

An Inductive Proof of the Wellfoundedness of the Multiset Order

October 12, 1998

The following note presents an inductive proof of the wellfoundedness of the multiset order due to Wilfried Buchholz¹ communicated to me² by Ralph Matthes³. All typos are entirely mine.

1 Wellfounded part

Given a binary relation $<$ on a set S , the subset W of S called the **well-founded part** of S w.r.t. $<$ is defined inductively as follows [1]:

$$\frac{\forall y < x. y \in W}{x \in W}$$

The corresponding induction principle easily yields the principle of **well-founded part induction**:

$$\frac{\forall x \in W. (\forall y < x. P(y)) \Rightarrow P(x)}{\forall x \in W. P(x)}$$

It also follows that $<$ is wellfounded iff $W = S$.

2 The proof

Let $<$ be a wellfounded relation on a set A , and let $\mathcal{M}(A)$ be the set of all finite multisets over A . We use set-notation for multisets. The letters a and b range over A ; K , M and N range over $\mathcal{M}(A)$. We define the following

¹Mathematisches Institut, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München,
www.mathematik.uni-muenchen.de/~gadmin6/professoren/buchholz

²Tobias Nipkow, Institut für Informatik, Technische Universität München,
www.in.tum.de/~nipkow

³Institut für Informatik, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München,
www.tcs.informatik.uni-muenchen.de/~matthes

abbreviations:

$$\begin{aligned}
M < a &\Leftrightarrow \forall b \in M. b < a \\
N <_{mult} M &\Leftrightarrow \exists M_0, a, K. M = M_0 \cup \{a\} \wedge N = M_0 \cup K \wedge K < a \\
W &= \text{the wellfounded part of } \mathcal{M}(A) \text{ w.r.t. } <_{mult}
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.1 *If $\forall b < a. \forall M \in W. M \cup \{b\} \in W$ and $M_0 \in W$ and $\forall M <_{mult} M_0. M \cup \{a\} \in W$ then $M_0 \cup \{a\} \in W$.*

Proof by definition of W . Let $N <_{mult} M_0 \cup \{a\}$. We need to prove $N \in W$. There are two possibilities why $N <_{mult} M_0 \cup \{a\}$ holds:

- If $N = M \cup \{a\}$ for some $M <_{mult} M_0$ then $N \in W$ follows from the third assumption.
- If $N = M_0 \cup K$ for some $K < a$ then $N \in W$ follows from the first two assumptions by induction on the size of K .

□

Lemma 2.2 *If $\forall b < a. \forall M \in W. M \cup \{b\} \in W$ then $\forall M \in W. M \cup \{a\} \in W$.*

Proof From Lemma 2.1 by wellfounded part induction. □

Lemma 2.3 $\forall M \in W. M \cup \{a\} \in W$.

Proof From Lemma 2.2 by wellfounded induction on a . □

Theorem 2.4 $M \in W$.

Proof by induction on the size of M . The base case $\emptyset \in W$ holds because there is no $N <_{mult} \emptyset$. Lemma 2.3 covers the induction step. □

Thus we know that $<_{mult}$ is wellfounded on all of $\mathcal{M}(A)$.

3 Termination

As is well known, wellfoundedness is classically equivalent with termination, i.e. the absence of infinite descending chains. Taking the classical perspective, we can turn things around and define W as the set of all terminating elements of S . Now wellfounded part induction is simply good old wellfounded induction, and the inductive characterization of W is now a consequence of this direct definition of W .

References

- [1] P. Aczel. An introduction to inductive definitions. In J. Barwise, editor, *Handbook of Mathematical Logic*. North-Holland, 1977.