

Engineering Social Legitimacy: An Anti-Stigma Framework for Augmented Citizenship Through Verified Civic Contribution

The Primacy of Anti-Stigma Narrative Design in Public Acceptance

The foundational principle of the proposed research framework is a strategic pivot from a purely technical focus on integration toward a socio-political priority: the deliberate design of anti-stigma narratives to drive public acceptance . This approach acknowledges that the successful integration of organically-integrated augmented citizens is contingent not merely on the sophistication of their technology but on the cultural and psychological landscape in which they exist. The user's directive explicitly states that public acceptance is most sensitive to how augmented citizens are described and storied, making anti-stigma narrative design the highest priority . This insight reframes the entire endeavor, positioning technical capabilities not as ends in themselves, but as the tangible evidence that supports a carefully constructed prosocial identity. The core thesis is that while technology provides the capability for contribution, a well-designed narrative provides the social license for existence.

This strategic emphasis is rooted in an understanding of social dynamics where fear of the unfamiliar often precedes reasoned evaluation. Neurotechnology, by its nature, interfaces directly with the human nervous system, raising profound questions about cerebral integrity, personal identity, and psychological continuity [2](#) [4](#) . These concerns are central to contemporary neuroethics discussions, which grapple with the ethical implications of technologies that can restore or augment human functions [7](#) [65](#) . The potential for discrimination and stigma against individuals with "augmented" humans, neurotypes, and cognitive abilities is a significant unresolved concern in both public discourse and policy development [5](#) . Therefore, the proactive management of these perceptions through narrative is not an optional add-on but a critical prerequisite for social stability and individual rights. The framework seeks to preemptively address these

anxieties by constructing an identity that is immediately relatable and beneficial to society at large.

The psychological literature on stigma reduction provides a strong theoretical basis for this narrative-driven strategy. Interventions that successfully lower levels of internalized stigma often rely on positive, prosocial framing and storytelling [54 100](#). For instance, Narrative Enhancement and Cognitive Therapy (NECT) has been shown to be effective in targeting the effects of self-stigma [52 222](#). Similarly, studies on first-person depression storytelling have demonstrated its power to buffer self-stigma associated with traditional masculine ideologies [56](#), and meta-analyses confirm that narratives can be a powerful tool for reducing stigma across various contexts [104](#). By embedding augmented citizens within a narrative of service and protection, the framework aims to leverage these established psychological principles. The goal is to shift the public discourse away from abstract fears about technological divergence or loss of control [110128](#) toward concrete examples of positive societal impact.

The proposed "Social Legitimacy and Duty Declaration" serves as a formal articulation of this narrative strategy . It centers the augmented citizen's identity on clearly prosocial roles—peace-keeping, civic responsibility, and eco-positive conduct—as the primary expressions of their augmented capabilities . This declaration explicitly rejects any narrative that treats the existence of augmented individuals as inherently dangerous or deviant, framing such portrayals as a form of weaponization in public discourse . The underlying assumption is that social legitimacy is earned through consistent, transparent, and lawful behavior, not assumed by virtue of one's technical status . By framing duties as voluntary contributions to the common good, the narrative builds trust and positions the augmented citizen as a partner in societal well-being rather than a subject of suspicion or control.

This narrative is operationalized through a commitment to verifiable public benefit, which directly counters the risk of speculative or coercive interpretations of citizenship . The declaration outlines a clear stance against speculative finance models for reputation, instead advocating for a system where reputation credits are earned through verified actions like peace-keeping and eco-civic contributions . This aligns with recommendations for responsible development of neurotechnologies and AI, which emphasize the need to avoid creating new forms of inequality or exploitation [20 219](#). The narrative is further reinforced by a commitment to transparency, with provisions for a public explanation channel that clarifies the citizen's prosocial role and rights guarantees in plain language . This ensures that the narrative is not just a top-down proclamation but is also accessible and understandable to the public it aims to persuade.

In essence, the framework treats narrative not as a passive reflection of reality but as an active tool for shaping it. It draws upon principles from computational linguistics, which examines the computational processing of human language ¹¹³, and artificial intelligence, which is increasingly used to build narratives that facilitate public engagement ¹¹⁹¹⁴⁸. The goal is to create a coherent and compelling story where the augmented citizen is defined by their contributions to peace, environmental stewardship, and civic life. This story is then reinforced through every layer of the system, from the technical enforcement of rights to the design of duty frameworks and the metrics used to evaluate progress. By placing anti-stigma narrative design at the forefront, the framework seeks to build a durable foundation of social legitimacy that will support the long-term integration and flourishing of augmented individuals within society.

Peace-Keeping and Eco-Civic Contributions as Verifiable Prosocial Proof-of-Benefit

Within the overarching anti-stigma narrative, peace-keeping and eco-civic contributions are designated as the primary forms of "prosocial proof-of-benefit." This designation is strategically chosen for its high evidentiary value, broad societal resonance, and alignment with neurorights-safe operational parameters. These actions are intended to provide concrete, verifiable data points that demonstrate the positive utility of augmented capabilities, moving beyond abstract claims of enhancement to tangible acts of service. This approach directly addresses the need for public trust to be earned through observable, positive behavior rather than assumed by technical status alone . The success of this strategy hinges on the ability to establish robust proof protocols that can reliably verify these contributions and translate them into a credible reputation system like KnowledgeCredit (KC).

Peace-keeping, in this context, is framed as a non-violent, supportive role focused on de-escalation, accurate reporting of harms, and assistance to lawful investigations under neurorights-safe conditions . This aligns with the roles envisioned in international peace operations, which include monitoring ceasefires, reporting violations, and taking action to prevent breaches ^{1 158}. However, the framework adapts this concept for a domestic, civic context, emphasizing de-escalation and support over enforcement. This framing avoids the militaristic connotations of the term and instead positions the augmented citizen as a guardian of public order and safety who operates within strict ethical boundaries. The cooperation offered is presented as a civic duty, not as grounds to

diminish inherent rights or treat the individual as a mere instrument of the state . The verification of such actions would rely on trusted oracles and immutable audit trails, potentially leveraging distributed ledger technology to ensure a tamper-proof record of participation in de-escalation efforts or accurate incident reporting [99](#) [231](#).

Eco-civic contributions represent another pillar of the proof-of-benefit strategy, framing the augmented citizen as an "eco-citizen" dedicated to environmental stewardship . This could include a wide range of activities, such as monitoring ecosystems, optimizing resource use, or participating in remediation efforts. The connection to environmental services is explicitly mentioned in Rights of Nature frameworks, which grant persons and communities the right to call upon public authorities to enforce these rights [34](#) . By engaging in verifiable eco-helpful behaviors, an augmented citizen earns non-transferable KC, reinforcing the idea that their value is derived from tangible, positive contributions to the community and environment . This approach ties reputation directly to sustainability goals, such as those outlined in the European Green Deal [235](#), and leverages the growing global focus on environmental issues [10](#) [214](#). Verification of these contributions could involve integrating with IoT sensor networks, using AI-powered wearables for bioelectronics in digital healthcare [132](#), or employing visual neurorestoration technologies for detailed environmental assessment [175](#). The key is establishing a protocol that links a quantifiable ecological benefit to the minting of KC credits, ensuring the process is transparent and auditable [39](#) .

The combination of peace-keeping and eco-civic roles serves multiple purposes within the narrative architecture. First, it creates a dual identity that is simultaneously protective and nurturing, appealing to a wide spectrum of societal values related to security and sustainability. Second, it establishes a clear boundary between acceptable, socially beneficial uses of augmentation and unacceptable, coercive or speculative applications. By anchoring the augmented citizen's role in these two domains, the framework steers public perception away from dystopian scenarios involving social credit coercion or financial speculation . The reputation system is explicitly designed to be non-speculative, with credits that are non-transferable and decay over time, preventing the accumulation of reputational capital that could be exploited [46](#) . This directly counters criticisms of existing reputation systems that can lead to exclusion or unfair ranking [38](#) .

Furthermore, these roles are compatible with the neurorights framework. They rely on externalized, observable behavior rather than access to inner mental states, thus upholding the principle of freedom from neuro-coercion [35](#) [36](#) . Cooperation with law enforcement or civic agencies is conditioned on neurorights-safe procedures, ensuring that the augmented citizen's capabilities are used to support, not undermine, their

fundamental freedoms . The framework also acknowledges the limitations of the augmented citizen, recognizing that they cannot cover all incidents, times, or locations, and that failure to respond in specific cases should not imply bad faith . This humility is crucial for maintaining public trust and avoiding the creation of unrealistic expectations.

