1er mars 2012

The following method to compute the hedge of a CDO tranche is based on the article of J-P. Laurent, A. Cousin and J-D. Fermanian [1]

# Premia 14

# 1 CDO tranche hedging

We consider a synthetic CDO of maturity T based on n companies which can make default at a random time  $\tau_i$ ,  $1 \le i \le n$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{H}_t$  the natural filtration generated by the default times and we assume that no simultaneous default can occur.

We suppose also the existence of some  $(\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{H}_t)$  intensities for the counting processes  $N_i(t) = \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_i \leq t\}}, i = 1, \dots, n$  i.e. there exist some  $\mathcal{H}_t$  predictable processes  $(\alpha_i^{\mathbb{P}})_{(1 \leq i \leq n)}$  such that  $t \mapsto N_i(t) - \int_0^t \alpha_i^{\mathbb{P}}(s) ds$  are  $(\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{H}_t)$ -martingales.

### 1.1 Market assumptions

We assume that instantaneous digital default swaps are traded on the names. A such product provides a payoff of  $dN_i(t) - \alpha_i(t)dt$  at t + dt. dN(t) is the payment of the default leg while  $\alpha_i(t)dt$  is the one of the payment leg.

We further assume that default-free interest rates are constant and equal to r. Hence, given some initial investment  $V_0$  and some  $\mathcal{H}_t$ -predictable processes  $\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_n$  associated with some self-financed trading strategy in instantaneous digital CDS, we attain at time T the payoff

$$V_0 e^{rT} + \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^T \delta_i(s) e^{r(T-s)} (dN_i(s) - \alpha_i(s) ds)$$
 (1)

## 1.2 Hedging and martingale representation theorem

>From the absence of arbitrage opportunities and under mild regularity assumptions, there exists a probability  $\mathbb{Q}$  equivalent to  $\mathbb{P}$  such that the  $\alpha_i$  are the  $(\mathbb{Q}, \mathcal{H}_t)$  intensities associated with the default times. Then, considering a payoff M  $\mathcal{H}_T$ -measurable and  $\mathbb{Q}$ -integrable, the integral representation theorem

of point process martingales gives n processes  $\mathcal{H}_t$ -measurable  $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n$  such that :

$$M = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}[M] + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{T} \theta_{i}(s) (\mathrm{d}N_{i}(s) - \alpha_{i}(s) \mathrm{d}s)$$
 (2)

Identifying expressions (1) and (2), we obtain:

$$\delta_i(s) = \theta_i(s)e^{-r(T-s)}$$
 for  $0 \le s \le T$  and  $i = 1, ..., n$ 

and an initial investment  $V_0 = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}[Me^{-rT}].$ 

### 1.3 The Markovian contagion model

We assume that intensities  $\alpha_i$  depend only on the current credit status: they are deterministic functions of  $N_1(t), \ldots, N_n(t)$ . In a homogeneous Markovian model, they take the following form:  $\alpha_i^{\mathbb{Q}}(t, N_1(t), \ldots, N_n(t))$ . Moreover, we can specify the model by considering that intensities depend only on the number of defaults at the date t. Then, let  $N(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n N_i(t)$ , and the default intensities become  $\alpha^{\mathbb{Q}}(t)(t, N(t))$ . For simplicity, we will assume a constant recovery rate R. The aggregate loss at time t is given by:

$$L_t = (1 - R) \frac{N(t)}{n}$$

As a consequence of the assumption of no simultaneous defaults,  $L_t$  is the sum of the default intensities and then depends only upon the number of defaults at time t. Let  $\lambda(t, N(t))$  define the risk-neutral loss intensity, we have :

$$\lambda(t, N(t)) = (n - N(t))\alpha^{\mathbb{Q}}(t)(t, N(t)) \tag{3}$$

Under those assumptions, The process N(t) is Markovian (under  $\mathbb{Q}$ ) whose generator  $\Lambda$  is :

$$\begin{pmatrix}
-\lambda(t,0) & \lambda(t,0) & 0 & \dots & 0 \\
0 & -\lambda(t,1) & \lambda(t,1) & 0 & \dots \\
0 & 0 & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -\lambda(t,n-1) & -\lambda(t,n-1) \\
0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0
\end{pmatrix} (4)$$

