



# Welcome

This is a sample document that merely demonstrates some basic graphics features supported by PDF Clown.

Enjoy!



Source: <http://www.wikipedia.org/>

PS: As promised, since version 0.0.3 PDF Clown has supported embedded Latin OpenFont/TrueType and non-embedded Type 1 fonts along with paragraph construction facilities through the BlockComposer class.

Since version 0.0.4 the content stream stack has been completed, providing fully object-oriented access to the graphics objects that describe the contents on a page. It's a great step towards a whole bunch of possibilities, such as text extraction/replacement, that next releases will progressively exploit.

Since version 0.0.6 it has supported Unicode for OpenFont/TrueType fonts.

This page was crafted with the nice LazyDog font (by Paul Neave, [www.neave.com](http://www.neave.com))

# DMDPDF



# The@Free@Software Definition

Copyright©2004,©2005,©2006©Free@Software@Foundation,©Inc,©51©Franklin©Street,  
Fifth©Floor,©Boston,©MA©02110-1301,©USA©Verbatim©copying©and©distribution©of  
this©entire©article©are©permitted©worldwide,©without©royalty,©in©any©medi-  
um,©provided©this©notice©is©preserved.

We@ maintain@ this@ free@ software@ definition@ to@ show  
clearly@ what@ must@ be@ true@ about@ a@ particular@ softwa-  
re@ program@ for@ it@ to@ be@ considered@ free@ software.

"Free@ software"@ Is@ a@ matter@ of@ liberty,© Not@ price,© To@ld@ you@ find@ or@ develop@ a@ way@ to@ make@ them.  
understand@ the@ concept,© you@ should@ think@ of@ "free"@ as  
in@ "free@ speech",© Not@ as@ in@ "free@ beer".

Free@ software@ Is@ a@ matter@ of@ the@ users'@ freedom@ to@ run,  
copy,© distribute,© study,© change@ and@ improve@ the@ softw-  
are,© More@ precisely,© it@ refers@ to@ four@ kinds@ of@ freedom,  
for@ the@ users@ of@ the@ software:

•@ The@ freedom@ to@ run@ the@ program,© for@ any@ purpose@ (fri-  
eedom@0).

•@ The@ freedom@ to@ study@ how@ the@ program@ works,© and@ the@ software@ is@ not@ free.  
adapt@ it@ to@ your@ needs@ (freedom@1).© Access@ to@ the@ source  
code@ is@ a@ precondition@ for@ this.

•@ The@ freedom@ to@ redistribute@ copies@ so@ you@ can@ help  
your@ neighbor@ (freedom@2).

•@ The@ freedom@ to@ improve@ the@ program,© and@ release@ your@ own@ to@ deny@ other@ people@ the@ central@ freedoms.© This  
improvements@ to@ the@ public,© so@ that@ the@ whole@ commun-  
ity@ benefits@ (freedom@3).© Access@ to@ the@ source@ code@ is@ a@ precondition@ for@ this.

A@ program@ is@ free@ software@ if@ users@ have@ all@ of@ these  
freedoms.© Thus,© you@ should@ be@ free@ to  
redistribute@ copies,© either@ with@ or  
without@ modifications,© either@ gratis  
or@ charging@ a@ fee@ for@ distribution  
to@ anyone@ anywhere.© Being@ free@ to@ do  
these@ things@ means@ (among@ other@ thi-  
ngs)© that@ you@ do@ not@ have@ to@ ask@ or  
pay@ for@ permission.

You@ should@ also@ have@ the@ freedom@ to  
make@ modifications@ and@ use@ them@ pri-  
vately@ in@ your@ own@ work@ or@ play,  
without@ even@ mentioning@ that@ they  
exist.© If@ you@ do@ publish@ your@ change-  
s,© you@ should@ not@ be@ required@ to@ not-

ify@ anyone@ in@ particular,© or@ in@ any@ particular@ way.

The@ freedom@ to@ use@ a@ program@ means@ the@ freedom@ for  
any@ kind@ of@ person@ or@ organization@ to@ use@ it@ on@ any  
kind@ of@ computer@ system,© for@ any@ kind@ of@ overall@ job,  
and@ without@ being@ required@ to@ communicate@ subsequen-  
tly@ with@ the@ developer@ or@ any@ other@ specific@ entity.

