



CS 250 Module Four Journal Guidelines and Rubric

Overview

The final project for this course is a retrospective that identifies the "lessons learned" from the Scrum Team's product development process. For assistance with writing this final retrospective, you will reflect on your thoughts in journal assignments as you take on the various roles on the Scrum Team.

Directions

In this third journal assignment, you will think about your work as the product tester for the travel booking software and how you interpret the user stories to develop test cases. Consider the following questions to guide your journal assignment:

- What elements of the user stories were the most helpful in developing your initial test cases?
- How critical are communications between you and the Product Owner during the development of the test cases? How can the Product Owner be helpful during this phase?
- What was missing from the user stories that would have been helpful?
- How might you go about getting this additional information?
- Create a sample email that would effectively explain your needs and prompt a proper response. Be certain to identify the recipient of the communication and the specific information you expect to receive.

What to Submit

Submit your assignment as a 1-to 2-page Microsoft Word document with double spacing, 12-point Times New Roman font, and one-inch margins. Your work must be your own original effort created specifically for this assignment. Support your work with a minimum of two academic or professional sources published within the last five years (peer-reviewed journals, books, technical reports, government publications, expert industry sites, and so on). All sources used should be cited according to APA style.

Module Four Journal Rubric

Criteria	Meets Expectations (100%)	Does Not Meet Expectations (0%)	Value
Reflection	Reflects critically on the expectations of the role, responsibilities of the person in the role, and how the role fits within the Agile team	Does not attempt criterion	25
Problem Solving and Self-Evaluation	Critically evaluates experiences, decisions, and challenges faced to highlight opportunities for improvement	Does not attempt criterion	25

Criteria	Meets Expectations (100%)	Does Not Meet Expectations (0%)	Value
Communication	Provides evidence of analysis of audience in journal assignment through employment of effective communication strategies and techniques	Does not attempt criterion	25
Clear Communication	Consistently and effectively communicates in an organized way to a specific audience	Shows no evidence of consistent, effective, or organized communication	10
Citations and Attributions	Includes support from a minimum of two properly cited sources and major points include specific examples	Does not support argument with course concepts, or does not use citations for ideas requiring attribution	15
Total:			100%