Load Testing & Benchmarking Report: Sarvam Transliteration API

Date: June 5, 2024 **Author:** Divyam Talwar

1. Executive Summary

This report details the findings of a performance and load testing evaluation conducted on the Sarvam Transliteration API. Using a series of controlled tests, we measured the API's latency, throughput, and reliability under increasing load. The API demonstrated excellent performance and stability under low to medium load (1-10 concurrent users). A performance degradation threshold was identified when scaling to 25 concurrent users, characterized by a significant increase in response times and the emergence of errors. Language-specific analysis revealed minor, consistent latency variations, with languages like Hindi performing faster than others like Bengali and Telugu.

2. Objective & Scope

The primary objective was to evaluate the **performance**, **scalability**, **and reliability** of the Sarvam Transliteration API.

• In Scope:

- Testing the /v1/translate/transliterate endpoint.
- Simulating traffic from 1 to 25 concurrent users.
- Measuring key metrics: RPS, latency percentiles (p50, p75, p95), and error rate.
- Analyzing performance differences across 8 different Indian languages.

Out of Scope:

- Testing other Sarvam API endpoints.
- Server-side infrastructure monitoring.

3. Methodology

- Load Generation Tool: Locust (v2.x)
- **Test Environment**: Headless execution via a shell script for automation.
- **Test Data**: A CSV file containing sample text for 8 languages (hi, ta, bn, te, gu, mr, kn, pa).
- Load Profile: A load sweep was conducted using the configurations below.

Configuration	Concurrency (Users)	Spawn Rate	Run Time
1	1	1	1m
2	5	2	1m
3	10	2	3m
4	25	4	5m

4. Results & Analysis

The API's performance scaled effectively until the final stress test. Below is a summary of the aggregated results.

Table 1: Key Performance Indicators (KPI) Summary

| Metric | Config 1 (1 User) | Config 2 (5 Users) | Config 3 (10 Users) | Config 4 (25 Users) |

```
| RPS (Avg) | 0.85 | 4.21 | 8.35 | 19.55 |
| Error Rate | 0% | 0% | 0.2% | 1.5% |
| Avg Latency (ms)| 215 | 225 | 240 | 380 |
| p95 Latency (ms)| 280 | 310 | 355 | 650 |
```

4.1. Performance Under Load

The throughput (Requests Per Second) scaled almost linearly with the number of users up to the 10-user mark. The average and percentile latencies remained stable and low, indicating the system was comfortably handling the load.

A clear performance threshold was crossed between the 10-user and 25-user tests. At 25 concurrent users:

- Latency Spike: The p95 latency (the experience of the 95th percentile user) nearly doubled from 355ms to 650ms. This indicates users would perceive a noticeable slowdown.
- **Error Rate Increase:** The error rate jumped from a negligible 0.2% to 1.5%. While still low, this signals that the server is beginning to struggle, potentially queueing or dropping requests.

4.2. Language-Specific Latency

Analysis of the custom metrics collected during the 25-user stress test revealed consistent latency differences between languages.

Table 2: Language-wise p95 Latency (at 25 Users)

```
| Language | p95 Latency (ms) | Observation |
| Hindi (hi) | 580 | Consistently among the fastest. |
| Tamil (ta) | 595 | Fast performance. |
| Marathi (mr) | 610 | Average performance. |
| Bengali (bn) | 710 | Consistently ~20% slower than Hindi. |
| Telugu (te) | 725 | Consistently the highest latency. |
```

This suggests that the underlying transliteration model may have varying computational complexity or efficiency based on the source language script.

5. Conclusions & Recommendations

Conclusion: The Sarvam Transliteration API is highly performant and reliable for applications with low to moderate concurrent traffic (up to ~10-15 simultaneous users or ~10 RPS). The system exhibits signs of stress and potential bottlenecks when traffic exceeds 20 RPS, making it a key threshold to consider for scaling.

Recommendations:

- 1. **Establish a Service Level Objective (SLO):** Define an official p95 latency target (e.g., under 500ms). The current tests show this SLO is met up to the 10-user configuration.
- 2. **Investigate the 25-User Bottleneck:** For services requiring higher throughput, a server-side investigation is recommended to identify the root cause of the latency spike and errors. This could involve profiling CPU, memory, I/O, or dependent services.
- 3. **Document Language Performance:** The observed latency differences between languages, while minor, should be documented for developers so they can set realistic expectations for end-user experience. No immediate action is required unless the variance is deemed unacceptable.