# 1.4 Computation of the $\delta$

We consider a European type payoff M and we denote by V(t,.) its replication price at time t: this is a vector whose components are  $V(t,k) = e^{-r(T-t)}\mathbb{E}[M|N(t)=k]$ . By Ito's lemma we have:

$$dV(t, N(t)) = \frac{\partial V(t, N(t))}{\partial t} dt + (V(t, N(t) + 1) - V(t, N(t))) dN(t)$$
 (5)

Since  $M_t := e^{-rt}V(t, N(t))$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -martingale, we deduce the following relation :

$$\frac{\partial V(t, N(t))}{\partial t} + \lambda(t, N(t)) \left( V(t, N(t) + 1) - V(t, N(t)) \right) = rV(t, N(t)) \tag{6}$$

Then.

$$dV(t, N(t)) = rV(t, N(t))dt + (V(t, N(t) + 1) - V(t, N(t)))(dN(t) - \lambda(t, N(t))dt)$$

$$= rV(t, N(t))dt + \sum_{i=1}^{n-N(t)} (V(t, N(t) + 1) - V(t, N(t)))(dN_i(t) - \alpha^{\mathbb{Q}}(t, N(t))dt)$$

Identifying (1) and the last equality gives the hedge ratio for the company i at time t:

$$\delta_i = e^{-r(T-t)} (V(t, N(t) + 1) - V(t, N(t))) (1 - N_i(t))$$
(7)

We can also perfectly hedge a CDO tranche using only the index portfolio and the risk free asset and the hedge ratio is given by:

$$\delta_I = \frac{V(t, N(t) + 1) - V(t, N(t))}{V_I(t, N(t) + 1) - V_I(t, N(t))}$$
(8)

where  $V_I(t, .)$  is the replication price vector of the index portfolio whose components are  $V_I(t,k) = \mathbb{E}\left[1 - \frac{N(T)}{n}|N(t) = k\right]$ . See [1, page 9] for more details.

#### 2 Practical implementation

#### 2.1 Calibration of loss intensities

Given the probabilities p(T, k) of the number of default at time T (obtained from the quotes of the liquid CDO tranches, see [1, foonote 20] for more details), we can compute the loss intensities  $\lambda_k$  using the forward Kolmogorov equation for the Markov process N(t). We assume that p(T,k) can be written as p(T,k) $\sum_{i=0}^k a_{k,i} e^{-\lambda_i T}$  for  $k=0,\ldots,n-1$  where the  $a_{k,i}$  are defined by  $a_{0,0}=1$  and  $a_{k,i}=\frac{\lambda_{k-1}}{\lambda_k-\lambda_i}a_{k-1,i}$  for  $i=0,\ldots,k-1,\,k=1,\ldots,n-1$  and  $a_{k,k}=-\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}a_{k,i}$ . Then the  $\lambda_k$  can be computed iteratively by solving the univariate non linear implicit equations

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} a_{k-1,i} e^{-\lambda_i t} \left( \frac{1 - e^{-(\lambda_k - \lambda_i)T}}{\lambda_k - \lambda_i} \right) = \frac{p(T,k)}{\lambda_{k-1}}, \ k = 1, \dots, n-1$$
 (9)

and using p(0,k)=0 and  $\lambda_0=-\frac{\log p(T,0)}{T}$ . In Premia, the system is solved using the Newton root method available in the PNL. The default probabilities may be computed using a Gaussian Copula or calibrated from the market data.

#### 2.2Computation of credit $\delta$ through a recombining tree

We use a tree method to compute the price vectors V(t,.) and  $V_I(t,.)$  based on the approximation of the transition probabilities of the process N(t), whose its generator-matrix  $\Lambda$  is given by (4). For an European type payoff, the price vector fulfils:

$$V(t,\cdot) = e^{-r(t'-t)} \exp^{\Lambda(t'-t)} V(t',\cdot).$$

We start by discretizing the interval [0,T] using a set of node dates  $t_0=0$  $t_1 < \ldots < t_N = T$ , for simplicity we consider a constant time step  $\Delta = t_i - t_{i-1}$ . The most simple discrete time approximation for the transition probabilities is to use the first order Taylor expansion of the exponential function:  $\exp^{\Lambda(t_{i+1}-t_i)} \approx Id + \Lambda(t_i)(t_{i+1}-t_i)$ . Then we obtain the following probabilities:

$$\mathbb{Q}[N(t_{i+1}) = k | N(t_i) = k] = 1 - \lambda_k \Delta$$

and

$$\mathbb{Q}[N(t_{i+1}) = k + 1 | N(t_i) = k] = \lambda_k \Delta$$

For numerical reason, we prefer use those expressions:

$$\mathbb{Q}[N(t_{i+1}) = k | N(t_i) = k] = 1 - e^{-\lambda_k \Delta}$$
(10)

and

$$\mathbb{Q}[N(t_{i+1}) = k + 1 | N(t_i) = k] = e^{-\lambda_k \Delta}$$
(11)

