

# *minitwit* Project Report

ITU DevOps 2025 Group E

Magnus Thor Lessing Rolin thmr@itu.dk

Mathias Bindslev Hansen bimh@itu.dk

Nikolai Tilgreen Nielsen nitn@itu.dk

Rasmus Hassing Huge rahu@itu.dk

Rasmus Mygind Poulsen rpou@itu.dk

# 1 Table of Contents

1. System's Perspective
    - 1.1 Design and Architecture
    - 1.2 Dependencies
    - 1.3 Interactions of Subsystems
    - 1.4 Current State of the System
  2. Process' Perspective
    - 2.1 Deployment and Release
    - 2.2 Monitoring
    - 2.3 Logging of application
    - 2.4 Security Assessment
    - 2.5 Strategy for Scaling and Upgrade
    - 2.6 The Use of AI
  3. Reflection Perspective
    - 3.1 Evolution and Refactoring
    - 3.2 Operation
    - 3.3 Maintenance
    - 3.4 DevOps
-

## 2 System's Perspective

### 2.1 Design and Architecture

#### 2.1.1 Static view

The application follows the Onion architecture and is split into three layers.

- The **Domain Layer** contains the domain model.
- The **Infrastructure Layer** contains the data manipulation and insertion logic.
- The **Application Layer** contains the entrypoint of the applications and defines the endpoints of the API. This layer also contains the UI.




Figure 1: Package Diagram

The infrastructure is deployed to Digital Ocean.

- The minitwit application is hosted on two droplets - a primary and secondary.
- A nginx loadbalancer distributes load between the two minitwit servers.

- The Database is hosted as a PostgreSQL Database Cluster.
- Logging is hosted on its own separate droplet.




Figure 2: Deployment Diagram

### 2.1.2 Infrastructure as Code

The infrastructure above can be deployed with Terraform. The infrastructure as code is documented in the `/terraform` directory. This includes modules for provisioning the application servers, load balancer and logging stack.

### 2.1.3 Dynamic view

#### Components

- **API Controller:** Responsible for receiving incoming requests to the API endpoints and send them to the correct batch service.
- **Batch Service:** Responsible for collecting and processing requests. This is a background service.
- **ORM Layer:** Responsible for translating LINQ into SQL queries.
- **Database:** Responsible for persisting data. This involves users, followers and messages.

#### Connectors




Figure 3: C&C overview of the minitwit system

- **HTTP:** This connector is the protocol to communicate between the simulator/browser and server.
- **Channel:** This connector is an internal application connector that transfers requests.
- **Npgsql:** The connector is a .NET package that acts as the ORM for PostgreSQL.
- **TCP:** This connector handles SQL queries to the database.

## 2.2 Dependencies

Here is a list of all dependencies.

# Dependency List:

1. Microsoft.EntityFrameworkCore.Design - Version: 9.0.1
2. Microsoft.Extensions.Configuration - Version: 9.0.2
3. Microsoft.Extensions.Configuration.EnvironmentVariables - Version: 9.0.2
4. Microsoft.Extensions.Configuration.UserSecrets - Version: 9.0.2
5. Npgsql.EntityFrameworkCore.PostgreSQL - Version: 9.0.4
6. prometheus-net - Version: 8.2.1
7. Serilog.AspNetCore - Version: 9.0.0
8. Serilog.Sinks.Console - Version: 6.0.0
9. Microsoft.AspNetCore.Identity - Version: 2.3.1
10. Microsoft.EntityFrameworkCore.Sqlite - Version: 9.0.1
11. Microsoft.AspNetCore.Identity.EntityFrameworkCore - Version: 9.0.1
12. Microsoft.AspNetCore.Identity.UI - Version: 9.0.1
13. Microsoft.EntityFrameworkCore.Tools - Version: 9.0.0
14. Microsoft.VisualStudio.Web.CodeGeneration.Design - Version: 9.0.0

15. prometheus-net.AspNetCore - Version: 8.2.1
16. Serilog - Version: 4.2.0
17. Serilog.Formatting.Compact - Version: 3.0.0
18. Serilog.Sinks.Elasticsearch - Version: 10.0.0
19. Serilog.Sinks.Network - Version: 2.0.2.68
20. Serilog.Sinks.Async - Version: 1.5.0
21. coverlet.collector - Version: 6.0.4
22. Microsoft.AspNetCore.Mvc.Testing - Version: 9.0.2
23. Microsoft.NET.Test.Sdk - Version: 17.13.0
24. Microsoft.Playwright.NUnit - Version: 1.50.0
25. xunit - Version: 2.9.2
26. xunit.runner.visualstudio - Version: 3.0.0
27. Postgres - Version: 16.9
28. Kibana - Version: 8.12.1
29. logstash - Version: 8.12.1
30. elasticsearch - Version: 8.12.1
31. Nginx - Version: 1.27.0
32. Dotnet\_SDK - Version: 9.0.0
33. org.Sonarcube - Version: 6.1.0

## Logging

For logging, the application uses Serilog to collect log data. This data is then transferred into the ELK Stack, which consists of Logstash, Elasticsearch, and Kibana. Together they are used to process, query, and display the logging data. This setup is hidden behind Nginx, which acts as a reverse proxy and serves as an authentication layer between the user and Kibana.

