

Solutions of Exercises – Module II

Exercise 1. Suppose $a b = b a = c a = a c = e$

Then $b = e b = (c a) b = c (a b) = c e = c$

Exercise 2. First realize that if $a, b \in T$ then

$$(a b) (b^{-1} a^{-1}) = a (b b^{-1}) a^{-1} = a e a^{-1} = e$$

and $(b^{-1} a^{-1}) (a b) = b^{-1} (a^{-1} a) b = b^{-1} e b = e$

so $a b \in T$ and T is closed with respect to the operation. Associativity holds in T since it holds in S . Of course $e e = e$ implies that $e \in T$ and

$$a^{-1} a = a a^{-1} = e$$

implies that $(a^{-1})^{-1} = a$. Thus $a \in T$ implies that $a^{-1} \in T$.

Exercise 4. Since $|R| \geq 2$, $0 \neq 1$. By Exercise 3

$$a 0 = 0 \neq 1$$

$\forall a \in R$. Then $0 \notin U$ and $U \subseteq R - \{0\}$. Note, however, that we cannot use

Exercise 2, since $R - \{0\}$ may NOT be closed with respect to the operation, i.e. there may exist $a, b \in R - \{0\}$ such that $ab = 0$. NEVERTHELESS, the steps of Exercise 2 may be repeated verbatim to obtain U is a group.

Exercise 5 c) $n | b - c \Rightarrow b = q n + c$ so $d | b, n \Leftrightarrow d | c, n$

$$d) p | a^2 - b^2 = (a - b)(a + b) \Rightarrow p | a - b \text{ or } p | a + b$$

$$e) \text{ Suppose } f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^m a_i x^i \text{ and } \sum_{i=0}^m a_i a^i \equiv k \pmod{n}$$

Consider $\sum_{i=0}^m a_i (a + tn)^i$. If $i \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} (a + tn)^i &= a^i + \sum_{j=1}^i \binom{i}{j} t^j n^j a^{i-j} \\ &\equiv a^i \pmod{n} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Thus } \sum_{i=0}^m a_i (a + t n)^i &= a_0 + \sum_{i=1}^m a_i (a + t n)^i \\
&\equiv a_0 \pmod{n} + \sum_{i=1}^m [a_i a^i \pmod{n}] \\
&= f(a) \pmod{n} \\
&= k \pmod{n}
\end{aligned}$$

Exercise 6a) $Z_{30} = \{1, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 29\}$

Exercise 7) Observe that $n = 420$, $\left(\frac{n}{5}\right)^{-1} \pmod{5} = 4$, $\left(\frac{n}{7}\right)^{-1} \pmod{7} = 2$

and $\left(\frac{n}{12}\right)^{-1} \pmod{12} = 11$. Therefore

$$\hat{x} = 3(84)(4) + 3(60)(2) + 5(35)(11) = 3293$$

so that $x = 353$.

Exercise 9) We assume that if $\text{ord } a_1, \text{ord } a_2, \dots, \text{ord } a_{k-1}$ are pairwise relatively prime and a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{k-1} commute in pairs then

$$\text{ord}(a_1 a_2 \dots a_{k-1}) = \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \text{ord } a_i$$

Next consider $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{k-1}, a_k$ which commute in pairs and have orders which are pairwise relatively prime.

Suppose

$$d = \gcd(\text{ord}(\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} a_i), \text{ord } a_k).$$

Then, since

$$\text{ord}(\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} a_i) = \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \text{ord}(a_i)$$

and $\text{ord}(a_1), \dots, \text{ord}(a_k)$ are pairwise relatively prime

$\exists i$ such that $d \mid \text{ord } a_i$. But then $d \mid \text{ord } a_i, \text{ord } a_k \Rightarrow d = 1$

Also

$$\begin{aligned}
(a_1 a_2 \dots a_{k-1}) a_k &= a_1 \dots a_{k-2} a_k a_{k-1} \\
&= \dots = a_k (a_1 \dots a_{k-1})
\end{aligned}$$

by induction so we may conclude from the $k = 2$ case that

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{ord}(a_1 \dots a_{k-1} a_k) &= \text{ord}(a_1 \dots a_{k-1}) \text{ord}(a_k) \\
&= (\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \text{ord}(a_i))
\end{aligned}$$

Solutions of Submitted Exercises from Module II
(Exercise 3; 5a and b)

Exercise 3 First

$$a \cdot 0 = a(0 + 0) = a \cdot 0 + a \cdot 0$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= a \cdot 0 + (- (a \cdot 0)) = (a \cdot 0 + a \cdot 0) + (- (a \cdot 0)) \\ &= a \cdot 0 + (a \cdot 0 + (- (a \cdot 0))) \\ &= a \cdot 0 + 0 \\ &= a \cdot 0 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly $0 \cdot a = 0$

