

## MODULE I

Elements of Number Theory We begin with a basic study of the multiplicative structure of  $\mathbb{Z}$  and we introduce the Euler phi function, which plays an important role in the study of “residue systems”.

Definition 1 If  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  we say  $a$  divides  $b$ , denoted by  $a|b$ , provided  $\exists c \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $b = ac$ .

Examples 1.  $a|0 \forall a \in \mathbb{Z}$  since  $0 = a \cdot 0$

2.  $5|-5$  because  $-5 = 5(-1)$

3.  $7|49$  because  $49 = 7(7)$

Proposition 1  $\forall a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$

1.  $a|b$  and  $b|c \Rightarrow a|c$

2.  $a|b$  and  $a|c \Rightarrow a|bx+cy \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$

3.  $a|a \quad \forall a$  (EVEN  $a = 0$ )

4.  $a|b$  and  $b|a \Rightarrow a = \pm b$

Some proofs: 1.  $b = ad, c = be \Rightarrow c = (ed)a$

4.  $b = af, a = gb \Rightarrow a = gfa$

$a \neq 0 \Rightarrow gf = 1 \Rightarrow g = f^{-1}$  or  $g = f = -1$

Exercise 1 (Submit b and d) Prove

a)  $\forall n (4 \nmid n^2 + 1)$

b)  $\forall x, y \in \mathbb{Z} (x, y \text{ odd} \Rightarrow x^2 + y^2 \text{ is even but } 4 \nmid x^2 + y^2)$

c)  $\forall a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z} (a|b \text{ and } c|d \Rightarrow ac|bd)$

d)  $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z} (n \text{ odd} \Rightarrow 8|n^2 - 1)$

e)  $\forall n (6|(n)(n+1)(n+2))$

f)  $\forall n (24|(n)(n+1)(n+2)(n+3))$

Division Algorithm. Theorem 1

Let  $a \in \mathbb{Z}, d \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ ; then  $\exists$  a unique pair  $q, r$  such that  $a = qd + r$  where  $0 \leq r < d$ .

Notation:  $r$  is denoted by  $a \bmod d$  and  $q$  by  $a \operatorname{div} d$ .

Proof Let  $T = \{a - cd \mid c \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } a - cd \geq 0\}$

First we show that  $T \neq \emptyset$ . Indeed, if  $a \geq 0$  then  $a = a - 0d \in T$

If  $a < 0$  then  $(d-1)(-a) = a - (a)d \in T$

Thus  $T$  has a smallest element, say  $r$ ; i.e.  $\exists q \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $a - qd = r$

Claim  $0 \leq r < d$ . Proof Of course  $r \geq 0$  because  $r \in T$ .

Suppose  $r \geq d$ ; then  $r - d \geq 0$ ,  $r - d < r$  and  $a - (q+1)d = r - d \in T$

which contradicts the fact that  $r = \text{minimum of } T$ .

Claim  $q$  and  $r$  are unique; Suppose  $a = qd + r = q'd + r'$  where  $0 \leq r, r' < d$ .

Assuming  $r \geq r'$  we get  $r - r' = (q' - q)d$

so  $q' - q \geq 0$ . But  $q' - q > 0$  implies  $(q' - q)d > d$ . However  $0 \leq r - r' < d$  - a contradiction.

Thus  $q' = q$  and consequently  $r = r'$ .

Definition 2. If  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $b \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$  then

$\left\lfloor \frac{a}{b} \right\rfloor$  and  $\left\lceil \frac{a}{b} \right\rceil$  denotes that largest integer less than or equal to  $\frac{a}{b}$

and the smallest integer greater than or equal to  $\frac{a}{b}$  respectively.

Remark 1. The question of existence is easily settled with aid of the Division Algorithm.

Indeed, when  $b > 0$ ,  $a \text{ div } b = \left\lfloor \frac{a}{b} \right\rfloor$  and  $r = a - \left\lfloor \frac{a}{b} \right\rfloor b$

Example 5. Let  $d = 5$  and  $a = -73$ . Then  $-73 = (-15)(5) + 2$ .