The table below outlines the core characteristics of these two types of contributions as envisioned within the framework.

Characteristic	Peace-Keeping Contributions	Eco-Civic Contributions
Primary Goal	Non-violent de-escalation, accurate reporting of harms, support for lawful investigations 12 .	Environmental monitoring, optimization, and remediation; supporting ecosystem health 34 .
Operational Context	Community-led safety networks, public spaces, during civic events 108 .	Natural environments, urban green spaces, industrial sites, smart city infrastructure 179 .
Verification Method	Trusted witness networks, AI-powered video analysis, immutable audit trails on distributed ledgers 40 .	Sensor networks (IoT), satellite imagery analysis, AI-driven environmental modeling 132 .
Associated Reputation	KnowledgeCredit (KC) minted for verifiable de-escalation, accurate reporting, or safe intervention.	KnowledgeCredit (KC) minted for verifiable ecological benefits (e.g., pollution reduction, species preservation).
Alignment with Neurorights	High. Relies on external observation and action, not internal state access. Cooperation is neurorights-safe only 35 .	High. Focuses on interaction with the physical environment, not neural telemetry.
Public Perception Framing	"Guardian," "Protector," "Mediator" 85 .	"Steward," "Conservationist," "Sustainability Advocate" 162 .
Key Risks & Mitigations	Risk of mission creep into law enforcement. Mitigation: Strict adherence to community-led frameworks and neurorights-safe-only clauses 170 .	Risk of instrumentalization of nature. Mitigation: Focusing on restoration and optimization, not control; linking contributions to UN SDGs 225 .

Ultimately, peace-keeping and eco-civic actions are not just duties; they are the living embodiment of the anti-stigma narrative. They transform abstract concepts of rights and responsibilities into concrete, observable deeds that build a track record of reliability and goodwill. By consistently earning KC through these channels, an augmented citizen demonstrates their value proposition to society, fostering a cycle of positive reinforcement that strengthens their social legitimacy over time. This continuous feedback loop, where prosocial actions generate positive reputation, which in turn reinforces the narrative of beneficence, is the engine driving public acceptance.

Hybrid Duty Frameworks Anchored in Community-Led Safety Networks

The operationalization of peace-keeping and eco-civic duties is structured through a sophisticated hybrid duty framework, with a clear preference for community-led safety networks as the foundational layer of contextual legitimacy. This design choice is strategically sound, as it grounds the augmented citizen's role in local needs and norms before any information or action escalates to formal, institutional bodies. This tiered approach mirrors a separation of concerns, mitigating risks of centralized control and algorithmic authoritarianism while maximizing the relevance and trustworthiness of the augmented citizen's contributions ⁴¹. The framework envisions a three-tiered integration model: community-led networks handle first-line legitimacy and context, while tightly scoped integrations with law enforcement and decentralized civic bounty platforms serve as specialized, neurorights-safe extensions of this network .

Community-led safety networks are positioned as the primary and most important layer of the duty framework. These networks, which can be modeled after community resilience initiatives or local energy production schemes, act as the first point of contact and the main filter for interpreting and assigning duties ¹⁰⁸²⁰¹. Their strength lies in their deep, localized knowledge and pre-existing trust relationships. When an augmented citizen detects a potential issue—be it a minor conflict requiring de-escalation or an environmental anomaly—a community-led network can provide immediate, context-aware guidance. This prevents premature escalation and ensures that the augmented citizen's intervention is appropriate and welcomed. This model is supported by evidence from citizen engagement programs in low- and middle-income countries, which show that empowering local communities leads to more effective and sustainable outcomes ¹⁶. Furthermore, the concept of Distributed Public Governance highlights the critical role municipal governments and local entities play in regaining democratic control over digital infrastructures, a principle directly applicable to managing the deployment of augmented citizens ²³⁴. By anchoring duties in these networks, the framework ensures that the augmented citizen is perceived not as an external agent of control, but as an integrated asset of the community itself.

The second layer of the framework involves "plug-ins" to existing law enforcement protocols. These integrations are designed to be tightly scoped and neurorights-safe, operating only when authorized and necessary. The draft "Rights Header" explicitly includes a clause: `duties.cooperation_neurosafe_only = true`, which conditions any cooperation with law enforcement on procedures that do not involve coercive access to neural data or threats to basic services . This directly addresses the

legal and ethical guidelines emerging from bodies like the EU, which permit certain AI systems for law enforcement use only under strict regulatory conditions [170171](#). The process would likely follow a standard operating procedure, such as those used for assessing police officers for international peacekeeping missions, where competence and motivation are key criteria [86](#). In this model, the augmented citizen might provide enhanced situational awareness or data analysis to support a human-led operation, but the ultimate decision-making authority remains with legally accountable personnel. This preserves the citizen's autonomy while maximizing their unique capabilities in a controlled and ethical manner.

The third and final layer is the integration with decentralized civic bounty platforms. These platforms are conceived as analogous to bug-bounty programs, offering incentives for the augmented citizen to perform specific, verifiable tasks that contribute to public safety or civic welfare. Participation in these bounties is strictly voluntary and opt-in, with explicit contractual terms that prevent retaliation for declining a task. This aligns with principles of data minimization and proportional use, ensuring that the citizen is not overburdened or exploited [43](#). Crucially, the framework specifies that these platforms must be neurorights-safe and free from coercion or social-credit-style penalties. The scope of these bounties must also be clearly limited, acknowledging that the augmented citizen cannot guarantee universal coverage or unilateral problem-solving. This prevents the creation of a savior complex and maintains realistic expectations about the technology's role.

The following table summarizes the three layers of the hybrid duty framework:

Layer	Primary Function	Key Characteristics	Governing Principle
Community-Led Safety Networks	First-line contextual legitimacy, duty assignment, and de-escalation.	Grounded in local knowledge and trust; prioritizes community-defined needs; acts as a filter for higher-level interventions.	Decentralized Control and Local Autonomy 234
Law Enforcement Integration	Support for official investigations and operations under strict safeguards.	Tightly scoped; neurorights-safe only (no coercion); human-in-the-loop decision making; follows established SOPs 86 .	Proportionality and Accountability 170
Decentralized Civic Bounty Platforms	Voluntary, incentivized tasks for public safety and civic improvement.	Opt-in participation; contractually explicit; non-coercive; limited scope acknowledged; rewards are non-financial (e.g., KC).	Voluntary Contribution and Fair Exchange 16

This multi-layered structure effectively balances the need for efficiency and scalability with the imperative of preserving individual rights and social trust. It creates a system where the augmented citizen's actions are continuously validated and contextualized by those closest to the situation—their own communities. Only when a matter transcends local capacity or requires specialized expertise does it move to the next tier, ensuring that

institutional power is applied judiciously. This design is a direct response to the challenges of governing AI and neurotechnology in a way that protects human rights, drawing on recommendations from UNESCO and the OECD that emphasize multistakeholder collaboration and clear state responsibilities [49](#) [112](#). By building a duty framework that is anchored in community legitimacy and layered with controlled institutional access, the proposal aims to create a sustainable and socially accepted model for augmented citizenship.

Technical Enforcement via Machine-Readable Rights and Duties Headers

The cornerstone of the proposed framework is the translation of abstract rights and duties into concrete, machine-readable formats that can be enforced across disparate systems and jurisdictions. This is achieved through the implementation of standardized "Rights Headers" and "Duty Headers" in formats like ALN manifests and Rust enums . This technical layer moves compliance from a legal burden dependent on interpretation to a technical default governed by verifiable, auditable constraints. These headers function as a portable "sovereignty shell," embedding an augmented citizen's core protections and obligations directly into their digital identity, which travels with them whether online, offline, or interfacing through mixed-reality channels .

The Rights Header is a comprehensive set of fields that codify the fundamental protections for an organically-integrated augmented citizen. Each field corresponds to a specific neuroright, grounded in contemporary neuroethics discourse and international human rights law [36](#) [38](#) .

