-Analysis of the performance of each method theoretically using the most

appropriate asymptotic notation.

```
List is a LinkedList and iterator is not used case:
```

```
public void readFile(String file name)throws IOException {
  File my_file = new File( file_name ); -> constant
  Scanner reader = new Scanner(my file); -> constant
  String current_line = new String(); -> constant
  while( reader.hasNextLine() ){ -> n lines
     current_line = reader.nextLine(); -> constant
     for( int i = 0; i < current line.length(); ++i ) -> n chars in each line
        text.add(current line.charAt(i)); -> constant for doubly linked list as it is added to the tail
     text.add('\n');
  }
}
-If we look at it with regards to the number of characters in our text file we can say its notation is
o(n), or O(n^2)-because of getting lines, but small o notation is much more correct
public void add(String text, int index ){
   System.out.println("size is: " + this.text.size());
  if( index >= 0 && index < this.text.size() ){</pre>
     for(int i = 0; i < text.length(); ++i, ++index) -> n
        this.text.add( index, text.charAt(i) ); -> n
  }
  else
     throw new IndexOutOfBoundsException();
}
-Best notation for this is O(n^2) since we have a nested loop in here where add with index is
performed on a linked list which has O(n) execution time
public int find(String text){
  int index = -1;
  boolean found = false;
  for( int i = 0; i<this.text.size() && !found; ++i ){-> linear
     found = true;
     index = i;
     for(int j = i, k = 0; j < this.text.size() && k < text.length() && found; <math>++j, ++k) \{-> n times() \}
linear )
        if( this.text.get(j) != text.charAt(k) ) {->n(linear) - getting is linear for linked list when we
don't have reference to the node
           found = false;
           index = -1:
     }
  }
  return index;
```

```
Since in here we have an inner loop its complexity would be O(n^2) and additional linear operation of hetting is performed giving
```

```
O(n^3) as this method's complexity
public void replace(Character ch, Character ch new){
  for( int i = 0; i<text.size(); ++i ){ ->linear
     if( text.get(i) == ch )->linear
        text.set(i, ch_new);->linear
  }
}
Here we have a nested loop, get and set for linked list are linear so the complexity will be O(n^3)
List is a linkedList and iterator is used
public void readFile2(String file name)throws IOException {
  File my_file = new File( file_name );
  Scanner reader = new Scanner(my file);
  String current_line = new String();
  ListIterator<Character> itr = text.listIterator();
  while( reader.hasNextLine() ){-> n times( linear )
     current line = reader.nextLine();
     for( int i = 0; i < current_line.length(); ++i ) -> n times
        itr.add(current_line.charAt(i)); -> constant time
     itr.add('\n');
  }
  reader.close();
}
This is the same as when there is not iterator, O(n^2) or o(n). Small o a bit more precise
public void add2(String text, int index ){
  System.out.println("size is: " + this.text.size());
  ListIterator<Character> itr = this.text.listIterator(index);
  if( index >= 0 && index < this.text.size() ){</pre>
     for( int i = 0; i<text.length(); ++i, ++index ) { -> linear time, n
        itr.add(text.charAt(i)); -> constant time for the iterator
     }
  }
   else
     throw new IndexOutOfBoundsException();
}
This is a bit faster now and the execution time is O(n). Since it is both o(n) and O(n) we can see a
tight bound and say this is \Theta(n)
public int find2(String text){
  int index = -1;
  boolean found = false:
  for( int i = 0; i<this.text.size() && !found; ++i ){ -> linear execution n
     found = true;
     index = i;
```

```
ListIterator<Character> itr = this.text.listIterator(i);
for( int k = 0;itr.hasNext() && k < text.length() && found; ++k){ -> linear execution
    if( itr.next() != text.charAt(k) ) { -> constant
        found = false;
        index = -1;
    }
}
return index;
```

We have a nested loop and execution for it is O(n^2). We can see it is faster than when it is not implemented with iterators.

```
public void replace2(Character ch, Character ch_new){
  ListIterator < Character > itr = this.text.listIterator();
  while(itr.hasNext()){ -> linear n
      if( itr.next() == ch ) -> constant
            itr.set(ch_new); -> constant
    }
}
```

Again this is faster, and its complexity is obviously always the same, linear $\Theta(n)$

List is an ArrayList and iterator is not used case:

} else

```
public void readFile(String file_name)throws IOException {
  File my file = new File( file name ); -> constant
  Scanner reader = new Scanner(my_file); -> constant
  String current_line = new String(); -> constant
  while( reader.hasNextLine() ){ -> n lines
     current_line = reader.nextLine(); -> constant
     for( int i = 0; i < current_line.length(); ++i ) -> n chars in each line
        text.add(current line.charAt(i)); -> constant for array list, but in worst case scenatrio it
would be linear( for reallocation)
     text.add('\n');
  }
}
-If we look at it with regards to the number of characters in our text file we can say its notation is
o(n), or O(n^2)-because of getting lines, but small o notation is much more correct. Also here we
regard addition as constant operation even though in worst case it would be linear yielding O(n^3),
but yet again o(n) is the most correct one
public void add(String text, int index ){
   System.out.println("size is: " + this.text.size());
  if( index \geq 0 && index \leq this.text.size() ){
     for(int i = 0; i < text.length(); ++i, ++index) -> n
        this.text.add( index, text.charAt(i) ); -> n
```

```
throw new IndexOutOfBoundsException();
}
-Best notation for this is O(n^2) since we have a nested loop in here where add with index is
performed on a arrayList which has O(n) execution time because of shifting and reallocation sometimes
public int find(String text){
  int index = -1;
  boolean found = false;
  for( int i = 0; i<this.text.size() && !found; ++i ){-> linear
     found = true;
     index = i;
     for( int j = i, k = 0; j < this.text.size() && k < text.length() && found; <math>++j, ++k) \{-> n \text{ times}(
linear )
        if( this.text.get(j) != text.charAt(k) ) {->constant for arrays
           found = false;
           index = -1;
        }
     }
  }
  return index;
}
Since in here we have an inner loop its complexity would be O(n^2)
O(n^2) as this method's complexity
public void replace(Character ch, Character ch_new){
  for( int i = 0; i<text.size(); ++i ){ ->linear
     if( text.get(i) == ch )->constant
        text.set(i, ch_new);->constant
  }
}
Get and set for arraylist are constant so the complexity will be \Theta(n)
List is an ArrayList and iterator is used
public void readFile2(String file_name)throws IOException {
  File my_file = new File( file_name );
  Scanner reader = new Scanner(my_file);
  String current_line = new String();
  ListIterator<Character> itr = text.listIterator();
  while( reader.hasNextLine() ){-> n times( linear )
     current_line = reader.nextLine();
     for( int i = 0; i < current_line.length(); ++i ) -> n times
        itr.add(current_line.charAt(i)); -> constant time
     itr.add('\n');
  }
  reader.close();
}
This is the same as when there is no iterator, O(n^2) or o(n). Small o a bit more precise
```

```
public void add2(String text, int index ){
  System.out.println("size is: " + this.text.size());
  ListIterator<Character> itr = this.text.listIterator(index);
  if( index \geq 0 && index \leq this.text.size() ){
     for( int i = 0; i<text.length(); ++i, ++index ) { -> linear time, n
        itr.add(text.charAt(i)); -> linear time for the iterator(shifting)
     }
  }
  else
     throw new IndexOutOfBoundsException();
}
This is a bit faster now and the execution time is O(n^2)
public int find2(String text){
  int index = -1;
  boolean found = false;
  for( int i = 0; i<this.text.size() && !found; ++i ){ -> linear execution n
     found = true;
     index = i:
     ListIterator<Character> itr = this.text.listIterator(i);
     for( int k = 0; itr.hasNext() && k < text.length() && found; ++k){ -> linear execution
        if( itr.next() != text.charAt(k) ) { -> constant
           found = false;
           index = -1;
        }
     }
  }
  return index;
We have a nested loop and execution for it is O(n^2)
public void replace2(Character ch, Character ch_new){
  ListIterator<Character> itr = this.text.listIterator();
  while(itr.hasNext()){ -> linear n
     if( itr.next() == ch ) -> constant
        itr.set(ch_new); -> constant
  }
}
Complexity is obviously always the same, linear \Theta(n)
```

```
+ SimpleTextEditor

Fields

tept:LinkepList-cCharaptery-
constructors

SimpleTextEditor()

methods

readFile(file_name;String):void

readFile2(file_name;String):void

add(tept:String, indep:int):void

add2(text:String, indep:int):void

find(tept:String):int

find2(text:String):int

replace(ch:Charapter, ch_new:Charapter):void

toString():String
```

Class diagram

Problem solution approach

The way I solved this problem is first wrote these methods for a linked list. There are two methods that do the same thing. The one that have 2 indexed after them are the ones that are using the iterator. Also there are two classes, one SimpleTextEditor uses a linked list and SImpleTextEditor2 uses ArrayList for its data.

Test cases and & running command and results

They can be checked from the command line. Main class is used for testing. Its outputs can be seen by running it

Test scenarios are exactly the same for ArrayList and LinkedList so I just made a table that includes both. Note: Very big text file was used for testing to ensure difference in running times as much as possible

Test Scenario	Expected Results	Actual Results
1.Reading from a file(no iter)	Should be read into our data	As expected
	structure	
2. Aadding Djuro je legendica	Should be added	As expected
at 493th index(no iter)		
3. Replacing all 'a' chars with '.'	Should be replaced	As expected
char		
4. Finding an element 'Lorem'	Should find Lorem at the	As expected
	beginning	
5. Finding an element 'Djuro je	Should not find it	As expected
legendic.a'		
Doing 1. with iterator	Same	Same

Doing 2. with iterator	Same	Same
Doing 3. with iterator	Same	Same
Doing 4. with iterator	Same	Same
Doing 5. with iterator	Same	Same

Run commands and result output can be seen in the .log file as mentioned in the homework