Definition 3. The non- $\emptyset$  set  $I \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$  is an ideal if and only if

1.  $\forall a, b \ (a, b \in I \Rightarrow a - b \in I)$ ,
- and 2.  $\forall a, b \ (a \in \mathbb{Z}, b \in I \Rightarrow ab \in I)$ .

Theorem 2 If  $I$  is an ideal then  $\exists$  a unique  $d \geq 0$  such that  $I = \{ad \mid a \in \mathbb{Z}\}$

Notation We write  $(d)$  and say that  $I$  is generated by  $d$ . Such an ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}$  is principal,  $(\mathbb{Z}, +, \cdot)$  is called a principal ideal domain (p.i.d.).

Proof Since  $I \neq \emptyset \exists b \in I$ . Since  $b \in I$  so does  $-b = (-1)b$  by 2) and we may conclude that  $\exists b \in I$  such that  $b \geq 0$ . If only  $b = 0$  lies in  $I$  then  $I = (0)$ . Otherwise let

$$d = \min \{b \in I \mid b > 0\}.$$

Then, by 2)  $(d) \subseteq I$ .

Next, if  $a \in I$  we may invoke the Division Algorithm to get

$$a = qd + r$$

where  $0 \leq r < d$ . But  $r = a - qd \in I$  by 1) and 2) so  $r = 0$ . Hence  $a = qd \in (d)$ .

Finally, uniqueness of  $d$  follows from (4) of our first proposition; i.e. Proposition 1.(4).

Application Consider an arbitrary pair  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ , not both 0, and realize that  $I = \{ax + by \mid x, y \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is an ideal. Furthermore, since  $I \neq (0)$ ,  $\exists$  a unique  $d > 0$  such that  $I = (d)$ .

Now, as  $a, b \in I$  it follows that  $d \mid a$  and  $d \mid b$ , i.e.  $d$  is a common divisor of  $a$  and  $b$ .

Next suppose  $d' > 0$  is another common divisor of  $a$  and  $b$ , i.e.  $d' \mid a$  and  $d' \mid b$ . Then, by 2 of our first proposition,  $d' \mid ax + by \forall x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Now  $\exists x_1, y_1$  such that  $d = ax_1 + by_1$ .

But then  $d' \mid d$  so  $d$  is a greatest common divisor of  $a$  and  $b$ .

Definition 4 and Remark 2 Given  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ , not both zero, the greatest common divisor of  $a$  and  $b$  ( $\gcd(a, b)$ ) is the largest positive  $d$  such that  $d \mid a$  and  $d \mid b$ . As per our previous discussion

MORE is true: if  $d' \mid a$  and  $d' \mid b$  then not only is  $d' \leq d$  but ALSO  $d' \mid d$ . If  $a = 0, b > 0, \gcd(a, b) = b$ .

Exercise 2 a) (Submit this one) Given  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) at most one being 0 prove that the process

$$d_2 = \gcd(a_1, a_2),$$

$$d_3 = \gcd(d_2, a_3), \dots, d_n = \gcd(d_{n-1}, a_n) \text{ yields a gcd of } a_1, \dots, a_n \text{ and}$$

$$(d_n) = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i \mid x_i \in \mathbb{Z} \ i = 1, \dots, n \right\}.$$

Exercise 2 b) Prove:  $\forall a, b \ (\gcd(a, 4) = \gcd(b, 4) = 2 \Rightarrow \gcd(a+b, 4) = 4$ .

Example 6. The set of divisors of 24 and 36 is  $\{\pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3, \pm 4, \pm 6, \pm 12\}$

so  $\gcd(24, 36) = 12$ .

Another related notion is that of the least common multiple of  $a$  and  $b$ , denoted by  $\text{lcm}(a, b)$ .

We prove its existence and determine its nature in the following theorem:

Theorem 3 Given  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  the set  $I = \{m \in \mathbb{Z} \mid a \mid m \text{ and } b \mid m\}$

- the set of ALL common multiples of  $a$  and  $b$ , is an ideal and  $I \neq (0)$ . Its generator, i.e. the smallest positive number in  $I$ , say  $\bar{m}$ , yields  $I = (\bar{m})$  and is a common multiple of  $a$  and  $b$  which divides every common multiple of  $a$  and  $b$ , we write  $\bar{m} = \text{lcm}(a, b)$ .

