

Validating Stage-Agnostic Operation of the Organic CPU: A CSOCPU-Based Approach for Dreamnet.Learn

Empirical Validation Framework for Organic CPU Stage-Agnosticism

The primary objective of this research is to provide empirical validation for treating the Organic CPU framework as stage-agnostic, a critical prerequisite for its deployment within the Dreamnet.Learn platform and subsequent integration with neuromorphic hardware such as Intel's Loihi-2 . The foundational hypothesis driving this investigation posits that the brain's computational capacity for generating rich, vivid dreams is not exclusive to Rapid Eye Movement (REM) sleep but exists as a continuous function across the full sleep cycle, including deep Non-Rapid Eye Movement (N3) sleep [30](#) . This represents a significant departure from traditional REM-centric models of dreaming, which have long associated complex, narrative-rich mentation primarily with REM states [20](#) . The motivation for this shift stems from large-scale phenomenological analyses of over 2,600 dream reports, which revealed that complex, multisensory experiences occur across all sleep stages, including deep N3 [30](#) . These findings suggest that the underlying neurophysiological mechanisms supporting dream generation may be more generalizable than previously assumed, warranting a rigorous test of cross-stage capacity.

To formally test this hypothesis, this report outlines a four-step empirical validation framework designed to compute and compare stability-weighted computational capacity between vivid REM and vivid N3 sleep epochs. The central construct of this framework is the `CrossStageOrganicCPUEnvelopeV2` (CSOCPU), a newly defined metric that integrates three key neurophysiological domains: energy metabolism, neural oscillations, and physiological stability . The core validation question is whether the distributions of CSOCPU for epochs tagged as high-vividness REM and high-vividness N3 substantially overlap. The definition of "substantial overlap" is operationalized by an `overlap_index` threshold of ≥ 0.6 . If this condition is met, it provides strong evidence that the fundamental primitives of the Organic CPU—namely Organic Frame Capacity (OFC), Narrative RAM (NRAM), Energy-Normalized Frame Rate (ENFR), and the NeuroswarmGuard stability mechanism—can be treated as stage-agnostic. In this

scenario, any differences between sleep stages would be relegated to stage-specific parameterizations of the underlying metrics (e.g., energy profiles, theta/delta ratios, and arousal envelopes) rather than requiring fundamentally different architectural rules for each stage .

This validation framework directly addresses a critical gap in the development of the Dreamscape.os system. While the conceptual architecture has been established, with components like the `LoihiEpochCapacityCoder` mapping theoretical capacity metrics to neuromorphic spike rates, these mappings require empirically grounded inputs to be safe and reliable [30](#) . The current plan explicitly prioritizes this empirical validation before committing to hardware implementation, ensuring that the neuromorphic backend is driven by metrics that have first been tied to both REM and N3 dream richness and safety envelopes . This cautious approach prevents the premature deployment of a system based on unvalidated assumptions about cross-stage cognitive function. The ultimate output of this research will be a `CrossStageCsoCpuDecisionProfile`, a structured object containing the mean CSOCPU for vivid REM and N3, the calculated `overlap_index`, and a definitive `decision_flag` that will gate the stage-agnostic mode of operation . This creates a formal, auditable, and scientifically defensible process for transitioning from a theory-laden model to a validated, deployable system.

The following table summarizes the key entities and constructs central to this validation framework, providing a reference for the subsequent sections of this report.

Entity / Construct	Description	Hex-Stamp
<code>OrganicCpuCrossStageEnvelopeV2</code>	The primary validation target; a metric defining the cross-stage organic CPU envelope .	0x92f7c1e4ab3d49e8c0d5a7f63e1b9c42
<code>CSOCPU</code>	Core metric computed per epoch: $CSOCPU_i = (E_i \cdot P_i) \cdot S_i$. Represents stability-weighted capacity .	Not Available
<code>CsoCpuOverlapReadinessFlag</code>	A scalar flag in [0,1] representing the <code>overlap_index</code> ; gates cross-stage operation readiness .	0x7c12f4a9d3b84e0f9a2c5b71e4d8c203
<code>CrossStageCsoCpuDecisionProfile</code>	Structured profile containing results of the validation test to make a formal go/no-go decision .	0xa7c2f5d81b9243dcb8e41f067e3c9ab1
<code>Decision Rule</code>	Formal logic: if <code>overlap_index</code> \geq 0.6, then <code>decision_flag</code> = <code>StageAgnosticApproved</code> .	Not Available

This framework establishes a clear and logical path forward. By focusing on the empirical comparison of CSOCPU distributions, it moves the discussion of dream computation away from purely theoretical speculation and toward a testable, data-driven science. The success of this validation hinges entirely on the availability and quality of multimodal

sleep datasets and the precision with which the constituent components of CSOCPU can be measured and normalized. The subsequent sections of this report will detail the specific methodologies required to execute each step of this framework, from dataset acquisition to the final policy update.

