completed** or **duplicated**.
- b. **Jaspreet** and **Organizer** confirmed that the cleanup process was pending due to ongoing release tasks.
- c. **Rupesh** instructed:
  - i. All **completed or implemented tickets** must be **commented and closed** by **tomorrow morning**.

- ii. A **priority tracking sheet** should be maintained in parallel to reflect current work and priorities.
- iii. The sheet will serve as a **single source of truth** to track open, closed, and in-progress items discussed with **Teresa**.
- d. **Action Items:**
  - i. Cleanup and close redundant/duplicate Trello tickets.
  - ii. Maintain and regularly update the **Priority Sheet** shared with Teresa.

#### 9. Email Delivery & Mass Messaging Issue (PSSP)

- a. **Issue:** Clients are reporting that **mass emails/reports are not being received**, while the system logs show successful sends.
- b. **Teresa** raised concern that she cannot relay “it’s working” without verified results from the client side.
- c. **Rupesh** suggested scheduling a **joint troubleshooting call with the affected client** to identify the exact issue.
- d. **Discussion Points:**
  - i. Email logs only record **successful send events**, not **failed attempts**.
  - ii. Possible causes include **overload from too many recipients**, or **client-side filters/spam handling**.
  - iii. **Teresa** mentioned that during Ditstek’s internal testing, the emails worked fine.
  - iv. A similar issue was previously fixed by **adding test email addresses** (to confirm delivery).
- e. **Resolution Plan:**
  - i. Schedule a **joint call with the client** to reproduce and diagnose the issue live.
  - ii. Add team members’ emails in BCC to verify report dispatch consistency.
  - iii. Investigate the possibility of **failed send logs or throttling** for large message batches.

#### 10. Breaks & Lunch Schedule Issue

- a. **Issue Summary:**
  - i. When copying or updating shifts, **breaks and lunches sometimes disappear** or fail to save correctly.
  - ii. Even if breaks appear selected in the UI, they may not be stored properly in the backend.
  - iii. Missing breaks cause the **attendance screen to show “NA”**, blocking employees from logging lunch breaks.
  - iv. This directly impacts **payroll calculations**.
- b. **Findings:**
  - i. **Jaspreet** demonstrated that missing breaks could be manually reselected to restore the schedule.
  - ii. The issue occurs inconsistently — some shifts retain breaks, others lose one or more entries.
  - iii. **Teresa** confirmed that her team had verified all breaks and lunches were correctly set before publishing the schedule, but the system removed some afterward.
- c. **Agreed Fix Approach:**
  - i. The issue is confirmed as **system-related**, not user error.
  - ii. Included in the **upcoming build (next day release)**.
  - iii. **Jaspreet** to personally retest the fix before deployment.
  - iv. **Rupesh** to ensure, if the fix is not already in tomorrow’s release, it will be **manually pushed**.

- v. Additionally, a **script will be written** to restore missing breaks/lunches for all affected shifts.
- d. **Standard Shift Rule (as confirmed):** Each shift must have:
  - i. **2 × 10-minute breaks**
  - ii. **1 × 30-minute lunch**

## 11. Payroll and Scheduling Issues

### a. Discussion Points:

- i. Teresa mentioned major **issues with the payroll feature**:
  - 1. Patrol officers are not appearing in the payroll list.
  - 2. Currently using schedule-based payroll report as a workaround.
  - 3. Manual filtering required to exclude test/web-dev/salaried users.
  - 4. **Columns and date alignment** are incorrect in generated reports.
  - 5. **No total summary** (regular hours, overtime, etc.) at the bottom of the report.
  - 6. Manual calculations are still required due to missing totals.
  - 7. When shifts are edited, they sometimes move out of order and do not align properly.

### ii. Action Items:

- 1. **Vishesh & Ravinder** to test and validate payroll reports and scheduling flow before the next release.
- 2. Add **total summary row** in payroll reports.
- 3. Fix **column alignment and date order**.
- 4. Verify **shift order and data consistency** after edits.

## 12. Field App Issues

### a. Discussion Points:

- i. Field Agents and Patrol Officers **need to reinstall the app daily** to view schedules.
- ii. The **“Repeat to Next Week”** button in scheduling:
  - 1. Does not function correctly; users must manually copy 2–3 shifts at a time.
  - 2. “Repeat shift” is redundant as it only works for **future shifts**, which doesn’t suit their workflow.
- iii. Teresa requested to **remove the “Repeat Shift”** button and enhance **“Repeat to Next Week”**:
  - 1. Add **date range** option to repeat shifts for non-consecutive weeks (alternating schedules).

