CII NIKET North America LLC PROPRIETARY

www.niketllc.com a.dely@niketllc.com s.dely@niketllc.com

# Q-SMEC (Quantum-Superconducting Magnetic Energy Containment) Materials Taxonomy Scientific Foundations

## 1) Q-SMEC Quantum Physics Modeling and Materials:

**A)** Physics Based Quantum Neural Network (PBQNN) model of a parameterized Quantum Circuit with:

i) Minimum 10, optimal 100+ qubits
ii) Brick layer ansatz circuit with 100+ entanglement layers
iii) Single qubit rotation layer approach
iv) Hybrid optimizer

Q-SMEC utilizes varying quantities of up to 22 constituent elements (including Germanium, Gallium, Antimony, Tellurium, Selenium, Aluminum, Niobium, Nitrogen, Tin, Titanium, and eleven undisclosed elements)

The PBQNN Circuit model uses additional physics engines to optimize localized quantum field containment in picostructure lattice geometry

- Hilbert Space Density Matrix Functional Theory
- Coupled Wave Function
- Born-Infeld Electrodynamics Entropy Optimization
- Dirac Plasmon Polariton Metamaterials with quantum bonds (“bondons) optimizing plasmon quantum tunneling (equivalent to 100 trillion mechanical bonds per square centimeter)
- Effective Hamiltonian H = H_band + H_defect + H_coupling + H_field.
- Transport modeled via semiclassical Boltzmann or Kubo-Greenwood

Q-SMEC PBQNN is resident on secure vault server, with access to IBM Starling

**B)** Classical AI optimized Design of Experiments (DOE) systems are trained on material parameter–performance datasets, to determine optimal segmented heterostructures (local Q-field intensity gradients) for frequency tuning.

Success Criteria:

- Demonstrable ≥10× sensitivity and ≥10×–100× SNR improvement at lowest SWaP-C
- Cyber/Physical Security-by-Design: Obfuscated layer ordering, sacrificial signature films, active tamper sense, PUF anchors tied to control firmware.
- Aligned with results from US DOE National Labs (Brookhaven, Argonne, Oak Ridge, Berkeley, Fermi) and DARPA Programs (RQS PNT, ADAPT, IST etc)

## 2) Q-SMEC Sensor Manufacturing Modalities

- **Thin Film:** Atomic Layer Deposition (ALD), Chemical Vapor Deposition (CVD), or sputtering techniques are employed

**Fabrication Process Windows (Initial)**

| Process | Temp (°C) | Pressure | Other Parameters | Targets |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| ALD | 120–350 | 10–500 mTorr | growth 0.8–1.5 Å/cycle, purge ≥2 s | roughness <0.5 nm RMS per 100 nm |
| PVD Sputter | | 1–10 mTorr $Ar/N_2$ | 200–600 W, rate 0.5 -- 5 nm/s, substrate bias −20…−150 V | |
| CVD | 300–800 | | precursor-specific | stoichiometry error <±2% |
| Anneal | 250–800 | | 30–120 min, forming gas/vacuum | crystallinity XRD FWHM ≤ baseline ×0.6 |
| Nanoimprint | 120–200 | | pressure 20–50 bar | CD ≤50 nm, LER ≤3 nm |

- **Pico/Nano 3D-Printing using Stratasys-equivalent systems**

## 3) Q-SMEC Test and Measurement Protocols

- **Electrical:** Impedance and noise spectra
- **Optical:** Responsivity, bandwidth, and gain linearity
- **Structural:** X-ray Diffraction (XRD), Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM), and Secondary Ion Mass Spectrometry (SIMS)

## 4) Q-SMEC Use Case Non-Recurring Engineering Project Plan and Technology and Manufacturing Readiness Level (TRL/MRL) Path

Each Use Case Instantiation adheres to a six-task Project Plan:

| Task | Description | NTE Weeks | NTE $ | TRL/MRL |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| **1** | Optimized Sensor Physics Quantum Modeling | 8 | $100K | 3 |
| **2** | Optimized AI Design of Experiments (Modeling) | 8 | $110K | 4 |
| **3** | Sensor Prototype Fabrication | 12 | $190K | 5/6 |
| **4** | Beta Testing | 10 | $110K | 7 |
| **5** | Low-Rate Initial Production | 8 | $130K | 8 |
| **6** | Device Integration/Full Rate Production | 8 | $130K | 9 |

## 5) Q-SMEC Intellectual Property (IP) and Licensing Architecture

- **Model:** Exclusive and non-exclusive licenses are offered, differentiated by field-of-use and geography.
- **Revenue:** Revenue generation is based on an upfront fee complemented by recurring quarterly royalties.
- **Milestones:** Licenses become available upon the completion of Task 2.
- **Co-IP Option:** Investors providing early-stage funding receive proportional ownership of intellectual property.

