

# Estimating the Delta of Options by the Likelihood Ratio Method

El Hadj Aly DIA\*

## Premia 14

### Abstract

We estimate the delta of options in exponential Lévy models by the likelihood ratio method. The latter needs the probability density functions of Lévy increments and their derivatives. These densities are often known through their characteristic functions. Hence, as proposed by Glasserman and Liu (see [1, 2]), we use saddlepoint approximations.

## 1 Preliminaries

A real Lévy process  $X$  is characterized by its generating triplet  $(\gamma, \sigma^2, \nu)$ . Where  $(\gamma, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+$ , and  $\nu$  is a Radon measure satisfying

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} (1 \wedge x^2) \nu(dx) < \infty.$$

By Lévy-Itô decomposition  $X$  can be written in this form

$$X_t = \gamma t + \sigma B_t + X_t^l + \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \tilde{X}_t^\epsilon, \quad (1.1)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} X_t^l &= \int_{|x| > 1, s \in [0, t]} x J_X(dx \times ds) \equiv \sum_{\substack{|\Delta X_s| \geq 1 \\ 0 \leq s \leq t}} \Delta X_s \\ \tilde{X}_t^\epsilon &= \int_{\epsilon \leq |x| \leq 1, s \in [0, t]} x (J_X(dx \times ds) - \nu(dx) dt) \\ &\equiv \int_{\epsilon \leq |x| \leq 1, s \in [0, t]} x \tilde{J}_X(dx \times ds) \\ &\equiv \sum_{\substack{\epsilon \leq |\Delta X_s| < 1 \\ 0 \leq s \leq t}} \Delta X_s - t \int_{\epsilon \leq |x| \leq 1} x \nu(dx), \end{aligned}$$

Where  $J$  is a Poisson measure on  $\mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty)$  with rate  $\nu(dx)dt$  and  $B$  is a standard Brownian motion. In Lévy-Khinchine representation  $X$ , we characterize  $X$  by its characteristic function. That means

$$\mathbb{E} e^{iuX_t} = e^{t\varphi(u)} \quad \forall u \in \mathbb{R},$$

where  $\varphi$  is given by

$$\varphi(u) = i\gamma u - \frac{\sigma^2 u^2}{2} + \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{iux} - 1 - iux \mathbb{1}_{|x| \leq 1}) \nu(dx). \quad (1.2)$$

---

\*INRIA Paris-Rocquencourt, domaine de Voluceau, BP 105, 78153 Le Chesnay Cedex France (dia.eha@gmail.com).

## 2 Likelihood ratio method

In this section we will evaluate the delta of an option using the likelihood ration method. For details about this method and the evaluation of greeks see [1]. Let  $(S_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be the price of a security, the process  $S$  behaves as the exponential of a Lévy process

$$S_t = S_0 e^{X_t}, \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

We are interested in options with discounted payoff of the form

$$V(S) = V(S_{t_1}, \dots, S_{t_m}),$$

where  $0 = t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_m$ . We let  $\Delta_i = t_i - t_{i-1}$  for  $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ . Thus  $S$  is a function of  $X = (X_1, \dots, X_m)$ , where  $X_i = X_{t_i} - X_{t_{i-1}}$ . Note that the r.v.  $(X_i)_{1 \leq i \leq m}$  are independent and for any  $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$   $X_i$  has the same distribution as  $X_{\Delta_i}$ . When estimating  $\mathbb{E}(V(S))$ , we first simulate  $(X_1, \dots, X_m)$  and map these to  $S$  to evaluate  $V(S)$ . We suppose that for any  $t > 0$ ,  $X_t$  has a probability density function denoted by  $f_t$ . Then the joint density of  $X = (X_1, \dots, X_m)$  is

$$f(x) = f_{\Delta_1}(x_1) \dots f_{\Delta_m}(x_m) \equiv f_1(x_1) \dots f_m(x_m),$$

and might write the expected payoff as

$$\mathbb{E}(V(S)) = \int V(s) f(x) dx, \quad (2.3)$$

where  $s = (s_1, \dots, s_m)$  and  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$ . Recall that for  $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$

$$S_{t_i} = S_0 e^{X_{t_i}} \equiv S_{t_{i-1}} e^{X_i}.$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(V(S)) &= \int V(S_0 e^{x_1}, s_2, \dots, s_m) f_1(x_1) \dots f_m(x_m) dx_1 \dots dx_m \\ &= \int V(e^{x_1}, s_2, \dots, s_m) f_1(x_1 - \log(S_0)) f_2(x_2) \dots f_m(x_m) dx_1 \dots dx_m. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial S_0} \mathbb{E}(V(S)) &= -\frac{1}{S_0} \int V(e^{x_1}, s_2, \dots, s_m) f'_1(x_1 - \log(S_0)) f_2(x_2) \dots f_m(x_m) dx_1 \dots dx_m \\ &= -\frac{1}{S_0} \int V(s_1, \dots, s_m) f'_1(x_1) f_2(x_2) \dots f_m(x_m) dx_1 \dots dx_m \\ &= -\frac{1}{S_0} \int V(s) f(x) \frac{f'_1(x_1)}{f_1(x_1)} dx \\ &= \mathbb{E}(V(S) S_f(X)), \end{aligned}$$

where  $S_f$  called the score function, is given by

$$S_f(x) = -\frac{f'_1(x_1)}{S_0 f_1(x_1)}.$$

### 3 Saddlepoint approximations

We will use saddlepoint approximations to approximate the probability density functions and derivatives of their logarithm (to approximate the score function defined in the previous section). Suppose that a random variable  $X$  has a probability density function  $f$  and a cumulant generating function

$$K(s) = \mathbb{E}e^{sX}.$$

The function is assumed to be finite of the neighborhood of the origin. By [3] (p.27)