Computation of the CDO replication price The loss at time t is given by  $L(t) = (1 - R) \frac{N(t)}{n}$ . Let us consider a CDO tranche [a, b], the outstanding nominal on this tranche is  $O(N(t)) = b - a + (L(t) - b)^+ - (L(t) - a)^+$ . If d(i, k) denotes the value at time  $t_i$  when  $N(t_i) = k$  of the default payment leg of the CDO tranche, it verifies the following recurrence relation:

$$d(i,k) = e^{-r\Delta} ((1 - e^{-\lambda_k \Delta})(d(i+1,k+1) + O(k) - O(k+1)) + e^{-\lambda_k \Delta} d(i+1,k)),$$
(12)

initialized by  $d(N,k)=0, \forall k$ . Denote by  $T_1,\ldots,T_p$  the regular premium payment dates and assume that  $\{T_1,\ldots,T_p\}\subset\{t_0,\cdots,t_N\}$ . r(i,k) denotes the value at time  $t_i$  when  $N(t_i)=k$  of the premium leg and satisfies: if  $t_{i+1}\in\{T_1,\ldots,T_p\}$ 

$$r(i,k) = e^{-r\Delta} \left( O(k)(T_{i+1} - T_i) + (1 - e^{-\lambda_k \Delta})r(i+1,k+1) + e^{-\lambda_k \Delta}r(i+1,k) \right)$$
(13)

if  $t_{i+1} \notin \{T_1, \dots, T_p\}$ , denotes by l the integer such that  $T_l < t_{i+1} \le T_{l+1}$ 

$$r(i,k) = e^{-r\Delta} ((1 - e^{-\lambda_k \Delta})(r(i+1,k+1) + (O(k) - O(k+1))(t_{i+1} - T_i)) + e^{-\lambda_k \Delta} r(i+1,k))$$
(14)

The spread of the CDO tranche is equal to  $s = \frac{d(0,0)}{r(0,0)}$ . Hence the value of the CDO tranche at time  $t_i$  when  $N(t_i) = k$  is  $V_{CDO}(i,k) = d(i,k) - sr(i,k)$ .

Computation of the CDS index replication price Denote by  $r_{IS}(i,k)$  and  $d_{IS}(i,k)$  the default and premium legs of the CDS index. The default leg is the same that a [0,1] CDO tranche.  $r_{IS}(i,k)$  satisfies (12) and (13) if we redefine O(k) as  $O(k) = 1 - \frac{k(1-R)}{n}$ . The spread is  $s_{IS} = \frac{d_{IS}(0,0)}{r_{IS}(0,0)}$ .

The program return a  $(N+1) \times (n+1)$  matrix where N is the size of the subdivision of [0,T]. The value at the intersection of the k-th row and i-th column corresponds to the hedge ratio at time  $t_i$  if k defaults occur. We have:

$$\delta(i,k) = \frac{V_{CDO}(i+1,k+1) - V_{CDO}(i+1,k) + (O(k) - O(k+1))(1 - \mathbf{1}_{t_{i+1} \notin \{T_1,\dots,T_p\}}(t_{i+1} - T_l))}{V_{IS}(i+1,k+1) - V_{IS}(i+1,k) + \frac{1-R}{n} - \frac{1}{n}s_{IS}\mathbf{1}_{t_{i+1} \notin \{T_1,\dots,T_p\}}}$$
(15)

# 2.3 Parameters with Nsp

We have to fill several parameters through the Nsp interface to do the computation.

- cdo\_default\_probability.dat: this is a file containing the default probability which allows the calibration of the loss intensity.
- T: maturity of the CDO (default value T = 5)
- R: this is the recovery rate (default value R=0.4)
- -n: number of companies
- delta: the time step (default value  $\frac{1}{365}$ )

# Références

[1] J.P. Laurent, A. Cousin and J-D. Fermanian. Hedging default risks of CDOs in Markovian contagion models, 2008. 1, 3