Proof If  $a \mid m_1$ ,  $a \mid m_2$ ,  $b \mid m_1$  and  $b \mid m_2$  then  $a$  and  $b$  divide  $m_1 - m_2$ . Also if  $a, b$  both divide  $m$  and  $c \in \mathbb{Z}$  then  $a, b \mid cm$  so  $cm \in I$ . Also  $I \neq \emptyset$ . Hence  $I$  is an ideal and has a unique positive generator  $\bar{m}$ . Since  $\bar{m} \in I$  we have  $a, b \mid \bar{m}$ . Also  $\bar{m} \mid m \forall m \in I$  so  $\bar{m}$  divides every common multiple of  $a$  and  $b$ .

Question How are  $\text{gcd}(a, b)$  and  $\text{lcm}(a, b)$  related?

Theorem 4.  $\text{gcd}(a, b) \text{ lcm}(a, b) = ab, \forall a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ .

Proof Realize that, because  $\frac{a}{\text{gcd}(a, b)}$  and  $\frac{b}{\text{gcd}(a, b)}$  are both integers,

$\frac{ab}{\text{gcd}(a, b)}$  is a common multiple of  $a$  and  $b$ . Thus  $\text{lcm}(a, b) \mid \frac{ab}{\text{gcd}(a, b)}$  and so

$$\text{lcm}(a, b) \text{ gcd}(a, b) \mid ab.$$

Now  $\exists \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\text{lcm}(a, b) = \alpha a$ ,  $\text{lcm}(a, b) = \beta b$ .

Thus, with  $\text{gcd}(a, b) = ax + by$

$$\text{lcm}(a, b) \text{ gcd}(a, b) = (\beta x + \alpha y) (ab)$$

i.e.  $ab \mid \text{lcm}(a, b) \text{ gcd}(a, b)$

As both numbers are positive 4) of Proposition 1 yields the result.

Exercise 3 (Submit  $b$ ;  $d$  and  $e$  are for extra credit)

a) Given  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  where  $n \geq 2$  prove

$$\text{lcm}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \text{ exists}$$

and the procedure

$$l_2 = \text{lcm}(a_1, a_2), l_3 = \text{lcm}(l_2, a_3), \dots, l_n = \text{lcm}(l_{n-1}, a_n)$$

terminates in  $\text{lcm}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$

Is the following true:

$$\text{lcm}(a_1, \dots, a_n) \cdot \text{gcd}(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n a_i ?$$

b) Prove:  $\forall a, b, m \text{ (gcd}(a, m) = \text{gcd}(b, m) = 1 \Rightarrow \text{gcd}(ab, m) = 1)$

c) Prove: Given  $g \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  and  $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\exists x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$x+y = s \text{ and } \text{gcd}(x, y) = g \text{ if and only if } g|s$$

d) Prove:  $\nexists a, b, n > 1$  such that  $a^n - b^n | a^n + b^n$

e) Prove:  $\forall a, b > 2 \text{ (} 2^b - 1 \nmid 2^a + 1 \text{)}$

f) Prove:  $\forall a, b, c \left( a | bc \text{ if and only if } \frac{a}{\text{gcd}(a, b)} | c \right)$

Example 7. Recall  $\text{gcd}(24, 36) = 12$ . So  $\text{lcm}(24, 36) = \frac{(24)(36)}{12} = 72$

Corollary 4.1 and Definition 4. Integers  $a, b$  are relatively prime (or coprime) if  $\text{gcd}(a, b) = 1$ .

In this event  $\text{lcm}(a, b) = ab$ .

Theorem 5. If  $a | bc$  and  $a$  and  $b$  are relatively prime then  $a | c$ .

Proof Since  $\text{gcd}(a, b) = 1$  the ideal

$$I = \{ax + by \mid x, y \in \mathbb{Z}\} = (1)$$

Thus  $\exists x, y$  such that  $1 = ax + by$

Hence

$$c = acx + bcy$$

Now  $a | acx$  and  $a | bcy$  implies by 2 of Proposition 1. that  $a | c$

Exercise 4. (Submit this one) Suppose  $\text{gcd}(a_i, b) = 1 \quad i = 1, \dots, k$ .

Prove  $\text{gcd}\left(\prod_{i=1}^k a_i, b\right) = 1$ .

