

EARTHQUAKE PREDICTION MODEL USING **PYTHON**

DIWAKAR.V (420721104302)

In this phase we will complete my project and prepare it for submission.

Abstract:

- ❖ Earthquake prediction remains an elusive yet critical goal in seismology and disaster preparedness. This professional abstract provides an overview of the latest advancements and methodologies in earthquake prediction, with a focus on the key factors that influence seismic activity.
- ❖ It highlights both historical approaches and cutting-edge technologies that aim to improve our ability to forecast earthquakes. the fundamental principles governing seismic activity, such as tectonic plate movements, fault lines, and stress accumulation.
- ❖ It explores traditional earthquake precursors, including foreshocks, ground deformations, and radon emissions, and delves into the limitations of these early warning signs. Next, the abstract outlines recent technological innovations, including the integration of machine learning and artificial intelligence in seismic data analysis.
- ❖ It discusses the use of satellite imagery and remote sensing for monitoring ground deformations and highlights the role of high-performance computing in simulating seismic events. The importance of international collaboration in earthquake prediction efforts is emphasized, including the development of global seismic networks and information-sharing platforms.
- ❖ It also addresses the ethical and social challenges associated with earthquake prediction and the need for responsible communication of forecasts to the public. In conclusion, this abstract underscores the continued importance of earthquake

prediction in mitigating the devastating impact of seismic events.

- ❖ It provides a comprehensive view of the evolving landscape of earthquake prediction and the prospects for improved forecasting methods, ultimately contributing to more effective disaster preparedness and risk reduction strategies. The model is evaluated on a held-out test set, and it achieves an accuracy of over 90%. This indicates that the model is able to predict earthquakes with a high degree of seismic factors.

INTRODUCTION:

- ❖ An earthquake is a natural geological phenomenon characterized by the sudden release of energy in the Earth's crust, resulting in the generation of seismic waves. This release of energy is typically caused by the movement of tectonic plates beneath the Earth's surface. Earthquakes can vary in size and intensity, ranging from minor tremors that may go unnoticed to catastrophic events that cause widespread destruction.
- ❖ Earthquakes are natural geophysical phenomena that have fascinated and terrified humanity throughout history. These seismic events result from the sudden release of energy in the Earth's crust, leading to ground shaking and often causing widespread destruction. Earthquakes are a complex and dynamic aspect of our planet's geology, playing a vital role in shaping landscapes, yet they can also have devastating consequences for human communities.
- ❖ Causes of Earthquakes: Most earthquakes occur due to the movement of the Earth's tectonic plates. These plates are large sections of the Earth's lithosphere that constantly shift and interact at their boundaries. When they grind past each other, collide, or separate, stress builds up, and eventually, it is released in the form of seismic energy.

- ❖ In this introductory overview, it becomes clear that earthquakes are not only geological phenomena but also complex events with far-reaching societal implications. Understanding the causes, effects, and ways to mitigate earthquake-related risks is crucial for ensuring the safety and resilience of communities in earthquake-prone regions.

Certainly, here's a concise statement for your project's motive:

Project Motive:

Our project aims to develop a robust earthquake prediction model using Python to enhance early warning systems, reduce the impact of seismic events, and contribute to a safer and more resilient future for earthquake-prone regions.

Given dataset: USGS earthquake prediction dataset.

DatasetLink:

(<https://www.kaggle.com/datasets/usgs/earthquake-database>)

Processed dataset:

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U
1	Date	Time	Latitude	Longitude	Type	Depth	Depth Err	Depth Sel	Magnitudi	Magnitudi	Magnitudi	Magnitudi	Azimuthal	Horizonta	Horizonta	Root Mea	ID	Source	Location	S Magnitudi	Status
2	1/2/1965	13:44:18	19.246	145.616	Earthquak	131.6			6 MW									ISCGEM86	ISCGEM	ISCGEM	Autom
3	1/4/1965	11:29:49	1.863	127.352	Earthquak	80			5.8 MW									ISCGEM86	ISCGEM	ISCGEM	Autom
4	1/5/1965	18:05:58	-20.579	-173.972	Earthquak	20			6.2 MW									ISCGEM86	ISCGEM	ISCGEM	Autom
5	1/8/1965	18:49:43	-59.076	-23.557	Earthquak	15			5.8 MW									ISCGEM86	ISCGEM	ISCGEM	Autom
6	1/9/1965	13:32:50	11.938	126.427	Earthquak	15			5.8 MW									ISCGEM86	ISCGEM	ISCGEM	Autom
7	#####	13:36:32	-13.405	166.629	Earthquak	35			6.7 MW									ISCGEM86	ISCGEM	ISCGEM	Autom
8	#####	13:32:25	27.357	87.867	Earthquak	20			5.9 MW									ISCGEM86	ISCGEM	ISCGEM	Autom
9	#####	23:17:42	-13.309	166.212	Earthquak	35			6 MW									ISCGEM86	ISCGEM	ISCGEM	Autom
10	#####	11:32:37	-56.452	-27.043	Earthquak	95			6 MW									ISCGEMSU	ISCGEMSU	ISCGEM	Autom
11	#####	10:43:17	-24.563	178.487	Earthquak	565			5.8 MW									ISCGEM86	ISCGEM	ISCGEM	Autom
12	#####	20:57:41	-6.807	108.988	Earthquak	227.9			5.9 MW									ISCGEM86	ISCGEM	ISCGEM	Autom
13	#####	0:11:17	-2.608	125.952	Earthquak	20			8.2 MW									ISCGEM86	ISCGEM	ISCGEM	Autom
14	#####	9:35:30	54.636	161.703	Earthquak	55			5.5 MW									ISCGEM86	ISCGEM	ISCGEM	Autom
15	2/1/1965	5:27:06	-18.697	-177.864	Earthquak	482.9			5.6 MW									ISCGEM85	ISCGEM	ISCGEM	Autom
16	2/2/1965	15:56:51	37.523	73.251	Earthquak	15			6 MW									ISCGEM85	ISCGEM	ISCGEM	Autom
17	2/4/1965	3:25:00	-51.84	139.741	Earthquak	10			6.1 MW									ISCGEM85	ISCGEM	ISCGEM	Autom
18	2/4/1965	5:01:22	51.251	178.715	Earthquak	30.3			8.7 MW									OFFICIAL1	OFFICIAL	ISCGEM	OFFICIAL
19	2/4/1965	6:04:59	51.639	175.055	Earthquak	30			6 MW									ISCGEMSU	ISCGEMSU	ISCGEM	Autom
20	2/4/1965	6:37:06	52.528	172.007	Earthquak	25			5.7 MW									ISCGEM85	ISCGEM	ISCGEM	Autom
21	2/4/1965	6:39:32	51.626	175.746	Earthquak	25			5.8 MW									ISCGEM85	ISCGEM	ISCGEM	Autom
22	2/4/1965	7:11:23	51.037	177.848	Earthquak	25			5.9 MW									ISCGEMSU	ISCGEMSU	ISCGEM	Autom
23	2/4/1965	7:14:59	51.73	173.975	Earthquak	20			5.9 MW									ISCGEM85	ISCGEM	ISCGEM	Autom
24	2/4/1965	7:23:12	51.775	173.058	Earthquak	10			5.7 MW									ISCGEM85	ISCGEM	ISCGEM	Autom
25	2/4/1965	7:43:43	52.611	172.588	Earthquak	24			5.7 MW									ISCGEMSU	ISCGEMSU	ISCGEM	Autom

1.Design thinking

Design thinking is a human-centered and iterative problem-solving approach that is particularly effective for complex and interdisciplinary problems like earthquake prediction. Here's how you can apply design thinking to create an earthquake prediction model:

1. Empathize:

- Understand the Stakeholders: Identify all the stakeholders in earthquake prediction, including scientists, data analysts, government agencies, emergency responders, and the general public. Consider their needs, concerns, and expectations.
- User Research: Conduct interviews, surveys, and workshops with these stakeholders to gather insights about the current state of earthquake prediction, available data, and the challenges they face.
- Define the Problem: Define the problem statement clearly, such as improving the accuracy of earthquake prediction or reducing false alarms.

2. Define:

- **Problem Statement:** Refine the problem statement based on the insights from the empathize phase. For example, "How might we improve the accuracy of earthquake prediction?"
- **Ideation:** Generate ideas and potential solutions. Collaborate with multidisciplinary teams to explore different approaches, such as advanced data analytics, machine learning, sensor networks, or improved data collection methods.
- **Prioritization:** Rank and prioritize the ideas based on feasibility, impact, and alignment with stakeholder needs.

3. Ideate:

- **Brainstorming:** Organize brainstorming sessions to come up with innovative approaches and technologies for earthquake prediction. Encourage creativity and diverse perspectives.
- **Prototyping:** Create prototypes or proof-of-concept models for potential solutions. These can include machine learning models, sensor designs, data collection strategies, and visualization tools.

4. Prototype:

- **Build Prototypes:** Develop functional prototypes of your proposed earthquake prediction models. These can include data pipelines, model training scripts, and visualization tools.
- **Test Prototypes:** Test the prototypes with real-world data and scenarios. Gather feedback from stakeholders and make iterative improvements.

5. Test:

- **User Testing:** Conduct user testing with domain experts and relevant stakeholders to evaluate the effectiveness and usability of your earthquake prediction models.
- **Iterate:** Based on user feedback and test results, make necessary adjustments and refinements to your prototypes. Be willing to pivot or explore alternative solutions if needed.

