



CS 300 Module Three Assignment Guidelines and Rubric

Overview

For this assignment, you'll use information from a municipal government data feed that contains bids submitted for property auctions. All materials for this assignment can be found in the Supporting Materials section below. The data set is provided in two CSV files:

- eBid_Monthly_Sales.csv (larger set of 12,023 bids)
- eBid_Monthly_Sales_Dec_2016.csv (smaller set of 76 bids)

In this assignment, you will explore linked lists. You will implement a singly linked list to hold a collection of bids from a CSV file. You will be given a starter console program that uses a menu to enable testing of the enter, find, remove, and display logic you will complete. In this version, the following menu is presented when the program is run:

Menu:

1. Enter a Bid
2. Load Bids
3. Display All Bids
4. Find Bid
5. Remove Bid
9. Exit

Enter choice:

The `LinkedList.cpp` program is partially completed. The program contains empty methods representing the programming interface used to interact with the linked list. You will need to add logic to the methods to implement the necessary behavior. Here is the public API for `LinkedList.cpp` that you must complete:

```
public:
    LinkedList();
    virtual ~LinkedList();
    void Append(Bid bid);
    void Prepend(Bid bid);
```

```
void PrintList();  
void Remove(string bidId);  
Bid Search(string bidId);
```

Directions

You must perform the following steps to complete this activity:

Setup: Begin by creating a new C++ project with the project type "Hello World C++ Project". For help setting up your project in Visual Studio C++, refer to the Apporto Visual Studio Setup Instructions and Tips in the Module One Resources section. Name the project "LinkedList".

Task 1: Create an internal structure for list entries, housekeeping variables. Create the structure, internal to the class, that will hold the bid entries. Consider what other variables are needed to help manage the list.

Task 2: Initialize housekeeping variables. Remember to initialize the housekeeping variables in the constructor.

Task 3: Implement append logic. Create code to append a bid to the end of the list.

Task 4: Implement prepend logic. Create code to prepend a bid to the front of the list.

Task 5: Implement print logic. Create code to print all the bid entries in the list to the console.

Task 6: Implement remove logic. Create code to remove the requested bid using the bid ID passed in.

Task 7: Implement search logic. Create code to search for the requested bid using the bid ID passed in. Return the bid structure if found or an empty bid structure if not found.

Here is sample output from running the completed program. Note that bid ID 98109 does not exist in the data file. You will have to add bid ID 98109 using Choice 1 after you have loaded the bids using Choice 2. Test your Find and Remove functions using this bid that you added.

| Example Input | Choice: 2 | Choice: 3 |
|---------------|---|---|
| Display | Menu: 1. Enter a Bid 2. Load Bids 3. Display All Bids 4. Find Bid 5. Remove Bids 9. Exit Enter choice: 2 | Menu: 1. Enter a Bid 2. Load Bids 3. Display All Bids 4. Find Bid 5. Remove Bids 9. Exit Enter choice: 3 |

| | | |
|--------|---|---|
| Output | Loading CSV file eBid_Monthly_Sales.csv 12023 bids read time: 2993 clock ticks time: 0.002993 seconds | Hoover Steam Vac 27 Enterprise Table 6 General Fund 5 Chairs 19 General Fund 2 Chairs 20 General Fund Chair 71.88 General Fund |
|--------|---|---|

Add a new bid:

| | |
|---------------|---|
| Example Input | Choice 1 |
| Display | 1. Enter a Bid 2. Load Bids 3. Display All Bids 4. Find Bid 5. Remove Bids 9. Exit |

| | |
|------------|---|
| Data Entry | Enter choice 1 Enter Id: 98109 Enter title: Desk Enter fund: SNHU Enter amount: 100 |
| Output | 98109: Desk 100 SNHU |

Find and remove a bid:

| | | |
|---------------|-----------|-----------|
| Example Input | Choice: 4 | Choice: 5 |
|---------------|-----------|-----------|

| | | |
|---------|---|---|
| Display | Menu: 1. Enter a Bid 2. Load Bids 3. Display All Bids 4. Find Bid 5. Remove Bids 9. Exit Enter choice: 4 | Menu: 1. Enter a Bid 2. Load Bids 3. Display All Bids 4. Find Bid 5. Remove Bids 9. Exit Enter choice: 5 |
| Output | 98109: Desk 100.00 SNHU time: 47 clock ticks time: 0.000047 seconds | {no output shown} |

| Example Input | Choice: 4 | Choice: 9 |
|---------------|---|---|
| Display | Menu: 1. Enter a Bid 2. Load Bids 3. Display All Bids 4. Find Bid 5. Remove Bids 9. Exit Enter choice: 4 | Menu: 1. Enter a Bid 2. Load Bids 3. Display All Bids 4. Find Bid 5. Remove Bids 9. Exit Enter choice: 9 |

| | | |
|--------|---|-----------|
| Output | Bid ID 98109 not found. time: 23 clock ticks time: 0.000023 seconds | Good bye. |
|--------|---|-----------|

