# MA151 Algebra 1, Assignment 4

#### Dyson Dyson

# Question 1

Let R be a non-zero ring. Let  $a \sim b$  be the relation "a is an associate of b", meaning there exists a unit  $v \in R$  such that av = b.

# Q1 (a)

Prove that  $a \sim b$  is an equivalence relation on R.

An equivalence relation is reflexive, transitive, and symmetric.

For reflexivity, if  $a \sim b$ , then  $\exists v \in R$  such that av = b and v is a unit. Then  $avv^{-1} = bv^{-1} \implies bv^{-1} = a$ , so  $b \sim a$ .

Now for transitivity, suppose  $a \sim b$  and  $b \sim c$ , so  $\exists v, u \in R$  such that av = b and bu = c. Then  $(av)u = bu = c \implies a(vu) = c$ , so  $a \sim c$ .

And for symmetry, a1 = a, so  $a \sim a$ .

Therefore this is an equivalence relation.

#### Q1 (b)

Describe the equivalence classes in the case  $R = \mathbb{Z}$ .

The only units in  $\mathbb{Z}$  are  $\{1, -1\}$ , so  $a \sim b$  if and only if a = b or a = -b. Therefore 0 is equivalent to nothing, and every positive integer x gets the equivalence class  $[x]_{\sim} = \{x, -x\}$ .

Let R be a ring and let  $a \in R$ .

#### Q2 (a)

Show that if R is commutative,  $aR = \{ar \mid r \in R\}$  is an ideal of R.

For aR to be an ideal of R, we need (aR, +) to be a subgroup of (R, +), and we need  $xy \in aR$  and  $yx \in aR$  for all  $x \in R$ ,  $y \in aR$ . Since R is commutative, we only need to worry about one of these.

First, the ABC test for subgroups. The identity in (R, +) is just 0, which is trivially in aR. The sum of two terms  $ar_1$  and  $ar_2$  is  $a(r_1 + r_2)$ . Clearly  $r_1+r_2 \in R$ , so  $a(r_1+r_2) \in aR$ . The inverse of an element ar is just -ar = a(-r), and  $-r \in R$ , so  $-ar \in aR$ . Therefore (aR, +) is a subgroup of (R, +).

Now consider an arbitrary element  $ar \in aR$  and an arbitrary element  $x \in R$ . Their product is arx = a(rx), and since  $rx \in R$ ,  $a(rx) \in aR$ . Therefore aR is an ideal of R.

#### Q2 (b)

Show by an example that this may be false if R is not commutative.

Choose  $R = GL_2(\mathbb{R})$  and  $a = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . Then right-multiplying an element of aR by an element of R would keep the result in aR, but left-multiplying wouldn't necessarily. Therefore aR is not an ideal of R in this case.

### Q3 (a)

List the elements of  $(\mathbb{Z}/7\mathbb{Z})^*$ , the group of units in the ring  $\mathbb{Z}/7\mathbb{Z}$ .

$$(\mathbb{Z}/7\mathbb{Z})^* = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$$

### Q3 (b)

List the elements of  $(\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z})^*$ , the group of units in the ring  $\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z}$ .

$$(\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z})^* = \{1, 3, 5, 7\}$$

#### Q3 (c)

Show that  $((\mathbb{Z}/7\mathbb{Z})^*,\times_7)$  is a cyclic group but  $((\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z})^*,\times_8)$  is not a cyclic group.

We shall just draw the Cayley tables for these groups.

First,  $(\mathbb{Z}/7\mathbb{Z})^*$ ,

$\times_7$	1	2	3	4	5	6
1	1	2 4 6 1 3 5	3	4	5	6
2	2	4	6	1	3	5
3	3	6	2	5	1	4
4	4	1	5	2	6	3
5	5	3	1	6	4	2
6	6	5	4	3	2	1

And then,  $(\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z})^*$ ,

Just from looking at these tables, we can deduce that  $((\mathbb{Z}/7\mathbb{Z})^*, \times_7) \cong C_6$  and  $((\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z})^*, \times_8) \cong K_4$ . But  $K_4$  is not cyclic, so  $((\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z})^*, \times_8)$  is not cyclic.

Let  $R = M_{2\times 2}(\mathbb{Q})$ , the ring of  $2\times 2$  matrices with rational entries under matrix addition and multiplication. Show that the only ideals of R are  $\{0\}$  and R.

Let  $\mathbf{0} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . If an ideal of R did not contain  $\mathbf{0}$ , then it wouldn't be a subgroup under addition because it wouldn't have an additive identity. Therefore every ideal needs  $\mathbf{0}$ . Also note that  $\{\mathbf{0}\}$  is itself an ideal of R, since multiplying by anything from R just results in  $\mathbf{0}$  again.

Now suppose we have some ideal I containing  $\mathbf{0}$  and some  $X \neq \mathbf{0}$ . Since (I, +) is a group, it must also contain all integer multiples of X. And since  $mX \in I$  and  $Xm \in I$  for all  $m \in R$ , I must expand to include all of R.