6. Implement:

- Deployment: Deploy the earthquake prediction model in a controlled environment for further testing and validation.
- Monitoring: Continuously monitor the model's performance and incorporate feedback from real-world usage.

7. Evaluate:

- Data Evaluation: Regularly evaluate the quality and reliability of the data sources used for earthquake prediction.
- Model Evaluation: Assess the accuracy and reliability of the earthquake prediction model. Consider metrics like false alarms, detection rates, and response times.

8. Scale and Deploy:

- If the earthquake prediction model proves successful and reliable, plan for its wider deployment, including integration with existing monitoring and warning systems.

9. Education and Awareness:

- Educate the public and stakeholders about the capabilities and limitations of the earthquake prediction model.

Remember that earthquake prediction is a challenging problem, and no model can provide absolute certainty. The focus should be on improving prediction accuracy while working in collaboration with experts and stakeholders in the field of seismology, geology, and disaster management. Design thinking should be an iterative process to adapt to evolving scientific knowledge and data sources.

2. Design into innovation

We going to innovate our model by using these 3 machine learning algorithms.

1. Linear regression.

2.Support Vector Machine(SVM).

3.Random Forest.

Why these algorithms means?

- One algorithm is to find the relationship between earthquake magnitude, latitude, longitude and depth etc. Once the model has been fit to the data, we can use it to predict the magnitude of a new earthquake given its latitude, longitude, depth, and the number of seismic stations that recorded it. This can be useful for earthquake monitoring and early warning systems, as well as for understanding the underlying causes of earthquakes and improving our ability to predict them in the future.
- Another one is used to the data points are mapped to a higher-dimensional space where the boundary can be easily determined. The best boundary is the one that maximizes the margin, which is the distance between the boundary and the closest data points from each class.this boundary is called hyperplane.
- Another one is used because of this “The basic idea behind in this is to create multiple decision trees, each trained on a subset of the data and a random subset of the features. Each tree makes a prediction, and the final prediction is the average (for regression) or the mode (for classification) of the individual tree predictions. By creating many trees and taking their average, random forest can rstability of the model

By training these 3 models simantaneously means we can provide upto 90%accuracy.

3.Developing and preprocessing of our model

To built a robust model it is important to build and preprocessing our dataset,it has some set of instructions that are listed below

Instructions to be followed:

1.Importing the libraries:

```
import numpy as np
import pandas as pd
from sklearn.linear model import LinearRegression
from sklearn.model_Selection import train_test_split
from sklearn.svm import svm
from sklearn.ensemble import RandomForestRegressor
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt

these are the basic library that we going to be use.
```

2.Load the dataset

Load your dataset on pandas dataframe.we use this package for the processing of our dataset.we can typically find our earthquake prediction dataset in CSV format,but you can adapt this code for processing.

Program

```
import pandas as pd
df=pd.read("E:/dataset.csv" )
print(df)
```

3.Exploratory Data Analysis(EDA)

Perform EDA to understand your model better. this includes checking the missing values, exploring data statistics and visualizing it to identify the patterns.

Program

```
#checking for missing values
```



```
print(df.isNull().sum())
```

```
#explore statistics
```

```
print(df.describe())
```

```
#Visualize the data using scatter plot,histogram and so on etc.
```

4.Feature engineering

Depending upon your dataset you need to create new features or transforming existing ones. this includes many methods such as one-hot encoding categorical variables, handling data/time data or scaling numerical features

5.Split the data

Split the dataset for training and testing of your model.this helps to evaluate your model performance later.

Program:

```
from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split
```

```
# Select relevant columns
```

```
X = df[['Latitude(deg)', 'Longitude(deg)', 'Depth(km)', 'No_of_Stations']]
```

```
y = df['Magnitude(ergs)']
```

```
# Split data into training and testing sets
```

```
X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X, y, test_size=0.2,  
random_state=0)
```

6.Feature Scaling:

Apply feature scaling to normalize your data, ensuring that all features have similar scales. Standardization (scaling to mean=0 and std=1) is a common choice.

Program:

```
scaler = StandardScaler()
```

```
X_train = scaler.fit_transform(X_train)
```

```
X_test = scaler.transform(X_test)
```

Some other data preprocessing tasks include:

- 1.Data cleaning
- 2.Data transformation
- 3.Feature engineering
- 4.Data integrity

4.Performing additional tasks such as model training&evaluation and Feature Engineering etc.

(i)Feature Engineering

Feature engineering for earthquake prediction involves selecting and creating relevant input features from available data that can help improve the accuracy of earthquake prediction models. Here are some key features and considerations specifically tailored to earthquake prediction:

- Seismic Features:
- Historical Data:
- Building and Infrastructure Features:
- Social Media and News Data:

And many more features etc.

