EE2302 Foundations of Information Engineering

Assignment 3 (Solution)

1.

- a) We check the three conditions:
 - i. If m = 0, then $m \times m = 0$. If $m \neq 0$, then $m \times m > 0$. Therefore, R is **reflexive**.
 - ii. Suppose mRn. We only need to consider the case $m \neq n$. (The case where m = n is the same as reflexivity.) Then, mn > 0, which implies that nm > 0. Therefore, R is **symmetric**.
 - iii. Suppose mRn and nRp. We only need to consider the case where m, n, and p are distinct. (The other cases are the same as reflexivity or symmetry.) Then, mn > 0 and np > 0. Multiplying these two inequalities gives $mn^2p > 0$. Since $n \neq 0$ (for otherwise we cannot have mn > 0), we have mp > 0. Therefore, R is **transitive**.
- b) There are three equivalence classes. They are

```
i. [1] = \{x \in Z \mid x > 0\},\
```

ii.
$$[-1] = \{x \in Z \mid x < 0\}$$
, and

iii.
$$[0] = \{0\}.$$

2.

- a) *S* is not an equivalence relation. It is not symmetric, since $x \ge y$ does not imply $y \ge x$.
- b) *T* is an equivalence relation.

(reflexive): x - x = 0 is an integer

(symmetric): if x - y is an integer, then y - x = -(x - y) is also an integer.

(transitive): if x - y and y - z are integers, then x - z = (x - y) - (y - z), which is a difference of two integers, is also an integer.

c) There is an equivalence class for each real number x, where $0 \le x < 1$.

(Note: The answer is not unique. For example, $-0.5 \le x < 0.5$ is also correct.)

- a) T is not a partial order relation because it is not anti-symmetric. Counter-example: 1 T 3 (because 1 + 3 is even) and 3 T 1 (because 3 + 1 is even), but $1 \neq 3$.
- b) *S* is reflexive: (a, b) *S* (a, b) because a = a and $b \le b$.

S is anti-symmetric: Suppose (a, b) S(c, d) and (c, d) S(a, b). Then

either
$$(a < c)$$
 or (both $a = c$ and $b \le d$). (Condition 1)

and

either
$$(c < a)$$
 or (both $c = a$ and $d \le b$). (Condition 2)

Condition 1 implies $a \le c$, while Condition 2 implies $c \le a$. Combining them, we must have a = c. As a consequence, Condition 1 implies $b \le d$ while Condition 2 implies $b \le d$, so we much have b = d. Hence, (a, b) = (c, d).

S is transitive: Suppose (a, b) S (c, d) and (c, d) S (e, f).

Then

either
$$(a < c)$$
 or (both $a = c$ and $b \le d$). (Condition 3)

and

either
$$(c < e)$$
 or (both $c = e$ and $d \le f$). (Condition 4)

Conditions 3 and 4 imply that $a \le e$. There are two cases to consider:

Case 1: If a < e, then (a, b) S(e, f).

Case 2: If a = e, then Conditions 3 and 4 imply $b \le f$. Therefore, (a, b) S(e, f). Hence, we must have (a, b) S(e, f).