Project Planning and Function Points

Slide Set - 16

Organized & Presented By:
Software Engineering Team CSED
TIET, Patiala

Software Project Planning

Function Count

Alan Albrecht while working for IBM, recognized the problem in size measurement in the 1970s, and developed a technique (which he called Function Point Analysis), which appeared to be a solution to the size measurement problem.

Software Project Planning

The principle of Albrecht's function point analysis (FPA) is that a system is decomposed into functional units.

- Inputs : information entering the system
- Outputs : information leaving the system
- Enquiries : requests for instant access to information
- Internal logical files : information held within the system
- External interface files : information held by other system that is used by the system being analyzed.

Software Project Planning

The FPA functional units are shown in figure given below:

User

Inquiries

Inputs

ILF

Other applications

EIF

User

Outputs

System

ILF: Internal logical files

EIF: External interfaces

Fig. 3: FPAs functional units System

Software Project Planning

The five functional units are divided in two categories:

(i) Data function types

- Internal Logical Files (ILF): A user identifiable group of logical related data or control information maintained within the system.

- External Interface files (EIF): A user identifiable group of logically related data or control information referenced by the system, but maintained within another system. This means that EIF counted for one system, may be an ILF in another system.

Software Project Planning

(ii) Transactional function types

- **External Input (EI):** An EI processes data or control information that comes from outside the system. The EI is an elementary process, which is the smallest unit of activity that is meaningful to the end user in the business.

- **External Output (EO):** An EO is an elementary process that generate data or control information to be sent outside the system.

- **External Inquiry (EQ):** An EQ is an elementary process that is made up to an input-output combination that results in data retrieval.

Software Project Planning

Special features

➢ Function point approach is independent of the language, tools, or methodologies used for implementation; i.e. they do not take into consideration programming languages, data base management systems, processing hardware or any other data base technology.

➢ Function points can be estimated from requirement specification or design specification, thus making it possible to estimate development efforts in early phases of development.

Software Project Planning

➤ Function points are directly linked to the statement of requirements; any change of requirements can easily be followed by a re-estimate.

➤ Function points are based on the system user's external view of the system, non-technical users of the software system have a better understanding of what function points are measuring.

Software Project Planning

Counting function points

Functional Units	Weighting factors		
	Low	Average	High
External Inputs (EI)	3	4	6
External Output (EO)	4	5	7
External Inquiries (EQ)	3	4	6
External logical files (ILF)	7	10	15
External Interface files (EIF)	5	7	10

Table 1 : Functional units with weighting factors

Software Project Planning

Table 2: UFP calculation table

Functional Units	Count Complexity			Complexity Totals	Functional Unit Totals
External Inputs (EIs)	☐	Low x 3	=	☐	
	☐	Average x 4	=	☐	
	☐	High x 6	=	☐	☐
External Outputs (EOs)	☐	Low x 4	=	☐	
	☐	Average x 5	=	☐	
	☐	High x 7	=	☐	☐
External Inquiries (EQs)	☐	Low x 3	=	☐	
	☐	Average x 4	=	☐	
	☐	High x 6	=	☐	☐
External logical Files (ILFs)	☐	Low x 7	=	☐	
	☐	Average x 10	=	☐	
	☐	High x 15	=	☐	☐
External Interface Files (EIFs)	☐	Low x 5	=	☐	
	☐	Average x 7	=	☐	
	☐	High x 10	=	☐	☐
Total Unadjusted Function Point Count					☐

Software Project Planning

The weighting factors are identified for all functional units and multiplied with the functional units accordingly. The procedure for the calculation of Unadjusted Function Point (UFP) is given in table shown above.

Software Project Planning

The procedure for the calculation of UFP in mathematical form is given below:

$$UFP = \sum_{i=1}^{5} \sum_{J=1}^{3} Z_{ij} W_{ij}$$

Where i indicate the row and j indicates the column of Table 1

W_{ij} : It is the entry of the ith row and j^{th} column of the table 1

Z_{ij} : It is the count of the number of functional units of Type i that have been classified as having the complexity corresponding to column j.

Software Project Planning

Organizations that use function point methods develop a criterion for determining whether a particular entry is Low, Average or High. Nonetheless, the determination of complexity is somewhat subjective.

$$FP = UFP * CAF$$

Where CAF is complexity adjustment factor and is equal to [0.65 + 0.01 x ΣF_i]. The F_i (i=1 to 14) are the degree of influence and are based on responses to questions noted in table 3.

Software Project Planning

Table 3 : Computing function points.

Rate each factor on a scale of 0 to 5.