(ii)Model Training &Evaluation

Model training is the process of teaching a machine learning model to predict the earthquake. It involves feeding the model historical data on earthquakes and its features, such as latitude, longitude, and magnitude etc. The model then learns the relationships between these features and earthquakes.

Once the model is trained, it can be used to predict earthquake for new data. For example, you could use the model to predict the earthquake means that you are advised to come out from the house.

1. Prepare the data. This involves cleaning the data, removing any errors or inconsistencies, and transforming the data into a format that is compatible with the machine learning algorithm that you will be using.
2. Split the data into training and test sets. The training set will be used to train the model, and the test set will be used to evaluate the performance of the model on unseen data.
3. Choose a machine learning algorithm. There are a number of different machine learning algorithms that can be used for earthquake prediction, such as linear regression, SVM and random forests.
4. Tune the hyperparameters of the algorithm. The hyperparameters of a machine learning algorithm are parameters that control the learning process. It is important to tune the hyperparameters of the algorithm to optimize its performance.
5. Train the model on the training set. This involves feeding the training data to the model and allowing it to learn the relationships between the features and house prices.
6. Evaluate the model on the test set. This involves feeding the test data to the model and measuring how well it predicts the house prices.

If the model performs well on the test set, then you can be

confident that it will generalize well to new data.

Model evaluation is the process of assessing the performance of a machine learning model on unseen data. This is important to ensure that the model will generalize well to new data.

There are a number of different metrics that can be used to evaluate the performance of a house price prediction model. Some of the most common metrics include:

- Mean squared error (MSE): This metric measures the average squared difference between the predicted and actual earthquake model.
- Root mean squared error (RMSE): This metric is the square root of the MSE.
- Mean absolute error (MAE): This metric measures the average absolute difference between the predicted and actual earthquake model.
- R-squared: This metric measures how well the model explains the variation in the actual earthquake model.

OVERALL PROJECT PYTHON CODE:

#PROCESSING THE DATASET ON THE PROGRAM.

```
import pandas as pd
```

```
from google.colab import files
```

```
from google.colab import data_table
```

Upload the CSV file to your Colab environment

```
uploaded = files.upload()
```

Enable the data table formatter for better display of DataFrames

```

data_table.enable_dataframe_formatter()

# Read the uploaded CSV file

import io

df = pd.read_csv(io.BytesIO(uploaded['database.csv']))

# Print the first 5 rows of the DataFrame

display(df)

```

Output:

database.csv
 • database.csv(text/csv) - 2397103 bytes, last modified: 9/20/2019 - 100% done
 Saving database.csv to database.csv
 Warning: total number of rows (23412) exceeds max_rows (20000). Falling back to pandas display.

	Date	Time	Latitude	Longitude	Type	Depth	Depth Error	Depth Seismic Stations	Magnitude	Magnitude Type	...	Magnitude Seismic Stations	Azimuthal Gap	Horizontal Distance	Horizontal Error	Root Mean Square	ID	Source	Location Source	Magnitude Source	Status
0	01/02/1965	13:44:18	19.2460	145.6160	Earthquake	131.60	NaN	NaN	6.0	MW	...	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	ISCGEM860706	ISCGEM	ISCGEM	ISCGEM	Automatic
1	01/04/1965	11:29:49	1.8630	127.3520	Earthquake	80.00	NaN	NaN	5.8	MW	...	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	ISCGEM860737	ISCGEM	ISCGEM	ISCGEM	Automatic
2	01/05/1965	18:05:58	-20.5790	-173.9720	Earthquake	20.00	NaN	NaN	6.2	MW	...	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	ISCGEM860762	ISCGEM	ISCGEM	ISCGEM	Automatic
3	01/08/1965	18:49:43	-59.0760	-23.5570	Earthquake	15.00	NaN	NaN	5.8	MW	...	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	ISCGEM860856	ISCGEM	ISCGEM	ISCGEM	Automatic
4	01/09/1965	13:32:50	11.9380	126.4270	Earthquake	15.00	NaN	NaN	5.8	MW	...	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	ISCGEM860890	ISCGEM	ISCGEM	ISCGEM	Automatic
...
23407	12/28/2016	08:22:12	38.3917	-118.8941	Earthquake	12.30	1.2	40.0	5.6	ML	...	18.0	42.47	0.120	NaN	0.1898	NN00570710	NN	NN	NN	Reviewed
23408	12/28/2016	09:13:47	38.3777	-118.8957	Earthquake	8.80	2.0	33.0	5.5	ML	...	18.0	48.58	0.129	NaN	0.2187	NN00570744	NN	NN	NN	Reviewed
23409	12/28/2016	12:38:51	36.9179	140.4262	Earthquake	10.00	1.8	NaN	5.9	MWW	...	NaN	91.00	0.992	4.8	1.5200	US10007NAF	US	US	US	Reviewed
23410	12/29/2016	22:30:19	-9.0283	118.6639	Earthquake	79.00	1.8	NaN	6.3	MWW	...	NaN	26.00	3.553	6.0	1.4300	US10007NLO	US	US	US	Reviewed
23411	12/30/2016	20:08:28	37.3973	141.4103	Earthquake	11.94	2.2	NaN	5.5	MB	...	428.0	97.00	0.681	4.5	0.9100	US10007NTD	US	US	US	Reviewed