Corollary 5.1 and Definition 5. The integer  $p \geq 2$  is prime if and only if the only positive divisors of  $p$  are 1 and  $p$ . Otherwise it is composite.

If  $p$  is prime and  $p \mid ab$  then  $p \mid a$  or  $p \mid b$ .

Proof Suppose  $p \nmid a$ . Then  $\gcd(p, a) = 1$  and so  $p \mid b$  by the previous proposition.

Exercise 5 (Submit a)) a) Suppose  $\gcd(a_i, a_j) = 1$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq k$  and  $i \neq j$  and

$$a_i \mid b \quad \forall i. \text{ Prove } \prod_{i=1}^k a_i \mid b.$$

b) Suppose  $a, b > 0$  and  $d = \gcd(a, b)$ . Prove: if  $k \mid d$  then  $\gcd\left(\frac{a}{k}, \frac{b}{k}\right) = \frac{d}{k}$ .

Question How is  $\gcd(a, b)$  calculated algorithmically? We develop two algorithms for this purpose. The essence of the first one, the Euclidean algorithm, is given in the following result:

Proposition 2 Let  $a \geq b \geq 0$  not both 0 and write  $a = qb + r$ , where  $a > 0$  and  $r = a$  if  $b = 0$ , and  $0 \leq r \leq b-1$  if  $b > 0$ . Then  $\gcd(a, b) = \gcd(b, r)$ . If  $r = 0$  then  $\gcd(a, b) = b$ .

Remark 3. Since the remainder strictly decreases, repeated application of the division algorithm, i.e. divide  $b$  by  $r$  etc., produces the gcd after at most  $b$  divisions.

Proof Suppose  $b > 0$ ; then the Division algorithm yields

$$a = bq + r$$

where  $0 \leq r < b$ . Since  $r = a - bq$

any common divisor of  $a$  and  $b$  divides  $r$  and so is a common divisor of  $b$  and  $r$ .

Of course any common divisor of  $b$  and  $r$  also divides  $a$  and therefore is a common divisor of  $a$  and  $b$ .

Of course, if  $r = 0$  then  $a = bq$  so  $b = \gcd(a, b)$ .

### Euclidean Algorithm

Input: given integers  $a \geq b \geq 0$ , where if  $b = 0$  then  $a > 0$ .

Output:  $\gcd(a, b)$

1. While  $b \neq 0$  do
  - 1.1 Set  $a \leftarrow b, b \leftarrow a \bmod b$
2. Return  $a$

Example 8. Compute  $\gcd(4864, 3458)$

$$\text{Step 1: } 4864 = (1)(3458) + 1406$$

$$\text{Set } a = 3458, b = 1406$$

$$\text{Step 2: } 3458 = (2)(1406) + 646$$

$$\text{Set } a = 1406, b = 646$$

$$\text{Step 3: } 1406 = (2)(646) + 114$$

$$\text{Set } a = 646, b = 114$$

$$\text{Step 4: } 646 = (5)(114) + 76$$

$$\text{Set } a = 114, b = 76$$

$$\text{Step 5: } 114 = (1)(76) + 38$$

$$\text{Set } a = 76, b = 38$$

$$\text{Step 6: } 76 = (2)(38) + 0$$

$$\text{Set } a = 38, b = 0$$

$$\text{Step 7: Since } b = 0 \text{ return } \gcd(a, b) = 38$$

Our second algorithm, the so-called Extended Euclidean algorithm, not only provides  $\gcd(a, b)$  but also an expression

$$\gcd(a, b) = ax + by,$$

the existence of which is guaranteed by the previously obtained result

$$I = \{aw+bz \mid w, z \in \mathbb{Z}\} = (\gcd(a, b)).$$

Since the Euclidean algorithm computes  $\gcd(a, b)$  by repeated use of the Division Algorithm and culminates by declaring the LAST divisor to be the  $\gcd$ , it is simply a matter of updating the expression for the remainder in terms of  $a$  and  $b$  at each application of the Division Algorithm.