- `au_status = OrganicallyIntegratedAugmentedCitizen` : This field unambiguously defines the citizen's status, serving as the root identifier for all subsequent rights and duties .
- `rights.no_exclusion_basic_services = true` : This flag mandates that access to essential services—such as food, water, shelter, medical care, emergency services, and essential communications—cannot be denied solely based on the citizen's augmented status, payment method (e.g., DID-bound wallets), or participation in civic programs [11](#) [34](#) . This operationalizes the human right to science and the right to an adequate standard of living [22](#) .
- `rights.no_neuro_coercion = true` : This is a hard constraint prohibiting any system or contract from demanding access to inner mental states, neural data, or cognitive

telemetry as a precondition for services or recognition. This upholds the fundamental right to mental privacy, a core concern in the regulation of neurotechnologies [21](#) [23](#) [24](#).

- `rights.revocable_at_will = true`: This ensures that any consent related to data-sharing or augmented capabilities can be withdrawn at any time without penalty to basic services or forced degradation of rights. This reflects data protection principles like those in GDPR and emphasizes user autonomy [43](#) [47](#).
- `rights.no_score_from_inner_state = true`: This prohibits any form of scoring, ranking, or penalization based on inferred thoughts, feelings, or imagined content. Reputation and risk assessments can only be based on externally verifiable behavior and explicit, revocable consents, preventing unjust profiling [35](#).
- `rights.augmentation_continuity = true` and
`rights.project_continuity_rust_aln_bostrom = true`: These fields protect the citizen's right to maintain, repair, and upgrade their cybernetic components and associated software projects. They ensure that experimental or advanced codebases linked to Rust, ALN, or Bostrom-compatible repositories cannot be arbitrarily seized or delegitimized, fostering innovation and protecting intellectual property [18](#)[72](#)[21](#).

These rights are not merely declarative; they are technical invariants that can be checked by any compliant system. For example, a merchant's POS terminal, upon reading a customer's QPU.Datashard, would check the `no_exclusion_basic_services` flag. If the flag is true, the system is technically obligated to accept a neurorights-safe payment method, such as an EqualityPaymentGuard-compliant transaction, preventing denial of service. This transforms legal principles into enforceable technical rules.

Similarly, the Duty Header encodes the citizen's voluntary commitments to prosocial roles. These fields guide the citizen's interactions and determine eligibility for reputation accrual.

- `duty_class.primary = [PeaceKeeping, CivicSupport, EcoCivic]`: This enum specifies the core public roles adopted by the citizen, directly feeding into the anti-stigma narrative.
- `duties.cooperation_neurosafe_only = true`: This flag governs interactions with law enforcement, ensuring that any offered assistance is conditional upon neurorights-safe procedures, as mandated by regulations like the EU AI Act [170](#)[171](#).
- `duties.civic_bounty_opt_in = true`: This boolean ensures that participation in any reward-based civic program is voluntary and contractually explicit, protecting the citizen from coercion.
- `duties.no_speculative_finance = true`: This is a critical safeguard, mandating that the reputation accounting system (e.g., KnowledgeCredit) must be non-

transferable, non-tradable, and non-speculative, distinguishing it from market tokens and preventing financialization of social contribution .

- `duties.reputation_from_verified_actions = true` : This flag enables the minting of KC credits, but only for actions that have a clear, verifiable audit trail, ensuring the integrity of the reputation system [39](#) .

These headers are designed for maximum portability and interoperability. The ALN manifest format provides a universal, human-readable and machine-processable structure for defining these fields [88](#) . In Rust, these would map to strongly-typed enums and structs, allowing for compile-time checks and efficient runtime enforcement [59](#) . For instance, a Rust microservice handling a payment could be written with an invariant that

```
if shard.au_status == 'OrganicallyIntegratedAugmentedCitizen' &&
shard.rights.no_exclusion_basic_services == true
{ allow_payment(); } else
{ deny_payment_with_reason('jurisdictional_policy'); }. This level of technical specificity ensures that the rights and duties are not just ideals but are deeply embedded in the operational logic of the systems they interact with.
```

The table below details the mapping between the conceptual headers and their potential technical implementations.

Conceptual Field	ALN Manifest Syntax	Proposed Rust Equivalent
au_status	au_status: "OrganicallyIntegratedAugmentedCitizen"	enum AuStatus { OrganicallyIntegratedAugmentedCitizen, ... }
no_exclusion_basic_services	rights: { no_exclusion_basic_services: true }	struct RightsFlags { no_exclusion_basic_services: bool, ... }
no_neuro_coercion	rights: { no_neuro_coercion: true }	struct RightsFlags { no_neuro_coercion: bool, ... }
revocable_at_will	rights: { revocable_at_will: true }	struct RightsFlags { revocable_at_will: bool, ... }
no_score_from_inner_state	rights: { no_score_from_inner_state: true }	struct RightsFlags { no_score_from_inner_state: bool, ... }
augmentation_continuity	rights: { augmentation_continuity: true }	struct RightsFlags { augmentation_continuity: bool, ... }
duty_class	duty_class: ["PeaceKeeping", "EcoCivic"]	enum DutyClass { PeaceKeeping, CivicSupport, EcoCivic, ... }
cooperation_neurosafe_only	duties: { cooperation_neurosafe_only: true }	struct DutyFlags { cooperation_neurosafe_only: bool, ... }

Conceptual Field	ALN Manifest Syntax	Proposed Rust Equivalent
no_speculative_finance	duties: { no_speculative_finance: true }	struct DutyFlags { no_speculative_finance: bool, ... }

By implementing these headers as auditable, hex-traced invariants, the framework creates a robust and portable system of governance . Every interaction becomes a potential audit point, providing a permanent, tamper-proof record of compliance with neurorights and civic duties. This technical enforcement layer is the bedrock upon which the entire socio-technical system rests, providing the certainty and predictability needed for both augmented citizens and the societies they inhabit to coexist safely and productively.

Quantitative Governance Through the Word-Math Scoring Schema

To systematically manage the construction of the anti-stigma narrative and ensure alignment with core objectives, the framework introduces a quantitative governance mechanism: the Word-Math scoring schema. This meta-strategy applies computational rigor to the inherently qualitative tasks of language selection and policy design, aiming to produce documentation and public-facing materials that are "low contamination, low drift, neurorights-safe, high civic-impact" . The core of this system is the composite score $f(y,z,T,K,E)$, which evaluates each piece of text or research topic against a set of predefined metrics . This allows for a data-driven approach to narrative engineering, enabling the identification and refinement of language that best promotes social legitimacy, neurorights preservation, and non-speculative framing.

The individual metrics that compose the score are designed to capture different dimensions of quality and alignment:

- **Repetition/contamination (y):** This metric measures the reuse of narrow jargon, aggressive or stigmatizing frames, and speculative finance language. A low y score is desirable, as it indicates that the language is accessible, avoids unnecessary complexity, and resists co-option by problematic discourses [92 208](#). The formula $(1-y)$ gives higher weight to texts that are free from such contamination.

- **Topic drift (z):** This scalar measures the distance of a topic or text from the canonical research aim of neurorights-safe, eco-civic, non-speculative augmented citizenship. A low z score signifies high fidelity to the core mission. The formula $(1-z)$ ensures that outputs remain tightly focused on the primary goals, preventing the dilution of the central narrative [42](#). For instance, a manifest for a new duty header would be expected to have a very low z score.
- **Toxicity (T) and Kindness (K):** These metrics quantify the emotional tone of the text. Aggressive, confrontational, or fearful language receives a high T score, while peaceful, cooperative, and empathetic language receives a high K score. The terms $(1-T)$ and K work in concert to reward prosocial, peace-keeper framing, directly targeting the reduction of stigma and the promotion of trust [69](#) [76](#).
- **Trust/Evidentiality (E):** This metric assesses how concrete, testable, and auditable a topic or specification is. A high E score is awarded to proposals that can be directly implemented in a technical system, such as a Rust microservice with verifiable, hex-traced invariants. This metric acts as a bridge between policy and practice, favoring ideas that can be built and audited rather than remaining as abstract concepts [88](#) [237](#).

The composite score is calculated as:

$$f(y,z,T,K,E) = (1-y)(1-z)(1-T)KE$$

with each component normalized to the $[0,1]$ interval. This formula mathematically enforces the desired qualities: a low score for contamination, drift, and toxicity, combined with a high score for kindness and evidentiality, results in a high overall f score. This provides a single, quantifiable measure of a document's alignment with the framework's core principles.