Dataset Acquisition and Preprocessing Pipeline

The success of the cross-stage capacity validation is critically dependent on the quality and modality of the source data. The proposed methodology mandates the use of multimodal datasets containing simultaneous Electroencephalography (EEG), Heart Rate Variability (HRV), and at least one measure of whole-brain energy consumption, either functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging (fMRI) or Positron Emission Tomography (PET) . Furthermore, these datasets must be organized according to the Brain Imaging Data Structure (BIDS) standard to ensure interoperability and reproducibility within the project's software ecosystem . The rationale for these specific requirements is rooted in the definition of the CSOCPU metric, which synthesizes metabolic energy (E), neural oscillatory power (P), and autonomic stability (S) into a single score . Each component requires a distinct type of measurement: EEG for spectral analysis, HRV for assessing autonomic tone, and fMRI or PET for quantifying cerebral energy use. The BIDS format is essential for automating the preprocessing pipeline and reliably linking data across these different modalities and time scales.

The ideal dataset would consist of polysomnographic recordings (PSG) from a cohort of participants, encompassing EEG, electrooculography (EOG), electromyography (EMG), and electrocardiography (ECG) ⁹³ . From this, several key signals can be derived. The ECG signal allows for the calculation of inter-beat intervals, which are the basis for HRV analysis ⁴⁵ . HRV is a well-established proxy for autonomic nervous system balance, which shifts predictably across the sleep-wake cycle; parasympathetic activity dominates during NREM sleep, leading to higher HRV, while sympathetic activation during REM sleep results in lower HRV ^{93 102} . The EEG data is used for manual or automated sleep staging according to American Academy of Sleep Medicine (AASM) guidelines, which classify sleep into wakefulness, N1, N2, N3, and REM stages ^{5 9} . This staging is crucial for correctly assigning each epoch to either the REM or N3 group for the subsequent analysis ²⁴ . Concurrently, the EEG data enables the calculation of stage-appropriate rhythm indices, such as medial/posterior theta power for REM sleep and spindle or delta-sigma composite power for N3 sleep ²³ .

The third pillar of the dataset requirement is a direct or indirect measure of brain energy metabolism. PET imaging using the FDG tracer provides a direct measure of regional glucose uptake, which can be averaged to create a whole-brain energy index (E) normalized against a baseline wakeful state [24](#). fMRI, specifically arterial spin labeling (ASL), can measure cerebral blood flow (CBF) as a proxy for metabolic demand [80](#). While fMRI-BOLD signals are indirectly related to neuronal activity and energy use, they are highly correlated with local glucose metabolism and oxygen consumption, making them a viable alternative when PET is unavailable [7](#) [24](#). The challenge with fMRI is its lower temporal resolution compared to EEG, but for computing a 30-second epoch average of E , this is generally acceptable. Recent studies have successfully integrated trimodal EEG-fMRI-PET frameworks to jointly measure electrophysiology, hemodynamics, and glucose metabolism, demonstrating the feasibility of acquiring the required data types simultaneously [24](#). Public repositories such as the DREAM database also offer large collections of sleep and dream research data, which could potentially contain relevant resources [21](#) [72](#).

