Modify MPC-CMP as a Threshold Signature Scheme

Modified parts are marked in red.

O Definition to Feldman's Verifiable Secret Sharing

Definition 1.1(F-VSSS, Feldman's Verifiable Secret Sharing). Define the Verifiable Secret Sharing Scheme as the four tuple (com, share, recover, vrfy). In cryptography, a secret sharing scheme is verifiable if auxiliary information is included that allows players to verify their shares as consistent. More formally, verifiable secret sharing ensures that even if the dealer is malicious there is a well-defined secret that the players can later reconstruct.

Given $ssid = (..., \mathbb{G}, q, g, P)$, Feldman's Verifiable Secret Sharing is defined as follow:

Commit to the coefficients:

Let $(\tilde{F}, \tilde{f}) \leftarrow \mathcal{SSS}(\mathbf{com}, t)$:

- Sample $f^1, \dots, f^{t-1} \leftarrow F_q$.
- ullet For $k\in\{1,\ldots,t-1\}$, set $F^k=g^{f^k}$, $ilde F=(F^k)_k$, $ilde f=(f^k)_k$.

Split the secret into multiple shares:

Let $(c, \tilde{X}, \tilde{x}) \leftarrow \mathcal{SSS}(\mathbf{share}, t, \mathbf{P}; \tilde{f}, x)$.

Define a polynomial:

$$f(
u) = x + f^1 *
u + f^2 *
u^2 + \dots + f^{t-1} *
u^{t-1}$$

- Compute $X = g^x$.
- ullet For $j\in {f P}$, set $x^j=f(j)$, $\ X^j=g^{x^j}$, $ilde X=(X^j)_j$, $\ ilde x=(x^j)_j$.
- ullet Set Feldman's commitment $c=(X, ilde{F})$

Verify the shares as consistent:

Verify $\mathcal{SSS}(\mathbf{vrfy}, j, c; x_j) = 1$.

The secret share x_j could be validated as follows:

- Compter $c_i^0 = X$
- $\bullet \ \ \operatorname{Compter} \, c_j^1 = F_1^{(j^1)} \\$
- ullet Compter $c_j^2 = F_2^{(j^2)}$
- ...
- $\bullet \quad \text{Compter } c_j^{t-1} = F_{t-1}^{(j^{t-1})} \\$
- ullet Verify $\Pi_k^{t-1}c_i^k=g_i^x$

Recover the secret:

Let $(x) \leftarrow \mathcal{SSS}(\mathbf{recover}, S \subseteq \mathbf{P}, (X, \tilde{F}); \tilde{x}).$

The origin secret x could be computed with at least t shares via the Sharmir's Secret Sharing Scheme.

- Verify $|S| \geq t$.
- Verify the SSS commitment of the shares.

- \circ For $j \in S$, verify share x_j
 - Compter $c_i^0 = X$
 - $lacksquare \mathsf{Compter}\ c_i^1 = F_1^{j^1}$
 - $lacksquare Compter \, c_i^2 = F_2^{j^2}$
 - **.** . . .
 - $\quad \blacksquare \ \ \mathsf{Compter} \ c_i^{t-1} = F_{t-1}^{j^{t-1}}$
 - lacksquare Verify $\Pi_k^{t-1}c_j^k=g_j^x$
- For $j \in S$, Compute $\ell_j(0) = \prod_{i
 eq j}^{i \in S} rac{j}{j-i} \pmod{q}$
- Compute the secret $x = \sum_{j \in S} \ell_j(0) \cdot x^j \pmod{q}$

Recover the public key related to the secret:

Let
$$(X) \leftarrow \mathcal{SSS}(\mathbf{recover_pub}, \tilde{X}, S \subseteq \mathbf{P})$$
.

With at least t public keys corresponding to the secret shares, the public key corresponding to the origin secret could be computed as follows:

- Verify $|S| \geq t$.
- ullet For $j\in S$, Compute the Lagrangian interpolation coefficients:

$$\ell_j(0) = \prod_{i \neq j}^{i \in S} \frac{j}{j-i} \pmod{q}.$$

ullet Compute the public key $X=\prod_{j\in S}\ell_j(0)\cdot X^j$

1 Protocol: Threshold Key Generation

Round 1.