Recognizing that different aspects of the project may require different priorities, the framework proposes a sovereignty-aligned weighting of these components. This allows for the creation of a biased score, F , tailored to specific goals:

$$F = w_S(1-z) + w_N((1-T)KE) + w_A(1-y)$$

where w_S , w_N , and w_A are weights for civic/sovereign focus, neurorights safety, and anti-speculation, respectively, summing to one. For a research topic focused on designing a new duty header, the weights might be heavily skewed towards neurorights safety (w_N) and civic focus (w_S), reflecting the importance of getting those definitions right. For a public communication document, the weights on kindness (K) and anti-contamination (y) might be increased.

To ensure consistency and quality control, the framework proposes enforcing explicit target bands for each research topic. For example, a topic on "Interoperable Identity Manifests" might be required to have a topic drift (z) of no more than 0.2, ensuring it stays close to the core identity schema . A topic on "NeuroSafe Policy Propagation Vectors" might be required to have a toxicity (T) score of no more than 0.1 and a kindness (K) score of at least 0.7, promoting a non-coercive and de-escalatory language policy . This turns the scoring schema into a practical checklist for vetting proposals before they are adopted.

The application of this framework extends beyond research topics to the language used in public-facing documents. The draft "Social Legitimacy and Duty Declaration" is itself a candidate for evaluation under this schema. Its language is intentionally crafted to be kind, honest, and prosocial, with a low likelihood of contamination by financial jargon. Hand-rating its social impact vector

$S=(S_{anti-stigma}, S_{non-exclusion}, S_{peace-keeping}, S_{eco})$ would provide a quantitative measure of its effectiveness in achieving its goals . Topics with a high system-output factor, $O=F \cdot |S|$, would be prioritized for near-term implementation, as they represent the most promising avenues for advancing the framework's objectives .

This quantitative approach represents a novel fusion of computational linguistics, ethical AI governance, and public policy. It draws inspiration from techniques used to detect adversarial perturbations in AI-generated text ⁹⁶ and the simplification of complex legal or technical language for broader audiences ¹¹⁴. By treating language itself as a modifiable variable in a larger system, the framework enables a dynamic, iterative process of narrative refinement. It allows developers, policymakers, and community leaders to collaboratively explore different framings, measure their impact using objective metrics, and converge on a set of messages and policies that are technically sound, ethically robust, and socially resonant. This closed-loop system of evaluation and refinement is essential for navigating the complex and evolving landscape of public perception surrounding human augmentation.

Policy Interoperability and Continuity of Augmentation Projects

The final pillars of the research framework address the critical challenges of scaling the augmented citizen model across diverse legal and cultural landscapes and ensuring the long-term viability of the technology itself. This is accomplished through two

interconnected strategies: leveraging the standardized rights/duties headers to achieve policy interoperability across jurisdictions, and formally encoding the right to project continuity for all associated augmentation assets. These elements ensure that the framework is not just a technical blueprint for a single entity but a portable and enduring architecture for a new class of citizens.

Policy interoperability is the process of creating a common ground for governance that allows the rights and duties of an augmented citizen to be recognized and enforced regardless of their physical location. The framework proposes that the machine-readable rights and duties headers—such as `no_exclusion_basic_services` and `duty_class = [PeaceKeeping]`—serve as a "lowest common denominator" vocabulary for cross-jurisdictional agreements . This approach is analogous to how eXtensible Markup Language (XML) provided a universal format for data exchange, balancing human readability with machine processability [88](#) . By adopting a shared lexicon of neurorights, different nations and legal systems could build upon a common foundation, much as the Universal Scientific Education and Research Network (USERN) promotes interdisciplinary science [111](#).

The theoretical underpinning for this approach can be found in international law, particularly the "international law of recognition" which reflects the paradigm of recognizing identities in both municipal and international law [33](#) . The United Nations has long emphasized the importance of a globally available vocabulary and narrative for abuses of power and for promoting human rights [62](#) . The proposed headers can be seen as a modern, technical instantiation of this principle, translating abstract human rights into a form that can be automatically interpreted and enforced by digital systems. This aligns with the goals of the UN's Disability Inclusion Strategy, which calls for revising strategies to define clear visions and principles for inclusion [97](#) . The process would involve jurists and policymakers using the technical headers as a starting point to negotiate and harmonize national laws, creating a patchwork of legal protections that are nonetheless grounded in a shared, verifiable standard. This is especially relevant given the fragmented legal frameworks currently emerging around AI and data, which can create obstacles to cross-border services [137](#).

Parallel to achieving policy interoperability is the explicit protection of the citizen's right to continue developing and maintaining their augmentations. The Rights Header includes two crucial fields: `rights.augmentation_continuity = true` and `rights.project_continuity_rust_aln_bostrom = true` . The latter specifically protects projects linked to Rust, ALN, and Bostrom-compatible repositories, ensuring that innovation is not stifled by prejudice or a lack of cultural familiarity [187221](#).

Any attempt to seize, neutralize, or delegitimize these projects would be a violation of the citizen's rights, unless a narrowly tailored, evidence-based restriction could be justified. This provision is vital for fostering a culture of responsible neurotech, where innovation is encouraged within a robust ethical and legal framework [64](#).

This right to continuity extends to all cybernetic assets, skills, and capabilities that are lawfully under the citizen's control. It recognizes that for an organically-integrated augmented citizen, their capabilities are not static but are part of an ongoing developmental loop. This is reflected in the reference to the "12-repo donut-loop," suggesting a continuous cycle of development, testing, and improvement. The framework asserts that no governance or reputation system should impede this natural evolution simply because a project is advanced or experimental. Restrictions, if ever necessary for safety or rights-based reasons, must be transparent, appealable, and clearly explained, distinguishing them from prejudice or stigma.

The following table synthesizes these final components of the framework.

Component	Description	Key Fields / Concepts	Rationale and Impact
Policy Interoperability	Achieving consistent treatment of augmented citizens across different legal jurisdictions by using a shared, technical vocabulary.	Machine-readable rights/duties headers as a "lowest common denominator" for legal negotiation. 33 88	Reduces legal fragmentation, facilitates cross-border mobility and service access, and provides a stable governance foundation. 137
Continuity of Augmentations	The right of an augmented citizen to maintain, repair, and upgrade their cybernetic and software components.	<code>rights.augmentation_continuity = true</code>	Ensures the citizen's capabilities can evolve with their needs and technological advancements, preserving functionality and utility. 187221
Continuity of Projects	The right to continue development on associated research and code projects, including those in specific repository ecosystems.	<code>rights.project_continuity_rust_aln_bostrom = true</code>	Protects innovation from being stifled by cultural bias or fear of the unfamiliar, fostering a sustainable ecosystem for responsible neurotech. 187 221
Appeal and Transparency	The requirement that any restrictions on rights or projects must be narrowly tailored, evidence-based, and subject to a clear appeal process.	Evidence-based justification, clear explanations, and documented appeal channels. 88 237	Distinguishes legitimate safety measures from prejudice, upholds due process, and builds trust in the governance system. 38

In conclusion, the proposed research framework offers a comprehensive and deeply integrated socio-technical model for the social legitimacy of augmented citizens. It begins with the strategic prioritization of anti-stigma narrative design, using peace-keeping and eco-civic contributions as verifiable proof-of-benefit. This narrative is operationalized through a hybrid duty framework anchored in community-led safety networks, which ensures contextual legitimacy and trust. The entire system is hardened by a robust technical enforcement layer, where neurorights and duties are encoded as machine-readable, auditable invariants in Rust and ALN systems. This technical foundation is then used to inform policy interoperability across jurisdictions and to generate human-readable, anti-stigma messaging, completing a virtuous feedback loop. Finally, by guaranteeing the continuity of both augmentations and associated projects, the framework ensures that this model is not only socially accepted but also technologically sustainable. While practical challenges regarding verification protocols, scalability, and the prevention of new forms of stigma remain, this framework provides a rigorous and principled roadmap for engineering a future where augmented citizens are recognized as valued and contributing members of society.