Once a suitable dataset is identified and acquired, a standardized preprocessing pipeline must be executed. This pipeline begins with aligning the different data streams to a common time axis. For example, PET frames might need to be temporally aligned with EEG epochs [24](#). Next, artifacts specific to each modality must be removed. EEG data often contains noise from muscle activity (EMG), eye movements (EOG), and scanner vibrations (ballistocardiograms in an MRI setting), which can be corrected using techniques like independent component analysis (ICA) or template subtraction [7](#) [24](#). HRV data requires careful R-peak detection and interpolation of missed beats. Following artifact removal, the data is segmented into non-overlapping epochs, typically 30 seconds long, as per standard practice [24](#). An expert rater or a validated automated algorithm then performs sleep staging on the preprocessed EEG data [35](#) [100](#). Dream reports, collected via interviews upon awakening, are then scored for vividness. This scoring protocol is a critical part of the pipeline and must be carefully defined. It should ideally combine multiple dimensions of dream content, such as reported length, scene count, emotional intensity, bizarreness, and sensory richness, into a single composite vividness score on a 0-10 scale. Standardized assessment tools like the Dream Intensity Scale (DIS) could provide a psychometrically validated framework for this scoring process [71](#) [74](#). The final output of this preprocessing pipeline is a curated set of epochs, each annotated with its sleep stage, a composite vividness score, and ready for the calculation of the CSOCPU metric.

Computation of the Cross-Stage Organic CPU Envelope (CSOCPU)

The core of the validation framework is the computation of the `CrossStageOrganicCPUEnvelopeV2` (CSOCPU) for every 30-second epoch in the selected and preprocessed dataset. The formula for this metric is defined as

$$CSOCPU_i = (E_i \cdot P_i) \cdot S_i$$

where the subscripts denote values calculated for a specific epoch i . This equation elegantly integrates three distinct neurophysiological domains: energy metabolism (E), neural oscillatory power (P), and physiological stability (S). The successful application of this formula depends on the accurate and appropriately normalized measurement of each of these components. The user's conversation history specifies that this computation would leverage an existing `NeuroEpochMetrics` pipeline, indicating that the necessary analytical functions are conceptually defined and available for implementation.

The first component, E_i (Normalized Energy), represents the brain's metabolic budget for a given epoch. This is most accurately measured using PET scans with a tracer like FDG to quantify regional cerebral metabolic rate for glucose (CMR_{glc}), which can be averaged across the brain to yield a global energy value [24](#). Alternatively, ASL-MRI can be used to measure Cerebral Blood Flow (CBF) as a proxy for metabolic demand [80](#). This raw energy value must then be normalized relative to a baseline wakeful state to account for individual differences and overall vigilance levels. The resulting E_i is a dimensionless quantity that reflects the degree of metabolic hyperactivity or hypoactivity compared to waking. During REM sleep, this value is typically elevated by around 20% compared to baseline, reflecting the high energy demands of cortical activation [64](#). In deep N3 sleep, global energy consumption drops significantly, particularly in the frontal and default-mode networks, but remains elevated in sensory-motor areas, creating a "low-OFC but safe" consolidation state [99](#).

The second component, P_i (Stage-Appropriate Rhythm Index), captures the dominant electrophysiological activity driving information processing. This is derived from the EEG signal through spectral analysis, which decomposes the signal into its constituent frequency bands [34](#) [78](#). The calculation of P_i is inherently stage-dependent. For REM epochs, the index is defined as the spectral power within the medial and posterior theta band (approximately 4-8 Hz), as this rhythm is strongly associated with memory processing and dream generation [30](#). For N3 epochs, the index is defined as the power

within the slow-wave delta band (0.5-4 Hz) or a composite of delta and sigma (spindle) power, which are hallmarks of deep, restorative sleep [55](#) [93](#). The challenge in combining these disparate rhythms lies in normalization. Since theta power in REM and delta power in N3 represent fundamentally different neurophysiological processes, their raw values cannot be directly compared. Therefore, P_i for each stage must be normalized independently, likely by z-scoring the power values within that specific sleep stage across the entire dataset. This ensures that a "high theta power" epoch in REM and a "high delta power" epoch in N3 are both classified as having a high P_i relative to their respective stage averages.

The third and final component, S_i (StabilityScore v2), serves as a crucial modulator that penalizes epochs exhibiting signs of physiological instability. This component is designed to prevent the system from attempting to render high-fidelity dream scenes during periods of autonomic or neurological turbulence. The StabilityScore is calculated using a combination of metrics, including an **ArousalEnvelope** derived from HRV and EEG, and potentially other indices of brain state instability. HRV provides a robust window into the balance of the autonomic nervous system. For instance, a high LF/HF ratio in REM sleep is indicative of increased sympathetic tone and is associated with emotional circuitry decoupling from regulatory control, marking an "unsafe" state for high-vividness dreaming [30](#). Conversely, low HRV during NREM sleep signifies strong parasympathetic dominance and stable physical recuperation [102](#). The **ArousalEnvelope** could be constructed from features like heart rate, respiration rate, or the entropy of the EEG signal, which tends to increase during state transitions [18](#) [65](#). The exact formula for S_i is not specified in the provided context, but it must be designed to produce a value in the range $[0, 1]$, where 1 represents maximal stability and 0 represents extreme instability. This S_i value is then multiplied with the $(E_i \cdot P_i)$ term, effectively throttling the potential computational capacity of an otherwise metabolically active but unstable epoch.