Upon activation on input (**keygen**, sid, i, t) from P_i , interpret $sid = (\ldots, G, q, g, \mathbf{P})$, and do:

- Sample $\{x_i \leftarrow F_q\}$, and set $X_i = g^{x_i}$
- Sample $rid_i \leftarrow \{0,1\}^\kappa$ and compute $(A_i, au) \leftarrow \mathcal{M}(com, \Pi^{sch})$.
- Compute $(B_i, r) \leftarrow \mathcal{M}(com, \Pi^{sch})$.
- Compute the shares of x_i .
 - Compute $(c_i, \tilde{f}_i) \leftarrow \mathcal{SSS}(\mathbf{com}, t)$
 - $\quad \text{o Compute: } ((X_i, \tilde{F}_i), \tilde{X}_i, \tilde{x}_i) \leftarrow \mathcal{SSS}(\mathbf{share}, t, \mathbf{P}; \tilde{f}, x) \text{. Set } X_i^j = g^{x_i^j}, \, \tilde{X}_i = (X_i^j)_j, \, \, \tilde{x}_i = (x_i^j)_j$
- Sample $u_i \leftarrow \{0,1\}^\kappa$ and set $V_i = \mathcal{H}(sid,i,rid_i,X_i,A_i,B_i, ilde{m{X}_i,m{c}_i},u_i)).$

Round 2.

When obtaining (sid, j, V_j) from all P_j , broadcast $(sid, i, rid_i, X_i, A_i, B_i, \tilde{X}_i, c_i, u_i)$ and send (sid, i, x_i^j) to all P_j .

Round 3.

- 1. Upon receiving $(sid,j,rid_j,X_j,A_j,B_j,u_j, ilde{X}_j,c_i,x_j^i)$ from P_j , do:
 - $\qquad \text{ Verify } \mathcal{H}(sid,j,rid_j,X_j,A_j,B_j,u_j,\tilde{\pmb{X_j}},\pmb{c_i}) = V_j.$
 - $\circ \;\; ext{Verify} \; \mathcal{SSS}(\mathbf{vrfy}, i, c_i; x^i_j) = 1.$
- 2. When obtaining the above from all P_i , do:
 - $\circ \;\;$ Set $x_i' = \sum_{j \in P} x_j^i$

```
 \begin{split} & \circ \  \, \text{For} \, j \in P, \text{set} \, X_j' = \prod_{k \in P} X_k^j. \\ & \circ \  \, \text{Set} \, \tilde{X}' = (X_j')_j \\ & \circ \  \, \text{Set} \, rid = \oplus_j rid_j. \\ & \circ \  \, \text{Compute} \, \psi_i = \mathcal{M}(prove, \Pi^{sch}, (sid, i, rid), X_i; x_i, \tau). \\ & \circ \  \, \text{Compute} \, \phi_i = \mathcal{M}(prove, \Pi^{sch}, (sid, i, rid), X_i'; x_i', r) \\ & \text{Send} \, (sid, i, \psi_i, \phi_i) \, \text{to all} \, P_i. \end{split}
```

Output.

- 1. Upon receiving (sid, j, ψ_j) from P_j , interpret $\psi_j = (\hat{A}_j, \ldots)$ and $\phi_j = (\hat{B}_j, \ldots)$, and do:
 - \circ Verify $\hat{A}_j = A_j$.
 - \circ Verify $\mathcal{M}(vrfy,\Pi^{sch},(sid,j,rid),X_j,\psi_j)=1.$
 - \circ Verify $\hat{B}_j = B_j$.
 - ullet Verify $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{vrfy},\Pi^{sch},(sid,j,rid),X_j^*,\phi_j)=1$
- 2. When passing above verification from all P_{j} , do:
 - $\circ \ \ \operatorname{Set} X = \Pi_j X_j.$
 - \circ Compute $X' \leftarrow \mathcal{SSS}(\mathbf{recover_pub}, \tilde{X}')$.
 - \circ Verify X = X'
 - $\circ \;\;$ Set $X_j = X_j'$ for all $j \in P$
 - \circ Set $x_i = x_i'$
 - \circ Output X.

Error. When failing a a verification report the culprit and halt.

Stored State. Store the following: $rid, t, X, \tilde{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n), \mathbf{P}$ and x_i .

2 Protocol: Auxiliary Info. & Threshold Key Refresh

Round 1.

