COM6115: Text Processing

Sentiment Analysis: Approaches

Chenghua Lin

Department of Computer Science University of Sheffield

Learning Outcomes

By the end of the SA sessions, you will be able to:

- Explain the relevance of the topic
- Differentiate between objective and subjective texts
- List the main elements in a sentiment analysis system
- Provide a critical summary of the main approaches for the problem
- Explain how sentiment analysis systems are evaluated.

Overview

- Definition of the problem of sentiment analysis
- Approaches to sentiment analysis
- Evaluation of sentiment analysis approaches

Based on survey and slides by Bing Liu (University of Illinois at Chicago), 2012.

Two approaches to SA

- Lexicon-based
 - Binary
 - Gradable
- Corpus-based/Supervised machine learning

A simple approach to SA: lexicon-based

Use a lexicon of opinion/emotion words, like: good, bad, horrible, great, etc.

Rule-based sentiment classifier (sentence/document-level)

- 1 Rule-based **subjectivity classifier**: a sentence/document is **subjective** if it has at least n (say 2) words from the emotion words lexicon; a sentence/document is **objective** otherwise.
- 2 Rule-based **sentiment classifier**: for subjective sentences/documents, count positive and negative words/phrases in the sentence/document. If more negative than positive words/phrases, then negative; otherwise, positive (if equal, neutral).

Rule-based sentiment classifier (feature-level)

- Assume features can be identified in previous step by information extraction techniques, e.g., battery, phone, screen.
- For each feature, count positive and negative emotion words/phrases from the lexicon.
- If more negative than positive words/phrases, then negative; otherwise, positive (if equal, neutral).

Rule-based sentiment classifier (feature-based)

- Simple approach:
 - ♦ Input: a pair (f, s), where f is a product feature and s is a sentence that contains f.
 - \diamond Output: whether the emotion on f in s is positive, negative, or neutral.
 - ◆ **Step 1**: work on the sentence *s* containing *f*.
 - **Step 2**: select emotion words in s: $w_1, ..., w_n$.
 - ♦ Step 3: assign orientations for these emotion words: 1 = positive, -1 = negative, 0 = neutral.
 - **Step 4**: sum up the orientation and assign the orientation to (f, s) accordingly.
- More advanced approaches split the sentence in parts, e.g., based on BUT words ("but", "except that", ...).

Caveats

- Certain words have context-independent orientations, e.g. "great".
- Other emotion words have context-dependent orientations, e.g.
 - ♦ small power consumption = positive
 - ♦ small screen = negative
 - consume valuable resources = negative
 - consume disgusting waste = positive
- One has to deal with negation, e.g.:
 - ◇ not great = negative
 - not bad = positive
- One has to deal with intensifiers:
 - very good is more positive than good
 - extremely boring = is more negative than boring or very boring

Can store more fine-grained sentiment information in lexicon and add additional **rules**.

Two approaches to SA

- Lexicon-based
 - Binary
 - Gradable
- Corpus-based/Supevised machine learning

Use of ranges of sentiment instead of a binary system, to deal with intensifiers like:

 absolutely, utterly, completely, totally, nearly, virtually, essentially, mainly, almost, e.g.: absolutely awful

And grading adverbs like:

 Very, little, dreadfully, extremely, fairly, hugely, immensely, intensely, rather, reasonably, slightly, unusually, e.g.: a little bit cold

Rule-based gradable sentiment classifier

- Classifies general valence of a text (document-, sentence- or feature-level) based on the level of emotional content
- Level of emotional content given by:
 - 1 The **lexicon**: word-lists with pre-assigned emotional weights, e.g. Neg. dimension (C_{neg}): {-5,...,-1}, Pos. dimension (C_{pos}): {+1,...,+5}

```
careful 3
bore
boring -3 careless
bother -1 cares
brave 3 caring 3
bright 2 casual 2
brillian 2 casually
broke -1 certain 2
brutal -3 challeng
burden -1 champ
calm 2 charit 2
care 2
           charm
           cheat
                 -3
cared
carefree 2
```

- Ctd:
 - 2 Additional general rules to change the original weights:

Negation rule: E.g.: "I am not good today". Emotion(good)= +3; "not" is detected in neighbourhood (of 5 words around); so emotional valence of "good" is decreased by 1 and sign is inverted \rightarrow Emotion(good) = -2

Capitalization rule: E.g. "I am GOOD today".