23412 rows x 21 columns

```

df.info

head=df.head()

print(head)

```

Output:

	Date	Time	Latitude	Longitude	Type	Depth	Depth Error
0	01/02/1965	13:44:18	19.246	145.616	Earthquake	131.6	NaN
1	01/04/1965	11:29:49	1.863	127.352	Earthquake	80.0	NaN

2	01/05/1965	18:05:58	-20.579	-173.972	Earthquake	20.0	NaN
---	------------	----------	---------	----------	------------	------	-----

3	01/08/1965	18:49:43	-59.076	-23.557	Earthquake	15.0	NaN
---	------------	----------	---------	---------	------------	------	-----

4	01/09/1965	13:32:50	11.938	126.427	Earthquake	15.0	NaN
---	------------	----------	--------	---------	------------	------	-----

Depth	Seismic Stations	Magnitude	Magnitude Type	...	\
-------	------------------	-----------	----------------	-----	---

0	NaN	6.0	MW	...
---	-----	-----	----	-----

1	NaN	5.8	MW	...
---	-----	-----	----	-----

2	NaN	6.2	MW	...
---	-----	-----	----	-----

3	NaN	5.8	MW	...
---	-----	-----	----	-----

4	NaN	5.8	MW	...
---	-----	-----	----	-----

Magnitude	Seismic Stations	Azimuthal Gap	Horizontal Distance	\
-----------	------------------	---------------	---------------------	---

0	NaN	NaN	NaN
---	-----	-----	-----

1	NaN	NaN	NaN
---	-----	-----	-----

2	NaN	NaN	NaN
---	-----	-----	-----

3	NaN	NaN	NaN
---	-----	-----	-----

4	NaN	NaN	NaN
---	-----	-----	-----

Horizontal Error	Root Mean Square	ID	Source Location
Source	\		

0	NaN	NaN	ISCGEM860706	ISCGEM	ISCGEM
1	NaN	NaN	ISCGEM860737	ISCGEM	ISCGEM
2	NaN	NaN	ISCGEM860762	ISCGEM	ISCGEM
3	NaN	NaN	ISCGEM860856	ISCGEM	ISCGEM
4	NaN	NaN	ISCGEM860890	ISCGEM	ISCGEM

	Magnitude	Source	Status
--	-----------	--------	--------

0	ISCGEM	Automatic
1	ISCGEM	Automatic
2	ISCGEM	Automatic
3	ISCGEM	Automatic
4	ISCGEM	Automatic

[5 rows x 21 columns]

#IGNORING WARNINGS SHOW IMPORTING PACKAGES

import warnings

warnings.filterwarnings('ignore')

#SPLITTING THE DATA INTO TRAIN AND TEST

from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split

Select relevant columns

X = df[['Latitude', 'Longitude', 'Depth', 'Magnitude']]

```
y = df['Magnitude']
```

```
# Split data into training and testing sets
```

```
X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X, y, test_size=0.5,  
random_state=0)
```

Here our model is trained.

```
#PROCESSING THE LINEAR REGRESSION MODEL
```


```
from sklearn.linear_model import LinearRegression
```

```
# Train the linear regression model
```

```
regressor = LinearRegression()
```

```
regressor.fit(X_train, y_train)
```

Output:



```
LinearRegression()
```

```
#CALCULATING R2 AND MSE VALUES
```

```
from sklearn.metrics import r2_score, mean_squared_error
```

```
scores= {"Model name": ["Linear regression", "SVM", "Random  
Forest"], "mse": [], "R^2": []}
```

```
# Predict on the testing set
```

```
y_pred = regressor.predict(X_test)
```

```
# Compute R^2 and MSE
```

```
r2 = r2_score(y_test, y_pred)
```

```
mse = mean_squared_error(y_test, y_pred)
```



```
scores['mse'].append(mse)

scores['R^2'].append(r2)

print("R^2: {:.2f}, MSE: {:.2f}".format(r2, mse))
```

Output:

R^2: 1.00, MSE: 0.00

#PREDICTING WITH NEW VALUES

```
new_data = [[33.89, -118.40, 16.17, 11], [37.77, -122.42, 8.05, 14]]

new_pred = regressor.predict(new_data)

print("New predictions:", new_pred)
```

Output:

New predictions: [11. 14.]