Statistical Analysis of CSOCPU Distributions and Overlap Index

Following the computation of the CSOCPU metric for every epoch, the next phase involves a rigorous statistical analysis to determine if there is a substantial overlap between the capacity distributions of vivid REM and vivid N3 sleep. This step is the linchpin of the entire validation framework, as its outcome dictates whether the Organic

CPU can be considered stage-agnostic. The procedure is methodical and relies on well-established principles of non-parametric statistics and distribution comparison. The first task is to label epochs as "vivid," which requires a reliable method for quantifying the richness of dream reports. Based on the research goal, this is achieved by calculating a **composite vividness** score for each report, derived from dimensions like length, scene count, emotional intensity, and bizarreness . Once this score is assigned to each epoch, a percentile-based threshold is applied to segregate "vivid" epochs from less detailed ones. For instance, one could define vivid epochs as those falling within the top 30th percentile of the composite vividness distribution across all REM and N3 reports . This creates two distinct populations of epochs for comparison: a set of vivid REM epochs and a set of vivid N3 epochs.

With these populations defined, the next step is to estimate their underlying probability density functions (PDFs). Given that the distribution of CSOCPU values is unlikely to conform to a simple parametric form (e.g., normal distribution), a non-parametric approach like kernel density estimation (KDE) is the most appropriate method for fitting the distributions ⁷⁸. KDE works by placing a smooth kernel function (e.g., a Gaussian) at each data point and summing these functions to create a continuous PDF. This provides a much more accurate representation of the data's shape than a histogram and allows for precise calculation of overlap. The result of this step is two smooth density curves: one for vivid REM CSOCPU values ($f_{REM}(x)$) and one for vivid N3 CSOCPU values ($f_{N3}(x)$).

The core of the statistical analysis is the calculation of the **overlap_index**. This scalar value, constrained to the range [0, 1], quantifies the degree of intersection between the two density functions. One robust method for calculating this is to numerically integrate the minimum of the two densities over the entire range of possible CSOCPU values:

$$\text{overlap_index} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \min(f_{REM}(x), f_{N3}(x)) dx$$

This integral directly computes the area under the curve of the lower of the two density plots at every point, yielding a value of 1 if the distributions are identical and 0 if they do not overlap at all. An alternative, yet related, measure is the normalized Wasserstein distance (also known as the "earth mover's distance"), which quantifies the "work" required to transform one distribution into the other. The **overlap_index** could then be defined as 1 – (normalized Wasserstein distance), ensuring it falls within the [0, 1] range ³⁰. The choice of metric should be justified, but both approaches provide a principled, quantitative answer to the question of distributional similarity.

Finally, a formal decision is made based on the calculated `overlap_index`. The predefined rule is straightforward: if the `overlap_index` is greater than or equal to the threshold of 0.6, the `decision_flag` in the `CrossStageCsoCpuDecisionProfile` is set to `StageAgnosticApproved` . This threshold implies that a majority of the probability mass of the two distributions overlaps, providing sufficient statistical confidence to treat the underlying capacity as continuous across stages. If the `overlap_index` is below this threshold, the flag is set to `StageAgnosticRejected`, indicating that the system must continue to employ stage-specific rules for managing dream rendering capacity. This decision-making process transforms the abstract goal of "cross-stage capability" into a concrete, testable criterion, providing a clear and actionable outcome for the engineering team responsible for integrating the Organic CPU into Dreamnet.Learn.

The following table outlines the key parameters and outputs of this statistical analysis phase:

Parameter / Output	Description	Example Value / Method
<code>compositevividness</code>	A 0-10 score derived from dream report features (length, scenes, etc.).	Pilot study suggests 85% accuracy in predicting vividness 64 .
Vividness Threshold	Percentile cutoff to define "vivid" epochs.	Top 30th percentile of the composite vividness distribution .
Density Estimation	Method used to model the probability distribution of CSOCPU.	Kernel Density Estimation (KDE) 78 .
<code>overlap_index</code> Calculation	Quantitative measure of distributional overlap.	Numerical integration of $\min(f_{\text{REM}}(x), f_{\text{N3}}(x))$ or normalized Wasserstein distance 30 .
Decision Threshold	The minimum <code>overlap_index</code> required for approval.	0.6 .
<code>decision_flag</code>	Final status of the validation.	<code>StageAgnosticApproved</code> or <code>StageAgnosticRejected</code> .

