

[Sequences]

Ex. 2.3.1 Let $x_n \geq 0 \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ a) if $(x_n) \rightarrow 0$, show that $(\sqrt{x_n}) \rightarrow 0$ proof - Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $(x_n) \rightarrow 0$, by defⁿ $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that whenever $n \geq N$ we have that $|x_n - 0| = |x_n| = x_n < \varepsilon^2$ Thus for $n \geq N$: $|\sqrt{x_n} - 0| = \sqrt{x_n} < \varepsilon$ $\Rightarrow (\sqrt{x_n}) \rightarrow 0 \quad \square$ b) If $(x_n) \rightarrow x$, show that $(\sqrt{x_n}) \rightarrow \sqrt{x}$ proof - by part a) we can take $x > 0$ (if since $x_n \geq 0$)Order Limit Theorem - Let (x_n) & (y_n) be 2 sequences s.t. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = y$. Then:1) if $x_n \geq 0 \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $x \geq 0$ 2) if $x_n \leq y_n \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $x \leq y$ 3) if $\exists c \in \mathbb{R}$ s.t. $c \leq x_n \forall n$, then $c \leq x$. Similarly, if $\exists c \in \mathbb{R}$ s.t. $c \geq x_n \forall n$, then $c \geq x$ Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $(x_n) \rightarrow x$, by defⁿ $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. whenever $n \geq N$ we have that $|x_n - x| < \varepsilon \sqrt{x}$ Thus for $n \geq N$: $|\sqrt{x_n} - \sqrt{x}| = |\sqrt{x_n} - \sqrt{x}| \left(\frac{\sqrt{x_n} + \sqrt{x}}{\sqrt{x_n} + \sqrt{x}} \right)$

$$= \frac{|x_n - x|}{\sqrt{x_n} + \sqrt{x}}$$

$$\leq \frac{|x_n - x|}{\sqrt{x}} \quad (x_n \geq 0)$$

$$< \frac{\varepsilon \sqrt{x}}{\sqrt{x}}$$

$$= \varepsilon$$

$$\Rightarrow (\sqrt{x_n}) \rightarrow \sqrt{x}$$

 \square

(Brief aside on Subsequences)

Definition - Let (x_n) be a sequence of real numbers, and let $n_1 < n_2 < n_3 < n_4 < \dots$ be an increasing sequence of natural numbers. Then the sequence $(x_{n_1}, x_{n_2}, x_{n_3}, \dots)$ is called a subsequence of (x_n) and is denoted by (x_{n_k}) , where $k \in \mathbb{N}$ indexes the subsequence.

Theorem - Subsequences of a convergent sequence converge to the same limit as the original sequence.

proof - Let $(x_n) \rightarrow x$ and (x_{n_k}) be a subsequence. Given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|x_n - x| < \epsilon$ whenever $n \geq N$.

Since $n_1 < n_2 < n_3 < n_4 < \dots$ is an increasing sequence of natural numbers, we have that $n_k \geq k$ for all k (can show via induction).

So if $k \geq N$ then $n_k \geq k \geq N$
and thus $|x_{n_k} - x| < \epsilon$

(so the same N worked). $\Rightarrow (x_{n_k}) \rightarrow x$

□

ex 2.3.5 Let (x_n) & (y_n) be given, and define (z_n) to be the "shuffled" sequence $(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, x_3, y_3, \dots)$. Prove that (z_n) is convergent iff (x_n) & (y_n) are both convergent with $\lim x_n = \lim y_n$

\Rightarrow Let (z_n) converge to some z

Note $z_{2k-1} = x_k$ and $z_{2k} = y_k$

So, (x_n) & (y_n) are subsequences of (z_n)

& hence by previous theorem, $\lim x_n = \lim y_n = z$

\Leftarrow Let $\lim x_n = \lim y_n = z$

So given any $\epsilon > 0$, $\exists N_1, N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$|x_k - z| < \epsilon \text{ for } k \geq N_1$$

$$\& \quad |y_k - z| < \epsilon \text{ for } k \geq N_2$$

Define $N := 2 \max\{N_1, N_2\}$

- if $n = 2k-1 \geq N$ is odd,
then $k \geq \frac{N+1}{2} \geq N_1$

$$\text{so } |z_n - z| = |x_k - z| < \epsilon$$

- if $n = 2k \geq N$ is even,
then $k \geq \frac{N}{2} \geq N_2$

$$\text{so } |z_n - z| = |y_k - z| < \epsilon$$

Either way, we have that $n \geq N$ gives $|z_n - z| < \epsilon$

$\Rightarrow (z_n)$ is convergent



Algebraic Limit Theorem - Let (x_n) & (y_n) be two sequences such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = y$. Then:

1) for any $c \in \mathbb{R}$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (cx_n) = cx$

2) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (x_n + y_n) = x + y$

3) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (x_n y_n) = xy$

4) suppose that $y \neq 0$ and that $y_n \neq 0 \forall n$, Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x_n}{y_n} = \frac{x}{y}$

*Warning: Need both $(x_n) \rightarrow x$ and $(y_n) \rightarrow y$ *

Ex 2.3.9 a) Let (a_n) be a bounded (not necessarily convergent) sequence, and assume $\lim b_n = 0$. Show that $\lim(a_n b_n) = 0$

proof - (a_n) is bounded so $\exists M > 0$ such that $|a_n| \leq M \quad \forall n$

Let $\epsilon > 0$. Since $\lim b_n = 0$, by defⁿ $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|b_n - 0| = |b_n| < \frac{\epsilon}{M}$ whenever $n \geq N$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Thus for } n \geq N: |a_n b_n - 0| &= |a_n b_n| = |a_n| |b_n| \\ &< M \frac{\epsilon}{M} \\ &= \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim(a_n b_n) = 0$$

□

Remark - We cannot use the Algebraic Limit Theorem since (a_n) does not necessarily converge.