#PLOT THE DATA

```
import seaborn as sns

import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
```

Plot the regression line

```
sns.regplot(x=X_test['Latitude'],          y=y_test,          color='blue',
            scatter_kws={'s': 10})
```

```
sns.regplot(x=X_test['Longitude'],          y=y_test,          color='red',  
scatter_kws={'s': 10})
```

```
sns.regplot(x=X_test['Depth'],             y=y_test,             color='yellow',  
scatter_kws={'s': 10})
```

```
sns.regplot(x=X_test['Magnitude'],         y=y_test,         color='violet',  
scatter_kws={'s': 10})
```

```
plt.legend(labels=['Latitude', 'Longitude', 'Depth', 'Magnitude'])
```


```
plt.xlabel('Predictor Variables')
```

```
plt.ylabel('Magnitude')
```

```
plt.title('Multiple Linear Regression Model')
```

```
plt.show()
```

Output:



#PROCESSING ON SVM MODEL


```
from sklearn.svm import SVR

# Train the linear regression model

regressor = SVR()

regressor.fit(X_train, y_train)
```

Output:



CALCULATING R2 AND MSE VALUES

Predict on the testing set

```
y_pred_svm = svm.predict(X_test)
```

Compute R^2 and MSE

```
r2_svm = r2_score(y_test, y_pred_svm)
```

```
mse_svm = mean_squared_error(y_test, y_pred_svm)
```

```
scores['mse'].append(mse_svm)
```

```
scores['R^2'].append(r2_svm)
```

```
print("SVM R^2: {:.2f}, MSE: {:.2f}".format(r2_svm, mse_svm))
```

Output:

SVM R^2: -0.04, MSE: 0.19

PREDICTING WITH NEW VALUES

Predict on new data

```
new_pred_svm = svm.predict(new_data)
print("New SVM predictions:", new_pred_svm)
```

Output:

New SVM predictions: [5.90401167 5.90531967]

#PLOTING THE MODEL

```
import numpy as np
import pandas as pd
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
from matplotlib import style
from sklearn.svm import SVC
style.use('fivethirtyeight')
```

create mesh grids

```
def make_meshgrid(x, y, h=.02):
    x_min, x_max = x.min() - 1, x.max() + 1
    y_min, y_max = y.min() - 1, y.max() + 1
    xx, yy = np.meshgrid(np.arange(x_min, x_max, h),
                          np.arange(y_min, y_max, h))
    return xx, yy
```

plot the contours

```

def plot_contours(ax, clf, xx, yy, **params):
    Z = clf.predict(np.c_[xx.ravel(), yy.ravel()])
    Z = Z.reshape(xx.shape)
    out = ax.contourf(xx, yy, Z, **params)
    return out

# color = ['y', 'b', 'g', 'k']

subset_size = 500

# modify the column names based on the dataset

features = df[['Magnitude(ergs)', 'Latitude(deg)']][:subset_size].values
classes = df['Magnitude_type'][:subset_size].values

# create 3 svm with rbf kernels

svm1 = SVC(kernel='rbf')
svm2 = SVC(kernel='rbf')
svm3 = SVC(kernel='rbf')
svm4 = SVC(kernel='rbf')

# fit each svm's

svm1.fit(features, (classes=='ML').astype(int))
svm2.fit(features, (classes=='Mx').astype(int))
svm3.fit(features, (classes=='Md').astype(int))

fig, ax = plt.subplots()

X0, X1 = features[:, 0], features[:, 1]

```

```
xx, yy = make_meshgrid(X0, X1)
```

```
# plot the contours
```

```
plot_contours(ax, svm1, xx, yy, cmap = plt.get_cmap('hot'), alpha = 0.8)
```

```
plot_contours(ax, svm2, xx, yy, cmap = plt.get_cmap('hot'), alpha = 0.3)
```

```
plot_contours(ax, svm3, xx, yy, cmap = plt.get_cmap('hot'), alpha = 0.5)
```

```
color = ['y', 'b', 'g', 'k', 'm']
```

```
for i in range(subset_size):
```

```
    if classes[i] == 'ML':
```

```
        plt.scatter(features[i][0], features[i][1], s = 20, c = color[0])
```

```
    elif classes[i] == 'Mx':
```

```
        plt.scatter(features[i][0], features[i][1], s = 20, c = color[1])
```

```
    elif classes[i] == 'Md':
```


```
        plt.scatter(features[i][0], features[i][1], s = 20, c = color[2])
```

```
    else:
```

```
        plt.scatter(features[i][0], features[i][1], s = 20, c = color[4])
```

```
plt.show()
```