The@ freedom@ to@ redistribute@ copies@ must@ include@ bina-  
ry@ or@ executable@ forms@ of@ the@ program,© as@ well@ as  
source@ code,© for@ both@ modified@ and@ unmodified@ versio-  
ns.© (Distributing@ programs@ in@ runnable@ form@ is@ neces-  
sary@ for@ conveniently@ installable@ free@ operating  
systems.)© It@ is@ ok@ if@ there@ is@ no@ way@ to@ produce@ a@ bin-  
ary@ or@ executable@ form@ for@ a@ certain@ program@ (since  
some@ languages@ don't@ support@ that@ feature),© but@ you  
must@ have@ the@ freedom@ to@ redistribute@ such@ forms@ sho-

In@ order@ for@ the@ freedoms@ to@ make@ changes,© and@ to@ pub-  
lish@ improved@ versions,© to@ be@ meaningful,© you@ must  
have@ access@ to@ the@ source@ code@ of@ the@ program.© There-  
fore,© accessibility@ of@ source@ code@ is@ a@ necessary@ condi-  
tion@ for@ free@ software.

In@ order@ for@ these@ freedoms@ to@ be@ real,© they@ must@ be  
irrevocable@ as@ long@ as@ you@ do@ nothing@ wrong;© if@ the  
developer@ of@ the@ software@ has@ the@ power@ to@ revoke@ the  
license,© without@ your@ doing@ anything@ to@ give@ cause,  
the@ software@ is@ not@ free.

However,© certain@ kinds@ of@ rules@ about@ the@ manner@ of  
distributing@ free@ software@ are@ acceptable,© when@ they  
don't@ conflict@ with@ the@ central@ freedoms.© For@ example,  
copyright@ (very@ simply@ stated)© is@ the@ rule@ that@ when  
redistributing@ the@ program,© you@ cannot@ add@ restricti-

You@ may@ have@ paid@ money@ to@ get@ copies@ of@ free@ softwa-  
re,© or@ you@ may@ have@ obtained@ copies@ at@ no@ charge.© But  
regardless@ of@ how@ you@ got@ your@ copi-  
es,© you@ always@ have@ the@ freedom@ to  
copy@ and@ change@ the@ software,© even@ to  
sell@ copies.

"Free@ software"@ does@ not@ mean@ "non-c-  
ommercial".© A@ free@ program@ must@ be  
available@ for@ commercial@ use,© commer-  
cial@ development,© and@ commercial@ dis-  
tribution.© Commercial@ development@ of  
free@ software@ is@ no@ longer@ unusual;  
such@ free@ commercial@ software@ is  
very@ important.

Rules@ about@ how@ to@ package@ a@ modifi-



# DMDPDF





ed@version@are@acceptable,@if@they@don't@substantively ds@and@Phrases@that@are@Worth@Avoiding@for@a@discuss-  
block@your@freedom@to@release@modified@versions.@Rules ion@of@these@terms.@We@also@have@a@list@of@translatio-  
that@'if@you@make@the@program@available@in@this@wayns@of@'free@software"@into@various@languages.  
you@must@make@it@available@in@that@way@also"@can@be

acceptable@too,@on@the@same@condition.@(Note@that@such Finally,@ note@ that@ criteria@ such@ as@ those@ stated@ in  
a@rule@still@leaves@you@the@choice@of@whether@to@pubthis@free@software@definition@require@careful@thought  
lish@the@program@or@not.)@It@is@also@acceptable@for@the@for@their@interpretation.@To@decide@whether@a@specific  
license@ to@ require@ that,@ if@ you@ have@ distributed@ a software@license@qualifies@as@a@free@software@license,  
modified@version@and@a@previous@developer@asks@for@a we@judge@it@based@on@these@criteria@to@etermine@whet-  
copy@ of@ it,@ you@ must@ send@ one,@ or@ that@ you@ identify@her@it@fits@their@spirit@as@well@as@the@precise@words.  
yourself@on@your@modifications.

In@the@GNU@project,@we@use@"copyleft"@to@protect@thesethese@criteria.@Sometimes@a@license@requirement@raises  
freedoms@ legally@ for@ everyone.@ But@ non-copylefted an@issue@that@calls@for@extensive@thought,@including  
free@software@also@exists.@We@believe@there@are@impor discussions@with@a@lawyer,@before@we@can@decide@if@the  
tant@ reasons@why@it@is@ better@to@ use@ copyleft,@but@if@requirement@is@acceptable.@When@we@reach@a@conclusion  
your@program@is@non-copylefted@free@software,@we@can about@a@new@issue,@we@often@update@these@criteria@to  
still@use@it.

See@Categories@of@Free@Software@for@a@description@of  
how@"free@ software,"@"copylefted@ software"@ and@ other  
categories@of@software@relate@to@each@other.