Ex 2.3.9 b) Can we conclude anything about the convergence of $(a_n b_n)$ if we assume that (b_n) converges to some nonzero limit b ?

No! Let $a_n = (-1)^{n+1}$ & $b_n = 1$

- (a_n) is bounded, doesn't converge
- $\lim b_n = 1$

but $(a_n b_n) = (-1)^{n+1}$, doesn't converge.

Ex 2.3.13 (Iterated Limits) Given a doubly indexed array a_{mn} where $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, what should $\lim_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} a_{mn}$ represent?

a) Let $a_{mn} = \frac{m}{m+n}$ and compute the iterated limits $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} a_{mn} \right)$ and $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{mn} \right)$

Well

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} a_{mn} \right] = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m}{m+n} \right]$$

$$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{1 + \frac{n}{m}} \right]$$

$$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{1}{1 + \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{n}{m} \right)} \right]$$

$$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{1}{1+0} \right]$$

$$= 1$$

(Algebraic Limit Theorem. Check!!!
Recall we proved $\lim(\frac{1}{n}) = 0$ in Lecture

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left[\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{mn} \right] = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left[\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m}{m+n} \right]$$

$$= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (0)$$

$$= 0$$

(* easy to check that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m}{m+n} = 0$ *)

Ex 2.3.13b) We define $\lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} a_{mn} = a$ to mean that $\forall \epsilon > 0$
 $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. if both $m, n \geq N$, then $|a_{mn} - a| < \epsilon$.

Let $a_{mn} = \frac{1}{m+n}$. Does $\lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} a_{mn}$ exist in this case?
Do the two iterated limits exist? How do these 3 values compare?

□ Let $\epsilon > 0$. Choose $N \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $N > \frac{1}{2\epsilon}$ (exists by Archimedean Property)
Then for $m, n \geq N$ we have that:

$$\left| \frac{1}{m+n} - 0 \right| = \frac{1}{m+n} \leq \frac{1}{N+N} = \frac{1}{2N} < \epsilon$$

$\Rightarrow \lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} a_{mn}$ exists and is 0

$$\begin{aligned} \square \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} a_{mn} \right] &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m+n} \right] \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [0] \quad (\text{check!}) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \square \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left[\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{mn} \right] &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left[\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m+n} \right] \\ &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} [0] \quad (\text{similar to above}) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

\therefore all three values are 0

2.3.13 C) Produce an example where $\lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} a_{mn}$ exists, but where neither iterated limits can be computed.

Take $a_{mn} = \frac{(-1)^n}{m} + \frac{(-1)^m}{n}$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and choose $N \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $N > \frac{2}{\varepsilon}$ (Archimedean Property)

Then for $n, m \geq N$ we have that:

$$\begin{aligned} |a_{mn} - 0| &= |a_{mn}| = \left| \frac{(-1)^n}{m} + \frac{(-1)^m}{n} \right| \\ &\leq \left| \frac{(-1)^n}{m} \right| + \left| \frac{(-1)^m}{n} \right| \quad (\text{triangle inequality}) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{n} \\ &\leq \frac{2}{N} \\ &< \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow \lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} a_{mn}$ exists and is 0

(**BUT:** neither iterated limit can be computed, as a consequence of $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{m} \nexists$ & $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(-1)^m}{n}$ do not exist.)

Ex. 2.3.4 Let $(a_n) \rightarrow 0$, & use the Algebraic Limit Theorem to compute each of the following limits (assuming the fractions are always defined):

$$\begin{aligned} \text{a) } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1+2a_n}{1+3a_n-4a_n^2} &= \frac{\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 1 + 2 \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n}{\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 1 + 3 \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n - 4 (\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n)^2} \\ &= \frac{1 + 2[0]}{1 + 3[0] - 4[0]^2} \\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{b) } \lim \left(\frac{(a_n+2)^2-4}{a_n} \right)$$

$$\text{First, } \frac{(a_n+2)^2-4}{a_n} = \frac{a_n^2+4a_n+4-4}{a_n} = \frac{a_n^2+4a_n}{a_n} = a_n+4$$

$$\text{So } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(a_n+2)^2-4}{a_n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a_n+4) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4 = 0+4=4$$

$$\text{c) } \lim \left(\frac{\frac{2}{a_n}+3}{\frac{1}{a_n}+5} \right)$$

$$\text{First, } \frac{\frac{2}{a_n}+3}{\frac{1}{a_n}+5} = \frac{2+3a_n}{1+5a_n}$$

$$\text{So } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\frac{2}{a_n}+3}{\frac{1}{a_n}+5} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2+3a_n}{1+5a_n}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2 + 3 \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n}{\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 1 + 5 \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n} = \frac{2+3[0]}{1+5[0]} = 2 \end{aligned}$$