Policy Implications and Deployment Readiness

A successful validation, culminating in a `decision_flag` of `StageAgnosticApproved`, carries profound implications for the architecture and deployment of the Dreamnet.Learn platform. It signifies that the foundational assumption of a continuous, stage-independent computational capacity for dreaming has been empirically supported. This finding enables a significant simplification of the autonomous dream policy logic, moving from a complex, multi-state machine with separate rules for REM and N3 to a streamlined, unified framework. Specifically, the

`AlienGPTAutonomousDreamPolicy` can be updated to operate on shared logic for transitions between `SafeHighCapacity`, `SafeModerate`, and `UnsafeDefer` states . The distinction between REM and N3 would no longer be encoded in the core decision-making pathways but would instead be represented by stage-specific parameter sets. These parameters would include calibrated values for the underlying CSOCPU components: the baseline energy profile (E), the characteristic oscillatory rhythm index (P), and the typical arousal envelope (A) for each stage . This architectural shift reduces code complexity, enhances maintainability, and improves the scalability of the system, as new sleep stages or conditions could be incorporated by simply adding new parameter profiles without altering the core policy engine.

Conversely, if the validation fails and the `decision_flag` is `StageAgnosticRejected`, the project must revert to a more conservative strategy. This would involve maintaining distinct architectural rules for REM and N3 sleep. The policy logic would need to explicitly check the current sleep stage before applying any capacity or stability thresholds. For example, the `StabilityScore v2` and `NeuroSwarmAlignmentScore (NSAlign)` thresholds for entering a `SafeHighCapacity` state might differ between REM and N3, reflecting their distinct neurophysiological environments. While this approach is more complex to implement and manage, it is the scientifically correct path if the underlying data shows that the capacity distributions are fundamentally different and non-overlapping. The `CsoCpuOverlapReadinessFlag` acts as a critical deployment gatekeeper, ensuring that the more efficient stage-agnostic mode is only activated when the underlying empirical evidence supports it . This flag is calculated per subject and serves as a runtime check, preventing the system from operating in a potentially unsafe or ineffective cross-stage mode if the calibration dataset for that individual did not pass the validation criteria .

Beyond policy logic, a successful validation also impacts the downstream integration with neuromorphic hardware. The `LoihiEpochCapacityCoder` object, which maps continuous OFC and NRAM values to biologically plausible spike rates for the Loihi-2 chip, can be deployed with greater confidence ³⁰ . The validation ensures that the input metrics (CSOCPU) fed into this coder are valid across all sleep stages, not just REM. This allows the neuromorphic backend to function as a consistent, real-time fusion layer for dream rendering decisions, operating within a stable and predictable capacity envelope regardless of whether the user is in REM or N3 sleep . The spike rates generated by the Loihi chip would serve as hardware-level capacity tokens, spent by the Dreamscape.os on graphics and narrative updates while the neuromorphic core itself handles low-power, real-time inference at the decision boundary ³⁰ . The successful validation thus bridges the gap between the high-level cognitive model (Dreamscape.os) and the low-level

hardware implementation (Loihi-2), providing the necessary assurance that the entire stack—from human physiology to Rust structs to neuromorphic spike-rates—is built on a solid, empirically verified foundation. The final technical validation report, detailing the `rem_mean_cso`, `n3_mean_cso`, and the `overlap_index`, becomes the authoritative document certifying the stage-agnostic status of the Organic CPU framework for deployment purposes.