### b. Action Items:

- i. **Ravinder** to test the current “Repeat to Next Week” functionality.
- ii. Modify features to include **date range** selection.
- iii. Remove or disable **“Repeat Shift”** option.

## 13. Call Closure and Report Linking

### a. Discussion Points:

- i. **Dispatch cannot close calls** unless they are assigned to an officer.
- ii. Calls only attach to reports if the officer is **currently logged in**.
- iii. Previously, admins could write reports **on behalf of officers** via designated reports — now this is restricted.

### b. Action Items:

- i. Validate whether **dispatch should be able to close calls** without officer assignment.
- ii. Reintroduce or find a workaround for **designated reports** so admins can attach calls to reports when officers are offline.

#### 14. Document and Contract Upload Logic

##### a. Discussion Points:

- i. Contracts uploaded under “Documents” are visible to all officers.
- ii. There was supposed to be a **designation** during upload:
  1. “Contract” → internal, visible only in edit mode.
  2. “Document” → visible under documents tab for officers.
- iii. This feature was **not implemented**.

##### b. Action Items:

- i. Identify and verify **Trello ticket** for document/contract segregation.
- ii. Build **workaround** if possible in the current release, else schedule for the next.
- iii. **Jaspreet** to verify related ticket IDs.

#### 15. Lunch Break and Overtime Calculation Issues

##### a. Discussion Points:

- i. **Lunch button visibility issue (Web App):**
  1. It appears only **after the shift ends**, preventing timely clock-ins/outs.
  2. Affects payroll calculations — missing 30-minute deductions.
- ii. **Overtime color-coding issue:**
  1. Shifts turn red (overtime) even at **exactly 8 hours**, despite rules stating overtime should trigger **after 8 hours**.

##### b. Action Items:

- i. **Ravinder** to replicate and test the **lunch button visibility** issue.
- ii. Fix **labor law settings** for overtime calculation logic.
- iii. Ensure the break/lunch button is visible **during active shifts**.
- iv. **Jaspreet** to validate whether an issue occurs on **web or mobile**.
- v. Update fix in **upcoming release** if verified.

#### 16. Testing and Release Plan

##### a. Discussion Points:

- i. **Ravinder** confirmed fixes are under testing.
- ii. **Rupesh** requested that all tested items be listed in an **Excel sheet** and attached to the **release email**.
- iii. QA team to ensure **no regression issues** occur from recent fixes.

##### b. Action Items:

- i. Prepare and attach a **testing summary sheet** with each release.
- ii. Continue QA verification on **scheduling-related dependencies**.
- iii. **Teresa** to test tickets included in the upcoming release and provide approval.

#### 17. QA Environment Setup & Stabilization

##### a. Discussion Points:

- i. **New QA environment** to be created for clean testing and onboarding verification.
- ii. QA will test with **fresh company setup** to identify bugs and improve clarity.
- iii. Aim is to **stabilize** the system for upcoming **Arizona project** onboarding.

##### b. Action Items:

- i. **Organizer** to set up **separate QA environment** and share test plan.
- ii. **Rupesh** suggested maintaining a **QA checklist** for pre-onboarding validation.

#### 18. Next Steps and Priorities

##### a. Discussion Points:

- i. Focus on **clearing Trello board** by fixing pending high-priority and minor bugs.

- ii. **Stabilization over new features** — ensure all existing modules work as expected.
- iii. **Next meeting agenda** to cover:
  - 1. PTU and PSL demonstrations.
  - 2. KPI design approval.
  - 3. Review of Trello board and ticket priorities.
- b. **Action Items:**
  - i. **Jaspreet** shared an updated **release approval email** with Teresa today.
  - ii. **Teresa** to provide release **approval and timeframe**.
  - iii. Prepare for **KPI & PSL design** demo in next meeting.
  - iv. Exclude the student **module** for now; focus only on critical priorities.
- c. **Key Decisions**
  - i. “Repeat Shift” feature to be **removed**; enhance “Repeat to Next Week” instead.
  - ii. Payroll totals and column structure to be **revised**.
  - iii. QA to maintain a **checklist** before client onboarding.
  - iv. Priority: **System stabilization** and closing pending Trello tickets before new enhancements.





