Dashboard Courses 繭

Calendar

昼

Inbox

?

Assignments Discussions Grades

Home

People Pages Files Syllabus

Quizzes

Modules Conferences Collaborations

1 This quiz has been re-graded; your new score reflects 3 questions that were affected. Q2 Questions 9 Time limit None **Due** 29 Jan at 5:30 Points 100 **Available** 22 Jan at 9:00 - 29 Jan at 5:30 7 days

Submission details:

8 minutes

90 out of 100

90 out of 100

Time:

Current

Kept score:

score:

Instructions

Instructions:

1. You have 30 minutes to attempt the quiz 2. Once you start the quiz, you cannot go back and re-attempt it

3. You will not find answers online, so please make sure you are ready for the quiz 4. For Multiple Answer Questions, ALL the answers must be correct to score any point

Sometimes you might see multiple empty options. Please do not consider those empty options, that's some rendering issue, the options you see are the only options available for that question.

This quiz was locked 29 Jan at 5:30.

## Attempt history

Attempt Time Score Re-graded LATEST Attempt 1 8 minutes 85 out of 100 90 out of 100

Score for this quiz: 90 out of 100 Submitted 28 Jan at 23:53 This attempt took 8 minutes.

Correct!

10 / 10 pts Question 1 If we perform convolution with a kernel of size 3x3 on 47x49, the output size would be? Correct! 47x47 45x45 Convolution cannot be done

Original score: 15 / 15 pts Re-graded score: 15 / 15 pts Question 2 (!) This question has been re-graded. Which of these are true, w.r.t. what we discussed in Session 2 Correct! ✓ We always use a kernel with size 3x3 We never add padding to our images Correct! We always use kernels with stride of 1 Correct! We add as many layers as required to reach full image/object size

10 / 10 pts Question 3 How many 3x3 layers do we need to add to reach a receptive field of 21x21? 9 11 12 Correct! ₹ 10

10 / 10 pts Question 4 Let us assume we have an image of size 100x100. What is the minimum number of convolution layers do we need to add such that 1. you cannot use max-pooling without convolving twice or more 2. the output is at least 2-3 convolution layers away from max-pooling 3. You can stop either at 2x2 or 1x1 based on how you have used your layers 4. we will always "not consider" the last rows and columns in an odd-resolution channel while performing max-pooling) 5. "do not" count max-pooling layer Correct! ₹ 10 11 9 13

10 / 10 pts Question 5 If the input channels have 128 layers, how many kernels do we need to add? Exactly 128 Number of Kernels do not depend on input channels

10 / 10 pts Question 6 Consider the following layers 49x49x256 | Convolved with 512 kernels of size 3x3 | What is the total number of kernel parameters we just added? 314703872 2304 Correct! 1179648 4608

Original score: 0 / 10 pts Re-graded score: 0 / 10 pts Question 7 ! This question has been re-graded. Consider this network 400x400x3 | 32x(3x3x3) 398x398x32 | 64x(3x3x32) 396x396x64 | 128x(3x3x64) | 394x394x128 | 256x(3x3x128) | 392x392x256 | 512x(3x3x256) 390x390x512 | 1024x(3x3x256) | MaxPooling(2x2) Assume this network is trained and we are doing inference on an image. Before we hit the max-pooling layer, how many channels of size more than 350x350 are there in the GPU RAM? Correct answer 2019 2016 You Answered ₹ 995 992 None of them are correct

Original score: 5 / 10 pts Re-graded score: 10 / 10 pts **Question 8** ! This question has been re-graded. What are few advantage of using MaxPooling? Correct! Reduction in Channel Size Reduction in Number of Channels Correct! Slight Rotational Invariance Correct! Slight Translational Invariance

15 / 15 pts Question 9 If we start with an image of 400x400 color, and during a model we use MaxPooling 4 times, reducing the image size to 400>200>100>50 (we used convs with padding, so convs did not reduce the image size), have we lost 4 times the information we started with? At 50x50 we have 1000 channels. No, that is incorrect. Since image is actually 400x400x3, and we ended at 50x50x1000, we have lost 400x400x3/50/50/1000 = 0.192. So we have actually gained around 5 times more information No, that is incorrect. Since images are 2D we actually had 400x400 units of information, and we ended with 50x50. So total loss is 400x400/50/50 = 64 Yes, that's correct, that is what information theory would predict No, convs and poolings operation are loosing some information, but more importantly, they are "filtering" the information. We do not need full information at the last layer, just the most important one. We are also scaling in Z axis (from 3 to 1000), and it is the increase in z axis where we store

Correct!

this "proposed" lost information.