Specifically, you must address the following rubric criteria:

- **Code Reflection:** Describe the purpose of code, techniques implemented to solve problems, challenges encountered, and approaches to overcome the challenges.
- **Pseudocode or Flowchart:** Provide a pseudocode or flowchart description of the code that is clear and understandable and captures accurate logic to translate to the programming language.
- **Specifications and Correctness:** Source code must meet its specifications and behave as desired. Correct code produces the correct output as defined by the data and problem. However, you should also produce fully functioning code with no errors that aligns with as many of the specifications as possible. You should write your code in a way that the submitted file executes, even if it does not produce the correct output. You will be given credit for partially correct output that can be viewed and seen to be partially correct.
- **Annotation and Documentation:** All code should also be well commented. Commenting is a practiced art that requires striking a balance between commenting everything, which adds unneeded noise to the code, and commenting nothing. Well-annotated code requires you to perform the following actions:
 - Explain the purpose of lines or sections of your code, detailing the approach and method you took to achieve a specific task in the code.
 - Document any section of code that is producing errors or incorrect results.
- **Modular and Reusable:** Programmers should develop code that is modular and reusable. Code is more flexible and maintainable if it contains functionality and responsibility in distinct methods. Your code should adhere to the single responsibility principle. Classes and methods should do only one job. If you can use a different method without changing other parts of your code, you have succeeded in creating modular methods.
- **Readability:** Code needs to be readable to a knowledgeable programmer. In this course, readable code requires the following characteristics:
 - Consistent, appropriate whitespace (blank lines, spaces) and indentation to separate distinct parts of the code and operations
 - Explicit, consistent variable names, which should clearly indicate the data they hold and be formatted consistently: for example, numOrders (camelCase) or item_cost (underscored)
 - Organized structure and clear design that separates components with different responsibilities or grouping-related code into blocks

What to Submit

To complete this assignment, submit the LinkedList.cpp code file and a code reflection and associated pseudocode or flowchart. Your written portion should be 1–2 paragraphs in length.

Supporting Materials

The following resource may help support your work on the project:

Resource: [Linked List Assignment Student Files](#)

Download this zipped file folder to begin your assignment. The data sets you will use in this assignment are provided in these CSV files:

- eBid_Monthly_Sales.csv (larger set of 12,023 bids)
- eBid_Monthly_Sales_Dec_2016.csv (smaller set of 76 bids)
- LinkedList.cpp program, which is a partially completed program that you can use as a starting point for the assignment

Module Three Assignment Rubric

| Criteria | Proficient (100%) | Needs Improvement (70%) | Not Evident (0%) | Value |
|---|---|---|---|-------|
| Code Reflection | Describes purpose of code, techniques implemented to solve problem, challenges encountered, and approaches to overcome the challenges | Lacks details in code purpose, techniques implemented, or challenges encountered | Does not explain purpose of code, techniques used, or challenges encountered | 25 |
| Pseudocode or Flowchart | Pseudocode or flowchart is clear and understandable and captures accurate logic to translate to the programming language | Pseudocode or flowchart has errors or omissions that affect its clarity or understandability, or the logic to translate to the programming language is inaccurate or incomplete | Pseudocode or flowchart does not contain the logic to translate to the programming language | 10 |
| Specifications and Correctness: Algorithm | All algorithm specifications are met completely and function in all cases | Details of the specifications are violated, or program often exhibits incorrect behavior | Program only functions correctly in very limited cases or not at all | 20 |
| Specifications and Correctness: Data Structure | All data structure specifications are met completely and function in all cases | Details of the specifications are violated, or program often exhibits incorrect behavior | Program only functions correctly in very limited cases or not at all | 20 |
| Annotation and Documentation | Code annotations explain and facilitate navigation of the code | Comments provide little assistance with understanding the code | Code annotations do not explain the code or do not facilitate navigation of code, or code is not fully or logically annotated | 10 |

| Criteria | Proficient (100%) | Needs Improvement (70%) | Not Evident (0%) | Value |
|----------------------|--|---|--|-------|
| Modular and Reusable | Methods are limited in scope and responsibility, and both algorithms and data structures are implemented in such a way that they can be reused in other programs | Methods have errors in scope or responsibility, or algorithms or data structure are overly tied to the specific program | No attempt was made to develop modular or reusable code | 10 |
| Readability | Code follows proper syntax and demonstrates deliberate attention spacing, whitespace, and variable naming | Code contains variations from established syntax and conventions | Code contains significant variations from established syntax and conventions | 5 |
| Total: | | | | 100% |