Successful Contrastive Pretraining of Set Transformer

Divyashree Koti

Middle Tennessee State University

dsk2v@mtmail.mtsu.edu

Advisor:

Dr. Joshua Lee Phillips

August 22, 2023

Presentation Overview

Computational Science

Introduction

- Transformer - To process long sequences of data in NLP.
- Vision Transformer - Processes images by creating patches and the corresponding vector.
- Set Transformer - A permutation invariant model to process unordered sets, which is independent of positional embeddings makes it permutation equivariant, in contrast to Transformer and Vit.
- Contrastive Learning with Set Transformer - a unique approach to enhance the Set Transformer performance, which has been successfully contributed in Transformer and Vision Transformer models.
- Generalization
  - Weak generalization
  - Strong generalization

Computational Science

Data

- Point cloud 3D Body scan
- Collected by Dr. Frederick Steven Cottle
- KX-16 Body Scanner [1]
- Dataset includes 75 Participants' unlabeled (unavailable metadata) data files, with point cloud count ranging between 51000 to 63000.
- As a preliminary step, this unlabelled dataset is used for the classification, of self-identifying task.



Figure: Original 3D Body Scan



Figure: Subsampled 3D Body Scan

Background

- Set Transformer - Lee, J. et. al. (2018) [2]
  - Induced Set Attention Block(ISAB) - speeds up computation ($\mathcal{O}(nm)$) and extracts meaningful features.
  - Pooling layer - parameterized aggregation function, helps to capture the varying contribution of the instances, for better aggregation. Pooling by attention operation makes the model permutation invariant.



Figure: Multi Head Attention Block(MAB)[2]



Figure: Induced Set Attention Block(ISAB)[2]

Background

- Simple framework for Contrastive Learning [3] - Chen, T. et. al. (2020): ResNet-50 on ImageNet dataset, Positive pair/ Negative pair. Using SimCLR self-supervised method shows similar performance to Resnet-50 supervised learning.
- Generalization
  - Kullback–Leibler divergence(KL divergence) [4]

$$D_{KL}(P||Q) = \sum_{x \epsilon X} P(x) log\left(\frac{P(x)}{Q(x)}\right) \tag{1}$$

Computational Science

Methods

General Experimental Setup

- Data Loader
- Batch size - train:4, validation:4
- Sub sample size - train:8000, validation:2048
- Train : Validation = 80:20
- Monte Carlo Simulation - 10
- Optimizer - Adam
- Loss - Sparse categorical cross entropy

Experimental Setup

Hyperparameters

Standard Set Transformer
Embedded dimensions - 64, 32
Number of heads - 32, 16
Induce points - 128, 64
stack - 3
Dropout - 0.05
Learning rate - 1e-03
Number of epochs - 250

Contrastive Pretraining
Experimented batch size - 32, 16
Point cloud - 1024, 2048
Temperature - 0.5
Number of epochs - 200

Fine Tuning
Linear layer - 128, 1024
Non-linear activation function - LeakyReLU
Dropout - 0.1
The rest of the hyperparameters are maintained as same as Standard Set Transformer

Standard Set Transformer Algorithm

Set Transformer architecture

$y = Linear(3, embed\_dim)$
for $j = 1$ to $stack$ **do**
    $y = InducedSetAttentionBlock(y)$
end for
$y = Droput(0.05)(y)$
$y = PoolingByMultiHeadAttention(y)$
$y_embedding = Droput(0.05)(y)$
$y = FinalDense(numberofclasses)(y\_embedding)$
return $y, y\_embedding$

Computational Science

Contrastive Learning using Set Transformer

Visual representation of contrastive learning



Figure: Contrastive Learning using Set Transformer architecture

Contrastive Learning using Set Transformer

Algorithm

Contrastive Learning architecture

$y1, y\_embedding1 = Set_Transformer(batch1)$
$y2, y\_embedding2 = Set_Transformer(batch2)$
$y\_embedding1 = Linear(embed\_dim, projection\_dim)(y\_embedding1)$
$y\_embedding2 = Linear(embed\_dim, projection\_dim)(y\_embedding2)$
$y\_embedding1 = Norm(y\_embedding1)$
$y\_embedding2 = Norm(y\_embedding2)$
$y = Mul(y\_embedding1, y\_embedding1.T) * Temperature$

Generalization

- Weak Generalization - Has knowledge about all the category data at the time of training.
- Strong Generalization(leave one out) - Is not aware of a particular class data at a given training period.
- Probability matrix - 75*75
- Reassign all diagonal elements in weak generalization 0.
- Normalize both of the matrices
- Apply KL Divergence

Visualization

- Get feature embeddings for both standard Set transformer and Contrastive pre-trained model.
- 10 vectors for each sample.
- Applied PCA with 2 principal components to visualize in 2D.
- Applied t-SNE with a 2D component with the perplexity of 20.
- Evaluate along the 2D axis if, distribution is relatable to human distinguish.

