Theorem.

1. if A \le m B and B is ret then A is r.e.

If A \le m B and A is not ret then B is not re.

2. If  $A \leq mB$  and B is recursive then A is recursive.

Proof.

1. Suppose  $A \leq mB$  via  $\sigma$  and B is r.e. B = L(m)Construct a TM N  $s \cdot + L(N) = A$  as follows:

On input oc, 1. Compute  $\sigma(x)$ 2. Run m on  $\sigma(x)$ 3. Accept if m accepts.

Naccepts  $\infty$  iff M accepts  $\sigma(x)$  iff  $\sigma(x) \in B$  iff  $x \in A$ .

Definition of  $A \leq_m B$ .

2. Recall: A is recursive iff A is re and A is re.

Suppose  $A \leq mB$  via  $\sigma$  and B is recursive. Then  $\overline{A} \leq mB$  via  $\overline{\sigma}$  [follows from the definition]

if B is recursive then both B and B are r.e.

By part 1, both A and A are r.e. => A is recursive

FIN = { M / L(M) is finite } is not re Example 1.

We give a reduction: HP = m FIN -

HP = 2M#x | M does not halt on oct.

(a) and Theorem => FIN is not recursively enumerable

HP = m FIN: From M# x construct a TM M,= o (M#x) Such that

M does not halt on x iff L(Mi) is finite.
M, on input y works as follows.

1. Erases the input y
2. Writes x on the tape z Descriptions of Mand x3. Runs m on input x z are hard coded in  $m_1$ 

4. Accept if mhalts on x.

if M does not halt on x then M, does not reach step 4 Thus Midoes not accept its input y.

Mhalts on  $x \Rightarrow L(M_i) = \xi^* \Rightarrow L(M_i)$  is infinite. M does not halt on  $x \Rightarrow L(M_i) = \phi \Rightarrow L(M_i)$  is finite Thus HP =m FIN.

FIN= {M | L(M) is finite} is not re FIN is not v.e.

HP Sm FIN

By definition of &m, if A &m B via o then ASMB Via 5.

Subjects to show that  $HP \leq_m FIN$  via some T 1.e., given M and x, construct  $M_2 = T(M \# x)$  8.t M halts on x iff  $L(M_2)$  is finite.

M2 on input y works as follows:

- 1. Save y on one of the tracks
- 2. Write oc on a separate track 7 Mand x are
  3. Simulate Mon x for 14 Steps Shord coded in M2

Exase one symbol in y for each step of Monx

4. Accept if M has not halted in |y| steps.
Otherwise reject

if M does not halt on  $\infty = M_2$  halts and accepts  $y - \forall y$ . if M halts on  $\infty$  then it halts after some n steps.  $M_2$  accepts y if  $|y| \le n$ .

M does not halt on  $x \Rightarrow L(M_z) = z^{2} \Rightarrow L(M_z)$  is infinite

M halts on  $x \Rightarrow L(m_2) = \frac{2}{3}y | |y| < \pi unning time of Mon <math>x$ ⇒ L(Mz) is finite

Rice's Theorem.

Theorem. Every non-trivial property of r.e. sets is undecidable.

Property of the re sets is a function.

P: {r.e. subsets of = } => {T, }

 $P(A) = \begin{cases} T & \text{if } A = \phi \\ L & \text{if } A \neq \phi \end{cases}$ Emptiness

 $P(A) = 2 \perp if A is finite$ Finiteness

 $P(A) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} if A is regular$ Regular

Question. For a property Pobre sets, is Pdecidable?

The set has to be given a finite presentation.

Assumption. The r.e. set is presented as a Turing machine whose language is the set.

Note. Property P is that of the set not a property of the Turing machine.

Example. Does a TMM have 100 states? ? Decidable. Does a TMM halt on E in 100 Steps?

Non-trivial. The property is not universely True or False

That is, there exists r.e sets ARB S.+ P(A)=T and P(B)=1

Proof of Rice's Theorem. Let P be non-trivial.

Wlog, assume  $P(\phi) = \bot$  and  $P(A) = \top$  for some A.

Let L(K)=A for some TMK [Note A 15 r.e]

We give a reduction HP = m 2 M | P(L(m)) = T3.

Conclude - not recursive.

Given M and >c, Construct  $M' = \sigma(M \# >c)$ . M' on input y works as follows:

- 1. Saves y on one of its tracks.
- 2. Write on a separate track? Mand oc are 3 Runs mon input oc Shard coded in m!
- 4. if Mhalts on x, M runs K on input y
  L> L(K)= A.

  M'accepts if Kaccepts.

if M does not half on  $x \Rightarrow step 3$  never stops.  $\Rightarrow y \notin L(m')$  for all y.

if Mhelts on  $\infty \Rightarrow Step 4$  is executed  $\Rightarrow y \in L(m')$  iff  $y \in L(K)$ .

M halts on  $x \Rightarrow L(m') = A \Rightarrow P(L(m')) = P(A) = T$ 

M does not holf on  $\alpha \Rightarrow L(m') = \phi \Rightarrow P(L(m')) = P(\phi) = \bot$ .

HP =m &M/P(L(m))=T3 => not recursive

Thus it is undecidable if L(M) satisfies P.

### **Problems about CFLs**

Membership problem. Given a CFG G and a string x, is  $x \in L(G)$ ?

Answer. Decidable — CKY algorithm

Emptiness problem. Given a CFG G, is  $L(G) = \emptyset$ ?

Answer, Decidable.

Sunil Simon Rice's Theorem

### **Problems about CFLs**

Membership problem. Given a CFG G and a string x, is  $x \in L(G)$ ?

Answer. Decidable — CKY algorithm

Emptiness problem. Given a CFG G, is  $L(G) = \emptyset$ ?

Answer. Decidable.

Universality problem. Given a CFG G, is  $L(G) = \Sigma^*$ ?

Answer. Undecidable

Sunil Simon Rice's Theorem

# **Valid Computation Histories**

### Configurations of a Turing machine

A configuration of a Turing machine M is a triple (q, y, n) where

- q is a state,
- y describes the content of the tape,
- *n* an integer describing the head position.

Encoding configurations. We can encode configurations as finite strings over the alphabet  $\Gamma \times (Q \cup \{-\})$ .

Start Configuration.

$$Fa_1 a_2 \cdots a_r$$
  
 $8 - \cdots -$ 

# **Valid Computation Histories**

Alphabet:  $\Gamma \times (Q \cup \{-\})$ .

A valid computation history of *M* on *x* is a string

$$\#\alpha_0\#\alpha_1\#\alpha_2\#\cdots\#\alpha_N\#$$

- $\alpha_0$  is a start configuration of M on x,
- $\alpha_N$  is a halting configuration (state is either the accept state t or reject state r),
- $\alpha_{i+1}$  follows in one step from  $\alpha_i$  according to  $\delta$  of M. That is, for  $0 \le i \le N-1$ ,

$$\alpha_i \xrightarrow{1} \alpha_{i+1}$$
.

Let  $\Delta = \{\#\} \cup (\Gamma \times (Q \cup \{-\}))$ , then

 $\mathsf{VALCOMPS}(\mathit{M},x) = \left\{\mathsf{valid} \ \mathsf{computation} \ \mathsf{histories} \ \mathsf{of} \ \mathit{M} \ \mathsf{on} \ x\right\} \subseteq \Delta^{\star}.$ 

 $VALCOMPS(M, x) = \emptyset$  iff M does not halt on x.

 $\sqrt{\text{AL(OMPS}(m, x)} = \Delta^*$  iff m does not half on x. Rice's Theorem