

Meta Learning Framework.

A distribution over tasks $P(\mathcal{T})$

training data : $D_T^{tr} = \{X_T^{tr} Y_T^{tr} L_T\}$ w belongs to φ
not task adaptive

\mathcal{T} validation data : $D_T^{val} = \{X_T^{val} Y_T^{tr} L_T\}$

meta-learning ↑↑
Predictor
 $P_{\theta, w}$

- inner loop : operates within a task \mathcal{T}
- outer loop : operates across a task \mathcal{T} meta-parameters
 φ

Aim: design a meta-learner that can generalize well on a new task by appropriately choosing the predictor's task adaptive parameters θ after observing D_T^{tr}

For each training task \mathcal{T}_i

$$P_{\theta, w} : X_{\mathcal{T}_i}^{val} \rightarrow \hat{Y}_{\mathcal{T}_i}^{val} \text{ conditioned on } D_{\mathcal{T}_i}^{tr}$$

The meta-parameter φ are updated in the outer loop so as to obtain good generalization in the inner loop.

$$\text{minimize } \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{T}} L_{\mathcal{T}}(\hat{Y}_{\mathcal{T}}^{val}, Y_{\mathcal{T}}^{val})$$

Training on multiple tasks enables the meta-learner to produce $P_{\theta, w}$ that generalize well on a set of unseen tasks $\{\mathcal{T}_i\}$ sampled from $P(\mathcal{T})$

Meta-Learning Ingredients.

- (1) $\varphi = (\varphi_0, w, u)$ meta parameters
- (2) I_0 initialization function
- (3) U_u update function.

Initialization function $I_0(D_{\tau_i}^{tr}, \underline{c_{\tau_i}})$ ↑ task meta-data.

defines the initial values of θ for a given τ_i

* task meta-data c_{τ_i} may have a form of task ID or a texture description.

Update function $U_u(\theta_{l-1}, D_{\tau_i}^{tr})$

defines an iterated update to predictor parameters θ at iteration l .

The initialization and update functions produces a sequence of predictor parameter. $\theta_{0:l} \equiv [\theta_0 \dots \theta_{l-1}, \theta_l]$

We let the final predictor be a function of the whole sequence of parameters. $P_{\theta_{0:l}, w}$

$$\textcircled{1} \text{ eq. } P_{\theta_{0:l}, w}(\cdot) = \frac{1}{\sum_{j=0}^l w_j} P_{\theta_j, w}(\cdot)$$

$$\textcircled{2} P_{\theta_{0:l}, w}(\cdot) = P_{\theta_l, w}(\cdot)$$

Parameter θ ; Meta-parameters $\varphi = (t_0, w, u)$

Inner Loop: $\theta_0 \leftarrow I_{t_0}(D_{T_i}^{tr}, C_{T_i})$

$$\theta_l \leftarrow \mathcal{U}_k(\theta_{l-1}, D_{T_i}^{tr}) \quad l > 0$$

Prediction at x : $P_{\theta_{l-1}, w}(x)$

Outer Loop: $\varphi \leftarrow \varphi - \gamma \nabla_{\varphi} L_{\gamma} [P_{\theta_{l-1}, w}(x_{T_i}^{val}), y_{T_i}^{val}]$

N-Beats as a Meta-learning Algorithm.

$$\hat{y} = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \hat{y}_l$$

$$\hat{y}_1 = g \circ f(x)$$

$$\hat{y}_l = g \circ f(x_{l-1} - \hat{x}_{l-1}) \quad l > 1$$

$$\hat{x}_{l-1} = g \circ f(x_{l-1})$$

$$\hat{y} = g \circ f(x) + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} g \circ f(x_{l-1} - g \circ f(x_{l-1})) \quad (7)$$

- (i) each application of $g \circ f$ in (7) is a predictor and
- (ii) each block of N-Beats is the iteration of the inner meta-learning loop.

$$P_{\theta, w}(\cdot) = g_{w_g} \circ f_{w_f, \theta}(\cdot)$$

$w = (w_g, w_f)$ learned across tasks in the outer loop.

Task-specific parameters θ consist of the sequence of input shift vectors $\theta \equiv \{\mu_l\}_{l=0}^L$

defined such that the l -th block takes input $x_l = x - \mu_{l-1}$

$$\mu_{l-1} = x - x_l \quad \text{for } l = 1 \text{ to } N \text{ blocks.} \quad x_{l+1} = x_l - \hat{x}_l$$

$$\mu_l = x - x_{l+1} \quad x_l - x_{l+1} = \hat{x}_l \quad (2)$$

$$\mu_l = \mu_{l-1} + x_l - x_{l+1} \quad (1)$$

$$\text{From (1) (2):} \quad \mu_l = \mu_{l-1} + \hat{x}_l$$

This yields a recursive expression.

$$\mu_l \leftarrow \mathcal{U}_l(\mu_{l-1}, D_{T_l}^{tr})$$

$$\equiv \mu_{l-1} + g_{w_g} \circ f_{w_f}(x - \mu_{l-1}) \quad D_{T_l}^{tr} \equiv \{x\}$$

$\theta = \{\mu_l\}_{l=0}^L$ are combined in the final output.

$$P_{\mu_{0:L}, w}(\cdot) = \sum_{j=0}^L w_j \cdot P_{\mu_j, w}(\cdot) \quad w_j = 1 \text{ to } j.$$

Conclusion: Even if predictor parameters w_g w_f are shared across blocks and fixed.

The behavior of $P_{\mu_{0:L}, w}(\cdot) = g_{w_g} \circ f_{w_f, \mu_{0:L}}(\cdot)$ is governed by (w, μ_1, μ_2, \dots)

Therefore, the expressive power of the architecture can.

be expected to grow with the growing number of blocks.

in proportion to the growth of the space spanned by $\mu_{0:L}$

I think this claim is \Downarrow wrong for the size of θ is nearly negligible compared with $w = (w_g, w_f)$