Emotion(good)= +3; Add +1 to positive words → Emotion(GOOD) = +4

Likewise, in "I am AWFUL today".

Emotion(good) = 4. Add = 1 to positive words → Emotion(good).

Emotion(awful) = -4; Add -1 to negative words \rightarrow Emotion(awful) = -5

Intensifier rule

- Needs a list of intensifiers: "definitely", "very", "extremely", etc.
- Each intensifiers has a weight, e.g. Weight(very)=1;
 Weight(extremely)=2
- The weight is added to positive terms
- The weight is subtracted from negative terms
- E.g.: "I am feeling very good".
 Emotion(good) = +3; emotional valence of "good" increased by 1
 → Emotion(good) = +4
- E.g. "This was an extremely boring game"
 Emotion(boring)=-3; emotional valence of "boring" decreased by -2
 → Emotion(boring) = -5

Diminisher rule

- Need a list: "somewhat", "barely", "rarely", etc.
- Each intensifiers has a weight
- The weight is subtracted from positive terms
- The weight is added to negative terms
- E.g.: "I am somewhat good".
 Emotion(good)= +3; emotional valence of "good" decreased by 1
 → Emotion(good) = +2
- E.g. "This was a slightly boring game" Emotion(boring)=-3; emotional valence of "boring" increased by 1 \rightarrow Emotion(boring) = -2

```
Exclamation rule: Functions like intensifiers. E.g.: "Great show!!!". Emotion(great) = +3; Weight(!!!) = 2 \rightarrow Emotion(great) = 5
```

Emoticon rule: Each has its own emotional weight, like an emotion word. E.g.: Emotion(\odot) = +2; Emotion(\odot) = -2. E.g.: "I can't believe this product \odot "

 \rightarrow Emotion(\odot)=-2

- Final decision based on ALL emotion words:
 - ♦ If $|C_{pos}| > |C_{neg}|$ then {positive} ♦ If $|C_{pos}| < |C_{neg}|$ then {negative} ♦ If $|C_{pos}| = |C_{neg}|$ then {neutral}
- E.g.: "He is brilliant but boring":
 Emotion(brilliant) = 2; Emotion(boring) = -3
 → C_{pos} = 2, C_{neg} = -3, so {negative}
- E.g.: "I am not good today": Emotion(good) = -2 $\rightarrow C_{pos} = 0$, $C_{neg} = -2$, so {negative}
- E.g.: "I am not GOOD today": (Emotion(good)=3) → ???
- E.g.: "I am so surprised by this product!!! @": (Emotion(@)=-2) \rightarrow ???

Advantages:

- Works effectively with different texts: forums, blogs, etc.
- Language independent as long as an up-to-date lexicon of emotion words is available
- Doesn't require data for training
- Can be extended with additional lexica, e.g. for new emotion words/symbols as they become popular, esp. in social media

Disadvantages

 Requires a lexicon of emotion words, which should be fairly comprehensive, covering new words, abbreviations (LOL, m8, etc.), misspelled words, etc.

E.g.: In a dataset from MySpace, 95% of comments contained at least one spelling error!

For both binary and gradable approaches, how to obtain lexica of emotion words?

Task: Collect relevant words/phrases that can be used to express sentiment. Determine the emotion of these subjective word/phrases.