Reference

1. 9 - The Liberal Peace: Peacemaking, Peacekeeping and ... <https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/international-law-and-new-wars/liberal-peace-peacemaking-peacekeeping-and-peacebuilding/A56E81674B3BA82F8B72C42287890ECD>
2. Ethical issues of neurotechnology: report, adopted in ... <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000383559>
3. Understanding Perception of Human Augmentation <https://dl.acm.org/doi/full/10.1145/3544548.3581485>
4. an Exploratory Mixed-Methods Study on Ethical Principles <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s12152-025-09595-4>
5. A/HRC/57/61 - General Assembly - the United Nations <https://docs.un.org/en/A/HRC/57/61>
6. Ethical implications of visual neuroprostheses—a ... <https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1741-2552/ac65b2/pdf>
7. Neurotechnology - an overview | ScienceDirect Topics <https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/neuroscience/neurotechnology>

8. Dr. James Giordano's Post https://www.linkedin.com/posts/dr-james-giordano-8454476_neuroethics-principles-brains-activity-7290334537291563008-5iT3
9. Addressing stigma within the dissemination of research ... <https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/psychiatry/articles/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1199661/full>
10. A Global Study on the Implementation of ... https://www.un.org/peacebuilding/sites/www.un.org.peacebuilding/files/documents/globalstudywps_en_web.pdf
11. how rampant corruption unleashed a human rights crisis in ... <https://www.ohchr.org/sites/default/files/documents/hrbodies/hrcouncil/cohrsouthsudan/a-hrc-60-crp-5.pdf>
12. Management of Violent Extremist Prisoners and the ... https://www.unodc.org/pdf/criminal_justice/Handbook_on_VEPs.pdf
13. Digital transformation leadership: A public value-centered ... <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0740624X25000851>
14. Development and validation of civic engagement scale <https://www.nature.com/articles/s41599-025-05837-1>
15. The Ethics of Computational Social Science - Springer Link https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-031-16624-2_4
16. Citizen engagement in public services in low – and middle ... <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC8356537/>
17. (PDF) Avoiding Theoretical Stagnation: A Systematic ... https://www.researchgate.net/publication/317011003_Avoiding_Theoretical_Stagnation_A_Systematic_Review_and_Framework_for_Measuring_Public_Value
18. The Paradox of “即 (Jí)” in Tiantai Buddhism <https://www.mdpi.com/2077-1444/15/10/1254>
19. WordMap Version 2.0 PDF | PDF | Vocabulary <https://www.scribd.com/document/383661823/WordMap-Version-2-0-pdf>
20. Recommendations for Responsible Development and ... <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC8081770/>
21. Protecting Brain Privacy in the Age of Neurotechnology https://www.researchgate.net/publication/384971350_Protecting_Brain_Privacy_in_the_Age_of_Neurotechnology_Policy_Respones_and_Remaining_Challenges
22. Implementing the human right to science in neuroscience <https://academic.oup.com/jlb/advance-article/doi/10.1093/jlb/lsae011/7672876>
23. Neurorights, Mental Privacy, and Mind Reading | Neuroethics <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s12152-024-09568-z>

24. The protection of mental privacy in the area of neuroscience [https://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/STUD/2024/757807/EPRS_STU\(2024\)757807_EN.pdf](https://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/STUD/2024/757807/EPRS_STU(2024)757807_EN.pdf)
25. Recommendations for Responsible Development and ... <https://escholarship.org/content/qt74h49860/qt74h49860.pdf>
26. Handbook for European Union Election Observation https://www.eeas.europa.eu/sites/default/files/2025/documents/Handbook_EU_EOMs_4th_2025_EN_web.pdf
27. Legal Obligation and Authority <https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/legal-obligation/>
28. Political Legitimacy, Judicial Review, and the Legislative ... <https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/canadian-journal-of-law-and-jurisprudence/article/political-legitimacy-judicial-review-and-the-legislative-override/2A815C454A2CE8D705AC9D2725D48D13>
29. First Nations' Citizenship and Kinship Compared: Belonging's ... <https://academic.oup.com/ajcl/article/72/4/892/8127668>
30. Global Generational Cognitive Decline in the Age of AI and ... <https://hal.science/hal-05206526v1/file/1-The%20Silent%20Erosion-%20Generational%20Cognitive%20Atrophy%20in%20the%20Age%20of%20AI%20and%20the%20Future%20of%20Human%20Intellect.pdf>
31. Shaping a rights-oriented digital transformation (EN) https://www.oecd.org/content/dam/oecd/en/publications/reports/2024/06/shaping-a-rights-oriented-digital-transformation_30378a18/86ee84e2-en.pdf
32. Keyword Terms - A Social Work Research Guide <https://libguides.wustl.edu/social-work/subjecttermsspage>
33. International Law of Recognition - Oxford Academic <https://academic.oup.com/ejil/article/24/2/667/494129>
34. Comparative analysis of Rights of Nature (RoN) case ... <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0921800924000909>
35. On Neurorights - PMC - PubMed Central - NIH <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC8498568/>
36. Neurotechnology <https://www.ohchr.org/sites/default/files/documents/hrbodies/hrcouncil/advisorycommittee/neurotechnology/03-ngos/ac-submission-cso-neurorightsfoundation.pdf>
37. The regulation of non-invasive neurotechnologies in the EU ... <https://officialblogofunio.com/2023/12/01/the-regulation-of-non-invasive-neurotechnologies-in-the-eu-single-market-a-rights-orientated-approach-in-a-goldmine-of-data/>
38. Full article: Neurotechnologies and human rights <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/13642987.2024.2310830>

39. A preliminary study on artificial intelligence oracles and ... <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0267364923000791>
40. Blockchain and AI in Digital Contracts: A Legal Review of ... https://www.researchgate.net/publication/390195375_Blockchain_and_AI_in_Digital_Contracts_A_Legal_Review_of_Smart_Contract_Enforcement
41. Artificial intelligence and human rights: Using AI as a weapon ... [https://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/IDAN/2024/754450/EXPO_IDA\(2024\)754450_EN.pdf](https://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/IDAN/2024/754450/EXPO_IDA(2024)754450_EN.pdf)
42. A Primer of Mathematical Writing <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1612.04888>
43. First draft of the Recommendation on the Ethics ... <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000391444>
44. Draft text of the Recommendation on the Ethics ... <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000393395>
45. Mental privacy: navigating risks, rights and regulation <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12287510/>
46. First draft of a Recommendation on the Ethics ... <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000391074>
47. Regulating neural data processing in the age of BCIs <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11951885/>
48. What an International Declaration on Neurotechnologies ... <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/21507740.2023.2270512>
49. Connecting the dots in trustworthy Artificial Intelligence ... <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1566253523002129>
50. EN Horizon Europe Work Programme 2025 5. Culture ... https://ec.europa.eu/info/funding-tenders/opportunities/docs/2021-2027/horizon/wp-call/2025/wp-5-culture-creativity-and-inclusive-society_horizon-2025_en.pdf
51. Perspectives from peace research <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000368093>
52. A Randomized Controlled-Trial of Treatment for Self ... <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC6773531/>
53. Interventions to Reduce Stigma Related to Mental Illnesses ... <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7395002/>
54. Evidence for the Effectiveness of Psychological ... <https://www.mdpi.com/1660-4601/20/8/5570>
55. How early stigmatizing experiences, peer connections, and ... <https://psycnet.apa.org/record/2021-91685-001>

56. The Role of First-Person Depression Storytelling Online ... <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/10608265221108511>
57. Reducing Stigma to Promote Mental Health (Part IV) <https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/cambridge-handbook-of-stigma-and-mental-health/reducing-stigma-to-promote-mental-health/C7945164A64260B523F2B56F97DF71F5>
58. (PDF) Power Transmission Line Inspections: Methods ... https://www.researchgate.net/publication/379304790_Power_Transmission_Line_Inspections_Methods_Challenges_Current_Status_and_Usage_of_Unmanned_Aerial_Systems
59. Software Packages in "sid", Subsection python <https://packages.debian.org/sid/python/>
60. Athena Vancroft - Dragonfly <https://www.linkedin.com/in/athenavalen>
61. The Autonomous Mind: The Right to Freedom of Thought in ... <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7861318/>
62. Human rights and the belief in a just world - Oxford Academic <https://academic.oup.com/icon/article/12/1/35/628590>
63. Towards new human rights in the age of neuroscience and ... <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC5447561/>
64. Building a culture of responsible neurotech: Neuroethics as ... <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0896627322004135>
65. Common human rights challenges raised by different ... https://www.researchgate.net/publication/356412675_COMMON_HUMAN_RIGHTS_CHALLENGES_RAISED_BY_DIFFERENT_APPLICATIONS_OF_NEUROTECHNOLOGIES_IN_THE_BIOMEDICAL_FIELD
66. Addressing privacy risk in neuroscience data - Oxford Academic <https://academic.oup.com/jlb/article/9/2/lsac025/6691730>
67. A Case Study in Humanitarian Action and Peacekeeping https://ciaotest.cc.columbia.edu/wps/wibu/0015218/f_0015218_12848.pdf
68. arXiv:2408.01458v1 [cs.CY] 26 Jul 2024 <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2408.01458.pdf>
69. To trust or not to trust? An assessment of trust in AI-based ... https://www.researchgate.net/publication/360918487_To_trust_or_not_to_trust_An_assessment_of_trust_in_AI-based_systems_Concerns_ethics_and_contexts
70. Body of Knowledge - CS2023 <https://csed.acm.org/wp-content/uploads/2024/01/Body-of-Knowledge-v1-bookmarksv2.pdf>
71. Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational ... <https://aclanthology.org/events/acl-2024/>