Sometimes@government@export@control@regulations@and  
trade@sanctions@can@constrain@your@freedom@to@distri-  
bute@ copies@ of@ programs@ internationally.@ Software  
developers@do@not@have@the@power@to@eliminate@or@ove-  
rride@these@restrictions,@but@what@they@can@and@mustse@  
do@is@refuse@to@impose@them@as@conditions@of@use@of@proliferation@ of@ different@ free@ software@ licenses  
the@ program.@ In@ this@ way,@ the@ restrictions@ will@ not means@increased@work@for@users@in@understanding@the  
affect@activities@and@people@outside@the@jurisdictions  
of@these@governments.

Most@ free@ software@ licenses@ are@ based@ on@ copyright, If@that@isn't@possible,@if@you@really@need@a@new@licen-  
and@ there@ are@ limits@ on@ what@ kinds@ of@ requirementsse,@ with@ our@ help@ you@ can@ ensure@ that@ the@ license  
can@be@imposed@through@copyright.@If@a@copyright-bas- really@is@a@Free@Software@license@and@avoid@various  
ed@ license@ respects@ freedom@ in@ the@ ways@ described practical@problems.  
above,@it@is@unlikely@to@have@some@other@sort@of@prob-  
lem@that@we@never@anticipated@ (though@this@does@happ- Another@group@has@started@using@the@term@"open@sourc-  
en@occasionally).@However,@some@free@software@licenses e"@to@mean@something@close@ (but@not@identical)@to@"free  
are@ based@ on@ contracts,@ and@ contracts@ can@ impose@ a software".@ We@ prefer@ the@ term@"free@software"@ because,  
much@larger@range@of@possible@restrictions.@That@mea- once@you@have@heard@it@refers@to@freedom@rather@than  
ns@there@are@many@possible@ways@such@a@license@couldprice,@it@calls@to@mind@freedom.@The@word@"open"@never  
be@unacceptably@restrictive@and@non-free.

We@can't@possibly@list@all@the@possible@contract@restr-  
ictions@that@would@be@unacceptable.@If@a@contract-bas-  
ed@license@restricts@the@user@in@an@unusual@way@that  
copyright-based@licenses@cannot,@and@which@isn't@ment-  
ioned@here@as@legitimate,@we@will@have@to@think@about  
it,@and@we@will@probably@decide@it@is@non-free.

When@talking@about@free@software,@it@is@best@to@avoid  
using@ terms@ like@ "give@ away"@ or@ "for@ free",@ because  
those@ terms@ imply@ that@ the@ issue@ is@ about@ price,@ not  
freedom.@ Some@ common@ terms@ such@ as@ "piracy"@ embody  
opinions@we@hope@you@won't@endorse.@See@Confusing@Wor-

If@a@license@includes@unconscionable@restrictions,@we  
reject@it,@even@if@we@did@not@anticipate@the@issue@in  
these@criteria.@Sometimes@a@license@requirement@raises  
an@issue@that@calls@for@extensive@thought,@including  
discussions@with@a@lawyer,@before@we@can@decide@if@the  
requirement@is@acceptable.@When@we@reach@a@conclusion  
about@a@new@issue,@we@often@update@these@criteria@to  
make@it@easier@to@see@why@certain@licenses@do@or@don't  
qualify.

If@you@are@ interested@ in@ whether@a@ specific@ license  
qualifies@as@a@free@software@license,@see@our@list@of  
licenses.@If@the@license@you@are@concerned@with@is@not  
listed@ there,@ you@ can@ ask@ us@ about@ it@ by@ sending@us  
email@at@<licensing@fsf.org>.

If@you@are@contemplating@writing@a@new@license,@plea-  
se@ contact@ the@ FSF@ by@ writing@ to@ that@ address.@ The  
proliferation@ of@ different@ free@ software@ licenses  
means@increased@work@for@users@in@understanding@the  
licenses:@we@may@be@able@to@help@you@find@an@existing  
Free@Software@license@that@meets@your@needs.

If@that@isn't@possible,@if@you@really@need@a@new@licen-  
se,@ with@ our@ help@ you@ can@ ensure@ that@ the@ license  
really@is@a@Free@Software@license@and@avoid@various  
practical@problems.  
Another@group@has@started@using@the@term@"open@sourc-  
e"@to@mean@something@close@ (but@not@identical)@to@"free  
software".@ We@ prefer@ the@ term@"free@software"@ because,  
once@you@have@heard@it@refers@to@freedom@rather@than  
price,@it@calls@to@mind@freedom.@The@word@"open"@never  
does@that.

This@article@was@crafted@with@the@nice@Traveling\_Type@writer@font@by@Carl  
Krull@www.carlkrull.dk).

# DMDPDF