More specifically, suppose  $r_1$ ,  $r_2$  and  $r_3$  are three successive remainders so that

$$r_1 = q_3 r_2 + r_3$$

and suppose  $r_1 = x_1 a + y_1 b$  and  $r_2 = x_2 a + y_2 b$ . Then

$$r_3 = r_1 - q_3 r_2 = (x_1 - q_3 x_2) a + (y_1 - q_3 y_2) b$$

Hence the updating equations are given by

$$x_3 = x_1 - q_3 x_2 \text{ and } y_3 = y_1 - q_3 y_2$$

BUT HOW DO WE START? We require initial conditions such that

$$\text{the FIRST } q_3 = \left\lfloor \frac{a}{b} \right\rfloor, r_3 = a - \left\lfloor \frac{a}{b} \right\rfloor b, x_3 = 1 \text{ and } y_3 = -\left\lfloor \frac{a}{b} \right\rfloor.$$

Thus we want

$$1 = x_1 - \left\lfloor \frac{a}{b} \right\rfloor x_2, \quad -\left\lfloor \frac{a}{b} \right\rfloor = y_1 - \left\lfloor \frac{a}{b} \right\rfloor y_2$$

Hence we begin with  $x_1 = 1, x_2 = 0, y_1 = 0, y_2 = 1$ .

### Extended Euclidean Algorithm

Input: Given integers  $a \geq b \geq 0$  or  $a > b = 0$

Output:  $d = \gcd(a, b)$  and  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $ax + by = d$ .

1. If  $b = 0$  then set  $d \leftarrow a, x \leftarrow 1, y \leftarrow 0$  and return  $(d, x, y)$
2. Set  $x_1 \leftarrow 1, x_2 \leftarrow 0, y_1 \leftarrow 0$  and  $y_2 \leftarrow 1$ .
3. While  $b > 0$  do the following:

$$3.1 \quad q_3 \leftarrow \left\lfloor \frac{a}{b} \right\rfloor, r \leftarrow a - q_3 b, x_3 \leftarrow x_1 - q_3 x_2 \text{ and } y_3 \leftarrow y_1 - q_3 y_2$$

$$3.2 \quad a \leftarrow b, b \leftarrow r, x_1 \leftarrow x_2, y_1 \leftarrow y_2, x_2 \leftarrow x_3, y_2 \leftarrow y_3$$

4. Set  $d \leftarrow a, x \leftarrow x_1, y \leftarrow y_1$  and return  $(d, x, y)$



Example 9. Let  $a = 362$  and  $b = 102$ . Then applying the algorithm we get

STEPS	$q_3$	$r$	$x_3$	$y_3$	$a$	$b$	$x_2$	$x_1$	$y_2$	$y_1$
2					362	102	0	1	1	0
	3.1				3.2					
1 <sup>st</sup> - 3	3	56	1	-3	102	56	1	0	-3	1
2 <sup>nd</sup> - 3	1	46	-1	4	56	46	-1	1	4	-3
3 <sup>rd</sup> - 3	1	10	2	-7	46	10	2	-1	-7	4
4 <sup>th</sup> - 3	4	6	-9	32	10	6	-9	2	32	-7
5 <sup>th</sup> - 3	1	4	11	-39	6	4	11	-9	-39	32
6 <sup>th</sup> - 3	1	2	-20	71	4	2	-20	11	71	-39
7 <sup>th</sup> - 3	2	0	51	-181	2	0	51	-20	-181	71

4.  $d = 2, x = -20, y = 71$

Exercise 6. (Submit b; a is for extra credit) a) Given the following bit complexities ( $a, b \geq 0$ )

Operation	Bit complexity
Addition $a + b$	$O(\lg a + \lg b) = O(\lg n)$
Subtraction $a - b$	$O(\lg a + \lg b) = O(\lg n)$
Multiplication $a, b$	$O((\lg a)(\lg b)) = O((\lg n)^2)$
Division $a = qb + r$	$O((\lg a)(\lg b)) = O((\lg n)^2)$

where  $n = \max(a, b)$

Show that both algorithms have running time  $O((\lg n)^2)$  bit operations.

b) Find  $\gcd(1819, 3587)$  and  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$1819x + 3587y = \gcd(1819, 3587)$$

c) Find values of  $x, y$ , and  $z$  such that

$$6x + 10y + 15z = 1$$

d) i) Find  $\text{lcm}(482, 1687)$

ii) Find  $\text{lcm}(60, 61)$

In the following discussion, it is revealed that the prime numbers constitute the “multiplicative” building blocks of  $\mathbb{Z}^+ - \{1\}$ .

