# Global ecology in a data-rich world

#### see CONTRIBUTORS

### work in progress

Ecologists are tasked with providing information on issues at the global scale. Notable examples include, in addition to the global biodiversity crisis (???), predicting the consequences of the loss of trophic structure Estes et al. (2011), rapid shifts in species distributions (???), and. Most of these pressing topics require to be addressed (i) at the global scale and (ii) through the integration of several types of data (Thuiller et al. 2013). Because of these requirements, new sampling is not a viable solution: there is no funding structure to finance it, and there are time and scale constraints involved that make it unrealistic. Thankfully, developments in the recent years means that ecologists can now leverage existing data, and use them to build new datasets suitable for the questions at hand. There are several parallel advances that make this approach 11 possible. First, the volume of data on ecological systems that are available openly increases on a daily basis. This includes point-occurrence data, as in e.q. GBIF, ITIS, but also taxonomic knowledge (through NCBI or EOL), and trait and interactions data. A vast treasure trove of ecological information is now available without having to contact every contributor individually. Second, this data is available in a programmatic way. As opposed to manual collection, identification, and curation of datasets, most of these services implement web API that allow to query them, either once or on a regular basis, to retrieve records with the desired properties. This ensures that the process is repeatable, testable, transparent, and error-proof. Finally, most of the heavy-lifting for these tasks can be done through a burgeoning ecosystem of packages and software, that take care of handling query formatting, data retrieval, etc, and expose simple interfaces to researchers. To us, this opens no less than a new area of research for ecologists interested in asking questions at large spatial 21 and organizational scales – we live in a data-rich world, and a very large amount of these data can now easily be collected, reducing the need for additional costly and time-consuming sampling. More importantly, this allows rapid evaluation of scenarios. In this contribution, building on a real-life example, we (i) outline the basic approach, (ii)

identify technical bottlenecks, (iii) discuss ethical considerations, and (iv) provide clear recommendations moving

forward.

## 27 An illustrative case-study

- 28 Food-web data, that is the determination of trophic interactions among species, are difficult to collect. The usual
- 29 approach is to assemble literature data, expert knowledge, and additional information coming from field work, either
- <sub>30</sub> as observation of direct feeding events, or through the analysis of gut content. Because of these technical constraints,
- 51 food-web data are most often assembled in a single location. This impedes our ability to address the variation of
- their structure in space, which may both translate the action of macro-ecological mechanisms, as well as hold key to
- our ability to predict the spatial variation of ecological properties.
- In this case study, we are interested in predicting the structure of the pine-marsh food web worldwide. One example of
- this system has been described in detail by (???). We will show how coupling these data with additional interactions
- per reported in the literature, as well as species occurrence data, allows building a predictive model that describes the
- 37 spatial variation of this system.
- Due to the limitations, ... (qualitative rather than quantitative prediction)

#### 39 Interactions data

- Data from the pine-marsh food web were take from **REF**, as made available in the IWDB database (URL).
- good rationale for using genus level Eklof et al. (2011).

#### 42 Occurrence data

#### 43 Cleaning

- 44 Step 2 Clean species names.
- <sup>45</sup> **Step 3** Species occurrence.
- <sub>46</sub> **Step 4** − Species distribution model.
- <sup>47</sup> Step 5 Interactions distribution model.

# 48 Opportunities

- 49 Hypothesis testing for large-scale systems is inherently limited by the availability of suitable datasets. Perhaps as a
- result, macro-ecology has been guided by a search for patterns that are very broad both in scale and nature (???), as
- 51 opposed to the testing of pre-established hypothesis. While it is obvious that collecting data at scales that are large

- enough to be relevant is an insurmountable effort (both because of the monetary, time, and human costs needed),
- 53 we suggest that macroecologists should build on existing databases, and aggregate them in a way that allows direct
- 54 testing of proposals stemming from theory.

# 55 Challenges

- 56 The merging of large databases is already asking the question of proper attribution of data paternity. Namely, there
- 57 are two core issues that need community consultation in order to be resolved. First, what is the proper mode of
- 58 attribution when a very large volume of data is aggregated? Second, what should be the intellectual property of the
- 59 newly aggregated dataset?
- 50 1. Data curation
- 2. Large memory required
- 3. Need computational litteracy
- 1. Identifying species
- 2. Hoping that the noise averages out under large volume of data
- 3. Need for automated curation

### 66 Recommendations

- 1. Publish pipeline!!!
- 2. Pay attention to standard when releasing data
- 69 Acknowledgements This work was funded in part through a grant from the Canadian Institute of Ecology and
- Evolution. TP was funded by a Starting grant from the Université de Montréal.

### $\mathbf{References}$

- Eklof, A. et al. 2011. Relevance of evolutionary history for food web structure. Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 279:
- 73 1588-1596.
- Estes, J. A. et al. 2011. Trophic Downgrading of Planet Earth. Science 333: 301–306.
- Thuiller, W. et al. 2013. A road map for integrating eco-evolutionary processes into biodiversity models. Ecol.
- 76 Lett. 16: 94-105.