Next suppose $|R| \geq 2$ so $\exists a \in R$ such that $a \neq 0$. If

$$1 = 0 \text{ then } a = a \cdot 1 = a \cdot 0 = 0$$

- a contradiction

Exercise 5 a) i) $a \equiv b \pmod{n} \Leftrightarrow n \mid a - b \Leftrightarrow n \mid b - a$

$$\Leftrightarrow b \equiv a \pmod{n}. \text{ Also}$$

$$n \mid a - b \Leftrightarrow n \mid (a - b) - 0 \Leftrightarrow a - b \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$$

$$\text{ii) } n \mid a - b \text{ and } n \mid b - c \Rightarrow n \mid a - c = (a - b) + (b - c)$$

$$\text{iii) } a + c - (b + d) = (a - b) + (c - d)$$

$$\text{so } n \mid a - b \text{ and } n \mid c - d \Rightarrow n \mid [a + c - (b + d)]$$

$$a \cdot c - d \cdot b = (a - b) \cdot c + b \cdot (c - d)$$

$$\Rightarrow n \mid (ac - bd)$$

$$\text{iv) } n \mid a - b \text{ and } d \mid n \Rightarrow d \mid a - b$$

$$\text{v) } n \mid a - b \Rightarrow n \mid ac - bc = (a - b)c$$

Exercise 5 b) i) Let $n = \alpha \gcd(a, n)$

Suppose $x \equiv y \pmod{\alpha}$ i.e. $\alpha \mid x - y$

But $\gcd(a, n) \mid a$ so

$$n = \alpha \gcd(a, n) \mid ax - ay = a(x - y)$$

Conversely if $n = \alpha \gcd(a, n)$ and $a = \beta \gcd(a, n)$

then $\gcd(\alpha, \beta) = 1$. Now $\exists \delta \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$\beta \gcd(a, n) (x - y) = a, (x - y) = \delta \alpha \gcd(a, n). \text{ Hence } \beta(x - y) = \alpha \delta$$

$$\text{so } \alpha \mid \beta(x - y) \text{ and therefore } \alpha \mid x - y \text{ i.e. } x \equiv y \pmod{\alpha}$$

ii) follows immediately from i)

iii) If $n_i \mid x - y$ $i = 1, \dots, k$ then $x - y$ is a common multiple of each n_i .

Hence $x - y$ is a multiple of $\ell\text{cm}(n_1, \dots, n_k)$

Conversely $\ell\text{cm}(n_1, \dots, n_k) \mid x - y$

and $n_i \mid \ell\text{cm}(n_1, \dots, n_k) \Rightarrow n_i \mid x - y$ $i = 1, \dots, k$

iv) follows from iii) and $\ell\text{cm}(n_1, \dots, n_k) = \prod_{i=1}^k n_i$ when the n_i are pairwise relatively prime.

Exercise 5 a) Base case: $k = 2$. Suppose $a_1 | b, a_2 | b$ and $\gcd(a_1, a_2) = 1$.

Then $\text{lcm}(a_1, a_2) = a_1 a_2$ so $a_1 a_2 | b$ because the least common multiple must divide every other common multiple.

Induction hypothesis: If $\gcd(a_i, a_j) = 1$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq k$

and $a_i | b$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$ then $\prod_{i=1}^k a_i | b$.

Induction step: Suppose $\gcd(a_i, a_j) = 1$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq k + 1$

and $a_i | b$ for $i = 1, \dots, k, k + 1$. Then $\prod_{i=1}^k a_i | b$ by the hypothesis

But $\gcd(\prod_{i=1}^k a_i, a_{k+1}) = 1$ by Exercise 4 so $\prod_{i=1}^{k+1} a_i | b$ by the result for $k = 2$.

Exercise 6 b) Here we apply the extended Euclidean algorithm:

STEPS	q_3	r	x_3	y_3	a	b	x_2	x_1	y_2	y_1
2					3587	1819	0	1	1	0
1st 3	1	1768	1	-1	1819	1768	1	0	-1	1
2nd 3	1	51	-1	2	1768	51	-1	1	2	-1
3rd 3	34	34	35	-69	51	34	35	-1	-69	2
4th 3	1	17	-36	71	34	17	-36	35	71	-69
5th 3	2	0			17	0		-36		71

so $d = 17, x = -36, y = 71$

Check: $\frac{3587}{17} = 211, \frac{1819}{17} = 1$

$$(3587)(-36) + (1819)(71) = -129132 + 129149 = 17$$

AN APPLICATION OF THE CHINESE REMAINDER THEOREM

A person's age can be determined to within a congruence by the following procedure:

Determine the remainders r_3, r_4 and r_5 obtained by dividing the age x by 3, 4 and 5 respectively

Then

$$x \equiv$$

Proof Consider

$$x \equiv r_3 \pmod{3}$$

$$x \equiv r_4 \pmod{4}$$

$$x \equiv r_5 \pmod{5}$$

Then, by the Chinese Remainder Theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} x &\equiv r_3 (20)(20^{-1} \pmod{3}) + r_4 (15)(15^{-1} \pmod{4}) \\ &\quad + r_5 (12)(12^{-1} \pmod{5}) \\ &= 40r_3 + 45r_4 + 36r_5 \end{aligned}$$

Example Age = 40 so $r_3 = 1, r_4 = 0, r_5 = 0$

and $x = 40 \equiv 40$