On input (aux - info, ssid, i) from P_i , do:

- Sample two $4\kappa-bit$ long safe primes (p_i,q_i) . Set $N_i=p_iq_i$.
- Sample $y_i \leftarrow \mathbb{F}_q$ and set $Y_i = g^{y_i}$. Sample $(B_i, au) \leftarrow \mathcal{M}(com, \Pi^{sch})$.
- Compute the shares of 0:
 - \circ Compute $(c_i, \tilde{f}_i) \leftarrow \mathcal{SSS}(\mathbf{com}, t)$
 - $\circ \ (c, ilde{X}_i, ilde{x}_i) \leftarrow \mathcal{SSS}(\mathbf{share}, t, \mathbf{P}; ilde{f}_i, 0)$
 - $\circ \ X_i^j = g^{x_i^j}, \tilde{X}_i = (X_i^j)_j, \tilde{x}_i = (x_i^j)_j.$
- ullet Sample $r \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_{N_i}^*$, $\lambda \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\phi(N_i)}$, set $t_i = r^2 \pmod{N_i}$, and $s_i = t_i^\lambda \pmod{N_i}$.

Compute $\hat{\psi}_i = \mathcal{M}(prove, \Pi^{prm}, (ssid, i), (N_i, s_i, t_i); \lambda)$

- Sample $(A_i^j, au_j) \leftarrow \mathcal{M}(Com, \Pi^{sch})$, for $j \in \mathbf{P}$. Set $A_i = (A_i^j)_j$.
- $\bullet \ \ \mathsf{Sample} \ \rho_i, u_i \leftarrow 0, 1^\kappa \ \mathsf{and} \ \mathsf{compute} \ V_i = \mathcal{H}(ssid, i, X_i, \tilde{X}_i, \tilde{F}_i, A_i, Y_i, B_i, N_i, s_i, t_i, \hat{\psi}_i, \rho_i, u_i).$

Broadcast (ssid, i, V_i).

Round 2.

When obtaining (sid,j,V_j) from all P_j , broadcast $(ssid,i,X_i,\tilde{X}_i,\tilde{F}_i,A_i,Y_i,B_i,N_i,s_i,t_i,\hat{\psi}_i,\rho_i,u_i)$ to all.

Round 3.

- 1. Upon receiving $(ssid,j,X_j,\tilde{X}_j,\tilde{F}_j,A_j,Y_j,B_j,N_j,s_j,t_j,\hat{\psi}_j,\rho_j,u_j)$ from P_j , do:
 - Verify $N_i \geq 2^{8\kappa}$ and $\mathcal{M}(verify, \Pi^{prm}, (ssid, j), (N_i, s_i, t_i), \hat{\psi}_i) = 1$.
 - \circ Verify $\mathcal{H}(sid,j,X_j, ilde{X}_i, ilde{F}_i,A_j,Y_j,B_j,N_j,s_j,t_j,\hat{\psi}_j,
 ho_j,u_j)=V_j$.
 - \circ Verify $\mathcal{SSS}(\mathbf{recover_pub}, (id_G, \tilde{F}_i), \mathbf{P}, \tilde{X}_i) = id_G$.
- 2. When passing above verifification all P_i , set $ho = \oplus
 ho_i$ and do:
 - \circ Compute $\psi_i = \mathcal{M}(prove, \Pi^{mod}, (sid,
 ho_i), N_i; (p_i, q_i)).$
 - \circ Compute $\phi_i = \mathcal{M}(prove, \Pi^{fac}, (sid, \rho_i), (N_i, \kappa); (p_i, q_i)).$
 - $\circ \ \ \mathsf{For} \ j \in P \text{, set} \ C_i^j = enc_j(x_i^j) \ \mathsf{and} \ \psi_i^j = \mathcal{M}(prove, \Pi^{sch}, (ssid, \rho, i), X_i^j; x_i^j, \tau_j).$
 - \circ Compute $\pi_i = \mathcal{M}(prove, \Pi^{sch}, (sid, i, rid), Y_i; y_i, \tau)$.

Send $(sid, i, \psi_i, \phi_i, \pi_i, C_i^j, \psi_i^j)$ to all P_i .

Output.

