CMPS 101 Homework 1

Dominik Schmidt

Ocotber 3, 2018

Question 1

A common algorithm for sorting is Bubble-Sort. Consider an input array A, with n elements. You repeat the following process n times: for every i from n-1, if A[i] and A[i+1] are out of order, you swap them.

Algorithm 1 Pseudo Code for slightly optimized Bubble Sort

```
for all i in A do
for j \leftarrow 0, n-i-1 do
if A[j] > A[j+1] then
\operatorname{swap} A[j] \text{ and } A[j+1]
end if
end for
```

Time Complexity analysis for Bubble Sort:

Consider the worst case scenario where A is sorted in descending Order. In this case the algorithm will swap n-i elements for all n iterations. Hence, the upper bound is $O(n^2)$. Next consider the best case scenario where the array A is completely sorted. In this case primitive unoptimized Bubble Sort will still compare elements n-i times for all n iterations, so the algorithm will run at least $c \cdot (n-1) \cdot (n)$ comparison operations. Hence, the lower bound is $\Omega(n^2)$. In any case, Bubble sort executes $c \cdot (n-1) \cdot (n)$ operations.

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{c\cdot n\cdot (n-i)}{n^2}=\frac{n^2}{n^2}=1$$

Since $1 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \neq 0$, and $\Omega(f(n)) = O(f(n))$, Bubble Sort runs in $\Theta(n^2)$ because $f(n) = \Theta(g(n))$ if $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)} = \mathbb{N} \neq 0$, $\Omega(f(n)) = O(f(n))$.

Proof of correctness:

 $\forall~0\leq k\leq n-1$: After the outer loop runs k times, the elements from indices A[n-k-1] up to A[n-1] are sorted and the k largest

elements in the array.

Proof by Induction on k.

Base Case: k=0 is vacuosly true since A[1,0] contains no real elements.

Induction: Assume the invariant holds for all elements up to k. Prove for k+1. By the Inductive Hypothesis the k largest elements of the array A are sorted up from the index A[n-k-1], the inner loop at iteration j=k inserts the largest element in A[0] to A[k-1] at index A[k]. Thus the invariant holds for k+1.

Question 2

Use induction to prove the following statement: The number of subsets of $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$ having an odd number of elements is 2^{n-1} .

Proof. To prove this statement we will use Induction.

Basis Step:

Let f(n) be the hypothesis that the number of subsets of $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$ having an odd number of elements is 2^{n-1} , then for n = 1 $f(n) = 2^{1-1} = 2^0 = 1$, subsets of $\{1\}$ are \emptyset and $\{1\}$, out of which exactly one has an odd number of elements.

Inductive Hypothesis: $f(n+1) \to \text{the number of subsets of } \{1, 2, \dots, n, n+1\}$ having an odd number of elements is $2^{(n-1)+1}$

Inductive Step: By the inductive hypothesis the number of subsets having an odd number of elements of the set $\{1,2,\ldots,n,n+1\}=2^{n-1}+x$, where x is the number subsets with an odd number of elements that are unique to $\{1,2,\ldots,n,n+1\}$. By the definition of the cardinality of the powerset, the number of subsets of $\{1,2,\ldots,n\}$ is 2^n . There exist exactly 2 subsets of $\{1,2,\ldots,n,n+1\}$ for every $\{1,2,\ldots,n\}$, half of which have an odd number of elements and half of which have an even number of elements. Let A denote the power set of $\{1,2,\ldots,n\}$ and let B denote the powerset of $\{1,2,\ldots,n,n+1\}$, then $|B-A|=2^n$. Therefore $x=\frac{1}{2}\cdot 2^n=2^{n-1}$. $f(n+1)\to 2^{n-1}+2^{n-1}=2\cdot 2^{n-1}=2^{(n-1)+1}$

Question 3

Let $f(n) = a_0 + a_1 n + a_2 n^2 + \ldots + a_k n^k$ be a degree-k polynomial, where every $a_i > 0$. Show that $f(n) \in \Theta(n^k)$. Furthermore, show that $f(n) \notin O(n^{k'})$, for all k' < k.

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{f(n)}{n^k} = \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{a_0 + a_1 n + a_2 n^2 + \dots + a_k n^k}{n^k} = 1$$

Since $1 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \neq 0$, $f(n) \in \Theta(n^k)$ because Big Theta is defined as $f(n) = \Theta(g(n))$ if $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)} = \in \mathbb{N} \neq 0$

$$k > k', \lim_{k,k' \to \infty} \frac{f(n)}{n^{k'}} = \lim_{k,k' \to \infty} \frac{a_0 + a_1 n + a_2 n^2 + \ldots + a_k n^k}{n^{k'}} = \infty$$

Since $\infty \not< \infty$, by the Big O Definition $f(n) \notin O(n^{k'})$.

Question 4

Prove that $\log_2 n = O(n^{1/10})$, but $\log_2 n$ is not in $\Omega(n^{1/10})$. Is $\log_2 n = \Theta(n^{1/10})$? Why or why not?

By L'Hopital's Rule

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\log_2 n}{n^{\frac{1}{10}}} =$$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{10}{\ln(2)n^{\frac{1}{10}}} = \frac{\lim_{n \to \infty} 10}{\lim_{n \to \infty} \ln(2)n^{\frac{1}{10}}} = \frac{10}{\infty} = 0$$

By the $Big\ O$ defintion, a function f(n) = O(g(n)) if $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)} < \infty$, $\log_2 n = O(n^{1/10})$ since $0 < \infty$. By the $Big\ Omega$ defintion, a function $f(n) = \Omega(g(n))$ if $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)} > 0$, $\log_2 n \notin \Omega(n^{1/10})$ since $0 \not< 0$. Therefore, $\log_2 n \not\in \Omega(n^{1/10})$ because by the $Big\ Theta$ definition $f(n) = \Theta(g(n))$ if $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)} = \in \mathbb{N} \not= 0$ and $O(f(n)) = \Omega(f(n))$. In this case neither of these conditions are satisfied.

Question 5

Suppose the input array A is in sorted order, except for k elements. In other words, there are n-k elements of A that are already in sorted order, and the remaining k elements are out of order. Prove that Insertion-Sort on A runs in O(nk) time.

Proof: Consider the case where the Array A is sorted up to index A[n-k] and elements from index A[n-k+1] to A[n-1] are not sorted. In this case Insertion Sort will only loop over the first n-k elements in the array because they are already sorted. Hence, Insertion sort runs in a linear O(n-k) on the

first n-k elements. Next consider the remaining k elements. We know that the first n-k elements are sorted, meaning that the maximum number of indices in the remaining Array that $\exists j \in A[n-k,\ldots,n-1]$ is away from it's sorted index is k. Therefore Insertion sort would have to swap at most k elements to sort elements A[n-k-1] through A[n-1]. To sort the remaining k elements the algorithm needs k O(n) operations.

Next consider the scenario where the sorted n-k elements are not in consecutive order and rather the array looks something like $\{n, \ldots, 8, 6, 5, 3, \ldots, n+1\}$ in this case Insertion Sort may have to swap as many n elements and compare as many as $n \cdot k$ elements, leaving us with a combined runtime O(nk).

Acknowledgements

For parts of Question 5 I consulted the TA as well as the section on Insertion Sort in *Introduction to Algorithms*, 3rd Edition.