- Manually: word lists with pre-assigned emotional weights
- Semi-automatically
 - Dictionary-based: find synonyms/antonyms of seed emotion words in dictionaries like WordNet
 - Corpus-based: find synonyms/antonyms of seed emotion words in corpora

Mostly adjectives

- Positive: e.g.: honest, important, mature, large, patient, ...
- Negative: harmful, hypocritical, inefficient, insecure

Verbs

- Positive: praise, love
- Negative: blame, criticize

Nouns

- Positive: pleasure, enjoyment
- Negative: pain, criticism


Phrases (esp. for collocations, but also alternative to having intensifiers weighted separately)

- Positive: high intelligence, low cost
- Negative: little variation, many problems

Manually created resources, such as:

- SentiWordNet: Wordnet is a database with words grouped into sets
 of synonyms (synsets), and organised by semantic relations between
 them: synonyms, antonyms, hypernyms, etc. SentiWordNet is a
 version of it with one of three sentiment scores for each synset:
 positivity, negativity, objectivity.
- Linguistic Inquiry and Word Count (LIWC) lexicon: made by psychologists with lists of words with various emotional and other dimensions.
- General Inquirer: terms with various types of positive or negative semantic orientation.


SentiWordNet



Linguistic Inquiry and Word Count lexicon

Category	Abbrev	Examples	Words In Category	
Psychological Processes				
Social processes	social	Mate, talk, they, child	455	
Family	family	Daughter, husband, aunt	64	
Friends	friend	Buddy, friend, neighbor	37	
Humans	human	Adult, baby, boy	61	
Affective processes	affect	Happy, cried, abandon	915	
Positive emotion	posemo	Love, nice, sweet	406	
Negative emotion	negemo	Hurt, ugly, nasty	499	
Anxiety	anx	Worried, fearful, nervous	91	
Anger	anger	Hate, kill, annoyed	184	
Sadness	sad	Crying, grief, sad	101	
Cognitive processes	cogmech	cause, know, ought	730	
Insight	insight	think, know, consider	195	

General Inquirer: words classified in many categories, including: positive (1,915) and negative (2,291).



Free dictionary:

http://www.wjh.harvard.edu/~inquirer/homecat.htm

Semi-automatically created from seed words: start with **seed positive and negative words**:

- Search for synonyms/antonyms in dictionaries like WordNet; OR
- Build patterns from seed words/phrases to search on large corpora, like the Web:

 - "low cost but" (-)
 - "very nice and" (+)

Lexica of emotion words/phrases (ctd) - from dictionaries

Word to search fo	r: nice	Search WordNet		
Display Options:	(Select option to change) 💲 Cha	nge		
Key: "S:" = Show Synset (semantic) relations, "W:" = Show Word (lexical) relations				
Display options for sense: (gloss) "an example sentence"				

Noun

 S: (n) Nice (a city in southeastern France on the Mediterranean; the leading resort on the French Riviera)

Adjective


- S: (adj) nice (pleasant or pleasing or agreeable in nature or appearance) "what a nice fellow you are and we all thought you so nasty"-. George Meredith; "nice manners"; "a nice dress"; "a nice face"; "a nice day"; "had a nice time at the party"; "the com and tomatoes are nice today"
- S: (adj) decent, nice (socially or conventionally correct; refined or virtuous) "from a decent family": "a nice qirl"
- S: (adj) nice, skillful (done with delicacy and skill) "a nice bit of craft"; "a job requiring nice measurements with a micrometer": "a nice shot"
- S: (adj) dainty, nice, overnice, prissy, squeamish (excessively fastidious and easily disgusted) "too nice about his food to take to camp cooking"; "so squeamish he would only touch the toilet handle with his elbow"
- S: (adj) courteous, gracious, nice (exhibiting courtesy and politeness) "a nice gesture"