- 1. Upon receiving $(sid, j, \psi_j, \phi_j, \pi_j, C_i^i, \psi_j^i)$ from P_j , set $x_i^i = dec_i(C_i^i) \pmod{q}$ and do:
 - $\qquad \text{Verify } g^{x^i_j} = X^i_j. \text{ If } g^{x^i_j} \neq X^i_j \text{ calculate } \mu = (C^i_j \cdot (1+N_i)^{-x^i_j})^{1/N} \pmod{N}^2 \text{ and do:} \\ \text{Dec Error: Send to all } (P_j, C^i_j, x^i_j, \mu) \text{ to each } P_j.$
 - \circ Verify $\mathcal{M}(vrfy,j,(id_G, ilde{F}_j),ssid;x^i_j)=1.$
 - $\circ \ \ \mathsf{Verify} \ \mathcal{M}(prove, \Pi^{mod}, (sid, \rho, j), N_j; (p_j, q_j)) = 1 \ \mathsf{and} \ \ \mathcal{M}(prove, \Pi^{fac}, (sid, \rho, j), (N_j, \kappa); (p_j, q_j)) = 1 \ \mathsf{and} \ \ \mathcal{M}(prove, \Pi^{fac}, (sid, \rho, j), (N_j, \kappa); (p_j, q_j)) = 1 \ \mathsf{and} \ \ \mathcal{M}(prove, \Pi^{fac}, (sid, \rho, j), (N_j, \kappa); (p_j, q_j)) = 1 \ \mathsf{and} \ \ \mathcal{M}(prove, \Pi^{fac}, (sid, \rho, j), (N_j, \kappa); (p_j, q_j)) = 1 \ \mathsf{and} \ \ \mathcal{M}(prove, \Pi^{fac}, (sid, \rho, j), (N_j, \kappa); (p_j, q_j)) = 1 \ \mathsf{and} \ \ \mathcal{M}(prove, \Pi^{fac}, (sid, \rho, j), (N_j, \kappa); (p_j, q_j)) = 1 \ \mathsf{and} \ \ \mathcal{M}(prove, \Pi^{fac}, (sid, \rho, j), (N_j, \kappa); (p_j, q_j)) = 1 \ \mathsf{and} \ \ \mathsf{M}(prove, \Pi^{fac}, (sid, \rho, j), (N_j, \kappa); (p_j, q_j)) = 1 \ \mathsf{and} \ \ \mathsf{M}(prove, \Pi^{fac}, (sid, \rho, j), (N_j, \kappa); (p_j, q_j)) = 1 \ \mathsf{and} \ \ \mathsf{M}(prove, \Pi^{fac}, (sid, \rho, j), (N_j, \kappa); (p_j, q_j)) = 1 \ \mathsf{and} \ \ \mathsf{M}(prove, \Pi^{fac}, (sid, \rho, j), (N_j, \kappa); (p_j, q_j)) = 1 \ \mathsf{and} \ \ \mathsf{M}(prove, \Pi^{fac}, (sid, \rho, j), (N_j, \kappa); (p_j, q_j)) = 1 \ \mathsf{and} \ \ \mathsf{M}(prove, \Pi^{fac}, (sid, \rho, j), (N_j, \kappa); (p_j, q_j)) = 1 \ \mathsf{and} \ \ \mathsf{M}(prove, \Pi^{fac}, (sid, \rho, j), (N_j, \kappa); (p_j, q_j)) = 1 \ \mathsf{And} \ \ \mathsf{M}(prove, \Pi^{fac}, (sid, \rho, j), (N_j, \kappa); (p_j, q_j)) = 1 \ \mathsf{And} \ \ \mathsf{And} \ \ \mathsf{And} \ \ \mathsf{And} \ \mathsf{And} \ \mathsf{And} \ \ \mathsf{And} \$
 - $\quad \text{Interpret } \pi_j = (\hat{B}_j, \ldots) \text{, and Verify } \hat{B}_j = B_j \text{ and } \mathcal{M}(prove, \Pi^{sch}, (sid, j, rid), Y_j; y_j, \tau) = 1.$
 - \circ For $k\in P$, interpret $\psi_j^k=(\hat{A}_j^k,\ldots)$, and verify $\hat{A}_j=A_j$ and verify $\mathcal{M}(vrfy,\Pi^{sch},(sid,rho,i),X_j^k,\psi_j^k)=1$
- 2. When passing above verification from all P_{i} , do:
 - Set $x_i = x_i + \sum_j x_j^i \pmod{q}$.
 - $\circ \ \ \mathsf{Set} \ X_j = X_j + \Pi_{k \in P} X_k^j \ \ \mathsf{for} \ j \in P \ \mathsf{and} \ ilde{X} = (X_k)_k$
 - $\quad \circ \ \ \mathsf{Compute} \ X' \leftarrow \mathcal{SSS}(\mathbf{recover_pub}, ssid, \tilde{X}^*) \ . \\$
 - $\circ \ \ \mathsf{Verify} \ X' = X$
 - $\quad \text{Output } (ssid, i, \tilde{X} = (X_j)_j, \tilde{Y} = (Y_j)_j, \tilde{N} = (N_j)_j, \tilde{s} = (s_j)_j, \tilde{t} = (t_j)_j).$