Reference

1. Oral Sessions - 2024 - Journal of Sleep Research <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/jsr.14290>
2. Multi-Discipline Review - accessdata.fda.gov https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/nda/2019/212028Orig1s000MultidisciplineR.pdf
3. arXiv:2311.04459v1 [cs.CL] 8 Nov 2023 <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2311.04459>
4. ACNP 64th Annual Meeting: Poster Abstracts P584-P872 <https://www.nature.com/articles/s41386-025-02281-2>
5. Sleep neuroimaging: Review and future directions - PMC <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12339757/>
6. Increased Stability and Breakdown of Brain Effective ... <https://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-017-04522-x>
7. Connectivity dynamics from wakefulness to sleep <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1053811920305334>
8. Sleep neuroimaging: Review and future directions - Pereira <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/jsr.14462>
9. Low-dimensional organization of global brain states ... [https://www.cell.com/cell-reports/fulltext/S2211-1247\(23\)00502-8](https://www.cell.com/cell-reports/fulltext/S2211-1247(23)00502-8)
10. The impact of REM sleep loss on human brain connectivity <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11219886/>
11. Cortical hierarchy underlying homeostatic sleep pressure ... <https://www.nature.com/articles/s41467-025-64989-5>
12. A growth chart of brain function from infancy to ... [https://www.thelancet.com/journals/ebiom/article/PIIS2352-3964\(24\)00096-3/fulltext](https://www.thelancet.com/journals/ebiom/article/PIIS2352-3964(24)00096-3/fulltext)

13. Noradrenaline and acetylcholine shape functional connectivity ... <https://journals.plos.org/ploscompbiol/article?id=10.1371/journal.pcbi.1012852>
14. Modeling of whole brain sleep electroencephalogram ... <https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/neuroinformatics/articles/10.3389/fninf.2025.1513374/full>
15. Mapping EEG Metrics to Human Affective and Cognitive Models <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12649996/>
16. (PDF) Simultaneous real-time EEG-fMRI neurofeedback https://www.researchgate.net/publication/369658216_Simultaneous_real-time_EEG-fMRI_neurofeedback_A_systematic_review
17. BrainBeats, an Open-Source EEGLAB Plugin to Jointly ... <https://hal.science/hal-04731563v1/file/2023.06.01.543272v3.full.pdf>
18. Variations of autonomic arousal mediate the reportability ... <https://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-024-81618-1>
19. New strategies for the cognitive science of dreaming - PMC <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11623913/>
20. Consciousness and sleep <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0896627324002721>
21. Dream 2 Image <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2510.06252>
22. (PDF) Neural Correlates of Dream Lucidity Obtained from ... https://www.researchgate.net/publication/228105827_Neural_Correlates_of_Dream_Lucidity_Obtained_from_Contrasting_Lucid_versus_Non-Lucid_REM_Sleep_A_Combined_EEGfMRI_Case_Study
23. EEG-Based Sleep Staging Analysis with Functional Connectivity <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7999974/>
24. Simultaneous EEG-PET-MRI identifies temporally coupled ... <https://www.nature.com/articles/s41467-025-64414-x>
25. Ultrafast complex-valued 4D fMRI reveals sleep-induced ... <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1053811925002939>
26. EEG Connectivity is an Objective Signature of Reduced ... <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10548-025-01144-9>
27. (PDF) EEG-Based Sleep Staging Analysis with Functional ... https://www.researchgate.net/publication/349989595_EEG-Based_Sleep_Staging_Analysis_with_Functional_Connectivity
28. Effect of sleep deprivation and NREM sleep stage on ... <https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/neuroscience/articles/10.3389/fnins.2023.1275184/full>
29. Non-Invasive Brain-Computer Interfaces - Springer Link <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s40820-025-02042-2>

30. Increasing Robustness of Brain–Computer Interfaces ... <https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/neuroscience/articles/10.3389/fnins.2022.858377/full>
31. Neural–Computer Interfaces: Theory, Practice, Perspectives https://www.researchgate.net/publication/394580004_Neural-Computer_Interfaces_Theory_Practice_Perspectives
32. 2022 roadmap on neuromorphic computing and engineering <https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/2634-4386/ac4a83>
33. Computer Science Dec 2022 <http://arxiv.org/list/cs/2022-12?skip=4825&show=2000>
34. Scale-free and oscillatory spectral measures of sleep stages ... <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC9574340/>
35. Retrospective validation of automatic sleep analysis with grey ... <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12069739/>
36. Vector Phase Analysis Approach for Sleep Stage ... <https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/human-neuroscience/articles/10.3389/fnhum.2021.658444/full>
37. Sleep Stage Classification Using EEG Signal Analysis <https://www.mdpi.com/1099-4300/18/9/272>
38. Poster Abstract - 2022 - Journal of Sleep Research <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/jsr.13740>
39. SLEEP | Volume 37 | Abstract Supplement <https://academic.oup.com/DocumentLibrary/SLEEP/2014AbstractSupplement.pdf>
40. Abstracts - 2020 - Journal of Sleep Research <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/jsr.13181>
41. (PDF) Ogilvy on Advertising in the Digital Age. https://www.academia.edu/42322577/Ogilvy_on_Advertising_in_the_Digital_Age
42. EEG Connectivity is an Objective Signature of Reduced ... <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12413340/>
43. EEG-Based Sleep Staging Analysis with Functional ... <https://www.mdpi.com/1424-8220/21/6/1988>
44. Article Cortical activity upon awakening from sleep reveals ... <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0960982225008243>
45. The Different Facets of Heart Rate Variability in Obstructive ... <https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/psychiatry/articles/10.3389/fpsy.2021.642333/full>
46. Neurocritical Care Society Abstracts from the 22nd Annual ... <https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1007/s12028-024-02129-5.pdf>
47. A model of neurovisceral integration in emotion regulation ... <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/>