0	1	2	3	4	5
No Influence	Incidental	Moderate	Average	Significant	Essential

Number of factors considered (F_i)

1. Does the system require reliable backup and recovery ?

2. Is data communication required ?

3. Are there distributed processing functions ?

4. Is performance critical ?

5. Will the system run in an existing heavily utilized operational environment ?

6. Does the system require on line data entry ?

7. Does the on line data entry require the input transaction to be built over multiple screens or operations ?

8. Are the master files updated on line ?

9. Is the inputs, outputs, files, or inquiries complex ?

10. Is the internal processing complex ?

11. Is the code designed to be reusable ?

12. Are conversion and installation included in the design ?

13. Is the system designed for multiple installations in different organizations ?

14. Is the application designed to facilitate change and ease of use by the user ?

Software Project Planning

Functions points may compute the following important metrics:

Productivity	=	FP / persons-months
Quality	=	Defects / FP
Cost	=	Rupees / FP
Documentation	=	Pages of documentation per FP

These metrics are controversial and are not universally acceptable. There are standards issued by the International Functions Point User Group (IFPUG, covering the Albrecht method) and the United Kingdom Function Point User Group (UFPGU, covering the MK11 method). An ISO standard for function point method is also being developed.

Example1

Consider a project with the following functional units:

Number of user inputs = 50

Number of user outputs = 40

Number of user enquiries = 35

Number of user files = 06

Number of external interfaces = 04

Assume all complexity adjustment factors and weighting factors are average. Compute the function points for the project.

Contd.

We know

$$UFP = \sum_{i=1}^{5} \sum_{J=1}^{3} Z_{ij} w_{ij}$$

UFP = 50 x 4 + 40 x 5 + 35 x 4 + 6 x 10 + 4 x 7

= 200 + 200 + 140 + 60 + 28 = 628

CAF = (0.65 + 0.01 ΣF_i)

= (0.65 + 0.01 (14 x 3)) = 0.65 + 0.42 = 1.07

FP = UFP x CAF

= 628 x 1.07 = 672

Example 2

An application has the following:

10 low external inputs, 12 high external outputs, 20 low internal logical files, 15 high external interface files, 12 average external inquiries, and a value of complexity adjustment factor of 1.10.

What are the unadjusted and adjusted function point counts ?

Contd.

Unadjusted function point counts may be calculated using as:

$$UFP = \sum_{i=1}^{5} \sum_{J=1}^{3} Z_{ij} w_{ij}$$

$= 10 \times 3 + 12 \times 7 + 20 \times 7 + 15 + 10 + 12 \times 4$

$= 30 + 84 + 140 + 150 + 48$

$= 452$

FP $= UFP \times CAF$

$= 452 \times 1.10 = 497.2.$

Example 3

Consider a project with the following parameters.

(*i*) External Inputs:
- (a) 10 with low complexity
- (b) 15 with average complexity
- (c) 17 with high complexity

(*ii*) External Outputs:
- (a) 6 with low complexity
- (b) 13 with high complexity

(*iii*) External Inquiries:
- (a) 3 with low complexity
- (b) 4 with average complexity
- (c) 2 high complexity

(*iv*) Internal logical files:
     (a) 2 with average complexity
     (b) 1 with high complexity

(v) External Interface files:
     (a) 9 with low complexity

In addition to above, system requires

   i. Significant data communication

  ii. Performance is very critical

 iii. Designed code may be moderately reusable

 iv. System is not designed for multiple installation in different organizations.

Other complexity adjustment factors are treated as average. Compute the function points for the project.

Solution: Unadjusted function points may be counted using table 2

Functional Units	Count	Complexity		Complexity Totals	Functional Unit Totals
External Inputs (EIs)	10	Low x 3	=	30	
	15	Average x 4	=	60	
	17	High x 6	=	102	192
External Outputs (EOs)	6	Low x 4	=	24	
	0	Average x 5	=	0	
	13	High x 7	=	91	115
External Inquiries (EQs)	3	Low x 3	=	9	
	4	Average x 4	=	16	
	2	High x 6	=	12	37
External logical Files (ILFs)	0	Low x 7	=	0	
	2	Average x 10	=	20	
	1	High x 15	=	15	35
External Interface Files (EIFs)	9	Low x 5	=	45	
	0	Average x 7	=	0	
	0	High x 10	=	0	45
Total Unadjusted Function Point Count					424

Contd.

$$\sum_{i=1}^{14} F_i = 3+4+3+5+3+3+3+3+3+3+2+3+0+3=41$$

$$\text{CAF} = (0.65 + 0.01 \times \Sigma F_i)$$
$$= (0.65 + 0.01 \times 41)$$
$$= 1.06$$

$$\text{FP} = \text{UFP} \times \text{CAF}$$
$$= 424 \times 1.06$$
$$= 449.44$$

Hence $\boxed{\text{FP} = 449}$