Theorem 6.  $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  (if  $n \geq 2$  then  $n$  is a prime number or a product of prime numbers)

Proof (by induction on  $n$ .)

base case:  $n = 2$ . But 2 is prime.

Induction hypothesis: Given  $2 \leq k \leq n$ ,  $k$  is prime or a product of primes.

Induction step: Consider  $n + 1$  and suppose it is NOT prime. Then

$\exists a \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that  $a \neq 1$  and  $a \neq n + 1$  and  $a \mid n + 1$ . Hence  $\exists b \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that  $n + 1 = a b$ . Of course  $b \neq 1$  and  $b \neq n + 1$  (or else  $a = n + 1$  or  $a = 1$  respectively). Thus  $2 \leq a, b \leq n$ , so by the induction hypothesis, both  $a$  and  $b$  are either prime numbers or a product of prime numbers. Hence  $n + 1 = a b$  is product of primes numbers.

Corollary 6.1  $\forall n \geq 2 \exists$  primes numbers  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k$  and  $m_1, \dots, m_k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$

such that  $n = p_1^{m_1} p_2^{m_2} \dots p_k^{m_k}$

Proof Since  $n$  is prime or a product of primes let  $\{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k\}$  be the set of prime numbers in the "product" ( $k = 1$  when  $n$  is prime). Next let  $m_i$  = the number of times  $p_i$  appears in the product. Then, by commutativity and associativity, the result follows.

Next we establish “uniqueness” of this representation.

Theorem 7. The set  $\{p_1, \dots, p_k\}$  and the corresponding  $m_i$ 's are unique.

Proof Suppose not; i.e.  $\exists \{q_1, \dots, q_\ell\}$  - prime numbers and corresponding non-negative integers  $n_1, \dots, n_\ell$  such that either  $\{q_1, \dots, q_\ell\} \neq \{p_1, \dots, p_k\}$  or  $\{q_1, \dots, q_\ell\} = \{p_1, \dots, p_k\}$ , say  $\ell = k$  and  $q_i = p_i$   $i = 1, \dots, k$ , and  $\exists i$  such that  $m_i \neq n_i$ .

Claim If  $q \notin \{p_1, \dots, p_k\}$  then  $q \nmid p_1^{m_1} \dots p_k^{m_k}$  (where  $q$  is prime).

Proof (induction on  $m_1 + \dots + m_k$ )

Suppose  $m_1 + \dots + m_k = 1$  so that  $m_1 = 1, k = 1$

Now  $q \neq p_1$  implies  $q \nmid p_1$ .

Induction hypothesis If  $m_1 + \dots + m_k = t > 1$  then  $q \nmid p_1^{m_1} \dots p_k^{m_k}$

Induction step Suppose  $m_1 + \dots + m_k = t + 1 \geq 2$ . Then assume

$$q \mid p_1^{m_1} \dots p_k^{m_k}. \text{ But then } q \mid (p_1)(p_1^{m_1-1} \dots p_k^{m_k})$$

Since  $\gcd(q, p_1) = 1$  it follows that  $q \mid p_1^{m_1-1} \dots p_k^{m_k}$

But

$$m_1 - 1 + m_2 + \dots + m_k = t$$

so this is a contradiction.

Hence  $\ell = k$  and we may assume  $q_i = p_i$   $i = 1, \dots, k$ . Now

$$p_1^{m_1} p_2^{m_2} \dots p_k^{m_k} = p_1^{n_1} p_2^{n_2} \dots p_k^{n_k}.$$

Suppose  $i$  is the smallest index such that  $m_i \neq n_i$  and assume without loss of generality

that  $m_i > n_i$ . If  $i > 1$  then it follows by cancellation  $p_i^{m_i} \dots p_k^{m_k} = p_i^{n_i} \dots p_k^{n_k}$ .

