# minitwit Project Report ITU DevOps 2025 Group E

Magnus Thor Lessing Rolin thmr@itu.dk
Mathias Bindslev Hansen bimh@itu.dk
Nikolai Tilgreen Nielsen nitn@itu.dk
Rasmus Hassing Huge rahu@itu.dk
Rasmus Mygind Poulsen rpou@itu.dk

### 0.1 Table of Contents

- 1. System's Perspective
  - 1.1 Design and Architecture
  - 1.2 Dependencies
  - 1.3 Interactions of Subsystems
  - 1.4 Current State of the System
- 2. Process' Perspective
  - 2.1 Deployment and Release
  - 2.2 Monitoring
  - 2.3 Logging of application
  - 2.4 Security Assessment
  - 2.5 Strategy for Scaling and Upgrade
  - 2.6 The Use of AI
- 3. Reflection Perspective
  - 3.1 Evolution and Refactoring
  - 3.2 Operation
  - 3.3 Maintenance
  - 3.4 DevOps —

# 1 System's Perspective – 400 words

### 1.1 Design and Architecture

To deploy the application navigate to this folder:

# Folder

/itu-minitwit/terraform

```
When inside folder run:
```

```
# Command initializes terraform files
terraform init
```

Initialises terraform files if they are not already initialized Then run:

```
# Command show terraform changes
```

terraform plan

This show what changes will be made when running terraform apply. finally run:

```
# Command apply terraform changes
terraform apply
# Confirm changes by saying yes
ves
```

Wait for the application to deploy. When the application is deployed the website will be accessible on "http://164.90.240.84:5000/public".

```
/itu-minitwit
       .github/
          workflows
              build-and-test.yml
              build-release.yml
              continous-deployment.yml
              lint-and-format-check.yml
              scheduled-release.yml
              sonarcube.yml
      logging/
               docker-compose.yml
               nginx.conf
      logstash/
      remote files/
      report/
      src/
          minitwit.core/
          minitwit.infrastructure/
          minitwit.web/
              Program.cs
      terraform/
          files/
          modules/
              minitwit_logging/
             minitwit_server/
          main.tf
          terraform.tfvars
```

variables.tf

```
# GitHub Action workflows
# Automated build and test
# Creates release on push with a tag
# Deployment to dig
# Automated linter and formatting checks
# Automated weekly release
# Automated Sonarcube checks
# Logging configuration files
# Starts ELK stack and nginx containers
# Reverse proxy with authentication
# Logstash configuration
# Files used remotely on the minitwit server for
# Report files
# Source code
# Domain Layer - Domain models
# Infrastructure Layer - Data access
# Presentation Layer - Web app & API entry point
# Program entrypoint
# Terraform configurations for provisioning
# Files used by terraform
# Terraform code for logging infrastucture
```

# Terraform code for minitwit infrastucture

# Terraform module definitions

# Terraform variables declarations

# Terraform variables

## 1.2 Dependencies

# Dependency List:

```
2. Microsoft.Extensions.Configuration - Version: 9.0.2
3. Microsoft.Extensions.Configuration.EnvironmentVariables - Version: 9.0.2
4. Microsoft.Extensions.Configuration.UserSecrets - Version: 9.0.2
5. Npgsql.EntityFrameworkCore.PostgreSQL - Version: 9.0.4
6. prometheus-net - Version: 8.2.1
7. Serilog.AspNetCore - Version: 9.0.0
8. Serilog.Sinks.Console - Version: 6.0.0
9. Microsoft.AspNetCore.Identity - Version: 2.3.1
10. Microsoft.EntityFrameworkCore.Sqlite - Version: 9.0.1
11. Microsoft.AspNetCore.Identity.EntityFrameworkCore - Version: 9.0.1
12. Microsoft.AspNetCore.Identity.UI - Version: 9.0.1
13. Microsoft.EntityFrameworkCore.Tools - Version: 9.0.0
14. Microsoft. Visual Studio. Web. Code Generation. Design - Version: 9.0.0
15. prometheus-net.AspNetCore - Version: 8.2.1
16. Serilog - Version: 4.2.0
17. Serilog.Formatting.Compact - Version: 3.0.0
18. Serilog.Sinks.Elasticsearch - Version: 10.0.0
19. Serilog.Sinks.Network - Version: 2.0.2.68
20. Serilog.Sinks.Async - Version: 1.5.0
21. coverlet.collector - Version: 6.0.4
22. Microsoft.AspNetCore.Mvc.Testing - Version: 9.0.2
```