## 6) Q-SMEC PATHFINDER PROJECTS 1-6:

### PROJECT 1: TERAHERTZ SENSOR/EMITTER

Major Use Cases:

- National Security and Commercial High Speed Wireless Communications
- Health Care Imaging
- Materials Spectroscopy

**Q-SMEC Physics Parameter Optimization Values Targets:**

| Parameter | Value |
|---|---|
| Noise Equivalent Power (NEP) | 0.01-1 pW/sqr Hz |
| Highest Signal to Noise Ratio (SNR) | 100-140 dB Peak |
| Q-Factor | Greater than 1000 |
| Figure of Merit (FOM) | Greater than 2500 |
| Frequency Range | 0.1 to 10 THz |
| Dynamic Range | Higher than 110 dB |
| Response Time | Less than 150 picoseconds |
| Subwavelength Resolution | 150 nanometers |

# PROJECT 2: SOLID-STATE HIGH-ENERGY LASER

Major Use Cases:

- National Security Directed Energy Weapons, ISR/Electronic Attack
- Precision Manufacturing
- Commercial Fusion Energy
- Precision Medical Surgery

**Q-SMEC Physics Parameter Optimization Values Targets:**

| Power | Beam Quality M2 | Wavelength Range | Operating Range | Bandwidth | Resolution | Pulsing/Mode |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 100kW-MW+ feasible | 1.1 | 0.4-1.7-micron, 3–5-micron, 8-14 micron | 10 Km+ | 100 Nm | 5-10 Micron | Picosecond Pulse and/or Continuous Wave with adjusted Thermal Management |

# PROJECT 3: DEEP UNDERGROUND EXTRA LOW FREQUENCY SENSOR

Major Use Cases:

- Commercial Mining (Cu/Ag/Mo, Strategic Minerals) and Oil/Gas Exploration
- National Security Covert Naval Submarine Communications
- National Security Underground Structure Detection

**Q-SMEC Physics Parameter Optimization Values Targets:**

| **Parameter** | **Value** |
|---|---|
| Noise Equivalent Power (NEP) | near elimination of 1/f flicker noise |
| Highest Signal to Noise Ratio (SNR) | 25-35 dB |
| Q-Factor | 1500-15,000 |
| Figure of Merit (FOM) | 1-2 pT/sq Hz |
| Frequency Range | 0.01 to 10 Hz |
| Sensitivity | 0.01-0.05 pT/sq Hz |
| Response Time | 50-75 milliseconds |

‘

# PROJECT 4: DATA CENTER ELECTRONICS ENERGY EFFICIENCY OPTIMIZATION

Major Use Cases:

- IT/Servers CPU/GPU and RAM Power Reduction: 40-50%
- HVAC/Chillers/Fans/Pumps Power Reduction: 30%
- UPS/Transformer/PDU Power Reduction: 10%
- Routers/Switches/Firewalls Power Reduction: 5%
- Storage HD/SSD Power Reduction: 5%

Q-SMEC Physics Parameter Optimization Values Targets

- Matrix Diffusion Channel Size
- Conductivity
- Strain Elimination/Minimization
- Redox Voltage
- Interface Coating

# PROJECT 5: VLCLO RCS (RADAR CROSS SECTION) STEALTH MATERIAL

Major Use Cases:

- National Security Reduced Detection Range and Increased Countermeasures Effectiveness
- Commercial Airport Signal Interference Control
- Commercial Antenna Shielding

**Q-SMEC Physics Parameter Optimization Values Targets:**

| Property | Value |
|---|---|
| Impedance Matching | |
| Complex Permittivity: Imaginary Component | 0.1 |
| Complex Permeability: Imaginary Component | 0.1 |
| High Dielectric/Magnetic Loss | over Wide Bandwidth |
| Lightweight | 0.01 g/sq cm |
| Layer Thickness | 50 microns |

# PROJECT 6: S/X-BAND SENSOR/EMITTER

Major Use Cases:

- National Security Long-Range, All-Weather Surveillance, Marine Navigation
- National Security and Commercial Space Satellite Communications

**Q-SMEC Physics Parameter Optimization Values Targets:**

| Power | EIRP | Scan Ratio | Frequency Range | Operating Range | Bandwidth | Response Time | Resolution | Dual Polarization |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 60 kW-1MW | 30-70 dBmi | <20 sec/volume | 2.3-2.5 Ghz (S), 9.4-9.6 Ghz (X) | 500 km + (S), 70 km+ (X) | 250-1000 MHz | Less than 150 picoseconds | 0.2-1m (S), <5m (X) | Dual Polarization |