Elasticsearch is accessible at “209.38.112.21:8080”. Use “admin” “admin” to login and access logs.

## Monitoring

For monitoring, the application uses Prometheus for collecting metrics and Grafana for data visualization. Prometheus scrapes port 5000, the minitwit application, and sends the data to the /metrics endpoint. Grafana retrieves the necessary data from /metrics.

Grafana is accessible at “164.90.240.84:3000”. You can use the teachers login to access the dashboard.

## Application

The application is built using .NET.

- **ASP.NET Core Identity** is used for authentication.
- **Entity Framework Core** is used as the object relational mapper.
- **Npgsql** is used to access the PostgreSQL database.
- **NUnit** is used as the primary testing framework, with **Playwright** for end-to-end testing.

- **SonarQube** is used to measure software quality via a GitHub workflow. SonarQube tracks security, reliability, maintainability, test coverage, and code duplications.
- **Hadolint** is used for docker linting and runs on pushes to the main branch, enforcing warnings as errors to ensure proper Dockerfile syntax.

**Database** The initial database was based on SQLite, but was later migrated to PostgreSQL.

## 2.3 Interactions of Subsystems

The diagrams in fig. 4 and fig. 5 shows how the application handles an unfollow request from both a regular user and the simulator. The key difference is when the 204 status code is sent, as well as the simulator using batch insertions.




Figure 4: Sequence Diagram for User unfollow call

## 2.4 Current State of the System

A quality assessment of the codebase from SonarQube is shown in fig. 6. The current state of the system is generally good. The system receives an A rating in security, reliability and maintainability. One flaw in the application is the lack of testing. The test coverage is only 2.5% and around 1.1k lines is missing coverage.




Figure 5: Sequence Diagram for Simulator unfollow call

Even though we have multiple minitwit servers, the application still has a single point of failure as there only is a single load balancer. To solve this we could add another load balancer to ensure higher availability.




Figure 6: Sonar Cube Quality assesment

### 3 Process' perspective

#### 3.1 Deployment and Release

The following workflows are implemented to ensure a robust CI/CD pipeline:



1. **Build and Test Workflow**  
Automates the build process and runs all tests to ensure code quality.
2. **Build Release Workflow**  
Automatically creates a release when a new tag is pushed to the repository.
3. **Continuous Deployment Workflow**  
Deploys the application to the production server upon successful completion of tests and builds.
4. **Lint and Format Check Workflow**  
Ensures that the code adheres to the project's linting and formatting standards.
5. **Scheduled Release Workflow**  
Automates weekly releases to ensure regular updates.
6. **SonarQube Workflow**  
Performs static code analysis using SonarQube to identify potential bugs and vulnerabilities.

Each workflow is defined in the `.github/workflows` directory and is triggered based on specific events such as pushes, pull requests, or scheduled intervals.

### 3.1.1 Deployment Chain

The deployment process follows a structured chain format to ensure reliability and minimize downtime. The steps are as follows:

1. **Linting and Code Quality Checks**  
The code is first analyzed for adherence to linting and formatting standards. This ensures that the codebase remains clean and maintainable.
2. **Integration Testing**  
Once the linting checks pass, the commit undergoes rigorous integration testing to validate that all components work together as expected.
3. **Deployment with Rolling Updates**  
If the commit successfully passes all previous stages, the deployment process begins. Rolling updates are utilized to ensure a seamless transition. This approach guarantees that if the deployment encounters any issues, an unaffected backup server remains operational to handle the workload while the problem is resolved.

This deployment strategy ensures high availability and minimizes the risk of service disruption during updates.

## 3.2 Monitoring

The relevant information from the website were not present in the default Prometheus configs. In the `MetricsService.cs` file, there are

custom metrics to our application, such as the “minitwit\_follow\_counter” and “app\_request\_duration\_seconds”. The follow counter is implemented in the program by adding to the counter, every time a follow request is made. The duration is measured by starting a timer when a request comes in, and stopping it when the request has been processed.

### 3.3 Logging of application

In the beginning, the logs contained all logs from the information level and up. This resulted in a flood of logs, and it was impossible to see anything relevant. It was then configured to only show warnings and above. Here there were practically no logs. Logging statements were added to the code, to log when problems occurred. In the `ApiController.cs` there are custom creation of logs which are logged as warnings. These logs include system failures such as unsuccessful message post and failure to follow a user. This data is sent through Seriallog to Logstash. Another important metric is logging the request times. If a request took longer than 300 ms to process, it will log it. This has been central in discovering the ReadTimeout issue, that the team has struggled with. To see all the logs for e.g. timeouts, the searchbar is used. Here the user can input “[@m]: slow”, to get all logs about low requests.

### 3.4 Security assessment

The Application consists of the following assets:

- Web application (Minitwit)
- Monitoring (Prometheus + Grafana)
- Logging (Logstash + Elasticsearch + Kibana)
- DigitalOcean droplets
- DigitalOcean database cluster

#### Risk scenarios.

- R0: DDoS attack overwhelms the server, making the application unavailable.

