

# GRC RISK REPORT

**Case Study:** Security Footage Data Destruction and Forensic Recovery (CTF Challenge)

**Report ID:** CTF2025-IMG-RECON

**Date:** 25 July 2025

**Prepared by:** Divyanshu Kumar

**Confidentiality Level:** Internal / Training

## 1. Executive Summary

This report documents a simulated breach scenario based on a Capture the Flag (CTF) challenge, where an attacker erased all security camera footage and logs, leaving only a network packet capture (.pcap) file as evidence. The exercise assessed the organization's forensic readiness, evidence recovery capabilities, and GRC (Governance, Risk, and Compliance) posture, with remediation strategies mapped to ISO/IEC 27001 and CERT-In guidelines.

## 2. Incident Description

- **a) Attack Vector:** Data destruction by an internal/external actor; only network packets (.pcap) remained post-attack.
- **b) Target:** Security camera system—video footage and logging infrastructure.
- **c) Impact:** Complete removal of standard video and log evidence. Recovery possible only through advanced packet and image carving.
- **d) Bypassed Controls:** Standard retention, backup mechanisms, and incident logging.

### Forensic Steps & Recovery:

- Initial exploratory analysis using Wireshark to filter HTTP streams, identifying hundreds of JPEG fragments from an MJPEG video stream.
- Ineffective attempt at manual image recovery using binary/hex editors; shifted to automated file carving with **foremost**.
- Extraction of hundreds of JPEG images, each frame containing a flag character, requiring meticulous manual reassembly of the evidence.
- Ultimate recovery of the challenge "flag" demonstrated the importance of forensic tenacity and tool knowledge.

### 3. Risk Analysis

Attribute	Description
<b>Asset at Risk</b>	Security footage, forensic evidence, event trail
<b>Threat Agent</b>	Internal or external attacker (intent on destruction)
<b>Vulnerability</b>	Lack of tamper-proof evidence retention; no immutable backup; limited network anomaly detection
<b>Impact (I)</b>	High – Total loss of primary monitoring/audit trails
<b>Likelihood (L)</b>	Medium
<b>Overall Risk</b>	High (I x L)

#### **4. ISO 27001 & CERT-In Control Mapping**

Framework	Control Reference	Title/Requirement
ISO 27001	A.12.4	Logging and Monitoring
ISO 27001	A.8.16	Monitoring Activities
ISO 27001	A.5.23	Information Security Event Response
CERT-In	Section 2(e)	Mandatory incident reporting (within 6 hrs)
CERT-In	Section 4	Log retention for 180 days

#### **5. Root Cause Analysis**

- No encryption (HTTPS) used for streaming MJPEG data
- Surveillance system lacked data redundancy and offsite backup
- Poor logging practices — attacker's deletion not detected real-time
- No intrusion detection or packet anomaly alerts configured
- Security teams lacked readiness for packet-level forensic response

## 6. Remediation Plan

Action Item	Priority	Owner
Implement immutability and backup for logs/footage	High	IT Security
Deploy automated network packet anomaly detection	Medium	SOC
Regular forensic readiness and packet recovery drills	Medium	IR/Forensics
Update staff training for packet-level forensics	High	HR/Training
Integrate lessons learned into ISMS Risk Register	Medium	Compliance
Strengthen CERT-In reporting and evidence workflows	High	GRC Team

## 7. Policy & Compliance Recommendations

- Update **incident response policy** to require periodic testing and documentation of forensic recovery from raw packets.
- Mandate **quarterly forensic simulations** for staff, with CTF-style destructive event scenarios.
- Add data destruction and packet recovery scenarios to the **ISMS Risk Register**.
- Ensure all **CERT-In** reporting requirements are enforceable, tested, and evidence workflows are auditable.
- Enhance **backup, retention, and activity log policies** for tamper detection and offsite redundancy.

## **8. Conclusion**

This simulated breach demonstrates that even when conventional audit trails are destroyed, well-trained teams with the right tools and patience can reconstruct evidence from network-level artifacts. It highlights the need for forensic readiness, advanced monitoring, robust backup, and continuous GRC alignment with ISO 27001 and CERT-In mandates.