




UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE
HO CHI MINH CITY

Software Verification and Validation (V&V)

Some materials adapted from Software Engineering, 8th
Ed. by Ian Sommerville

Topics covered



- Software verification and validation
- Software testing
- Software inspections
- Automated static analysis

Verification vs Validation

■ Verification

- ❑ “Are we building the product right”
- ❑ Making sure that software conforms to its specification

■ Validation

- ❑ “Are we building the right product”
- ❑ Making sure software does what users really need


V & V process

- A whole life-cycle process
 - V & V must be applied at each stage in the software process
 - Planning, requirements engineering, analysis and design, implementation, etc.
- Principal objectives of V&V process
 - Discovery of defects in software
 - Assessment of whether software is useful and useable
 - Establishing confidence in software

Static and dynamic V&V


- Software inspections (static V&V)
 - Concerned with analysis of static software representation to discover problems
 - E.g., requirements review, code review, etc.
- Software testing (dynamic V&V)
 - Concerned with exercising and observing software behavior
 - Involving running software with test data

Static and dynamic V&V



Topics covered

- Software verification and validation
- Software testing
- Software inspections
- Automated static analysis



Software testing


- Checking software to detect defects and establish confidence in software
- Can reveal the presence of defects, NOT their absence
- Used in conjunction with static verification to provide full V&V coverage

Testing vs. debugging

- Testing – establishing the existence of defects in a program
- Debugging – locating and repairing these errors
- Debugging is mainly done by developers
- Testing is done by developers, testers, customers, etc.

Topics covered

- Software verification and validation
- Software testing
- Software inspections
- Automated static analysis



Software inspections

- Involve examining work products to discover anomalies and defects
- Do not require execution of a system
- Can be applied to any representation of the system
 - requirements, plan, design, configuration data, test data, code, etc.
- An effective technique for
 - discovering errors
 - reducing problems in project
 - mitigating risks in project


Inspections and testing

- Inspections and testing are complementary and not opposing verification techniques
- Both should be used during the V & V process
- Inspections can check conformance with a specification

Program inspections

- Formalized approach to document reviews
- Intended explicitly for defect **detection**
- Defects may be
 - ❑ logical errors
 - ❑ anomalies in code
 - ❑ coding standard violations
 - ❑ potential issues concerning performance, security, etc.

An inspection process



Inspection roles and responsibilities

| Role | Responsibilities |
|-----------------|--|
| Author | The programmer or designer responsible for producing the program or document, fixing defects |
| Inspector | Finds errors, omissions and inconsistencies in programs and documents |
| Reader | Presents the code or document at an inspection meeting |
| Scribe/recorder | Records the results of the inspection meeting |
| Moderator | Manages the process and facilitates the inspection. Reports process results to the Chief moderator |

Inspection checklists


- Checklist of common errors should be used to drive the inspection
- Error checklists are programming language dependent
- Examples
 - ❑ For each conditional statement, is the condition correct?
 - ❑ Is each loop certain to terminate?
 - ❑ Are compound statements correctly bracketed?
 - ❑ In case statements, are all possible cases accounted for?
 - ❑ If a break is required after each case in case statements, has it been included?

Practice

- Work in groups of 3 persons each – 2 min
- Each select some source code to review – 3 min
- Each defines a checklist for review – 8 min
- Each person reviews the code individually – 15 min
- Each person records at least 3 issues
- Group members meet again to discuss the issues found – 8 min
- Time: 35 minutes

Topics covered

- Software verification and validation
- Software testing
- Software inspections
- Automated static analysis



Automated static analysis

- Static analyzers are software tools for source text processing
- They parse the program text and try to discover potentially erroneous conditions
- They are very effective as an aid to inspections
- They are a supplement to but not a replacement for inspections

Stages of static analysis – 1

■ Control flow analysis

- ❑ Checks for loops with multiple exit or entry points, finds unreachable code, etc.

■ Data use analysis

- ❑ Detects uninitialized variables, variables written twice without an intervening assignment, variables which are declared but never used, etc.

■ Interface analysis

- ❑ Checks the consistency of routine and procedure declarations and their use

Stages of static analysis – 2

■ Information flow analysis

- ❑ Identifies the dependencies of output variables
- ❑ Does not detect anomalies itself but highlights information for code inspection or review

■ Path analysis

- ❑ Identifies paths through the program and sets out the statements executed in that path
- ❑ Potentially useful in the review process

■ Both these stages generate vast amounts of information

- ❑ They must be used with care

Use of static analysis

- Particularly valuable when a language such as C is used
- Such language has weak typing and hence many errors are undetected by the compiler
- Less cost-effective for languages, e.g., Java, C# that have strong type checking
 - can therefore detect many errors during compilation

Key points

- Verification and validation are not the same thing
 - Verification shows conformance with specification
 - Validation shows that the program meets the customer's needs
- Static verification techniques involve examination and analysis of the program for error detection

Key points

- Program inspections are very effective in discovering errors
- Program code in inspections is systematically checked by a small team to locate software faults
- Static analysis tools can discover program anomalies