1. Microsoft.EntityFrameworkCore.Design - Version: 9.0.1

23. Microsoft.NET.Test.Sdk - Version: 17.13.0 24. Microsoft.Playwright.NUnit - Version: 1.50.0

26. xunit.runner.visualstudio - Version: 3.0.0

25. xunit - Version: 2.9.2

27. Postgres - Version: 16.9
28. Kibana - Version: 8.12.1
29. logstash - Version: 8.12.1
30. elasticsearch - Version: 8.12.1

31. Nginx - Version: 1.27.032. Dotnet\_SDK - Version: 9.0.033. org.Sonarcube - Version: 6.1.0

1.2.0.1 Logging For logging, our application uses Serilog as the API to collect log data. This data is then transferred into the Elastic Stack, which consists of Logstash, Elasticsearch, and Kibana—all used to process, query, and display the logging data. This setup is hidden behind Nginx, which acts as a reverse proxy and serves as an authentication layer between the user and Kibana (a data visualization and exploration tool).

For elasticsearch "209.38.112.21:8080" use "admin" "admin" to login and access logs.

**1.2.0.2 Monitoring** For monitoring, our application uses Prometheus as a real-time metrics storage server. On top of this, we use Grafana as a data visualization tool to display and analyze these metrics.

For Grafana "164.90.240.84:3000" you can use the given login to access the dashboard.

1.2.0.3 Application We have built our application using the .NET software framework, following the onion architecture originally invented by Jeffrey Palermo. We use the ASP.NET Core Identity package as an authentication system, allowing us to create and delete users. Initially, we used SQLite as our DBMS but later switched to Prometheus. In both cases, we utilized Entity Framework Core (EF Core) as our Object-Relational Mapper (ORM). For testing, we use NUnit as the primary testing framework, with Playwright layered on top for end-to-end testing. To handle API calls from the simulator, we use the ASP.NET Core MVC framework to create API controllers that process HTTP requests. As a software quality measure, we use SonarQube, specifically integrating their service via a GitHub workflow. SonarQube tracks security, reliability, maintainability, test coverage, and code duplications.

#### 1.3 Interactions of Subsystems

Sequence Diagram for Simulator unfollow call API Seq Diagram Sequence Diagram for User unfollow call User Seq Diagram

### 1.4 Current State of the System

# 2 Process' perspective – 571 words

### 2.1 Deployment and Release

The following workflows are implemented to ensure a robust CI/CD pipeline:

#### 1. Build and Test Workflow

This workflow automates the build process and runs all unit and integration tests to ensure code quality.

#### 2. Build Release Workflow

Automatically creates a release when a new tag is pushed to the repository.

#### 3. Continuous Deployment Workflow

Deploys the application to the production server upon successful completion of tests and builds.

#### 4. Lint and Format Check Workflow

Ensures that the code adheres to the project's linting and formatting standards.

#### 5. Scheduled Release Workflow

Automates weekly releases to ensure regular updates and maintenance.

#### 6. SonarQube Workflow

Performs static code analysis using SonarQube to identify potential bugs and vulnerabilities.

Each workflow is defined in the .github/workflows directory and is triggered based on specific events such as pushes, pull requests, or scheduled intervals.

#### 2.1.1 Deployment Chain

The deployment process follows a structured chain format to ensure reliability and minimize downtime. The steps are as follows:

#### 1. Linting and Code Quality Checks

The code is first analyzed for adherence to linting and formatting standards. This ensures that the codebase remains clean and maintainable.

