

Catchpoint named a Leader in the first Gartner® Magic Quadrant™ for Digital Experience Monitoring.

[Read the report](#)

Platform **WebPageTest**

Save Test Results with a **Free Account**


[Sign Up & Save Test Result](#)

URL: <https://www.starlink.com/residential>

DATE: 2/18/2025, 10:19:10 PM

## Webpage Performance Test Result

SETTINGS: MOTOG4  v132  4G  Dulles, Virginia USA [More](#) [Share](#)



View: **Performance Summary**

Tools: **Export**

**Re-Run Test**

# Performance Summary

## Is it Quick?

**Needs Improvement.** This site was quick to connect and deliver initial code. It began rendering content with little delay. There were 7 render-blocking requests. The largest content rendered later than ideal.

9 9 Pro 9

## Is it Usable?

**Needs Improvement.** This site had good layout stability. It took a long time to become interactive. It had 4 accessibility issues, 2 critical. Some HTML was generated after delivery, potentially delaying usability.

4 4 Pro 4

## Is it Resilient?

**Not bad...** This site had render-blocking 3rd party requests that could be a single point of failure. It had no security issues. Some HTML was generated after delivery, which can cause fragility.

2 2 Pro 8

**You have Free Experiments Available!**

[Try them now!](#)

## Page Performance Metrics

(Based on Median Run by: ▼ [Speed Index](#))


**Note:** Metric availability will vary.

First View ([Run 3](#))

Time to First Byte	Start Render	First Contentful Paint	Speed Index	Largest Contentful Paint
<b>.726s</b>	<b>3.100s</b>	<b>3.579s</b>	<b>10.952s</b>	<b>19.0s</b>
<i>When did the content start downloading?</i>	<i>When did pixels first start to appear?</i>	<i>How soon did text and images start to appear?</i>	<i>How soon did the page look usable?</i>	<i>When did the largest content start loading?</i>




**Visual Page Loading Process** ([Explore](#))



[Compare First Views](#)

[Plot Full Results](#)



## Real-world Usage Metrics

Compare this WebPageTest run with browser-collected performance data for this site.  
(Collected anonymously by Chrome browser from January 20, 2025 to February 16, 2025 | [Full Report](#))

### First Contentful Paint (FCP)

**2.15s** (Fair)

At 75th percentile of visits.



1.43s better than this WPT test run's first view (3.58s). [Why?](#)

### Largest Contentful Paint (LCP)

**2.42s** (Good)

At 75th percentile of visits.



16.58s better than this WPT test run's first view (19.00s). [Why?](#)

### Cumulative Layout Shift (CLS)

**0.17** (Fair)

At 75th percentile of visits.



0.075 worse than this WPT test run's first view (0.095). [Why?](#)

### Time to First Byte (TTFB)

1.36s (Fair)

At 75th percentile of visits.

61%

22%

17%

.63s worse than this WPT test run's first view (.73s). [Why?](#)

### Interaction to Next Paint (INP)

.25s (Fair)

At 75th percentile of visits.

67%

24%

Field metric only, no WPT test run data.

**Note:** Why can real browser usage metrics vary from test run metrics?

## Individual Runs

Run 1:



## Waterfall

**Scr**



First View  
(Test Error: Page Load Timeout)  
(Error: Timed Out)

[Timeline \(view\)](#)  
[Processing Breakdown](#)





[Trace](#) ([view](#))



## Run 2:

	Waterfall	Scr
<div>First View (Test Error: Page Load Timeout) (Error: Timed Out)</div> <div><a href="#">Timeline (view)</a> <a href="#">Processing Breakdown</a> <a href="#">Trace (view)</a></div>		

Run 3:

	Waterfall	Screenshots
<div>First View (29.265s)</div> <div><a href="#">Timeline (view)</a> <a href="#">Processing Breakdown</a></div> <div><a href="#">Trace (view)</a></div>		
<div><a href="#">Content Breakdown</a></div>	<div>Requests</div> <div></div> <div>Bytes</div> <div></div>	



Find us on:   

[Contact Sales](#)

[Terms of Service](#)

[Privacy Policy](#)

© [Catchpoint Systems, Inc.](#) All rights reserved.