# Föllmer-Schweizer Decomposition and Application

El Hadj Aly DIA\*

#### Abstract

In this note we will present the important results of [1]. These results can be used to valuate European options in models where the log price of the underlying asset is a process with independent increments.

### 1 Introduction

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t\geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$  be a probability space. We consider an underlying asset price given by  $S_{t_k} = S_0 \exp(X_{t_k})$  for  $k = \{0, \dots, N\}$ , where  $t_0, \dots, t_N$  are the trading dates and the process X is a process with independent increments. We will write k instead of  $t_k$  in the sequel. Denote by H the payoff of the option with underlying asset S, the Variance-Optimal pricing and hedging problem consist in finding an initial endowment  $V_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  and an optimal strategy  $\varphi = (\varphi_k)_{1 \leq k \leq N}$  which minimizes

$$\mathbb{E}\left(V_T^N - H\right)^2 \quad with \quad V_T^N = V_0 + \sum_{k=1}^N \varphi_k \Delta S_{t_k}. \tag{1.1}$$

The reason of such framework is explained in [1]. We will introduce some definitions and assumptions used which will be used in the sequel. For more details see [1].

**Definition 1.1** We say that S satisfies the non-degeneracy condition (ND) if there exists a constant  $\delta \in ]0,1[$  such that

$$\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\Delta S_k/\mathcal{F}_{k-1}\right]\right)^2 \leq \delta \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\Delta S_k\right)^2/\mathcal{F}_{k-1}\right],$$

 $\mathbb{P}$  a.s. for  $k = 1, \ldots, N$ .

**Definition 1.2** We define the discrete cumulant generating function as

$$m: D \times \{0, \dots, N\} \to \mathbb{C} \text{ with } m(z, k) = \mathbb{E}e^{z\Delta X_k},$$

where  $D = \{z \in \mathbb{C}, \ \mathbb{E} \exp(z\Delta X_N) < \infty\}.$ 

**Assumption I** S satisfies the non-degeneracy condition.

**Assumption II** 1.  $\Delta X_k$  is never deterministic for any k = 01, ..., N.

 $2. \ 2 \in D.$ 

<sup>\*</sup>INRIA Paris-Rocquencourt, domaine de Voluceau, BP 105, 78153 Le Chesnay Cedex France (dia.eha@gmail.com).

## 2 Discrete Föllmer-Schweizer Decomposition

In this section we will derive discrete Föllmer-Schweizer decomposition for some kind of payoffs. More details can be found in [1].

**Proposition 2.1** Under Assumption II, let  $z \in D$  fixed, such that  $2Re(z) \in D$ . Then  $H(z) = S_N^z$  admits a discrete Föllmer-Schweizer decomposition

$$\begin{cases} H(z)_n = H(z)_0 + \sum_{k=1}^n \xi(z)_k \Delta S_k + L(z)_n \\ H(z)_N = H(z) = S_N^z, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{split} H(z)_n &= h(z,n)S_n^z, \quad \forall n \in \{0,\dots,N\} \\ \xi(z)_n &= g(z,n)h(z,n)S_{n-1}^{z-1}, \quad \forall n \in \{1,\dots,N\} \\ L(z)_n &= H(z)_n - H(z)_0 - \sum_{k=1}^n \xi(z)_k \Delta S_k, \quad \forall n \in \{0,\dots,N\}, \end{split}$$

and g(z,n), h(z,n) are defined by

$$h(z,n) = \prod_{i=n+1}^{N} (m(z,i) - g(z,i)(m(1,i) - 1))$$
  
$$g(z,n) = \frac{m(z+1,n) - m(1,n)m(z,n)}{m(2,n) - m(1,n)^{2}}$$

Consider now that

$$H = f(S_N), \quad with \quad f(s) = \int_{\mathbb{C}} s^z \Pi(dz),$$

where  $\Pi$  is a finite complex measure in the sense of Rudin [2], Section 6.1. For examples we have

$$(s-K)^{+} - s = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \in_{R-iB}^{R+iB} s^{z} \frac{K^{1-z}}{z(z-1)} dz, \text{ for arbitrary } 0 < R < 1, \ s > 0, \ K > 0$$
$$(K-s)^{+} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \in_{R-iB}^{R+iB} s^{z} \frac{K^{1-z}}{z(z-1)} dz, \text{ for arbitrary } R < 0, \ s > 0, \ K > 0$$

Set  $I_0 = supp\Pi \cap \mathbb{R}$ .

**Assumption III** 1.  $I_0$  is compact.

2. 
$$2I_0 \subset D$$
.

**Proposition 2.2** We suppose the validity of Assumptions II and III. Any contingent claim  $H = f(S_N)$  admits the real discrete Föllmer-Schweizer decomposition given by

$$\begin{cases} H_n = H_0 + \sum_{k=1}^n \xi_k^H \Delta S_k + L_n^H \\ H_N = H \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{split} H_n &= \int_{\mathbb{C}} H(z)_n \Pi(dz) \\ \xi_n^H &= \int_{\mathbb{C}} \xi(z)_n \Pi(dz) \\ L_n^H &= \int_{\mathbb{C}} L(z)_n \Pi(dz) = H_n - H_0 - \sum_{k=1}^n \xi_k^H \Delta S_k. \end{split}$$

The processes  $(H_n)$ ,  $(\xi_n^H)$  and  $(L_n^H)$  are real-valued.

The fundamental result of [1] is given by the following Theorem.

**Theorem 2.3** We suppose the validity of Assumptions II and III. Let  $H = f(S_N)$ . A solution to the optimal problem (1.1) is given by  $(V_0^*, \varphi^*)$  with  $V_0^* = H_0$  and  $\varphi^*$  is determined by

$$\varphi_n^* = \xi_n^H + \lambda_n \left( H_{n-1} - H_0 - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \varphi_i^* \Delta S_i \right),$$

where

$$\lambda_n = \frac{1}{S_{n-1}} \frac{m(1,n) - 1}{m(2,n) - 2m(1,n) + 1}.$$

Moreover the solution is unique (up to a null set).

#### References

- [1] GOUTE, S., OUDJANE N., RUSSO F.: Variance optimal hedging for discrete time process with independent increments. Application to electricity markets, Working paper (2010).
- [2] RUDIN, W.: Real and complex analysis, third edition. New York: McGraw-Hill (1987).
- [3] Schweizer, M.: Variance-optimal hedging in discrete time, Mathematics of Operations Research, 20, 1-32 (1995).