Lexica of emotion words/phrases (ctd) - from dictionaries


Adjective

- S: (adj) dirty, soiled, unclean (soiled or likely to soil with dirt or grime) "dirty unswept sidewalks"; "a child in dirty overalls"; "dirty slums"; "piles of dirty dishes"; "put his dirty feet on the clean sheet"; "wore an unclean shirt"; "mining is a dirty job"; "Cinderella did the dirty work while her sisters preened themselves"
- S: (adj) dirty ((of behavior or especially language) characterized by obscenity or indecency) "dirty words"; "a dirty old man"; "dirty books and movies"; "boys telling dirty jokes"; "has a dirty mouth"
- S: (adj) dirty, filthy, lousy (vile; despicable) "a dirty (or lousy) trick"; "a filthy traitor"
- S: (adj) dirty, contaminating (spreading pollution or contamination; especially radioactive contamination) "the air near the foundry was always dirty"; "a dirty bomb releases enormous amounts of long-lived radioactive fallout"
- S: (adj) dirty, pestiferous (contaminated with infecting organisms) "dirty wounds";
 "obliged to go into infected rooms"- Jane Austen
- S: (adj) dirty, dingy, muddied, muddy ((of color) discolored by impurities; not bright
 and clear) "dirty" is often used in combination; "a dirty (or dingy) white"; "the muddied
 grey of the sea"; "muddy colors"; "dirty-green walls"; "dirty-blonde hair"
- S: (adj) dirty, foul, marked-up ((of a manuscript) defaced with changes) "foul (or dirty) copy"
- S: (adj) dirty, ill-gotten (obtained illegally or by improper means) "dirty money";
 "ill-gotten gains"
- S: (adj) dirty (expressing or revealing hostility or dislike) "dirty looks"
- S: (adj) cheating, dirty, foul, unsporting, unsportsmanlike (violating accepted standards or rules) "a dirty fighter"; "used foul means to gain power"; "a nasty unsporting serve"; "fined for unsportsmanlike behavior"
- S: (adj) dirty, sordid, shoddy (unethical or dishonest) "dirty police officers"; "a sordid political campaign": "shoddy business practices"
- <u>S:</u> (adj) dirty (unpleasantly stormy) "there's dirty weather in the offing"


Lexica of emotion words/phrases (ctd) - from corpora



Lexica of emotion words/phrases (ctd) - from corpora



Lexica of emotion words/phrases (ctd) - from corpora



Two approaches to SA

- Lexicon-based
 - Binary
 - Gradable
- Corpus-based/Supervised machine learning

A corpus-based approach to SA

Idea: Mostly "supervised learning": corpora of examples annotated with sentiment are used with machine learning algorithms to learn a classifier for each sentence/document. Corpora can be built:

- Manually: reliable, can be used as gold-standards
- <u>From crowd-annotated resources</u>, like Amazon Product Reviews (1-5 stars); Rotten Tomatoes, complaints.com, bitterlemons.com

Corpus: a collection of text segments (e.g. webpages, blog posts, reviews, tweets, etc) with humanly-annotated emotional indicators (e.g. positive, negative, etc).

E.g.: "If you are reading this because it is your darling fragrance, please wear it at home exclusively and tape the windows shut." \rightarrow {negative}

A corpus-based approach to SA - Corpora

Examples of corpora:

- Subjectivity corpus
 - ◆ 10,000 sentences: subjective/objective
 - Objective: IMDB plot summaries
 - Subjective: Rotten Tomatoes website.
- "Movie Review" corpus (Pang, Lee and Vaithyanathan, 2002):
 - 2,000 movie reviews (equal number of positive/negative)
 - Source: IMDB
- Many more:

http://www.cs.uic.edu/~liub/FBS/sentiment-analysis.html

A corpus-based approach to SA - Features

Mostly words, but also other <u>linguistic traits</u> describing positive/negative examples:

- Words (unigrams)
- n-grams (sequences of n words)
- Emotions from words/phrases extracted from dictionaries
- Part-of-speech (POS) tags
- Syntactic patterns (e.g. sequences of POS tags)
- Language model scores: similarities to positive/negative corpora
- Negations

All automatically extracted from the corpus.

A corpus-based approach to SA - Machine Learning

Two steps:

- I Subjectivity classifier: first run binary classifier to identify and then eliminate objective segments
- 2 Sentiment classifier with remaining segments: learn how to combine and weight different attributes to make predictions. E.g. Naive Bayes

Pre-processing of corpus similar to IR:

- Remove HTML or other tags
- Remove stopwords
- Perform word stemming/lemmatisation
- etc.

Extra reading

Bing Liu and Lei Zhang (2012). A survey on opinion mining and sentiment analysis. Kluwer Academic Publishers:

http://www.cs.uic.edu/~lzhang3/paper/opinion_survey.pdf