Results

Standard Set Transformer



Good Result: 64,16,128,3,0.05



Bad Result: 64,32,128,3,0.05

Figure: Monte Carlo simulated Standard Set Transformer Accuracy

Contrastive Learning using Set Transformer



Good Result: 64,16,128,3,0.05

Figure: Monte Carlo simulated Contrastive Learning using Set Transformer Loss



Bad Result: 64,16,128,3,0.05,batch size: 16

Fine Tuning



Good Result: 64,16,128,3,0.05, 128, 0.1



Bad Result: 64,32,128,3,0.05, 128, 0.1



Bad Result: 64,16,128,3,0.05, 1024, 0.1

Figure: Monte Carlo simulated Contrastive pre-trained model Accuracy

Weak Generalization



Standard Set Transformer



Contrastive pre-trained model

Figure: Weak Generalization averaged across Monte Carlo simulation

- The higher the variation in blue shades the less confident the model was each time of simulation.
- Uniform diagonal signifies less confusion in each run in self-identifying.

Computational Science

Strong Generalization



Standard Set Transformer



Contrastive pre-trained model

Figure: Strong Generalization averaged across Monte Carlo simulation

Table: Results for Generalization using KL Divergence

Method	KL Divergence
Standard Set Transformer	6.60
Contrastive Pre-trained model	6.25

Visualization

PCA Visualization for Standard Set Transformer



Figure: PCA Visualization for Standard Set Transformer

- Principal Component 1: 30.26%
- Principal Component 2: 24.38%
- Total Principal Component: 54.64%

Visualization

PCA Visualization for Contrastive Pre-trained Model



Figure: PCA Visualization for Contrastive Pre-trained

- Principal Component 1: 20.50%
- Principal Component 2: 18.35%
- Total Principal Component: 38.85%

Visualization

t-SNE Visualization for Standard Set Transformer



Figure: t-SNE Visualization for Standard Set Transformer

Visualization

t-SNE Visualization for Standard Set Transformer



Figure: t-SNE Visualization for Standard Set Transformer

Visualization

t-SNE Visualization for Contrastive Pre-trained Model



Figure: t-SNE Visualization for Contrastive Pre-trained Model

Visualization

t-SNE Visualization for Contrastive Pre-trained Model



Figure: t-SNE Visualization for Contrastive Pre-trained Model

Conclusion

- Standard Set transformer and Contrastive Pre-trained model for self-identification task.
- Weak and strong generalization for efficiency of the model.
- Contrastive Pre-trained model excelled Standard Set Transformer performance in terms of accuracy, speed, and stability.
- Contrastive pre-trained model showed improved generalization than the standard Set Transformer.

Computational Science

Conclusion

Future work

- Contrastive Pre-trained model can be used as a solid foundation for extended work like Generative Adversarial Set Transformer(GAST).
- GAST approach is to generate point cloud data to form a full or a partial 3D body scan.
- This approach is in the notion to provide support in case of missing scanned parts or lesser point cloud data that helps with increased precision.

Computational Science

Reference

- [TC]2 Introduces KX-16 Body Scanner. Textile World. (2012, March 20). https://www.textileworld.com/textile-world/new-products/2012/03/tc2-introduces-kx-16-body-scanner-3/
- Lee, J., Lee, Y., Kim, J., Kosiorek, A. R., Choi, S., & Teh, Y. W. (2018). Set Transformer: A Framework for Attention-based Permutation-Invariant Neural Networks. ArXiv. /abs/1810.00825
- Chen, T., Kornblith, S., Norouzi, M., & Hinton, G. (2020). A Simple Framework for Contrastive Learning of Visual Representations. ArXiv. /abs/2002.05709
- Wikimedia Foundation. (2023, July 16). Kullback–Leibler divergence. Wikipedia. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kullback%E2%80%93Leibler_diverge

Computational Science

Thank you