



CS 250 Module Two Journal Guidelines and Rubric

Overview

The final project for this course includes a review and retrospective that identifies the "lessons learned" from the Scrum Team's product development process. For assistance with writing this final retrospective, you will reflect on your thoughts in journal assignments as you take on the various roles on the Scrum Team.

Directions

In this first journal assignment, you will think about your work as the Scrum Master and how you might lead your team for the SNHU Travel project. In your journal, address the following questions:

- How would you plan to execute the various Scrum events, such as the Sprint Planning, Daily Scrums, Backlog Refinement, Sprint Review, and Sprint Retrospective?
- What is one benefit for each of the five events listed above?
- What is the overall benefit of this process for the team?
- What steps can you take to ensure the team meets the goals of each of these events?

What to Submit

Submit your assignment as a 1- to 2-page Microsoft Word document with double spacing, 12-point Times New Roman font, and one-inch margins. Your work must be your own original effort created specifically for this assignment. Support your work with a minimum of two academic or professional sources published within the last five years (peer-reviewed journals, books, technical reports, government publications, expert industry sites, and so on). All sources used should be cited according to APA style.

Module Two Journal Rubric

| Criteria | Meets Expectations (100%) | Does Not Meet Expectations (0%) | Value |
|-------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|-------|
| Reflection | Reflects critically on the expectations of the role, responsibilities of the person in the role, and how the role fits within the Agile team | Does not attempt criterion | 25 |
| Problem Solving and Self-Evaluation | Critically evaluates experiences, decisions, and challenges faced to highlight opportunities for improvement | Does not attempt criterion | 25 |
| Communication | Provides evidence of analysis of audience in journal assignment through employment of effective communication strategies and techniques | Does not attempt criterion | 25 |

| Criteria | Meets Expectations (100%) | Does Not Meet Expectations (0%) | Value |
|----------------------------|--|---|-------|
| Clear Communication | Consistently and effectively communicates in an organized way to a specific audience | Shows no evidence of consistent, effective, or organized communication | 10 |
| Citations and Attributions | Includes support from a minimum of two properly cited sources and major points include specific examples | Does not support argument with course concepts, or does not use citations for ideas requiring attribution | 15 |
| Total: | | | 100% |