72. Post-Quantum Financial Infrastructure Framework (PQFIF) <https://www.sec.gov/files/cft-written-input-daniel-bruno-corvelo-costa-090325.pdf>
73. UNESCO New Frontier in Ethics and Innovation <https://www.vajiraoinstitute.com/upsc-ias-current-affairs/neurotech-and-human-rights-unesco-new-frontier-in-ethics-and-innovation.aspx>
74. An Immersive Technologies Policy Primer https://www.oecd.org/content/dam/oecd/en/publications/reports/2025/03/an-immersive-technologies-policy-primer_aa2e7910/cf39863d-en.pdf
75. Seven HCI Grand Challenges Revisited: Five-Year Progress <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/10447318.2025.2450411>
76. A Clinical Guide to the Treatment of the Human Stress ... <https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1007/978-1-4614-5538-7.pdf>
77. Spam Message Detector <https://www.kaggle.com/code/dev0914sharma/spam-message-detector>
78. Draft Recommendation on the Ethics of Neurotechnology <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000394861>
79. Final report on the draft Recommendation on the Ethics of ... <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000393266>
80. Recommendation on the Ethics of Artificial Intelligence <https://www.unesco.org/en/articles/recommendation-ethics-artificial-intelligence>
81. Artificial Intelligence and Culture https://www.unesco.org/sites/default/files/medias/fichiers/2025/09/CULTAI_Report%20of%20the%20Independent%20Expert%20Group%20on%20Artificial%20Intelligence%20and%20Culture%20%28final%20online%20version%29%201.pdf
82. Compilation of comments received from Member States on ... <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000393396.locale=en>
83. Ethical impact assessment: a tool of the Recommendation ... <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000386276>
84. Outcome document of the first meeting of the AHEG <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000389768>
85. When May UN Peacekeepers Use Lethal Force to Protect ... <https://academic.oup.com/jcsl/article/28/1/1/6659066>
86. Assessment for Mission Service of Individual Police Officers <https://web/Policyweb/Policy%20and%20Guidance/corepeacekeepingguidance/Theematic%20Operational%20Activities/Police%20and%20Law%20Enforcement/2024.11%20SOP%20on%20Assessment%20for%20Mission%20Service%20of%20Individual%20Po>

87. Evaluation of a Legally Binding Smart-Contract Language ... <https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/1667/24e132c929cbaa0ae1695f759b0474f618e7.pdf>
88. Full article: Navigating the Legal Landscape of APIs <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/02604027.2025.2499205?src=exp-la>
89. Deepfake detection in generative AI: A legal framework ... <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2212473X25000355>
90. Digital identity: an approach to its nature, concept, and ... <https://academic.oup.com/ijlit/article/doi/10.1093/ijlit/eaae019/7760180>
91. Software Packages in "trixie", Subsection python <https://packages.debian.org/trixie/python/>
92. data/wordlists/password.lst <https://git.ustc.edu.cn/cwzsquare/metasploit-framework/-/blob/60210f57e97119ed7faad1ba6a08720d64601747/data/wordlists/password.lst>
93. Unsupervised Learning <https://www.kaggle.com/code/impratiksingh/unsupervised-learning>
94. European Law Enforcement Research Bulletin - CEPOL https://www.cepol.europa.eu/api/assets/CEPOL_European_Law_Enforcement_Research_Bulletin_Issue_21.pdf
95. Human security: approaches and challenges <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000159307>
96. Long Papers <https://aclanthology.org/volumes/2024.acl-long/>
97. Evaluation of the United Nations Disability Inclusion Strategy https://www.un.org/system-wide-evaluation-office/sites/default/files/2025-10/UNDIS%20Evaluation%20Report%201_0.pdf
98. POLARIS 3.0 Framework: Advanced <https://www.sec.gov/files/ctf-written-input-daniel-bruno-corvelo-costa-011226.pdf>
99. Comprehensive Technical Framework for Blockchain and ... <https://www.sec.gov/files/ctf-written-proposal-sec-project-09-15-2025.pdf>
100. Evidence for the Effectiveness of Psychological Interventions ... <https://PMC10138403/>
101. A systematic review of recent workplace mental health ... <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0022437525000039>
102. A pilot short-term study of feasibility, acceptability and ... <https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/public-health/articles/10.3389/fpubh.2025.1594471/full>
103. Reducing Stigma, Enhancing Psychological Well-Being ... <https://www.mdpi.com/2227-9032/13/11/1291>

104. Does Storytelling Reduce Stigma? A Meta-Analytic View of ... https://www.researchgate.net/publication/359062995_Does_Storytelling_Reduce_Stigma_A_Meta-Analytic_View_of_Narrative_Persuasion_on_Stigma_Reduction
105. “Looking beyond Mental Health Stigma”: An Online Focus ... <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10002096/>
106. Perfectly to a Tee: Understanding User Perceptions of ... <https://dl.acm.org/doi/full/10.1145/3715336.3735810>
107. Interim Evaluation of the Horizon Europe ... - EUR-Lex <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/HTML/?uri=CELEX:52025SC0110>
108. Official Journal C 465/6 De - EUR-Lex - European Union <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/HTML/?uri=OJ:C:2022:465:FULL>
109. Current and Future Approaches to AI Governance (Part II) <https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/cambridge-handbook-of-responsible-artificial-intelligence/current-and-future-approaches-to-ai-governance/4F9A8DEE6D49F891ADE37F8FA1A9BD49>
110. Contents <https://arxiv.org/html/2508.16628v1>
111. Artificial Intelligence in Science and Society: The Vision of ... <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/iel8/6287639/10820123/10839366.pdf>
112. Science for peace and development at UNESCO <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000396325>
113. Computational Linguistics <https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/computational-linguistics/>
114. Text Simplification - Oxford Academic - Oxford University Press <https://academic.oup.com/edited-volume/42643/chapter/358154528>
115. Rhetorical figures, arguments, computation <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.3233/AAC-170030>
116. Global toolkit on AI and the rule of law for the judiciary <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000387331>
117. United Nations Activities on Artificial Intelligence (AI) https://www.itu.int/dms_pub/itu-s/opb/gen/S-GEN-UNACT-2021-PDF-E.pdf
118. (PDF) Ethics of Artificial Intelligence: Case Studies and ... https://www.researchgate.net/publication/366764402_Ethics_of_Artificial_Intelligence_Case_Studies_and_Options_for_Addressi ng_Ethical_Challenges
119. AI-powered narrative building for facilitating public ... <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s44163-022-00023-7>