If  $i = 1$  this is still true. Again by cancellation we get

$$p_i^{m_i-n_i} p_{i+1}^{m_{i+1}} \dots p_k^{m_k} = p_{i+1}^{n_{i+1}} \dots p_k^{n_k} \text{ if } i < k$$

or

$$p^{m_i-n_i} = 1 \text{ if } i = k$$

In the first case  $p_i \mid p_{i+1}^{n_{i+1}} \dots p_k^{n_k}$  - a contradiction. The second yields a more absurd contradiction

Example 10.  $180 = 2^2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^1$

Remark 4. This factorization result is called the fundamental theorem of arithmetic.

Corollary 7.1 (of the claim) If  $a > 0$ ,  $p$  is prime,  $t > 0$  and  $p^t \mid a$  then  $p$  appears in the factorization of  $a$  to a power  $m$  such that  $t \leq m$ .

Proof Exercise 7

Corollary 7.2 If  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  and we write

$$a = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_k^{e_k}, \quad \text{each } e_i \geq 0$$

$$b = p_1^{f_1} p_2^{f_2} \cdots p_k^{f_k}, \quad \text{each } f_i \geq 0$$

then

$$\gcd(a, b) = p_1^{\min(e_1, f_1)} p_2^{\min(e_2, f_2)} \cdots p_k^{\min(e_k, f_k)}$$

$$\text{and } \text{lcm}(a, b) = p_1^{\max(e_1, f_1)} p_2^{\max(e_2, f_2)} \cdots p_k^{\max(e_k, f_k)}$$

Proof By the previous corollary, if  $\gcd(a, b) = q_1^{z_1} \cdots q_\ell^{z_\ell}$ ,  $z_i > 0$

then each  $q_i$  is a prime division of  $a$  and of  $b$ . Furthermore with  $\{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k\}$  equal to the union of the prime divisors of  $a$  and  $b$ , if  $p_j = q_i$  then  $z_i \leq e_j, f_j$ , i.e

$$z_i \leq \min(e_j, f_j). \text{ Hence } \gcd(a, b) = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots p_k^{\alpha_k}$$

where  $\alpha_i \leq \min(e_i, f_i)$

$$\text{But } p_1^{\min(e_1, f_1)} p_2^{\min(e_2, f_2)} \cdots p_k^{\min(e_k, f_k)} \mid a, b$$

(WHY?)

$$\text{so } \gcd(a, b) = p_1^{\min(e_1, f_1)} \cdots p_k^{\min(e_k, f_k)}$$

Next set

$$\text{lcm}(a, b) = p_1^{\beta_1} p_2^{\beta_2} \cdots p_k^{\beta_k} c, \quad \beta_i > 0, c \geq 1$$

since, by the previous corollary each  $p_i$   $i = 1, \dots, k$  must be in the factorization for  $\text{lcm}(a, b)$ . Again by the previous corollary each  $\beta_i \geq e_i, f_i$  (so  $\beta_i \geq \max(e_i, f_i)$ )

Furthermore,

$$a, b \mid p_1^{\max(e_1, f_1)} p_2^{\max(e_2, f_2)} \cdots p_k^{\max(e_k, f_k)}$$

(WHY?)

so

$$\text{lcm}(a, b) = \prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{\max(e_i, f_i)}$$

Remark 5. Use of this result trivializes Exercises 4 and 5.

Example 11. If  $a = 24 = 2^3 \cdot 3^1$  and  $b = 36 = 2^2 \cdot 3^2$  then

$$\gcd(24, 36) = 2^2 \cdot 3^1 = 12$$

and

$$\text{lcm}(24, 36) = 2^3 \cdot 3^2 = 72$$

Next we study a function defined on  $\mathbb{Z}^+$  which plays an important role in the study of "residue systems" - a topic of concern in the study of cryptography.