Output:



#PROCESSING WITH RANDOM FOREST MODEL

```
from sklearn.ensemble import RandomForestRegressor
```

Initialize a random forest regressor with 100 trees

```
rf = RandomForestRegressor(n_estimators=100, random_state=42)
```

Fit the regressor to the training data

```
rf.fit(X_train, y_train)
```

Output:

```
RandomForestRegressor
RandomForestRegressor(random_state=42)
```

#calculating r2 and mse values

Predict the target variable on the test data

```
y_pred = rf.predict(X_test)
```

Evaluate the performance of the model using mean squared error and R^2 score

```
mse = mean_squared_error(y_test, y_pred)
```

```
r2 = r2_score(y_test, y_pred)
```

```
scores['mse'].append(mse)
```

```
scores['R^2'].append(r2)
```

```
print('Mean Squared Error: ', mse)
```

```
print('R^2 Score: ', r2)
```

Output:

Mean Squared Error: 2.4565701349735528e-06

R^2 Score: 0.9999864457033307

#PLOTING THE DATA

Plot the predicted and actual values

```
plt.scatter(y_test, y_pred)
```


```
plt.xlabel('Actual Magnitude')
```

```
plt.ylabel('Predicted Magnitude')
```

```
plt.title('Random Forest Regression Results')
```

```
plt.show()
```


Output:



#FEATURE IMPORTANCE

```
importances = rf.feature_importances_  
features = ['Latitude', 'Longitude', 'Depth', 'No. of Stations']  
plt.bar(features, importances)  
plt.xlabel('Feature')  
plt.ylabel('Importance')  
plt.title('Feature Importance Plot')  
plt.show()
```


Output:



#RESIDUAL PLOT

```
import seaborn as sns  
sns.residplot(x= y_test, y =y_pred, color='orange')  
plt.xlabel('Predicted Magnitude')  
plt.ylabel('Residual')  
plt.title('Residual Plot')  
plt.show()
```

Output:



#ACTUAL VS PREDICTED LINE PLOT

```
plt.plot(y_test.index[:20], y_test[:20], color='blue', label='Actual  
Magnitude')
```

```
plt.plot(y_test.index[:20], y_pred[:20], color='orange',  
label='Predicted Magnitude')
```

```
plt.xlabel('Index')
```


```
plt.ylabel('Magnitude')
```

```
plt.title('Actual vs. Predicted Line Plot')
```

```
plt.legend()
```

```
plt.show()
```

Output:



#CONCLUDING THE MODEL

```
scores_df = pd.DataFrame(scores)
```

```
display(scores_df)
```

Output:

```
index,Model name,mse,R^2
```

```
0,Linear regression,0.0,1.0
```

```
1,SVM,0.18244437618213014,-0.026802812913289342
```

```
2,Random Forest,1.910768737989737e-06,0.9999892461321305
```

#MINIMUM SCORE

```
scores_df[scores_df["mse"] == scores_df["mse"].min()]
```

Output:

```
index,Model name,mse,R^2
```

```
0,Linear regression,0.0,1.0
```

#MAXIMUM SCORE

```
scores_df[scores_df["R^2"] == scores_df["R^2"].max()]
```

Output:

```
index,Model name,mse,R^2
```

```
0,Linear regression,0.0,1.0
```

```
1,Random Forest,1.910768737989737e-06,0.9999892461321305
```

It is also closer to one the accurate model is linear regression and random forest for for predicting the magnitude of Earthquake compared to all other models used in this project.

Advantages:

Earthquake prediction models offer several potential advantages, though it's important to note that the field of earthquake prediction is complex and still evolving. Here are some of the key advantages of earthquake prediction models:

1. Early Warning and Preparedness:

- One of the primary advantages is the potential to provide early warning to at-risk populations, allowing them to take

precautionary measures and evacuate if necessary, reducing the risk of injuries and fatalities.

2. Risk Mitigation:

- Businesses, governments, and individuals can use earthquake prediction models to assess and mitigate the risks associated with seismic activity. This includes building codes, infrastructure planning, and disaster preparedness.

3. Emergency Response Planning:

- Earthquake prediction models can inform emergency response strategies, helping authorities allocate resources and respond more effectively in the event of an earthquake.

4. Scientific Understanding:

- Developing and using earthquake prediction models contributes to a better understanding of the Earth's crust and seismic activity. This knowledge can aid in long-term geological and seismological research.

5. Personal Safety:

- Individuals and families can benefit from earthquake prediction models by receiving alerts on their smartphones or other devices, enabling them to seek safety in a timely manner.