#### 2. Integration Testing

Once the linting checks pass, the commit undergoes rigorous integration testing to validate that all components work together as expected.

#### 3. Deployment with Rolling Updates

If the commit successfully passes all previous stages, the deployment process begins. Rolling updates are utilized to ensure a seamless transition. This approach guarantees that if the deployment encounters any issues, an unaffected backup server remains operational to handle the workload while the problem is resolved.

This deployment strategy ensures high availability and minimizes the risk of service disruption during updates.

#### 2.2 Monitoring

The application utilizes Prometheus and Grafana for monitoring. Prometheus scrapes port 5000, the minitwit application, and sends the data to the /metrics endpoint. Then Grafana retrieves the data from /metrics, and uses this as its data source. The relevant information could however not

be found in the default configs . In the MetricsService.cs file, there are custom metrics to our application, such as the "minitwit\_follow\_counter and"app\_request\_duration\_seconds" The follow counter is implemented in the program by adding to the counter, every time a follow request is made. The duration is measured by starting a timer when a request comes in, and stopping it when the request has been processed.

## 2.3 Logging of application

The application uses the ELK logging stack. In the beginning, the logs contained information from the information level and up. This resulted in a flood of logs, and it was impossible to see anything relevant. It was then configured to only show warnings and above. Here there were practically no logs. From here logging statements were added to the code, to log when problems occured. In the ApiController.cs there are custom creation of logs which are logged as warnings. These logs include system failures such as unsuccessful message post and failure to follow a user. This data is sent through Serialog to Logstash. Another important metric is logging of request times. If a request took longer than 300 ms to process, it will log it. This has been central in discovering the ReadTimeout issue, that has occured. To see all the logs for e.g. timeouts, the searchbar is used. Here the user can input "@m: slow", to get them all.

- 2.4 Security assessment
- 2.5 Strategy for scaling and upgrade
- 2.6 The use of AI

# 3 Reflection Perspective – 445 words

## 3.1 Evolution and Refactoring

After implementing SonarQube quality assessment, a lot of code was refactored and renamed. Most of the codes issue was maintainability, where names did not align in different classes. This was quickly changed everywhere. Next issue was long functions, that did many different things. This was refactored out, so that one function has one job. This improved maintainability and readability of the code base.

#### 3.2 Operation

The biggest issue this project was the ReadTimeout issues. That meant some requests were lost, and caused problems. E.g. when a user tried to register, but failed. Then all following message- and follow requests failed. Many approaches to this was tried. First the Database calls were minimized. Sometimes the database would be called, when not strictly necessary. This dropped response time by about 30%, but was not enough to actually remove the issue. Then

the sql queries were combined, so only one to two trips were needed pr. request. Then a batch service was introduced, so requests would only be added to the database, when 10 requests were gathered. Both of these improvements significantly decreased response time, but on the 10th user, it would insert 10 requests at once, so that 10th users request would often get lost. In the end it was decided that a status code 200 would be sent back immediately after receiving a request. The request would be processed, and handled in the background, while the "user" would think everything went fine. The response time dropped to almost 0, and no requests from that point were lost.

#### 3.3 Maintenance

At one point the Grafana and Prometheus data were gone. No one knew why it was gone, and it happened at a time, where everyone was working with something different. This made it difficult to trace why the error occured. Many hours were spent trying to find the issue, and it was finally discovered, that the snap version of Docker had been installed, over the official version. The snap version saved its volumes somewhere different, and caused it to look in the wrong place, when looking for the previous saved volumes. The snap version was removed, and all the data was back. This resulted in an immediate backup of the volumes, so if the volumes were ever removed, there still was a backup. After this issue was resolved, it was coincidentally discovered that the droplet only had 1 gb left of storage. It was therefore upgraded and disaster was avoided.

## 3.4 DevOps

There was an automatic linter and quality assessment tool, which together gave insights into, what needed to be changed. This removed unnecessary human intervention, that saved a lot of development time.