Slack-Dependent Marginal Propensity to Spend

Pascal Michaillat https://www.pascalmichaillat.org/t5.html

_____*i*

Marginal propensity House hold i to spend Pur draves of services: $y' = (x) \left[\begin{cases} (x) & k \in \mathcal{V}_i \\ 0 \end{cases} \right]$ where $\sigma(x) = \frac{x^{\frac{2}{5}} \left[1 + \tau(x)\right]^{\frac{1}{5}}}{1 + x^{\frac{2}{5}} \left[1 + \tau(x)\right]^{\frac{1}{5}}}$ $, 6(x) \in (0,1)$ Real wealth holdings (savings)

Real wealth holdings (savings) Mi = [1-0 x)[f(x) bi + vi] (on our prion, C:= m; / [1+ z(x)] Visits: v, = J,/q(x) How do the marginal proper o'ry to opend and save vary with seads / with the state of the economy?

what happens of tightness (x) is higher . In come (fix) bei) is higher . What happens to 5 (x)? - J -> M/1+ J 1/1+ y im y Share of income + initial wealth spent on service is lower (6x) share of income + initial wealth said /
proved as real wealth is higher (1-04) Share of purchases devoted to consumption is lover of purchases devoted to marding is leigher (~7 ix)

Menginal propension to opend is lower in tighter economy - buying is more complicated, visits are less likely to be successful larger share of opending devoted to matching - Maginal propensity to pave is higher in $-\frac{\zeta}{1+\frac{\zeta}{2}} \sim \frac{1-\chi}{1-\chi} \sim \frac{1-\chi}{1-$ T(x) of share of spending decored to mobiling share of opending decoted to matching is higher in a righter e con on y. I share of opending devoted to contain is