120. What is civic participation in artificial intelligence? <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/23998083241296200>
121. Designing for Constructive Civic Communication <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2505.11684.pdf>
122. AI in public service design and delivery: Governing with ... https://www.oecd.org/en/publications/governing-with-artificial-intelligence_795de142-en/full-report/ai-in-public-service-design-and-delivery_09704c1a.html
123. Multi Text8 E10 d300 Vs2e-4 Lr1e-5 Margin1.words | PDF <https://www.scribd.com/document/480950803/multi-text8-e10-d300-vs2e-4-lr1e-5-margin1-words-txt>
124. Evaluation of the United Nations Disability Inclusion Strategy https://www.un.org/system-wide-evaluation-office/sites/default/files/2025-09/undis_evaluation_report_as.pdf
125. A/80/323 General Assembly - the United Nations <https://docs.un.org/en/A/80/323>
126. Understanding the Literature on Diversity in the Intelligence ... <https://doi.org/10.1093/isr/viad033>
127. Decoding communicative action vitality forms in social ... <https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/psychology/articles/10.3389/fpsyg.2025.1478875/pdf>
128. (PDF) Monitoring and Manipulating Brain Function https://www.researchgate.net/publication/8427688_Monitoring_and_Manipulating_Brain_Function_New_Neuroscience_Technologies_and_Their_Ethical_Implications
129. 2020 International Neuroethics Society Annual Meeting ... <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/21507740.2021.1917726>
130. Nanomedical Brain/Cloud Interface. Chapter 1 - IOP Science <https://iopscience.iop.org/book/edit/978-0-7503-2144-0/chapter/bk978-0-7503-2144-0ch1.epub>
131. A four-part working bibliography of neuroethics: part 3 <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1186/s13010-016-0037-1>
132. AI-Driven Wearable Bioelectronics in Digital Healthcare <https://www.mdpi.com/2079-6374/15/7/410>
133. Journal of Medical Ethics Online First <https://jme.bmjjournals.org/rss/ahead.xml>
134. OFFICIAL RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS Wednesday, 27 ... <https://www.legco.gov.hk/yr2024/english/counmtg/hansard/cm20240327-translate-e.pdf>
135. Contexts of educational neuroscience <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000382514>
136. AI for Good Global Summit 2024 - ITU <https://aiforgood.itu.int/summit24/>
137. COM(2025) 3 - Data - European Union <https://data.consilium.europa.eu/doc/document/ST-10935-2025-INIT/en/pdf>

138. The Aarhus Convention: An Implementation Guide https://www.unece.org/fileadmin/DAM/env/pp/Publications/Aarhus_Implementation_Guide_interactive_eng.pdf
139. Distributed Public Governance (EN) https://www.oecd.org/content/dam/oecd/en/publications/reports/2002/11/distributed-public-governance_g1gh2d5e/9789264177420-en.pdf
140. Legislative guide for the implementation of the United ... https://www.unodc.org/documents/treaties/UNCAC/Publications/LegislativeGuide/UNCAC_Legislative_Guide_E.pdf
141. Evaluating information-theoretic measures of word ... <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0028393219302404>
142. Mathematical Language Models: A Survey <https://dl.acm.org/doi/full/10.1145/3773985>
143. Math-word embedding in math search and semantic extraction <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11192-020-03502-9>
144. Word problems: a review of linguistic and numerical factors ... <https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/psychology/articles/10.3389/fpsyg.2015.00348/full>
145. How Keywords Impact Word-Problem Performance <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/07319487251322473>
146. Neural Machine Translation for Mathematical Formulae <https://aclanthology.org/2023.acl-long.645.pdf>
147. The data myth: interrogating the evidence base for ... <https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/data-and-policy/article/data-myth-interrogating-the-evidence-base-for-evidencebased-peacebuilding/F4758A10BA9F2491A71A20424C5F4C59>
148. AI-powered narrative building for facilitating public ... <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC8967379/>
149. AI narratives model: Social perception of artificial intelligence <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0166497225000987>
150. Recommendation on the Ethics of Artificial Intelligence <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000380455>
151. UNESCO's Recommendation on the Ethics of Artificial ... <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000385082>
152. Draft text of the Recommendation on the Ethics of Artificial ... <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000376713>
153. Draft text of the Recommendation on the Ethics of Artificial ... <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000377898>
154. First report on the implementation of the 2021 ... <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000391341>

155. Designing and implementing SMILE: An AI-driven platform for ... <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11916823/>
156. Evaluating the implementation of virtual Goal Management ... <https://awsptest.apa.org/doi/10.3138/jmvfh-2023-0003>
157. Military Family-Centred Resilience-Building Programming ... <https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/b284/41cea79620ae7f2f5d22d2b17375b28dfdd9.pdf>
158. CARANA Country Study https://resourcehub01.blob.core.windows.net/training-files/Training%20Materials/033%20RTP-CPOC_Police/033-007%20POC%20SBE%20Annexes%20-%20CARANA%20Country%20Study.pdf
159. Network analysis in peace and state building <https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/data-and-policy/article/network-analysis-in-peace-and-state-building-revealing-power-elites/089DCAD32C805D75E50E6643F71407E0>
160. The (in)visibility of deafness: Identity, stigma, quality of life ... https://www.researchgate.net/publication/380720080_The_invisibility_of_deafness_Identity_stigma_quality_of_life_and_the_potential_role_of_totally_implantable_cochlear_implants
161. Brain health is a human right: Implications for policy and ... <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S030645222500079X>
162. Leveraging neurotechnology for neurodivergent education <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/23735082.2025.2517052?scroll=top&needAccess=true>
163. Proposal for a Regulatory Framework for Digital Assets <https://www.sec.gov/files/ctf-written-sec-proposal-digital-asset-09-08-2025.pdf>
164. Iowa DOGE Task Force Report - Governor Kim Reynolds <https://governor.iowa.gov/media/484/download?inline>
165. students as global citizens: being and becoming through ... https://www.academia.edu/16865352/STUDENTS_AS_GLOBAL_CITIZENS_BEING_AND_BECOMING_THROUGH_THE_LIVE_D_EXPERIENCE_OF_INTERNATIONAL_MOBILITY
166. A/HRC/60/63 – General Assembly <https://docs.un.org/en/A/HRC/60/63>
167. EN EN - European Commission https://commission.europa.eu/document/download/0f7b852b-6b8a-4e21-8579-69db5386c6a2_en?filename=1_1_63910_communication_rol_en.pdf
168. Neurotechnology and society (EN) https://www.oecd.org/content/dam/oecd/en/publications/reports/2017/11/neurotechnology-and-society_e080b941/f31e10ab-en.pdf
169. Towards a draft text of a Recommendation on the Ethics ... <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000389438>

170. AI Act Service Desk - Annex III - European Union <https://ai-act-service-desk.ec.europa.eu/en/ai-act/annex-3>
171. The Academic Guide to AI Act Compliance <https://hal.science/hal-05365570v1/file/The%20Academic%20Guide%20to%20AI%20Act%20Compliance%20%20-%202025%20-%20Ed.%20MHODAC%20%26%20CP.pdf>
172. Neurotechnologies for Human Cognitive Augmentation <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC6365771/>
173. Adaptive neuromodulation dialogues - PubMed Central - NIH <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12715846/>
174. Applying the IEEE BRAIN neuroethics framework to intra- ... <https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1741-2552/ad3852/pdf>
175. Visual Neurorestoration: An Expert Review of Current ... <https://www.mdpi.com/2076-3425/15/11/1170>
176. Clinical trials for implantable neural prostheses https://www.researchgate.net/publication/387881700_Clinical_trials_forImplantable_neural_prostheses_understanding_the_ethical_and_technical_requirements
177. DARPA-funded efforts in the development of novel brain- ... <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0165027014002702>
178. Blockchain for Digital Governments https://publications.jrc.ec.europa.eu/repository/bitstream/JRC115049/blockchain_for_digital_government_online.pdf
179. Blockchain-IoT and optioneering driven framework for smart ... <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12753242/>
180. Income Distribution in Multinational Firms through Transfer ... https://www.academia.edu/33308242/Income_Distribution_in_Multinational_Firms_through_Transfer_Pricing
181. Vandana Thorpe - The Pearson Guide To CET-MBA ... <https://pdfcoffee.com/vandana-thorpe-the-pearson-guide-to-cet-mba-maharashtra-pearson-education-2012-pdf-free.html>
182. Dictionary - The Cambridge Dictionary of Philosophy <https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/cambridge-dictionary-of-philosophy/dictionary/B7DE1275E2ECD4FC6A4AE12F2CD7E21E>
183. Silk roads papers, 2nd edition <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000393809>
184. Texts adopted - Tuesday, 3 May 2022 - European Parliament https://www.europarl.europa.eu/doceo/document/TA-9-2022-05-03_EN.html
185. STRATEGIC - RMIS - Raw Materials Information System https://rmis.jrc.ec.europa.eu/uploads/library/open_strategic_autonomy_2040_online_1.pdf