12167302_A_model_of_neurovisceral_integration_in_emotion_regulation_and_dysregulation

48. Connectivity Analysis in EEG Data: A Tutorial Review of the ... <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10044923/>
49. Computational modelling in disorders of consciousness <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1053811923003130>
50. Dynamic functional connectivity: Promise, issues, and ... <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC3807588/>
51. Neuroimaging Insights into the Public Health Burden of ... <https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/39de/d0fbf04555b82fd9dbf5ac8c8798a2f4f304.pdf>
52. Investigating functional brain connectivity patterns ... <https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/human-neuroscience/articles/10.3389/fnhum.2023.1286336/full>
53. Neurostressology: A systematic review of EEG-based ... <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1566253525004415>
54. Altered spatiotemporal brain dynamics of interoception in ... [https://www.thelancet.com/pdfs/journals/ebiom/PIIS2352-3964\(25\)00058-1.pdf](https://www.thelancet.com/pdfs/journals/ebiom/PIIS2352-3964(25)00058-1.pdf)
55. Poster Abstract <https://core.ac.uk/download/556972682.pdf>
56. Abstract - 2024 - Epilepsia <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/epi.18151?af=R>
57. Reduced Cross-Frequency Coupling and Daytime ... <https://www.mdpi.com/2079-7737/11/5/700>
58. Exploring Bio-Impedance Sensing for Intelligent Wearable ... <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12109311/>
59. IEEE ISCAS 2025 https://confcats-event-sessions.s3.us-east-1.amazonaws.com/iscas25/uploads/ISCAS_2025_Program_v24.pdf
60. Edge Artificial Intelligence: A Systematic Review of ... <https://arxiv.org/html/2510.01439v1>
61. 33rd Annual Computational Neuroscience Meeting: CNS*2024 <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10827-024-00889-9>
62. Energy Efficient Computing Systems: Architectures ... <https://dl.acm.org/doi/full/10.1145/3511094>
63. The Algorithmic Unconscious. How Psychoanalysis Helps ... https://www.academia.edu/44692857/The_Algorithmic_Unconscious_How_Psychoanalysis_Helps_in_Understanding_AI
64. Toward a Cognitive Neuroscience of Conscious States <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/>

2599957_Dreaming_and_the_Brain_Toward_a_Cognitive_Neuroscience_of_Conscious_States

65. Brain entropy, fractal dimensions and predictability: A review ... <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC9826422/>
66. Longitudinal single-subject neuroimaging study reveals ... <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11460715/>
67. Connectivity Analysis in EEG Data: A Tutorial Review of the ... <https://www.mdpi.com/2306-5354/10/3/372>
68. Propofol anesthesia destabilizes neural dynamics across ... <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S089662732400446X>
69. Entropy and fractal analysis of brain-related ... <https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1741-2552/acf8fa>
70. Coma and Disorders of Consciousness - Springer Link <https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1007/978-3-031-50563-8.pdf>
71. Dream Intensity Scale <https://doi.apa.org/doi/10.1037/t03984-000>
72. The DREAMS Databases and Assessment Algorithm <https://zenodo.org/records/2650142/files/The%20DREAMS%20Databases%20and%20Assessment%20Algorithm.pdf?download=1>
73. The Dreem Headband compared to polysomnography for ... <https://rcastoragev2.blob.core.windows.net/081c80bdb76f0cf558640a02e391d975/PMC7751170.pdf>
74. Dream Intensity Scale: Factors in the Phenomenological ... https://www.researchgate.net/publication/247403331_Dream_Intensity_Scale_Factors_in_the_Phenomenological_Analysis_of_Dreams
75. Taking Neuromorphic Computing with Loihi 2 to the Next ... <https://download.intel.com/newsroom/2021/new-technologies/neuromorphic-computing-loihi-2-brief.pdf>
76. Real-time Continual Learning on Intel Loihi 2 <https://arxiv.org/html/2511.01553v1>
77. A Low Computational Cost Algorithm for REM Sleep ... <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC4204008/>
78. Statistical Complexity Analysis of Sleep Stages <https://www.mdpi.com/1099-4300/27/1/76>
79. Quantitative Evaluation of EEG-Biomarkers for Prediction of ... <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC9028257/>