## ANNEX I Priority Use Case Market Segmentation

### 4.1 Defense and Intelligence

| Market Segment | Annual Market | CAGR | Licensing NPV |
|---|---|---|---|
| Intelligence, Surveillance, and Reconnaissance (ISR) | $7.3 billion | 15% | $3.3 billion |
| Electronic Warfare (EW) | $16.6 billion | 7% | $3 billion |
| Navigation | $18.7 billion | 7% | $3.4 billion |
| Platform Monitoring | $11 billion | 5% | $2.7 billion |
| AI/Data Center Power/Thermal Management | $19.6 billion | 11-13% | 3.3B |

### 4.2 Energy and Utilities

| Sector | Annual Market | CAGR | Licensing NPV |
|---|---|---|---|
| Solar Optimization | $95 billion | 17% | |
| Battery Storage | $25 billion | 9% | $5.6 billion |
| Microgrids | $11 billion | 6% | $1.8 billion |
| Small Modular Reactors (SMRs) | $10 billion | 8% | |

### 4.3 Water and Environment

| Product | Annual Market | CAGR | Licensing NPV |
|---|---|---|---|
| Desalination Membranes | $20.6 billion | 6% | $3.3 billion |
| Real-Time Water Sensors | $5 billion | | |

### 4.4 Healthcare and Biosecurity

| Category | Annual Market |
|---|---|
| Biosensors | $2.1 billion |
| Patient Monitoring | $12 billion |
| Surgical Tools | $8 billion |

### 4.5 Transportation and Automotive

| Category | Annual Market | CAGR | Licensing NPV |
|---|---|---|---|
| LIDAR/Radar | $1.2 billion | 42% | $13.8 billion |
| Total Automotive Sensors | $31 billion | 5% | |

## ANNEX II Q-SMEC Strategic Positioning

- **Differentiators:** Key differentiating factors include quantum-level physics, AI-native research and development, export-compliant design, and an IP-only business model.
- **Trillion-Dollar Tailwinds:** The initiative benefits from significant market trends such as the energy transition, increasing autonomy, and defense modernization.
- **Weaknesses:** Identified weaknesses include the early Technology Readiness Level (TRL) and a broad scope.
- **Mitigation:** Initial commercialization efforts will be strategically focused on the Defense ISR/EW and Energy sectors to mitigate these weaknesses.

### Economic Model

- Typical Use Case NRE project cost is $770,000 over one year.
- Return on Investment (ROI) is contingent upon licensing velocity; three concurrent programs are projected to achieve break-even within one year with two Task 4 conversions.

# Risk Register

## Risk Categories, Challenges, and Mitigation Approaches

| Category | Risk | Mitigation |
|---|---|---|
| Technical | Simulation–physical mismatch | Early physical test validation |
| Funding | Under-capitalized prototypes | Partner co-IP funding model |
| IP | Leakage of confidential material | NDA, data enclave, partial disclosure |
| Market | Dilution via over-broad claims | Prioritize two flagship sectors |
| Compliance | Export and cybersecurity gaps | ITAR/FedRAMP baseline built-in |

# ANNEX III Q-SMEC MATERIALS DESIGN MATRIX

| Knob | Symbol | Range/Levels |
|---|---|---|
| Composition | x_i | 10 disclosed + 11 confidential; 1–3 primaries + 0–2 dopants |
| Oxidation | n | −2⋯+5 depending on element |
| Dopant Fraction | c_d | $10^{17}$–$10^{21}$ $cm^{-3}$ |
| Layer Thickness | t_i | 2 nm–2 µm per layer |
| Grain Size | d_g | 5–200 nm |
| Pore Diameter (Membranes) | d_p | 0.3–2.0 nm ($\sigma \leq 0.1$ nm) |
| Residual Stress | σ_r | ≤200 MPa |

## Prototype Design — Geometries and Masks

| Component | Details |
|---|---|
| EM Sensor Die | 2×2 mm active area, interdigitated electrodes, guard ring, backside reflector for EO variants |

| | |
|---|---|
| Membrane Coupons | 25 mm discs and 1812 cartridge format; stack 1–20 layers; epoxy-free edge seals for flux testing |

### Design for Manufacturability (DFM)/Design for Excellence (DFX) Details

DoD Project Management/Systems Engineering: phase-gate reviews system requirements review (SRR)/preliminary design review (PDR)/critical design review (CDR)/qualification review (QR)/test readiness review (TRR)/production readiness review (PRR); non-conformance report (NCR)/corrective and preventive action (CAPA) workflow

## Risk and Probabilistic Assessment

| Risk | P(occ) | Impact ($) | Expected Loss | Mitigation |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Simulation mismatch | 0.35 | 400k | 140k | Bench anchors, design-of-experiments reweight |
| Fabrication yield shortfall | 0.30 | 300k | 90k | SPC, recipe tuning, inline gates |
| Supply constraints | 0.25 | 200k | 50k | Dual-source, buffer inventory |
| Regulatory delay | 0.20 | 250k | 50k | Early audits, pre-briefs |