

## EE2302 Foundations of Information Engineering

### Assignment 10 (Solution)

1. Totally, there are **four** possible Cayley's tables, as shown below:

<b>*</b>	<b><i>e</i></b>	<b><i>a</i></b>	<b><i>b</i></b>	<b><i>c</i></b>
<b><i>e</i></b>	<i>e</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>c</i>
<b><i>a</i></b>	<i>a</i>	<i>e</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>b</i>
<b><i>b</i></b>	<i>b</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>e</i>	<i>a</i>
<b><i>c</i></b>	<i>c</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>e</i>

<b>*</b>	<b><i>e</i></b>	<b><i>a</i></b>	<b><i>b</i></b>	<b><i>c</i></b>
<b><i>e</i></b>	<i>e</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>c</i>
<b><i>a</i></b>	<i>a</i>	<i>e</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>b</i>
<b><i>b</i></b>	<i>b</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>e</i>
<b><i>c</i></b>	<i>c</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>e</i>	<i>a</i>

<b>*</b>	<b><i>e</i></b>	<b><i>a</i></b>	<b><i>b</i></b>	<b><i>c</i></b>
<b><i>e</i></b>	<i>e</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>c</i>
<b><i>a</i></b>	<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>e</i>
<b><i>b</i></b>	<i>b</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>e</i>	<i>a</i>
<b><i>c</i></b>	<i>c</i>	<i>e</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>

<b>*</b>	<b><i>e</i></b>	<b><i>a</i></b>	<b><i>b</i></b>	<b><i>c</i></b>
<b><i>e</i></b>	<i>e</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>c</i>
<b><i>a</i></b>	<i>a</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>e</i>	<i>b</i>
<b><i>b</i></b>	<i>b</i>	<i>e</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>a</i>
<b><i>c</i></b>	<i>c</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>e</i>

There are **two** distinct groups, since the last three tables are the same.

- In the third table, if we swap the roles of *a* and *b*, then we obtain the second table.
- In the fourth table, if we swap the roles of *a* and *c*, then we obtain the second table.

2. There are four subgroups, namely,  $\langle \{0\}, + \rangle$ ,  $\langle \{0,3\}, + \rangle$ ,  $\langle \{0,2,4\}, + \rangle$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}_6$ , where the operation  $+$  is addition modulo 6.

**Remark:**  $\langle \{0,1\}, + \rangle$  is *not* a subgroup because  $1 + 1 = 2$ , which does not belong to  $\{0, 1\}$ , violating the closure property. Intuitively, a group (or subgroup) must have some kind of symmetry. You should be able to see that  $\{0, 3\}$  is somewhat symmetric in

$$\{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}.$$

The elements 0 and 3 are marked in bold to highlight the “symmetry”. The same applies to  $\{0, 2, 4\}$  as follows:

$$\{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}.$$

3. a) Multiplication table:

$\circ$	<b><i>e</i></b>	<b><i>r</i></b>	<b><i>r</i><sup>2</sup></b>	<b><i>f</i></b>	<b><i>rf</i></b>	<b><i>r</i><sup>2</sup><i>f</i></b>
<b><i>e</i></b>	<i>e</i>	<i>r</i>	<i>r</i> <sup>2</sup>	<i>f</i>	<i>rf</i>	<i>r</i> <sup>2</sup> <i>f</i>
<b><i>r</i></b>	<i>r</i>	<i>r</i> <sup>2</sup>	<i>e</i>	<i>rf</i>	<i>r</i> <sup>2</sup> <i>f</i>	<i>f</i>
<b><i>r</i><sup>2</sup></b>	<i>r</i> <sup>2</sup>	<i>e</i>	<i>r</i>	<i>r</i> <sup>2</sup> <i>f</i>	<i>f</i>	<i>rf</i>
<b><i>f</i></b>	<i>f</i>	<i>r</i> <sup>2</sup> <i>f</i>	<i>rf</i>	<i>e</i>	<i>r</i> <sup>2</sup>	<i>r</i>
<b><i>rf</i></b>	<i>rf</i>	<i>f</i>	<i>r</i> <sup>2</sup> <i>f</i>	<i>r</i>	<i>e</i>	<i>r</i> <sup>2</sup>
<b><i>r</i><sup>2</sup><i>f</i></b>	<i>r</i> <sup>2</sup> <i>f</i>	<i>rf</i>	<i>f</i>	<i>r</i> <sup>2</sup>	<i>r</i>	<i>e</i>

b) No, it is not an Abelian group. It is because the multiplication table is not symmetric across the diagonal, i.e., the operation is not commutative. For example,  $r \circ f = rf$  but  $f \circ r = r^2f$ , which are not equal.