Error. When failing a a verification or receiving a Dec Error report the culprit and halt.

Stored State. Store the following: (x_i, y_i, p_i, q_i) .

3 Protocol: ECDSA Pre-Signing and Signing

The key shard needs to preprocessed.

On input

 $(key-shard-preprocess,ssid,i,S\subseteq\mathbf{P}, ilde{X}=(X_j)_j, ilde{Y}=(Y_j)_j, ilde{N}=(N_j)_j, ilde{s}=(s_j)_j, ilde{t}=(t_j)_j,(x_i^*,y_i,p_i,q_i))$

• Compute the Lagrangian interpolation coefficients:

$$\ell_i(0) = \prod_{i \neq j}^{j \in S} \frac{i}{i-j} \pmod{q}.$$

- Set $x_i = \ell_i(0) \cdot x_i \pmod{q}$.
- Set $X_j = \ell_i(0) \cdot X_j$, for every $j \in \mathbf{G}$.
- $\bullet \ \ \text{Output } (ssid,i,S\subseteq \mathbf{P},\tilde{X}=(X_j)_j,\tilde{Y}=(Y_j)_j,\tilde{N}=(N_j)_j,\tilde{s}=(s_j)_j,\tilde{t}=(t_j)_j) \text{, and } (x_i,y_i,p_i,q_i).$

You can invoke the ECDSA Pre-Signing and Signing Protocol with the preprocessed key.

4 Some typos In MPC-CMP

In the ZK Proof Π^{mul*} (FIGURE 31, P68) :

ullet The sampling of r_y in step 1 is redandant and r_y is never used.

$$r_y \leftarrow Z_{N_1}^*$$

• The computation of *A* in step 1 should be:

$$A = C^{\alpha} * r^{N_0} \pmod{N}_0^2$$

Specification

A Used Constants

The constants used in ZK lists as follows:

- l = 256
- l' = 1280
- $\varepsilon = 512$

B Elliptic Curves

Technical details

As excerpted from Standards:

The elliptic curve domain parameters over F_p associated with a Koblitz curve secp256k1 are specified by the sextuple T=(p,a,b,G,n,h) where the finite field F_p is defined by:

The curve E: y2 = x3 + ax + b over F_p is defined by:

The base point G in compressed form is:

G = 02 79BE667E F9DCBBAC 55A06295 CE870B07 029BFCDB 2DCE28D9 59F2815B 16F81798 and in uncompressed form is:

G = 04 79BE667E F9DCBBAC 55A06295 CE870B07 029BFCDB 2DCE28D9 59F2815B 16F81798 483ADA77 26A3C465 5DA4FBFC 0E1108A8 FD17B448 A6855419 9C47D08F FB10D4B8

Finally the order n of G and the cofactor are:

n = FFFFFFF FFFFFFF FFFFFFF BAAEDCE6 AF48A03B BFD25E8C D0364141 h = 01

Properties

secp256k1 has characteristic p, it is defined over the prime field \mathbb{Z}_p . Some other curves in common use have characteristic 2, and are defined over a binary Galois field $GF(2^n)$, but secp256k1 is not one of them. As the a constant is zero, the ax term in the curve equation is always zero, hence the curve equation becomes $y^2=x^3+7$.

C Used Hash Function

- Sha256
- Sha512

D Fiat-Shamir transcripts

The following elements is defined in zero knowledge protocol:

- ullet Setup: Optional. For example, the ring pedeson parameters (N,s,t)
- Statement: Given by the prover.
- Witness: Owned by the prover.

For any NIZK protocol, all the information should be included into the initial transcript of the hash function that is publically available to the verifier.

c = H(SSID/SID||Setup||Statement)