To see this, we can imagine an arbitrary "target" matrix  $t \in R$ , then find the matrix m such that Xm = t. Let  $X = \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & w \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $m = \begin{pmatrix} p & q \\ r & s \end{pmatrix}$ , and  $t = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ . Then

$$\begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & w \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p & q \\ r & s \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$$
$$px + ry = a$$
$$qx + sy = b$$
$$pz + rw = c$$
$$qz + sw = d$$

Since x, y, z, w, a, b, c, d are all known, these equations can always be solved for p, q, r, s. Therefore  $\forall t \in R, \exists m \in R$  such that Xm = t. Therefore I must contain all elements of R, so I = R.

Therefore the only ideals of R are  $\{0\}$  and R.

Let  $R = \mathbb{R}[x]$ , the ring of polynomials with real coefficients. Let

$$I = \{ f(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x] \mid f(0) = 0 \}.$$

#### Q5 (a)

Show that I is an ideal of R with  $I \neq R$ .

Clearly  $I \neq R$ , since there exists polynomials in  $f(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x]$  where  $f(0) \neq 0$ . Take  $f(x) = x^2 + 1$ , for instance. In this case, f(0) = 1. Therefore  $I \neq R$ .

For I to be an ideal of R, we need (I, +) to be a subgroup of (R, +), for which we will use the ABC test, and we need  $ir \in I$  and  $ri \in I$  for all  $r \in R, i \in I$ , but multiplication is commutative here, so we only need to worry about one of these.

First, the ABC test for subgroups. The identity in (R, +) is just 0, which is trivially in I. The sum of two polynomials with zero constant term is another polynomial with zero constant term, so the sum of two elements in I is another element in I. And the inverse of a polynomial with zero constant term is the negative version of that polynomial, which also has zero constant term, so (I, +) has inverses. Therefore (I, +) is a subgroup of (R, +).

Now consider an arbitrary polynomial r from  $\mathbb{R}[x]$  and an arbitrary polynomial i from I. To find the constant term of their product, we just find the product of their constant terms. Since i has a constant term of 0, ri and ir both have a constant term of 0, so are both members of I.

Therefore I is an ideal of R.

#### Q5 (b)

Prove that if J is an ideal of R with  $I \subsetneq J$  then J = R.

Since I definitionally includes all polynomials with zero constant term, J must include at least one polynomial with non-zero constant term. Without loss of generality, assume we have some  $j(x) \in J$  where j(0) = a and  $a \neq 0$ . Then for J to be an ideal of R, we need  $r(x)j(x) \in J$  and  $j(x)r(x) \in J$  for all  $x \in R$ , although multiplication is commutative here, so we only need to worry about one of these.

Since r(x) could be any element from  $\mathbb{R}[x]$ , we will end up generating all of  $\mathbb{R}[x]$ . We know that J already contains every combination of real coefficients for powers of x, but we only know that it contains constant term a. But we can obtain any constant term b by multiplying by some particular r(x) with

 $r(0)=\frac{b}{a}$ , since j(0)=a and  $a\neq 0$ . Then r(0)j(0)=b, so J must contain polynomials that cover all real constant terms.

Thus, J must contain every polynomial from  $\mathbb{R}[x]$ , so J=R.

#### Q6 (a)

Show that  $x^3 + x^2 + x + 1$  is not irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

$$x^{3} + x^{2} + x + 1 = (x+1)(x^{2}+1)$$

#### Q6 (b)

Show that  $x^4 + 1$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$  but not irreducible over  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Let  $f(x) = x^4 + 1$ . We can use Eisenstein's criterion to show that f(x) is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , recalling the fact that f(x) is irreducible if and only if f(x+1) is irreducible. In this case  $f(x+1) = x^4 + 4x^3 + 6x^2 + 4x + 2$ .

Now we will choose our prime p=2. p divides all the coefficients, excluding the coefficient of the term with the highest degree.  $p \nmid 1$ , and  $p^2 \nmid 2$ . Therefore f(x+1) fulfils Eisenstein's criterion and is therefore irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Therefore f(x) is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

But  $x^4 + 1 = (x^2 + \sqrt{2}x + 1)(x^2 - \sqrt{2}x + 1)$ , so f(x) is not irreducible over  $\mathbb{R}$ .

#### Q6 (c)

Show that  $x^2 + x + 4$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Z}/11\mathbb{Z}$ . Here any coefficients should be interpreted modulo 11.

Let  $f(x) = x^2 + x + 4$ . If f(x) were not irreducible over  $\mathbb{Z}/11\mathbb{Z}$ , then we could write  $x^2 + x + 4 = (ax + b)(cx + d) = acx^2 + (ad + bc)x + bd$ , which gives the following system of equations,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} ac \stackrel{11}{\equiv} & 1 \\ ad + bc \stackrel{11}{\equiv} & 1 \\ bd \stackrel{11}{\equiv} & 4 \end{array}$$

And then I get stuck.

# Q6 (d)

Show that  $x^4+1$  is not irreducible over  $\mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z}$ . Here any coefficients should be interpreted modulo 5.

Consider  $f(x) = x^4 + 1$ . The question says this is not irreducible over  $\mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z}$ , but I don't know why. I can't find a root modulo 5, so it has no linear factors, but factoring into two quadratics doesn't seem to work either because I get two simultaneous equations mod 5 and nothing satisfies both.