#### General security:

- R1: Attacker uses exposed secrets to gain access to sensitive data.
- R2: Attacker gains access to our API, and injects large amounts of data into the database, overloading the system.
- R3: An attacker exploits a known vulnerability in an outdated dependency.
- R4: Attacker extracts secrets from unprotected endpoints.

#### Web application threat sources:

- R5: Attacker performs SQL injection on the web application to download sensitive user data.

- R6: Attacker exploits a cross-site scripting vulnerability to hijack a user sessions.
- R7: Attacker forces or tricks an authenticated user to do unwanted request to the web application. A malicious site sends a request to the trusted website using the user's cookies and session.
- R8: Attacker can interrupt unencrypted HTTP request and modifies requests.

**Infrastructure threat sources:**

- R9: An attacker scans for open ports and discovers multiple exposed services. This can lead to data exposure and disruption of service.
- R10: An attacker gains SSH access to the droplet and interacts with the running containers.

**Monitoring/logging threat sources:**

- R11: An attacker gains unauthorized access to Elasticsearch logs and backs up sensitive data.

Impact of risk	Probability of Risk			
		Low Probability	Medium Probability	High Probability
	High Impact	Medium R1, R4, R5, R10	High R8	Catastrophic R0
	Medium Impact	Low R3,	Medium R2, 11	High R9
	Low Impact	Negligible	Low R6, R7	Medium

Figure 7: Risk matrix

The application is secure against SQL injections. There is no public secrets and dependencies are up to date. Access monitoring and login is restricted via login and droplets are protected using DigitalOcean's default security.

The biggest vulnerability is the lack of protection against request spamming and application overloading.

A possible solution to DDoS attacks is to temporarily shut down the server when the number of requests per minute exceeds a defined threshold. To secure HTTP traffic, HTTPS could be added. To protect open ports, authentication should be required for all exposed services.

### 3.5 Strategy for scaling and upgrade

The project has been made scalable. It can be scaled vertically by investing more in the hosting provider, or scale horizontally by making more server-droplets.

To scale horizontally, we first need to deploy a new application server. After that, we add the IP address of the server to our “load balancer’s” upstream server list in the configuration file of Nginx. At this point, the server should be up and running, with the load balancer utilizing the new server to distribute incoming requests. The only remaining steps are to add the new server to the “rolling update” workflow in “.github/workflows/continuous-deployment.yml,” which involves adding new secrets to the project’s GitHub secrets and implementing a safety check in the workflow. Once these steps are completed, the server will be fully integrated into the architecture of the application.

### 3.6 The use of AI

AI tools such as ChatGPT has been used to assist idea generation and our learning process. We prioritized receiving help in the exercise sessions from TA’s rather than using AI. However, in some cases when problems occurred, and no one knew how to fix it, assistance from AI could be useful. This approach sometimes sped up development, as it had decent suggestions for common issues. Contrary, it was sometimes not useful as it did not know the unique circumstances of the problem or overcomplicated it.

## 4 Reflection Perspective

### 4.1 Evolution and Refactoring

After implementing SonarQube quality assessment, a lot of code was refactored and renamed. Most of the codes issues were maintainability, where names did not align in different classes. This was quickly changed everywhere. Next issue was long and complicated functions. Those functions were refactored, so that a function has one job. This improved maintainability and readability of the code base.

### 4.2 Operation

The biggest issue in this project was the ReadTimeout issue. Some requests were lost, which caused problems. E.g. when a user tried to register, but failed, all their message- and follow requests failed. To fix this, the Database calls were minimized. Sometimes the database would be called, when not strictly necessary. This dropped response time by about 30%, but was not enough to actually remove the issue. Then the SQL queries were combined, so only one or two trips were needed pr. request. Then a batch service was introduced, so requests would only be added to the database, when 10 requests were gathered. Both of these improvements significantly decreased response time, but on the

10th user, it would insert 10 requests at once, so that 10th users request would often get lost. In the end it was decided that a status code 204 would be sent back immediately after receiving a request. The request would be processed, and handled in the background, while the “user” would think everything went fine. The response time dropped to almost 0, and no requests from that point were lost.

### **4.3 Maintenance**

At one point the Grafana and Prometheus data were gone. No one knew why it was gone, and it happened at a time, where everyone was working with something different. This made it difficult to trace why the error occurred. Many hours were spent trying to find the issue, and it was finally discovered, that the snap version of Docker had been installed, over the official version. The snap version saved its volumes somewhere different, which caused it to look in the wrong place, when looking for the previously saved volumes. The snap version was removed, and all the data was back. This resulted in an immediate backup of the volumes, so if the volumes were ever removed, the data would not be lost. After this issue was resolved, it was coincidentally discovered that the droplet only had 1 gb left of storage. It was therefore upgraded and disaster was avoided.

### **4.4 DevOps**

The automatic linter and quality assessment tool gave insights into, what needed to be changed. This removed unnecessary human intervention, which saved a lot of development time.