

Chomsky Normal Form. (CNF)

$$G = (N, \Sigma, P, S)$$

$$S \rightarrow SS \mid 0 \mid 1 \mid \epsilon$$

$$S \xrightarrow[G]{1} SS \xrightarrow[G]{1} SSS \xrightarrow[G]{1} SSSS \xrightarrow[G]{1} SSSS \xrightarrow[G]{1} SSS \xrightarrow[G]{1} SS \xrightarrow[G]{1} OS \xrightarrow[G]{1} O1$$

$G = (N, \Sigma, P, S)$ is in CNF if all productions

$$A \rightarrow BC \quad A \rightarrow a \quad A, B, C \in N, a \in \Sigma.$$

Example. $S \rightarrow [S] \mid SS \mid \epsilon \rightarrow G_1$ not in CNF

$$\underline{S \rightarrow AB \mid AC \mid SS \quad C \rightarrow SB \quad A \rightarrow [\quad B \rightarrow]}$$

$\hookrightarrow G_2$

Claim. $L(G_1) = L(G_2)$

One step progress. $\begin{cases} - \# \text{ of Nonterminals increase by 1} \\ - \# \text{ of terminals increase by 1.} \end{cases}$

Theorem. For any CFG G , there is a CFG G' in CNF such that $L(G') = L(G) - \{\epsilon\}$.

Lemma 1. For any CFG $G = (N, \Sigma, P, S)$ there is a CFG G' with no ϵ -productions or unit-productions such that $L(G') = L(G) - \{\epsilon\}$

Proof. Let \hat{P} be the smallest set of productions containing P and closed under the rules:

(a) if $A \rightarrow \alpha B \beta$ and $B \rightarrow \epsilon$ are in \hat{P} then $A \rightarrow \alpha \beta \in \hat{P}$

(b) if $A \rightarrow B$ and $B \rightarrow \gamma$ are in \hat{P} then $A \rightarrow \gamma \in \hat{P}$.

Note: \hat{P} is finite.

$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Finitely many new production rules are added} \\ \text{Each new RHS is a substring of an old RHS.} \end{array} \right\}$

$$\hat{G} = (N, \Sigma, \hat{P}, S)$$

We have $L(G) \subseteq L(\hat{G})$ Since $P \subseteq \hat{P}$

$L(G) = L(\hat{G})$ - Each new production was

included because of rule (a) or (b) - can be

simulated in 2 steps by two productions that caused it to be included.

Claim 2. For any non-null $x \in \Sigma^*$, any derivation

$S \xrightarrow[\hat{G}]^* x$ of minimum length does not use ϵ -or unit productions.

Proof. Let $x \neq \epsilon$. Let $S \xrightarrow[\hat{G}]^* x$ be the minimum length derivation.

Suppose an ϵ -production $B \rightarrow \epsilon$ is used at some point

$$S \xrightarrow[\hat{G}]^* \gamma B \delta \xrightarrow[\hat{G}]^1 \gamma \delta \xrightarrow[\hat{G}]^* x.$$

At least one of γ or δ is non-null $\Rightarrow B$ was introduced from a production of the form $A \rightarrow \alpha B \beta$.

$$S \xrightarrow[\hat{G}]^m \eta A \theta \xrightarrow[\hat{G}]^1 \eta \alpha B \beta \theta \xrightarrow[\hat{G}]^n \gamma B \delta \xrightarrow[\hat{G}]^1 \gamma \delta \xrightarrow[\hat{G}]^k x$$

for $m, n, k \geq 0$

By rule (a) $A \rightarrow \alpha \beta \in \hat{P}$.

But then we have a strictly shorter derivation of x

$$S \xrightarrow[\hat{G}]^m \eta A \theta \xrightarrow[\hat{G}]^1 \eta \alpha \beta \theta \xrightarrow[\hat{G}]^n \gamma \delta \xrightarrow[\hat{G}]^k x.$$

This gives a contradiction.

Unit Productions

Let $x \neq \epsilon$. Consider a derivation $S \xrightarrow[\hat{G}]{*} x$ of minimum length.

Suppose a unit production $A \rightarrow B$ is used at some point

$$S \xrightarrow[\hat{G}]{*} \alpha A \beta \xrightarrow[\hat{G}]{1} \alpha B \beta \xrightarrow[\hat{G}]{*} x.$$

B must be removed later by applying a production $B \rightarrow \gamma$.

$$S \xrightarrow[\hat{G}]{m} \alpha A \beta \xrightarrow[\hat{G}]{1} \alpha B \beta \xrightarrow[\hat{G}]{n} \eta B \theta \xrightarrow[\hat{G}]{1} \eta \gamma \theta \xrightarrow[\hat{G}]{k} x.$$

By rule (b), $A \rightarrow \gamma \in \hat{P}$.

But then, there is a shorter derivation of x

$$S \xrightarrow[\hat{G}]{m} \alpha A \beta \xrightarrow[\hat{G}]{1} \alpha \gamma \beta \xrightarrow[\hat{G}]{n} \eta \gamma \theta \xrightarrow[\hat{G}]{k} x.$$

This is a contradiction.

Claim 2 implies we can remove the ϵ -productions and unit productions from \hat{P} without changing the language.

Chomsky Normal Form.

By Lemma 1, $L(G) = L(\hat{G})$ and \hat{P} does not have ϵ -productions or unit productions.

For each terminal $a \in \Sigma$ introduce a new nonterminal A_a and add the production rule $A_a \rightarrow a$.

Replace all occurrences of a on the RHS of old productions (except productions of the form $B \rightarrow a$) with A_a . Then all productions are of the form:

$$A \rightarrow a \quad \text{or} \quad A \rightarrow \underbrace{B_1 B_2 \dots B_k}_{\text{nonterminals}} \quad k \geq 2$$

For any production of the form $A \rightarrow B_1 B_2 \dots B_k$ with $k \geq 3$, introduce a new nonterminal C and replace with

$$A \rightarrow B_1 C \quad \text{and} \quad C \rightarrow B_2 \dots B_k.$$

Repeat until all RHS of all productions are of length at most 2.