Absence of Slack in Modern Business-Cycle Models

Pascal Michaillat https://www.pascalmichaillat.org/t5.html

Prevailing paradigm i'm bus, ness-uycle research today. New Keynesian paradigm 3 main 100 us w/ New Keynesian model 1) Model is not economical. Too complicated to be included in intermediate macro text books Not nord by policymakers for day-to-day
thinking about macro is pues (knigman
2000, 2018) simplest cape (ask any body who tries to teach it in finst-year graduate macro) 2) Model dos not describe vell economic plach Cannot describe large increases, in unemployment in recessions (cannot describe a explain 100% unemployment in 2008 a 21% unemployment in 1332) -> b/k there's no unemployment in my Cannot describe sharp increases in idle capacity in finance all the capacity (lasa) they line 3 Model makes anomalous predictions about long ZLB · Collapse of output / inflation · Implantably large effects of forward-

gudance policy · Implants bly large effects of fiscal policy In this course we decelop a model of business up the that the ra address these limital rions

1 Model feature slack on all murkets, in cluding unemployment on the labor market

@ model is fairly simple , static, determs -

-mistic version with one market captures many in sights of the framework many results can be obtained by comparative statics

3) Model behave well at the ZLB-> dos not have an explosive behavior. Malel also features cyclical multipliers.