186. Governance for the Future <https://www.un.org/ohrlls/sites/www.un.org.ohrlls/files/governancereport.pdf>
187. 5 9 th graduate study programme <https://www.ungeneva.org/en/media/1277>
188. societal implications of ai: key insights from emerging signals <https://www.unesco.org/sites/default/files/medias/fichiers/2025/12/Societal%20implications%20of%20AI%20-%20Insight%20from%20Signals.pdf>
189. AI and the future of education: disruptions, dilemmas and ... <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000395236>
190. Report of the International Bioethics Committee (IBC) on ... <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000395065>
191. Media and information literacy: policy and strategy guidelines <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000225606>
192. 4th global report on adult learning and education: leave no ... <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000372274>
193. UNESCO global report on cultural policies, Culture <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000395707>
194. Fundamental education: common ground for all peoples <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000187221>
195. Towards creative urban diversity: from the multicultural to ... <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000260635>
196. Assistive Technologies for Individuals with a Disability from ... https://www.researchgate.net/publication/393290323_Assistive_Technologies_for_Individuals_with_a_Disability_from_a_Neurological_Condition_A_Narrative_Review_on_the_Multimodal_Integration
197. WCNR 22/Abstracts <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/pdf/10.1177/15459683231159499>
198. Exploring the Role of Muscle Proprioception in Kinesthesia ... https://theses.hal.science/tel-04948014v1/file/Th%C3%A8se_RS_corrjan25_blanksforprint_noremerciements.pdf
199. Synapse: A co-designed neurodivergent peer support ... <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12159349/>
200. Setting Research Priorities with the Neurodivergent ... <https://doi.org/10.1177/27546330251374236>
201. Using the Community Resilience Model and Project ECHO ... <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11926437/>
202. Ultimately, it's a matter of safety, and resisting ostracization <https://dl.acm.org/doi/10.1145/3706598.3714094>

203. Cognitive load and neurodiversity in online education <https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/education/articles/10.3389/feduc.2024.1437673/full>
204. Action research on the impact of neurotechnology on ... https://www.itcilo.org/sites/default/files/2025-09/Action%20research%20on%20the%20impact%20of%20neurotechnology%20on%20life-long%20learning_WEBrev2.pdf
205. Part VII - Responsible AI Healthcare and Neurotechnology ... <https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/cambridge-handbook-of-responsible-artificial-intelligence/responsible-ai-healthcare-and-neurotechnology-governance/C061FD150FC65B4F673E23F49A22DD5A>
206. Report on selected AI research areas and use cases <https://cea.hal.science/cea-05091448/document>
207. institutional analysis of consumer neurotechnology regulation https://www.researchgate.net/publication/388517713_INSTITUTIONAL_ANALYSIS_OF_CONSUMER_NEUROTECHNOLOGY_REGULATION_MEDIATING_THIRD-PARTY_AUTONOMY
208. 333333 23135851162 the 13151942776 of 12997637966 <https://www.cs.princeton.edu/courses/archive/spring25/cos226/assignments/autocomplete/files/words-333333.txt>
209. Social learning and conformity in Humans and D. ... <https://theses.hal.science/tel-05074060v1/file/2023TOU30412.pdf>
210. Future-Oriented Teacher Education <https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1007/978-981-95-1766-4.pdf>
211. (PDF) Think Socially via Cognitive Reasoning https://www.researchgate.net/publication/395944140_Think_Socially_via_Cognitive_Reasoning
212. UN Peacebuilding: an Orientation https://www.un.org/peacebuilding/sites/www.un.org.peacebuilding/files/documents/peacebuilding_orientation.pdf
213. REFLECTIONS <https://www.undp.org/sites/g/files/zskgke326/files/2025-03/reflections-book-1.pdf>
214. Long walk of peace: towards a culture of prevention <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000262885>
215. CARANA Country Study Scenario-Based Exercise https://resourcehub01.blob.core.windows.net/training-files/Training%20Materials/020%20STM-UNSO/Ver24/020-128_CARANA%20Country%20Study_Complete%20Package.pdf
216. evaluation of undp contribution to strengthening electoral ... <https://www.oecd.org/derec/undp/elections.pdf>

217. A Report on Nine Case Studies - European Commission <https://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/documents/downloadPublic?documentIds=080166e512b64ccd&appId=PPGMS>
218. EUR-Lex - 52005PC0440 - EN - European Union <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/HTML/?uri=CELEX%3A52005PC0440>
219. Policy options for the ethical governance of disruptive ... <https://www.europarl.europa.eu/cmsdata/231301/Booklet%20Disruptive-final.pdf>
220. EN EN AMENDMENTS 1 - 474 - European Parliament https://www.europarl.europa.eu/doceo/document/AFET-AM-754659_EN.pdf
221. the Framework Programme for Research and Innovation <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/HTML/?uri=CELEX:52018PC0436>
222. a randomized controlled study of a self-stigma intervention <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC5663807/>
223. Global mental health and the treatment gap: A human ... https://www.researchgate.net/publication/338665571_Global_mental_health_and_the_treatment_gap_A_human_rights_and_neuroethics_concern
224. Abstracts Presented at the 2022 Mid-Year Congress ... https://resolve.cambridge.org/core/services/aop-cambridge-core/content/view/9AF8AE56E51DE84846F1390388F0CD63/S1355617723000279a.pdf/abstracts_presented_at_the_2022_midyear_congress_international_neuropsychological_society_federacion_de_asociaciones_de_neuropsicologia_espanolas_consorcio_de_neuropsicologia_clinica_federation_of_the_european_societies_of_neuropsychology_july_68_2022_barcelona_spain.pdf
225. PHASE III <https://www.unicef.org/media/145586/file/Phase%20III%20Programme%20Document.pdf>
226. SOMALIA <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/03071840903216395>
227. (PDF) The Inclusion of Refugees and Students at Risk in ... https://www.researchgate.net/publication/393354719_The_Inclusion_of_Refugees_and_Students_at_Risk_in_the_Higher_Education_System_a_Literature_Review
228. Annual Report 2006 <https://www.ohchr.org/Documents/AboutUs/annualreport2006.pdf>
229. Az EP Think Tankje kiadványainak jegyzéke <https://www.europarl.europa.eu/thinktank/hu/research/advanced-search/pdf?publicationTypes=BRIEFING>
230. Blockchain for Digital Governments <https://interoperable-europe.ec.europa.eu/sites/default/files/document/2019-04/JRC115049%20blockchain%20for%20digital%20government.pdf>

231. EU BLOCKCHAIN OBSERVATORY & FORUM https://blockchain-observatory.ec.europa.eu/document/download/c30ac72c-b9aa-4c8d-bfc8-446bd572fa31_en?filename=EUBOF-%20Turin%20Conferece%20Report_Final.%20docx.pdf&prefLang=da
232. Project methodology and policy review - European Commission <https://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/documents/downloadPublic?documentIds=080166e5b4cc0403&appId=PPGMS>
233. Study on the impact of new technologies on free and fair ... https://commission.europa.eu/system/files/2022-12/Annex%20I_LiteratureReview_20210319_clean_dsj_v3.0_a.pdf
234. D1.12 Policy impact of architecture and pilot implementation <https://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/documents/downloadPublic?documentIds=080166e5ca81d8dc&appId=PPGMS>
235. 14783/22 ADD 5 GT/kg 1 ECOFIN.2.A - Data <https://data.consilium.europa.eu/doc/document/ST-14783-2022-ADD-5/en/pdf>
236. The Square - Putting place-based innovation policy for ... https://publications.jrc.ec.europa.eu/repository/bitstream/JRC131244/JRC131244_01.pdf
237. As AI Spreads, Experts Predict the Best and Worst ... https://espas.secure.europarl.europa.eu/orbis/system/files/generated/document/en/Best-Worst-Digital-Life_2035_FINAL.pdf
238. Explored use cases on the use of technologies in the electoral ... https://commission.europa.eu/system/files/2022-12/Annex%20III_Explored_use_cases_20210319_dsj_v2.0_clean_PUBLIC.pdf
239. National Reform Programme 2022 https://commission.europa.eu/system/files/2022-06/germany-national-reform-programme-2022_en.pdf
240. HKICPA Capstone P1 (Ch1-7) | PDF | Accountant <https://www.scribd.com/document/654856435/HKICPA-Capstone-P1-Ch1-7>
241. ISBN: 978-605-73415-0-1 | Publishing Date: August, 2022 https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Ramazan-Coban-2/publication/362455811_Havayolu_Isletmelerinde_Farkliliklarin_Yonetimi_Uzerine_Bir_Arastirma_A_Research_on_Diversity_Management_in_Airlines/links/62eac0270b37cc344769c1ec/Havayolu-Isletmelerinde-Farkliliklarin-Yonetimi-Uzezerine-Bir-Arastirma-A-Research-on-Diversity-Management-in-Airlines.pdf
242. adj_noun_pairs.txt https://cse.hkust.edu.hk/msbd5003/data/adj_noun_pairs.txt