Definition (Euler phi function; Euler totient function)

$$\varphi(n) \triangleq \left| \{m \in [n] \mid \gcd(m, n) = 1\} \right|, n \geq 1.$$

We derive some of the properties of  $\varphi(n)$ , the first of which is trivial:

$$(p1) \forall \text{ primes } p \ (\varphi(p) = p - 1)$$

The next one is a generalization of p1.

$$(p2) \forall \text{ primes } p \text{ and } e \geq 1 \ (\varphi(p^e) = p^e (1 - \frac{1}{p}))$$

Proof Observe that for  $k \in [p^e]$

$$\gcd(k, p^e) > 1 \Leftrightarrow k = pm \text{ such that } 1 \leq m \leq p^{e-1}$$

Thus

$$\left| \{k \in [p^e] \mid \gcd(k, p^e) > 1\} \right| = p^{e-1}$$

so

$$\varphi(p^e) = p^e - p^{e-1} = p^e (1 - \frac{1}{p}).$$

Next we prove that  $\varphi$  is "multiplicative".

$$(p3) \forall m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \ (\gcd(m, n) = 1 \Rightarrow \varphi(mn) = \varphi(m) \varphi(n))$$

Proof Let  $E(t) = \{s \in [t] \mid \gcd(s, t) = 1\}$ . We obtain the result by proving that  $\exists$  a bijection between  $E(nm)$  and  $E(n) \times E(m)$ . Consider  $x \in E(nm)$ .

Then there exists unique

$$r_n, r_m \text{ such that } 1 \leq r_n \leq n, \quad 1 \leq r_m \leq m$$

and

$$x = q_n n + r_n = q_m m + r_m.$$

(Proof Exercise 8 - use the Division Algorithm)

Since  $\gcd(x, nm) = 1$ , we get  $\gcd(x, n) = \gcd(x, m) = 1$ . Thus

$$r_n \in E(n), r_m \in E(m).$$

Consider the following mapping:

$$\begin{aligned} f: E(nm) &\rightarrow E(n) \times E(m) \\ x &\rightarrow f(x) \triangleq (r_n, r_m) \end{aligned}$$

The following claim establishes bijectivity:

Claim  $\forall (s, t) \in E(n) \times E(m) \exists$  a unique  $x \in E(nm)$

such that

$$x = q_n n + s = q_m m + t$$

Proof First we prove the existence of  $x$ . Since  $\gcd(n, m) = 1 \exists \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$\alpha n + \beta m = 1$$

Thus

$$[\alpha(t-s)] n + [\beta(t-s)] m = t - s$$

so  $\exists q_1, q_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $q_1 n + q_2 m = t - s$

or

$$q_1 n + s = (-q_2) m + t$$

By the division algorithm  $\exists \eta, r_1$  such that  $q_1 = \eta m + r_1$  where

$$0 \leq r_1 \leq m - 1$$

Hence

$$x \triangleq r_1 n + s = (q_1 - \eta m) n + s = (q_2 - \eta n) m + t$$

Clearly

$$1 \leq x \leq (m-1)n + n = mn$$

Also  $\gcd(n, s) = 1$  forces  $\gcd(x, n) = 1$ . Likewise

$\gcd(x, m) = 1$ . Hence  $\gcd(x, nm) = 1$  as well and  $x \in E(nm)$ .

As for uniqueness suppose  $\exists x, x' \in E(nm)$  such that

$$x = q_n n + s = q_m m + t$$

and

$$x' = q'_n n + s = q'_m m + t$$

Then assuming  $x > x'$ , we get

$$0 < (q_n - q'_n) n = (q_m - q'_m) m$$

But then  $m \mid (q_n - q'_n) n$ ; and as  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$

$$m \mid q_n - q'_n$$

$$\text{i.e. } m n \mid (q_n - q'_n) n \text{ and } 0 < (q_n - q'_n) n = x - x' < nm$$

This contradiction forces  $x = x'$ .

Remark 6 The previous claim is a special case of the Chinese Remainder theorem; a theorem we shall prove in short order.

Finally we obtain the next property by induction

$$(p4) \forall n \geq z \text{ (if } n = p_1^{e_1} \cdots p_k^{e_k} \text{ then } \varphi(n) = n \prod_{i=1}^k (1 - \frac{1}{p_i^{e_i}}))$$

The conclusion follows from the induction hypothesis and  $p_2$ .

Exercise 9. (Submit a) a) Prove (p4) directly using inclusion-exclusion

Hint: With  $n = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_k^{e_k}$  set

$$A_i = \{m \in [n] \mid p_i \mid m\}$$

Then

$$E(n) = \bigcap_{i=1}^k A_i^c$$

b) Using (p4) obtain  $p_2$  and  $p_3$

c) Find  $\varphi(n)$  for  $n \in [12]$