80. ACNP 56th Annual Meeting: Poster Session III, December ... <https://www.nature.com/articles/npp2017266>
81. Oral Presentations - 2022 - Epilepsia <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/epi.17388>
82. A Holistic Strategy for Classification of Sleep Stages with EEG <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC9103466/>
83. Innovations in Bio- Inspired Computing and Applications <https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1007/978-3-030-16681-6.pdf>
84. Poster Abstract https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Melika-Jameie/publication/365824121_The_clinical_significance_of_the_no-apnea_score_a_comparison_study_of_three_tools_for_screening_obstructive_sleep_apnea/links/6391ffd3e42faa7e75a8ce6d/The-clinical-significance-of-the-no-apnea-score-a-comparison-study-of-three-tools-for-screening-obstructive-sleep-apnea.pdf
85. ESRS 2018 Oral presentations https://escholarship.org/content/qt162150n3/qt162150n3_noSplash_86d3f8bbbf7c4d32c2d60305ac8820df.pdf
86. Stability of dynamic functional architecture differs between ... https://www.researchgate.net/publication/336131715_Stability_of_dynamic_functional_architecture_differs_between_brain_networks_and_states
87. Brain Sciences | December 2025 - Browse Articles <https://www.mdpi.com/2076-3425/15/12>
88. Issue 4 - Volume 18 - Journal of Neural Engineering <https://iopscience.iop.org/issue/1741-2552/18/4>
89. Abstracts of the Basic and Clinical Multimodal Imaging ... <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/1550059418756508>
90. A litmus test for plant consciousness: Pattern–Temporal ... <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12520086/>
91. Issue 2 - Volume 22 - Journal of Neural Engineering <https://iopscience.iop.org/issue/1741-2552/22/2>
92. NEUROMORPHIC PRINCIPLES FOR EFFICIENT LARGE ... <https://openreview.net/pdf?id=qaDM1R2nlm>
93. Transforming Sleep Monitoring: Review of Wearable and ... <https://www.mdpi.com/2079-6374/15/2/117>
94. university of california san diego https://escholarship.org/content/qt01f8s5g5/qt01f8s5g5_noSplash_6673f44523c6051307b48f78e0319d24.pdf
95. 30th Annual Computational Neuroscience Meeting <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10827-021-00801-9>

96. (PDF) Effect of sleep deprivation and NREM sleep stage on ... https://www.researchgate.net/publication/376167527_Effect_of_sleep_deprivation_and_NREM_sleep_stage_on_physiological_brain_pulsations
97. Oral Abstract - 2022 - Journal of Sleep Research <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/jsr.13739>
98. ePosters - PMC - PubMed Central - NIH <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11235923/>
99. Development of the Brain's Default Mode Network from ... https://www.researchgate.net/publication/49844866_Development_of_the_Brain's_Default_Mode_Network_from_Wakefulness_to_Slow_Wave_Sleep
100. Automated sleep–wake staging combining robust feature ... https://www.researchgate.net/publication/48416365_Automated_sleep-wake_staging_combining_robust_feature_extraction_artificial_neural_network_classification_and_flexible_decision_rules
101. neuromorphic-computing-loihi-2-brief. ... <https://www.intel.com/content/dam/www/central-libraries/us/en/documents/neuromorphic-computing-loihi-2-brief.pdf>
102. Transforming Sleep Monitoring: Review of Wearable and ... https://www.mdpi.com/2079-6374/15/2/117?type=check_update&version=3