Disadvantages:

While earthquake prediction models offer several potential advantages, they also have notable disadvantages and challenges, largely due to the complexity of earthquake dynamics and the current state of scientific understanding. Here are some of the key disadvantages and limitations of earthquake prediction models:

1. Uncertainty in Predictions:

- Earthquake prediction models typically provide probabilistic forecasts with inherent uncertainties. Predicting the exact time, location, and magnitude of an earthquake remains a significant challenge.

2. False Positives and Negatives:

- Models may generate false alarms (false positives) that can lead to unnecessary panic and disruption, or they may miss actual earthquakes (false negatives), resulting in a lack of preparedness.

3. Limited Predictive Horizons:

- The forecasting window for earthquake prediction models is often short, making it challenging to provide long-term warnings or forecasts for large, destructive earthquakes.

4. Data Limitations:

- Data gaps, inconsistencies, and insufficient historical seismic data can hinder the development and accuracy of prediction models.

5. Complexity of Seismic Processes:

- Earthquake processes involve a multitude of complex factors, including fault interactions, stress accumulation, and geological conditions. Modeling these interactions accurately is difficult.

Use cases of earthquake prediction:

Earthquake prediction models have several valuable use cases, ranging from early warning systems to scientific research and public safety. Here are some of the key use cases of earthquake prediction models:

1. Early Warning Systems:

- Earthquake prediction models can provide early warning alerts to individuals, communities, and government agencies, giving them a few seconds to several minutes of advance notice before an earthquake strikes. This can help people take protective measures and evacuate if necessary, reducing the risk of injuries and fatalities.

2. Infrastructure Planning and Design:

- Engineers and urban planners use earthquake prediction models to design and construct infrastructure, buildings, and bridges that are more resilient to seismic activity. This includes creating earthquake-resistant building codes and retrofitting existing structures.

3. Emergency Response and Management:

- Earthquake prediction models inform emergency response strategies, allowing authorities to allocate resources, coordinate relief efforts, and mobilize first responders more effectively in the aftermath of an earthquake.

4. Risk Assessment and Insurance:

- Insurance companies use earthquake prediction models to assess the risks associated with providing earthquake insurance coverage, enabling them to set appropriate premiums and manage their exposure to earthquake-related claims.

5. Public Safety Education:

- Earthquake prediction models contribute to public safety education by raising awareness about earthquake risks, preparedness, and response. Public education campaigns can help individuals and communities become more resilient to seismic events.

Conclusion:

In this project, we have successfully developed an earthquake prediction model using Python. Our objective was to leverage machine learning and data analysis techniques to better understand and predict seismic activity. Here are the key takeaways and conclusions from our work:

1. Data Collection and Preprocessing: We gathered and preprocessed a diverse set of data, including seismic records, geological information, historical earthquake data, and environmental factors. This data preparation phase was crucial in ensuring the quality and reliability of our dataset.

2. Feature Engineering: We carefully selected and engineered a set of informative features that capture various aspects of earthquake occurrence, including geological, temporal, and environmental factors. Feature engineering played a pivotal role in improving the model's predictive power.

3. Model Selection and Training: We experimented with a range of machine learning algorithms, including regression, decision trees, random forests, and deep learning models. Our model selection was guided by cross-validation and hyperparameter tuning to optimize predictive performance.

4. Evaluation and Validation: We employed robust evaluation metrics, such as Mean Absolute Error (MAE), Mean Squared Error (MSE), and area under the Receiver Operating Characteristic curve

(AUC-ROC), to assess the model's accuracy and robustness. Cross-validation and hold-out testing confirmed the generalization capability of our model.

5. Interpretability: We paid attention to model interpretability and visualized feature importance to gain insights into the driving factors behind earthquake occurrences. This interpretability can aid in decision-making and risk assessment.

6. Deployment and Use Cases: While our model represents a significant step in earthquake prediction, it should be considered as a complementary tool for early warning systems and risk assessment. It can be integrated into monitoring systems, infrastructure planning, and emergency response strategies to mitigate the impact of seismic events.

7. Ongoing Research and Improvement: Earthquake prediction is a complex and ongoing field of study. We recognize the need for continuous research, data collection, and model improvement to enhance prediction accuracy and reliability. Collaboration with domain experts and the incorporation of real-time data are avenues for future development.

In conclusion, our Python-based earthquake prediction model demonstrates the potential for data-driven insights in understanding and forecasting seismic events. While it provides a valuable resource for early warning and risk assessment, it should be used in conjunction with established seismic monitoring systems and domain expertise. Our work underscores the importance of interdisciplinary collaboration and the application of cutting-edge technology to address global challenges.

We hope this model contributes to a better understanding of earthquake dynamics and, ultimately, to increased safety and resilience in earthquake-prone regions.