

## Accelerating Multi-Dimensional Search

### Iteration #1 Update Meeting (17/03/14 to 24/03/14)

#### Summary of Progress So Far

Objective	Progress
Implement evaluation framework	Completed
Implement evaluation baselines (Sequential Scan and Octree)	Completed
<i>Optimise evaluation baselines</i>	<i>Not Complete</i>
Implement Splay Quadtree	Not Complete
Analyse performance of Splay Quadtree	Not Complete
Evaluate found performance of Splay Quadtree, comparing with baselines	Not Complete
<b><i>Pyramid tree implemented</i></b>	<b><i>Complete</i></b>
<b>Findings</b>	
Given Pyramid tree implementation had some inefficiencies that were fixed when it was decoupled from VTK <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• all vectors passed by value (many, many copies)</li><li>• needless assignments of method parameters to local variables, which resulted in more copies</li><li>• unnecessary for-loops</li><li>• added remove() and update() operations</li></ul>	
As expected, the pyramid tree greatly outperforms sequential scan and octree implementations. For 10,000 operations on astrophysics dataset: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Pyramid tree: 0.64 seconds (reduced from ~3 seconds with copies)</li><li>• Octree: 136.47 seconds</li></ul>	
Bottleneck in octree appears to be the remove() operation - took over 120 seconds of overall runtime!	
Bottleneck in Pyramid tree appears to be point equality checks and subscript access (due to non-contiguous memory). remove() can also cause problems due to how it handles memory de-allocation	

## Objectives for This Iteration

1. ~~Implement evaluation framework~~
2. ~~Implement evaluation baselines (Sequential Scan and Quadtree)~~
3. *Optimise evaluation baselines (including Pyramid tree)*
4. Implement Splay Quadtree
5. Analyse performance of Splay Quadtree
6. Evaluate found performance of Splay Quadtree, comparing with baselines

## New Items to Discuss

- Would like to spend some time optimising the two baselines and the Pyramid tree
  - means there most likely won't be enough time to complete the implementation of the Splay quadtree and evaluate it in this iteration
- Discussion of Pyramid tree implementation details
- Possible optimisations/ideas to explore for Pyramid tree
  - implement one-dimensional Splay tree for underlying 1D structure
    - still exploring self-adjusting behaviour with respect to high-dimensional data
  - instead of incrementally removing marked empty slots of point array, rebuilt entire structure at once
  - change indices in hash table buckets to the actual points (prevents removal issues)
- Trace of dynamic operations and queries (and the points used for said queries) from real application
  - Joint Contour Net construction
  - or some other application
- Content to put in end-of-iteration performance evaluation
  - Splay quadtree
  - Pyramid tree (excessive copies, things that were changed to increase performance)
  - Timings, remarks on profiling results (what methods/operations took the longest and why)
  - What small changes I made to increase performance (and how much increase it provided), as well as *why* the changes had such an impact on performance
  - Evaluate performance of baselines for this initial iteration as well?

Donald Whyte  
sc10dw

## Task Breakdown

<b>Task</b>	<b>For Objective(s)</b>	<b>Start</b>	<b>End</b>
<i>Implement pooled, contiguous memory manager that is used to create point instances (and use for data generators/loaders)</i>	3	???	???
<i>Implement no-indices version of Pyramid tree</i>	3	???	???
<i>Implement rebuilding version of Pyramid tree</i>	3	???	???
Implement Splay quadtree	4	18/03/14	20/03/14
Run evaluation framework on Splay Quadtree with various sets of test data	5	21/03/14	21/03/14
Produce short report (two pages max) containing performance evaluation of baselines	6	21/03/14	24/03/14