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi K''(\hat{s})}} e^{K(\hat{s}) - \hat{s}x} \left( 1 + \frac{\lambda_4(\hat{s})}{8} + \frac{1}{8}\lambda_4(\hat{s}) - \frac{5}{24}(\lambda_3(\hat{s}))^2 + \dots \right),$$

where  $\hat{s}$  (called the saddlepoint approximation) satisfies  $K'(\hat{s}) = x$ , and

$$\lambda_n(s) = \frac{K^{(n)}(s)}{(K''(s))^{\frac{n}{2}}}.$$

So  $f(x)$  can be approximated by

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi K''(\hat{s})}} e^{K(\hat{s}) - \hat{s}x} \left( 1 + \frac{\lambda_4(\hat{s})}{8} + \frac{1}{8}\lambda_4(\hat{s}) - \frac{5}{24}(\lambda_3(\hat{s}))^2 \right), \quad (3.4)$$

or (less precise) by

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi K''(\hat{s})}} e^{K(\hat{s}) - \hat{s}x}. \quad (3.5)$$

If  $X$  has a Gaussian distribution, (3.5) is exactly the probability density function of  $X$  at  $x$ .

To approximate the score function, we have to take the logarithm of (3.4) and differentiate. To do so, we must evaluate  $\frac{\partial \hat{s}}{\partial x}$ . But we have  $K'(\hat{s}) = x$ . Thus

$$\frac{\partial \hat{s}}{\partial x} K''(\hat{s}) = 1.$$

Therefore

$$\frac{\partial \hat{s}}{\partial x} = \frac{1}{K''(\hat{s})}. \quad (3.6)$$

But we have

$$\begin{aligned} \log(f(x)) &= \log\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi K''(\hat{s})}} e^{K(\hat{s}) - \hat{s}x}\right) + \log\left(1 + \frac{\lambda_4(\hat{s})}{8} + \frac{1}{8}\lambda_4(\hat{s}) - \frac{5}{24}(\lambda_3(\hat{s}))^2\right) \\ &\equiv g_1(x) + g_2(x), \end{aligned}$$

where  $g_1$  (resp.  $g_2$ ) is the first (resp. the second) term at right of the penultimate equality. So

$$\frac{f'(x)}{f(x)} = g'_1(x) + g'_2(x), \quad (3.7)$$

where, using (3.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} g'_1(x) &= -\left(\frac{K^{(3)}(\hat{s})}{2(K''(\hat{s}))^2} + \hat{s}\right) \\ g'_2(x) &= \frac{\frac{1}{8}(K^{(5)}(\hat{s})K''(\hat{s}) - 2K^{(3)}(\hat{s})K^{(4)}(\hat{s})) - \frac{5}{12}\left(K^{(4)}(\hat{s}) - \frac{3(K^{(3)}(\hat{s}))^2}{2K''(\hat{s})}\right)K^{(3)}(\hat{s})}{g_2(x)(K''(\hat{s}))^4}. \end{aligned}$$

## 4 Jump-diffusion case

If  $\nu(\mathbb{R}) < \infty$ ,  $X$  is called a finite activity Lévy process. If in addition  $\sigma > 0$ , the process  $X$  is called a *jump-diffusion* process, and can be written in this form

$$X_t = \gamma_0 t + \sigma B_t + \sum_{i=1}^{N_t} Y_i, \quad (4.8)$$

where  $N$  is a Poisson process with rate  $\lambda = \nu(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $(Y_i)_{i \geq 1}$  are i.i.d. random variables with common distribution  $\frac{\nu(dx)}{\nu(\mathbb{R})}$  and

$$\gamma_0 = \gamma - \int_{|x| \leq 1} x \nu(dx). \quad (4.9)$$

If the  $(\Delta_i)_i$   $1 \leq i \leq m$  (defined in Section 2) are equal to  $\frac{T}{m}$ , we have for any  $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  (see Section 2)

$$X_1 = \gamma_0 \frac{T}{m} + \sigma B_{\frac{T}{m}} + \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\frac{T}{m}}} Y_i.$$

The constant  $T$  is the maturity of the option. We can, thus, approximate  $f_1$ , the probability density function of  $X_1$ , by the probability density function of  $\gamma_0 \frac{T}{m} + \sigma B_{\frac{T}{m}}$ . This gives the following approximation of the score function.

$$S_f(x) = \frac{x - \gamma_0 \frac{T}{m}}{\sigma^2 \frac{T}{m} S_0}. \quad (4.10)$$

Though the error generated by such approximation should be studied, numerically we obtain good results quickly.

## References

- [1] GLASSERMAN, P., LIU Z.: Estimating greeks in simulating Lévy-driven models, Working paper, Columbia University (2008). 1, 2
- [2] GLASSERMAN, P., LIU, Z.: Sensitivity estimates from characteristic functions, Working Paper, Columbia University (2008). 1
- [3] JENSEN, J.: Saddlepoint Approximations, Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK (1995). 3