

# MA260 Norms Metrics and Topologies, Assignment 1

Dyson Dyson

## Question 1

Give a reason why each of the following is **not** a norm on  $\mathbb{R}^3$ :

- (i)  $\|(x, y, z)\| = x + y + z$
- (ii)  $\|(x, y, z)\| = (|x|^2 + |y|^2 + |z|^2)^{1/3}$
- (iii)  $\|(x, y, z)\| = (|x|^4 - |y|^4 + 2|z|^4)^{1/4}$

### Q1 (i)

Let  $v = (1, -2, 1)$ . Then  $v \neq \underline{0}$  but  $\|v\| = 1 - 2 + 1 = 0$ , so this norm does not satisfy non-degeneracy.

### Q1 (ii)

Let  $v = (x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}\|\lambda v\| &= (|\lambda x|^2 + |\lambda y|^2 + |\lambda z|^2)^{1/3} \\ &= (|\lambda|^2 (|x|^2 + |y|^2 + |z|^2))^{1/3} \\ &= |\lambda|^{2/3} \|v\|.\end{aligned}$$

If this were a norm, we would expect to get  $\|\lambda v\| = |\lambda| \|v\|$ , but we don't, so this is not a norm.

**Q1 (iii)**

If this were a norm, it should satisfy the triangle inequality, so we would have  $\|v + w\| \leq \|v\| + \|w\|$ . Take  $v = (1, 2, 3)$  and  $w = (3, 2, 1)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}\|v\| &= (1 - 16 + 162)^{1/4} \\&= 147^{1/4} \\&\approx 3.482 \\ \|w\| &= (81 - 16 + 2)^{1/4} \\&= 67^{1/4} \\&\approx 2.861 \\ \|v\| + \|w\| &\approx 6.343 \\ \|v + w\| &= \|(4, 4, 4)\| \\&= (256 - 256 + 512)^{1/4} \\&= 512^{1/4} \\&\approx 4.757\end{aligned}$$

This counterexample shows that this ‘norm’ doesn’t satisfy the triangle inequality, so is not a norm.

## Question 2

Consider the space  $\ell^1$  with norm  $\|\cdot\|_1$  and define  $g : \ell^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$g((x_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (-1)^j x_j.$$

Show that  $g : \ell^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is well-defined and continuous.

The space  $\ell^1$  contains only sequences which are ‘1-summable’, meaning  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x_j$  converges to a finite value. Therefore  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (-1)^j x_j$  also converges to a finite value. That means  $g((x_j))$  is in  $\ell^1$  and is therefore well-defined.

For  $g$  to be continuous, we want to show that  $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$  such that

$$g(\mathbb{B}_{\delta}((x_j))) \subset \mathbb{B}_{\varepsilon}(g((x_j))).$$

Every sequence in  $\mathbb{B}_{\delta}((x_j))$  is the sequence  $(x_j)$  with a perturbation of  $\delta$ . So if  $(x_j) = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$ , then an element of  $\mathbb{B}_{\delta}((x_j))$ , call it  $(x_j) + \delta$ , would look something like  $(x_1, \dots, x_i + \delta, \dots)$  or  $(x_1, \dots, x_i + \delta/3, \dots, x_k + 2\delta/3, \dots)$ .

Since  $\ell^1$  uses the 1-norm, this perturbation of  $\delta$  will be carried through the norm, so

$$\|(x_j) + \delta\| = \|(x_j)\| + |\delta|.$$

Then this  $|\delta|$  will just get included in the sum in  $g$ , so

$$g((x_j) + \delta) = g((x_j)) + |\delta|.$$

Therefore

$$g(\mathbb{B}_{\delta}((x_j))) = \mathbb{B}_{\delta}(g((x_j)))$$

Then we just choose  $\delta \leq \varepsilon$  and see that  $g$  is continuous.